

Some Cryptographic Techniques

For Secure Data Communication

By

Vijayaraghavan Varadharajan

This thesis is submitted to the Council
for National Academic Awards in partial
fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Communication Engineering

Plymouth Polytechnic

August 1984

Collaboration:

British Telecom Research Labs.,

Martlesham

PLYMOUTH SCIENTIFIC

Ac-
No

5500408-0

Class

Thesis-005.82 VAR

Conti
No

x700538312

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that while registered as a candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy with the Council for National Academic Awards I have not been a registered candidate for another award of the CNAA or other academic or professional institution.

Signed: *Vijayaraghavan Varadharajan*

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my grateful thanks to Dr C T Stockel, Director of Studies, (Dept of Mathematics, Statistics and Computing, Plymouth Polytechnic) and to my supervisors Mr P W Sanders, (Dept of Communication Engineering, Plymouth Polytechnic) and Dr R W K Odoni (Dept of Mathematics, Exeter University) for their valuable guidance and advice at all stages of this research project. My thanks are also due to Dr G Wade (Dept of Communication Engineering, Plymouth Polytechnic) who was previously the Director of Studies. I would like to mention in particular that Mr Sanders had always been a source of encouragement. A special word of thanks should go to Dr Odoni for readily accepting to be one of the supervisors having acted as an advisor in the early stages of the project. I am greatly indebted to him for the long hours of useful discussions we had on numerous occasions.

My sincere thanks should also go to Prof S D Cohen (Dept of Mathematics, Glasgow University), Prof J Massey (Institute of Communication Engineering, ETH, Zurich) and Prof W Ledermann (Dept of Mathematics, Sussex University) who had unhesitatingly spared their time and offered valuable suggestions and advice in the course of the project.

I take this opportunity to acknowledge with thanks the various facilities made available to me by the Plymouth Polytechnic. I am grateful to the members of staff and technicians, in particular to Mr A Santillo and L Roberts, of the Dept of Communication Engineering for their kind co-operation and technical assistance as well as to the Library staff members.

I should also like to express my gratitude to the Devon County Council and the British Telecom Research Labs, Martlesham for the financial support and facilities provided for the project.

I have pleasure in thanking Mrs W Happer for her accurate and neat typing of the thesis.

Finally I shall be failing in my duty if I do not mention the encouragement and support received from my parents at every stage of the accomplishment of my task.

C O N T E N T S

	<u>Page</u>
Abstract	xii
CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Thesis Organization	2
CHAPTER 2 - CRYPTOGRAPHIC CONCEPTS	4
2.1 Cryptographic Systems	4
2.2 Cryptosystem Security and Complexity Theory	7
2.3 Cryptographic Techniques	10
2.3.1 Block Cipher	10
2.3.2 Stream Cipher	12
CHAPTER 3 - DATA ENCRYPTION ALGORITHMS	16
3.1 General	16
3.1.1 Transposition Cipher	16
3.1.2 Substitution Cipher	16
3.1.3 Product Cipher	17
3.2 Data Encryption Standard	18
3.2.1 DES Algorithm - An Overview	18
3.2.2 The Key Schedule Procedure	22
3.2.3 DES Encryption and Decryption	24
3.3 Software DES Implementation	27
3.3.1 A Possible Advantage of DES Software	28
3.4 Some Characteristics of DES Algorithm	30
3.4.1 Avalanche Effect	30
3.4.2 Complementary Property	31
3.5 Design Criteria	38
3.5.1 S-Boxes	38
3.5.2 Initial and Final Permutations	39
3.5.3 P-Permutation	39
3.6 Criticism and Weaknesses of DES	40
3.6.1 The Key Length	40
3.6.2 Unpublished Design Principles	41
3.6.3 Number of Rounds	42
3.6.4 Key Schedule Algorithm- Weak and Semiweak Keys	42

Contents continued....		Page
3.7	Cryptanalysis of DES	43
3.8	Discussion	45
CHAPTER 4 - DESIGN OF ENCRYPTION SYSTEM: SYSTEM HARDWARE		47
4.1	System Requirements	47
4.1.1	Security Requirements	47
4.1.2	Operator Requirements	48
4.1.3	Technical Requirements	48
4.2	General System Description	49
4.2.1	Apple Microcomputer	51
4.2.2	Encryption Interface Unit	52
4.2.3	Modem	70
CHAPTER 5 - POINT TO POINT COMMUNICATION SYSTEM: SYSTEM SOFTWARE (1)		72
5.1	General	72
5.1.1	Polling Technique	72
5.1.2	Interrupt Driven Technique	72
5.1.3	Point-to-Point Communication	73
5.2	Block Encryption Mode	74
5.2.1	Principle	74
5.2.2	Implementation	74
5.2.3	Results and Discussion	81
5.3	Cipher Block Chaining Mode	86
5.3.1	Principle	86
5.3.2	Implementation	89
5.3.3	Results and Discussion	90
5.4	Stream Cipher Feedback	94
5.4.1	Principle	94
5.4.2	Implementation	97
5.4.3	Results and Discussion	98
5.5	Cipher Block Chaining with Plaintext Feedback	101
5.5.1	Principle	101
5.5.2	Implementation	105
5.5.3	Results and Discussion	105
5.6	Stream Cipher Feedback with Vector Feedback	109
5.6.1	Principle	109
5.6.2	Implementation	112
5.6.3	Results and Discussion	112

Contents continued...		<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER 6 - STATISTICAL TESTS ON DES OUTPUT SEQUENCES		116
6.1	General	116
6.2	Statistical Tests For Randomness	116
6.2.1	Test 1 : The Frequency Test	117
6.2.2	Test 2 : The Serial Test	118
6.2.3	Test 3 : The Runs Test	118
6.2.4	Test 4 : The Autocorrelation Test	120
6.3	Results and Discussion	124
6.4	Other Statistical Tests	126
6.4.1	Cross-Correlation Test	126
6.4.2	χ^2 -Test to Detect Dependence Between Output and Input	127
CHAPTER 7 - LOCAL FILE SECURITY: SYSTEM SOFTWARE (2)		130
7.1	General	130
7.2	Choice of DES Mode	130
7.3	Implementation	131
CHAPTER 8 - SECURITY IN PRESTEL VIEWDATA SYSTEM: SYSTEM SOFTWARE (3)		134
8.1	General	134
8.2	Brief Review of Prestel Viewdata System	134
8.3	Encryption/Decryption in Prestel System	134
CHAPTER 9 - KEY DISTRIBUTION AND PUBLIC KEY CRYPTOGRAPHY		144
9.1	General	144
9.2	Key Management Using Key Centre	146
9.3	Communication Security	147
9.4	File Security	150
9.5	Key Distribution for Groups of Users	151
9.5.1	Method 1	152
9.6	Public Key Systems	153
9.6.1	Merkle-Hellman Trapdoor Knapsack Public Key Cryptosystem	158
9.6.2	Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) Public Key Cryptosystem	160

	9.6.3	Diffie-Hellman Public Key Distribution System	161
9.7		Key Distribution Using Public Key for Groups of Users	162
	9.7.1	Method 2	163
	9.7.2	Method 3	163
	9.7.3	Method 4	164
9.8		Key Distribution for Prestel Encryption System	165
CHAPTER 10 - EXTENSIONS OF THE RSA CRYPTOSYSTEM			167
10.1		General	167
10.2		Some Design Aspects of RSA Cryptosystem	167
	10.2.1	Primality Tests	169
	10.2.2	Choice of Coding Exponents	170
10.3		Cryptanalysis of RSA System	171
	10.3.1	Factorization of m	171
	10.3.2	Computation of $\phi(m)$ Without Factorization of m	172
	10.3.3	Determining d Without Factoring m or Computing $\phi(m)$	172
10.4		Extension of RSA System to Matrix Rings	172
	10.4.1	Trapdoor Rings	172
	10.4.2	Non-singular Matrices over Z/mZ	174
	10.4.3	Orthogonal Matrices over Z/mZ	183
	10.4.4	Upper Triangular Matrices over Z/mZ	185
	10.4.5	Linear Fractional Group	189
	10.4.6	Proportion of Non-singular Matrices over Z/mZ	191
	10.4.7	System Design and Operation	192
	10.4.8	System Implementation	195
	10.4.9	Discussion	198
10.5		Extension of RSA System to Polynomial Rings	200
	10.5.1	Concept of Galois Field	200
	10.5.2	A Polynomial Based RSA System	201
	10.5.3	An Improved Polynomial Based RSA System	209
	10.5.4	Discussion	216
10.6		Extension of RSA System to Matrix Rings with Polynomial Elements	217

Contents continued...		Page
10.6.1	Non-singular Matrices over $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(m, f(x))$	217
10.6.2	Upper Triangular Matrices over $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(m, f(x))$	224
10.7	Discussion	229
CHAPTER 11 - FACTORIZATION TRAPDOOR FROM IDEAL POINT OF VIEW		230
11.1	General	230
11.2	Basic Concepts	230
11.2.1	Ideal	230
11.2.2	Congruence	230
11.2.3	Principal Ideal	230
11.2.4	Prime Ideal	231
11.2.5	Product of Ideals	231
11.2.6	Unique Factorization of Ideals	231
11.2.7	Factorization Trapdoor	231
11.3	Ring of Integers	233
11.4	Polynomial Rings	234
11.5	Matrix Rings	235
11.5.1	Approach (a)	236
11.5.2	Approach (b)	242
CHAPTER 12 - FACTORIZATION TRAPDOOR IN ALGEBRAIC NUMBER FIELDS		244
12.1	General	244
12.2	Factorization Trapdoor System in Gaussian Integers	244
12.2.1	Ring of Gaussian Integers	244
12.2.2	Design of Trapdoor Coding System in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$	246
12.2.3	Security of the System in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$	254
12.2.4	Representation of Messages and System Operation	254
12.3	Factorization Trapdoor System in other Quadratic Fields	264
12.3.1	Quadratic Fields $R(\sqrt{D})$	264
12.3.2	Design of Trapdoor Coding System: Complex Euclidean Quadratic Fields	266
12.3.3	Security of the System in $R(\sqrt{D})$	269
12.3.4	Representation of Messages and System Operation	269
12.3.5	Real Quadratic Fields	270

Contents continued...	Page
12.4 Discussion	271
CHAPTER 13 - CONVENTIONAL CRYPTOSYSTEM WITH PUBLIC KEY DISTRIBUTION	274
13.1 General	274
13.2 Logarithms over Finite Fields	274
13.3 Public Key Distribution in $GF(2^n)$	277
13.4 Short Cycling Attack	280
13.5 DES/PKD Hybrid System	284
13.5.1 Central Public Key File	288
13.5.2 Local Public Key File	289
13.5.3 No Public Key File	289
13.6 Exponentiation in $GF(2^n)$	290
13.6.1 Method 1	290
13.6.2 Method 2	293
13.7 Hardware Design of an Exponentiator in $GF(2^n)$	300
13.8 Normal Basis Generators in $GF(2^{127})$	303
13.9 Extension of Diffie-Hellman System to Matrix Rings	306
13.9.1 Design of Base Matrix	306
13.9.2 Example	308
13.9.3 Use of Upper Triangular Matrices over Z/pZ	309
CHAPTER 14 - PERMUTATION POLYNOMIALS IN THE DESIGN OF PUBLIC KEY SYSTEMS	310
14.1 General	310
14.2 Polynomial $y \equiv x^n \pmod{m}$	310
14.3 Polynomial $y \equiv ax+b \pmod{m}$	312
14.4 Linear Fractional Substitution	313
14.5 R�dei Rational Functions	314
14.6 Dickson Polynomial based Public Key System	316
14.7 Discussion	318
CHAPTER 15 - CHAINING TECHNIQUES AND BROADCASTING WITH PUBLIC KEY SYSTEMS	321
15.1 General	321

15.2	Chaining Techniques	321
15.2.1	Method 1	324
15.2.2	Method 2	325
15.3	Broadcasting of Messages	326
CHAPTER 16 - CONCLUSIONS		328
REFERENCES		332
APPENDICES:		
1.	Data Encryption Standard : A Software Design	A-1
2.	Point-to-Point Communication : Block Encryption Program (ECB)	A-5
3.	Extended Character Set	A-24
4.	Graphics Display Program (RESTRY.F77)	A-25
5.	Point-to-Point Communication: Cipher Block Chaining Program (CBC)	A-28
6.	Point-to-Point Communication : Stream Cipher Feedback Program (CFB)	A-41
7.	Results of some Statistical Tests on DES Output Sequences	A-52
8.	File Security : Cipher Block Chaining Program (CBC)	A-69
9.	PRESTEL Editing Keyboard	A-83
10.	Chinese Remainder Theorem	A-84
11.	Euclid's Algorithm	A-85
12.	Determinant of a Matrix over GF(2) Program (DETMOD.F77)	A-88
13.	Matrix Exponentiation Program (MATEXP.F77)	A-90
14.	Polynomial Exponentiation Program (POLYEXT.F77)	A-92
15.	Cycle Length Calculation Program in GF(2**7)(CYCLE.F77)	A-94
16.	Short Cycling Attack in PKD System over GF(2**7)(RANDCYCLE.F77)	A-98
17.	Results of Cycling in PKD System over GF(2**7)	A-102
18.	Public Key Distribution Program Listing	A-114
19.	Determination of A Normal Basis Generator in GF(2**127)	A-123
20.	Normal Basis Exponentiator - Circuit Diagram	A-125
21.	Multiplier Matrix Program (M-MATRIX.F77)	A-129
22.	Multiplier Implementation Using T-Matrix Approach (T-Matrix.F77)	A-134

Contents continued...

		<u>Page</u>
23.	Exclusive-or Gate Count Program (EXOR N.O. F77)	A-137
24.	Inverse Matrix over GF(2) Program (INVMOD. F77)	A-139
25.	Matrix based Public Key Distribution Program (PKDEXT. F77)	A-142
26.	Dickson Polynomials Based PK System Program (DPOLY. F77)	A-143

Papers Published/Submitted

Some Cryptographic Techniques For Secure Data Communication

V Varadharajan

Abstract

This thesis investigates conventional and public key cryptographic techniques for secure data communication.

Block and stream cipher methods to provide secure communication over an insecure channel are discussed with particular reference to the Data Encryption Standard (DES) algorithm. A microprocessor based data encryption interface unit has been designed and constructed using the DES to provide both communication and file security. Several chaining techniques using the system have also been investigated enabling a study of their error characteristics, speed of operation, level of security and their ability to overcome difficulties due to data redundancy and structure. A statistical analysis of the randomness of the output sequences in each of these techniques has been made. Furthermore, the developed system can be used on the Prestel public network allowing storage and retrieval of completely and partly encrypted frames of information on the Prestel database.

The use of such a DES based encryption system in a communication network poses problems with regard to key distribution since the keys used need to be distributed to the users over a secure, separate channel. Several methods of key distribution including the use of public key systems are discussed.

Extensions of the Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) public key scheme to matrix rings, polynomial rings and algebraic number fields have been proposed. These extensions indicate that rings other than the ring of rational integers can be used to construct public key systems with the factorization trapdoor property. The security of such systems again relies on the difficulty of factorizing a large integer.

An extension of the Diffie-Hellman public key distribution system to matrix rings is proposed. Short cycling attacks against the exponentiation system in $GF(2^n)$ have been analysed and are shown to be equivalent to a random search procedure. A hybrid system using exponentiation in $GF(2^n)$ for key distribution and the DES for data security has been implemented and the advantage of normal basis representation in the computation of the exponentiation in $GF(2^n)$ is examined.

The role of permutation polynomials in the design of public key systems has also been investigated. In particular, it is shown that secure public key systems can be designed using Dickson permutation polynomials and Rédei rational functions. Further the complexity of public key systems can be increased by combining the permutation polynomials under the law of composition.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

The concept of data security is becoming increasingly significant owing to the expanding role of distributed computation, distributed data bases and telecommunications applications such as electronic mail and electronic funds transfer. The computer and communications technologies have resulted in a dramatic increase in the volume and speed of information collection, distribution and storage. Greater information transfer and storage in turn imply greater risk of exposure of sensitive or confidential information to unauthorised users due to the ready availability of inexpensive miniature intercepting devices. These have resulted in an increased interest in computer data security not only in the military and political areas but also in the field of commerce, where a single transaction may involve millions of pounds. This has motivated research particularly in the art of cryptography, which forms the central technique of communication security.

Cryptography is the science and study of secret writing [1]. Cryptography can be defined as the transformation of a message or a data stream by means of an algorithm so that anyone observing the transformed data cannot deduce the hidden information. Such transformations provide solutions to two major problems of data security namely the privacy problem and the authentication problem [2]. In some environments the message can be transmitted in clear text as long as its integrity is safeguarded. A common example where the problem of authentication predominates is in telephone communication where the called party cannot determine who is calling. Other environments may require that the contents of the message be concealed during transmission from unauthorised observation and this is a privacy problem. The problems of privacy and authentication are closely related and techniques for solving one can frequently be applied to the other. Data encryption is recognised [3] as the most reliable method for not only protecting vital information from eavesdroppers but also a technique of message authentication preventing injection of false information into a communication system by illegitimate users.

This thesis is mainly concerned with the data privacy problem.

On one hand, the easy availability of enormous computer power enables the cryptographer to design complicated algorithms. But on the other hand, the computer technology also helps the code breakers to be more effective in cracking the system. So it is a never ending struggle between code makers and code breakers.

Recent developments in encryption techniques for computer communication network security including the Data Encryption Standard (DES) [11] and the evolution of public key cryptosystems provided the major thrust of the present research work. Essentially the thesis can be divided into two parts. In the first part (Chapters 2 to 9), the use of encryption and decryption techniques in communication systems is investigated. The design and operation of an encryption interface unit incorporating the DES to provide communication and file security and different key distribution schemes are discussed. The second part (Chapters 10 to 15) is mainly concerned with the design of public key cryptosystems with a particular emphasis on the extensions of the Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) [12] type factorization trapdoor systems.

1.2 Thesis Organization

In Chapter 2, basic concepts of symmetric and asymmetric cryptosystems and major cryptographic techniques are briefly reviewed.

An analysis of the DES is presented in Chapter 3 which includes a software implementation of the Standard, its possible weaknesses, some of its underlying design criteria and its cryptographic strength.

The design of a microprocessor based data encryption interface unit using the DES is described in Chapter 4.

The operation of the interface unit to provide a two-way secure data transfer in a two-node Apple microcomputer network is the subject of Chapter 5. Four different stream and block chaining techniques of the DES have been investigated using the developed interface unit.

In Chapter 6, a statistical analysis of the randomness characteristic of the output sequences produced by the DES under different modes has been carried out.

The use of the developed encryption interface unit has been

extended in Chapter 7 to allow file security in Apple disk systems.

Chapter 8 is concerned with the incorporation of DES based encryption system in Prestel Viewdata network. This enables transfer and storage of encrypted as well as plain data between an Apple microcomputer and the Prestel database.

Different methods of key distribution for communication and file security are investigated in Chapter 9. It includes a brief review of the RSA and the Knapsack public key cryptosystems.

In Chapter 10, the prototype RSA system over rational integers has been extended to matrix and polynomial rings.

Chapter 11 discusses the notion of ideal theory and considers the RSA type factorization trapdoor systems from an ideal point of view.

The factorization trapdoor concept in some quadratic algebraic number fields and the design of public key systems in such fields are investigated in Chapter 12.

The implementation of a hybrid system using the DES and the Diffie-Hellman public key distribution [35] system is investigated in Chapter 13. An extension of the Diffie-Hellman system to matrix rings is proposed.

The role of permutation polynomials in the design of public key systems forms the subject of Chapter 14. In particular, the use of Dickson permutation polynomials and certain Rédei functions in the construction of public key systems is discussed.

In Chapter 15, the use of chaining techniques in the matrix public key system and some precautions which must be taken when the RSA system or its extensions are used in a broadcasting type situation are described.

Chapter 16 contains the main conclusions.

CHAPTER 2

CRYPTOGRAPHIC CONCEPTS

2.1 Cryptographic Systems

Detailed treatment of cryptographic principles can be found in [2, 3, 4]. A basic cryptographic privacy system is shown in figure 2.1. The transmitter or the sender generates a plaintext message M which is to be communicated to a legitimate receiver over an insecure channel monitored by an eavesdropper. To prevent the eavesdropper from learning the contents of M , the sender encrypts M , with an invertible transformation to produce the cryptogram or ciphertext, $C = T(M)$. When the legitimate receiver obtains C , it is deciphered with the inverse transformation to obtain the plaintext message, $M = T^{-1}(C)$.

The transformation T applied at the sending and receiving ends is a key dependent mapping from a set of messages in the plaintext to a set of ciphertext messages and vice versa. The particular transformation used is chosen from a family of transformations. The parameter that selects the individual transformation to be employed is called the key. Note that there may be more than one key involved. Assuming that the same key is used in both encryption and decryption, then $C = T_k(M)$ and $M = T_k^{-1}(C)$.

Thus a general cryptosystem consists of the following components:

1. A plaintext message space M ;
2. A ciphertext message space C ;
3. A key space K ;
4. A family of encryption transformations $E_k : M \rightarrow C$ where $k \in K$.
5. A family of decryption transformations $D_k : C \rightarrow M$ where $k \in K$.

The encryption and decryption transformations E_k and D_k are defined by the encrypting and decrypting algorithms E and D which may be a set of instructions, a piece of hardware or a computer program and is common to every transformation in the family. Different values of the key (s.) result in totally different transformations of plaintexts and ciphertexts. This implies that the family of transformations, that is, the general cryptographic system, can be made public information without




Fig. 2.1 - Basic Cryptographic Privacy System




Fig. 2.2 - Basic Cryptographic Authentication System

compromising the security of the system. Only the key(s) needs to be kept secret. This satisfies one of the general requirements of a cryptosystem that the security must not depend on the secrecy of something like a cryptographic algorithm which cannot be easily changed if it is compromised. In addition, a publicly known system is necessary for standardization among commercial users. Even though the opponent knows the set of all possible keys, that is, the key space, he may still be unable to discover the correct set of keys required if the key space is large.

Simmons [5] classifies cryptosystems as symmetric (one key) and asymmetric (two key). In symmetric cryptosystems, the enciphering and deciphering keys are the same or easily determined from each other. Because the general method of encryption and decryption is known, this means that the transformations E_k and D_k are also easily derived from each other. Thus if both E_k and D_k are protected both secrecy and authenticity are achieved. However secrecy cannot be separated from authenticity because making either E_k or D_k available, exposes the other. Thus for secure communication, such a system requires the key to be transmitted to the receiver via some secure channel. Figure 2.2 illustrates how such a cryptographic system can be used to solve the authentication problem. In this case, the opponent not only sees all ciphertexts flowing on the channel but can alter them at will. The legitimate receiver protects himself from being deceived by an altered or injected message, by decrypting all the messages he receives and accepting only those encrypted with the correct key.

In asymmetric cryptosystems, the enciphering and deciphering keys differ in such a way that at least one key is computationally infeasible to determine from the other. Thus one of the transformations E_k or D_k can be revealed without endangering the other. Secrecy and authenticity are provided by protecting the separate transformations namely D_k for secrecy and E_k for authenticity. Such asymmetric systems are often referred to as public key systems as in addition to E and D , the encryption key is made public. Only the decrypting key is kept secret by the receiver. The use of such systems thus avoid the necessity to transmit the key used in the algorithm over a secure channel among the communicators. Moreover such systems can be used to transmit the secret key required for conventional symmetric systems.

Such asymmetric systems are also able to deal with the problem of dispute that may arise between the sender and the receiver over the actual message sent in an authentication system. The

inability of the symmetric system to deal with this type of problem limits its application. This can be seen as follows.

The validity of contracts and agreements is usually guaranteed by signatures. The essence of a signature is that only one person can produce it but anybody can recognize it. For this, each user must be able to produce messages whose authenticity can be checked by anyone, but which could not have been produced by anyone else especially the intended recipient. In a symmetric system, the receiver authenticates any message that he receives from the sender by deciphering it with the key which the two hold in common. Because this key is held in common, however, the receiver has the ability to produce any ciphertext that could have been produced by the sender and hence the receiver cannot prove that it is the sender who actually sent him the disputed message. The asymmetric system provides a direct elegant solution to this signature problem. If user A wishes to send a signed message M to user B, he signs the message by producing $S = D_A(M)$. When user B receives S, he can recover M by operating on S with E_A , that is, $M = E_A(S)$. B keeps S as the proof that user A has sent him the particular message M as only the user A could have generated S because he is the only one who knows D_A . To obtain secrecy of communication as well as authentication, user A sends $E_B(S)$ instead of S to user B. As only B knows D_B , he is the only one who can recover S and hence M.

2.2 Cryptosystem Security and Complexity Theory

Any attempt by the eavesdropper either to decrypt a cryptogram C to get the plaintext M or to encrypt an inauthentic plaintext M' to get an acceptable cryptogram C' without prior knowledge of the key is called cryptanalysis [2]. If cryptanalysis is impossible so that a cryptanalyst cannot deduce M from C or C' from M' without prior knowledge of the key, the cryptographic system can be said to be secure.

In order to measure the security of a cryptosystem, Diffie and Hellman [2] have defined at least three types of attack which the system should withstand when being subjected.

- (a) A 'ciphertext only' attack is the weakest form of attack which the cryptographic system must withstand. In this attack, the cryptanalyst attempts to decipher the cryptogram using only the statistical properties of the

message source. As an example, consider a letter written in English. Not all characters or words occur equally often; for instance, the letter 'E' occurs approximately 13% of the time [6] . Such non-uniformities in the frequency distribution of the alphabet are used to give clues about the message. There is also probably a heading which contains a date and address and a closing such as 'sincerely'. With the aid of statistical tables, the cryptanalyst uses each of these facts to determine which message was most likely sent.

- (b) Under a 'known plaintext' attack, the cryptanalyst is assumed to have a substantial amount of corresponding message - cryptogram pairs and tries to determine the key used in the algorithm. This form of attack is a significant threat as frequently messages are enciphered under the same key. Hence if a system cannot withstand such an attack, all messages which have been encrypted under a common key needs to be kept secret as long as any of the messages is to be kept secret. Such an attack is quite common in practice. For instance, a typical example is when information may be transmitted in secrecy which is intended for public release at a later date.
- (c) A 'chosen text' attack generally occurs less frequently than a known plaintext attack. In this case, the cryptanalyst is assumed to choose messages to be enciphered or ciphertexts to be deciphered in an attempt to determine the key.

For the purpose of certifying systems as secure, it is necessary to consider more formidable cryptanalytical threats. These not only give more realistic models of the working environment of a cryptographic system but also make the assessment of the system's strength easier.

There are two fundamentally different ways in which cryptographic systems may be considered secure.

A cryptosystem is said to be unconditionally secure under a

given form of attack if the amount of information available to the cryptanalyst is actually insufficient to determine the solution, which may be the key or the plaintext, whatever be the computing power the cryptanalyst has at his disposal [7] . As an example, consider a cryptosystem where a message-ciphertext pair uniquely determines the key. This system is not unconditionally secure under a chosen text attack or a known plaintext attack. However if the information content of the message plus the information content of the key is greater than the maximum possible amount of information in the ciphertext, then this system is unconditionally secure under a ciphertext only attack. The cryptanalyst cannot determine the complete message and key from the ciphertext alone, since he would obtain more information than that provided by the ciphertext.

Unfortunately, unconditionally secure systems require either perfect source coding or a key whose length grows linearly with respect to the sum of the lengths of all messages enciphered [7] . This requirement is not practical in most applications. Thus computationally secure systems are usually used in cryptography. A system is said to be computationally secure under a given form of attack if the amount of computation required to compute the solution exceeds the cryptanalyst's abilities or the economic value of the message to him. A measure called the work factor is often associated with a cryptosystem which gives an expression of the minimum amount of work necessary for a successful attack. In practice, there is no universally accepted fixed set of parameters used to express the work factor. Frequently, however, it is measured in one or more of the following ways: cryptanalyst hours, number of mathematical or logical operations, computing resources such as data storage and processing requirements, special hardware and calendar time or more generally the cost in some money units such as dollars. This idea of computationally secure system is also related to the concept of one-way functions and complexity theory.

Algorithmic complexity theory is concerned with the computational requirements (both time and space) as a function of the size of the problem solved by a particular algorithm. Complexity theory is essentially a collection of results in computer science that attempts to quantify the statement 'Problem A is 'harder' than problem B' [6] . There is a class of problems called NP problems [8] and in particular a distinguished subclass of NP called the class of NP-complete problems

which are regarded to be the 'hardest' problems. The class NP-complete is thought to be a source of problems that can be adapted to cryptographic applications and will by virtue of their computational complexity produce strong cryptographic systems. The cryptanalyst is then required to solve an NP-complete problem to break the cryptosystem which theoretically should require an exponential time algorithm.

However it is generally argued that [9] the complexity theory deals often with the worst case behaviour whereas in cryptography, the cryptanalytical task must be hard for almost all the instances. It will be a poor cryptosystem if the system allows easy decryption of all but a few cryptograms by the opponent. In addition computationally hard problems are not necessarily cryptographically hard problems since the cryptanalyst generally possesses additional side information and often tries to solve several instances of the same problem [3]. Recently a conventional and a public key cryptosystem based on NP-complete problems have been solved using polynomial time algorithms [6, 10]. This goes to show that merely starting with a computationally hard problem may not be enough to provide secure cryptosystems.

2.3 Cryptographic Techniques

There are two fundamental cryptographic techniques that can be used to design strong encryption-based protection schemes, namely, the block cipher technique and the stream cipher technique [3]. The suitability of either of these two techniques for use in cryptosystems depends on the nature of the application.

2.3.1 Block Cipher

Let the message be divided into blocks of fixed length. A block cipher then transforms these input blocks into output blocks using the same key. For instance, considering a binary system a string of input bits of fixed length is transformed into a string of output bits of fixed length using a block cipher as shown in Figure 2.3. The encryption and decryption functions are such that every bit in the output block depends on every bit in the input block as well as on every bit of the key. In the binary system, if the blocksize is n , then the size of the plaintext space and the size of the ciphertext space is 2^n . In order that the deciphering of a ciphertext block yields an unambiguous plaintext block, the mapping must be invertible and hence

injective and in this case surjective as the size of the input and output spaces are equal. Thus one can view a block cipher as defining one of the 2^n ! transformations on the set of n-bit blocks. In practice, it is not feasible to implement a block cipher that realizes all the possible combinations because of the size of the key required and the logical complexity of the cipher. Usually a key of n-bits is employed to select one out of a subset of 2^n functions.




Figure 2.3 - Block Cipher.

A fundamental property of this type of cipher is that the blocksize plays an important part in determining the cryptanalytical strength of the cipher. The blocksize must be chosen large enough to foil simple message exhaustion attacks. This attack consists of encrypting all 2^n possible plaintexts with a given key thus building a dictionary of ciphertexts and corresponding plaintexts. A message can then be recovered by searching the dictionary and relating each intercepted ciphertext block to its corresponding plaintext block. However if the blocksize is made large enough, the dictionary can be made too large to construct or store. Other attacks must also be considered before arriving at an acceptable blocksize. An attack called block frequency analysis based on frequency of occurrence of blocks is quite common. It is similar to the analysis performed on a simple substitution cipher by taking into account letter frequencies. Analytical or deterministic attack - which consists of expressing cipher operations in mathematical form as a set of equations and solving for the unknown variables directly using analytical methods - can be thwarted by making every bit of the output block a complex mathematical function of every bit of input block and key thus giving a strong intersymbol dependence property. The block ciphers also

exhibit error propagation properties which are suitable for error detection and authentication purposes.

The Data Encryption Standard [11] is an example of a symmetric block cipher of length 64 bits which well withstands the above mentioned and more sophisticated attacks. The algorithm will be discussed in Chapter 3 and is used in the design of a encryption interface unit in Chapters 4 and 5. Examples of public key block ciphers include the RSA system [12] and the trapdoor knapsack system [13]. They are discussed in Chapter 9.

2.3.2 Stream Cipher

A stream cipher divides the message M into successive characters or bits. It then uses a character or bit stream generator to produce a cryptographic key stream which is then combined with the plaintext message characters or bits to produce the ciphertext characters or bits. A similar procedure is carried out to recover the plaintext characters or bits by combining the key stream with the ciphertext characters or bits. The stream cipher concept is illustrated in Figures 2.4 and 2.5 where the ciphertext Y is produced from plaintext X by Exclusive-oring it with a secret binary stream R .

Let us now assume that the key to the bit stream generator is fixed and that the cryptographic key stream R produced at each iteration depends only on this key. This then implies that R does not change from one iteration to the other. Now if an opponent knows a plaintext-ciphertext pair, then he can recover the key stream R by forming $R = X \oplus Y$. Having obtained R , the opponent can decipher any intercepted ciphertext without even knowing the key to the generator which is unacceptable. Further, repetitions on the plaintext would be reflected in the ciphertext even if he did not know a ciphertext-plaintext pair. Hence to overcome this problem, the key stream must be made to change for every iteration of the ciphering algorithm. A stream cipher is said to be periodic if the key stream changes such that it repeats itself after d characters or bits for some fixed d ; otherwise it is said to be non-periodic. Ideally, one would want the key stream to have a long period and to vary in a random manner. If the key stream were truly random and its length is equal to the length of the message, then this would produce an unbreakable cipher. Because the key stream is random, then it must be provided to the users in advance via some independent and secure channel which causes insurmountable logistical problems when the intended data traffic is




Fig. 2.4 - Stream Cipher Concept




Fig. 2.5 - Stream Cipher

very large. Hence for practical reasons, the key stream must be implemented as an algorithmic procedure so that the key stream can be produced by users at both ends. Ciphers generated by rotor and Hagelin machines [1] are periodic whereas the Vernam cipher (one time pad) [16] and running key ciphers are non-periodic ciphers.

A system in which the key stream is generated independently of the message stream is said to be a synchronous stream cipher. In such a modulo 2 addition based system, each bit in the output ciphertext is dependent upon the corresponding bit in the input plaintext but not upon any other bits in the input plaintext. This is in contrast to the block cipher which exhibits a much more complex relationship between the bits in the plaintext and the bits in the ciphertext. Hence the stream ciphers can be made to have non-error propagating property. Both approaches however have comparable strength.

Various techniques may be used to generate the key stream in stream ciphers. Not only the key stream generated must have good pseudo-random properties but also the generation process must be non-linear. This limits the direct use of linear feedback shift registers for the generation of these key streams because with such generators, the cryptanalyst can derive the entire key stream given a relatively small amount of plaintext-ciphertext pair [4, 14]. It is also important that the complexity of the linear equivalent of any non-linear generating process be estimated [14]. It has been suggested in [15] that non-linear substitution-permutation functions when combined with a shift register produces cryptographically strong key streams. Since the key streams can be generated in blocks, it is also possible for a block cipher to be used to obtain a stream cipher. Because both the sender and the receiver must generate key streams that are equal and secret, it is necessary that the keys used in the algorithm must also be equal and secret. This implies that a public key block cipher algorithm can be used to obtain a stream cipher if and only if it is used as a conventional algorithm, that is, both the sender and the receiver use the same algorithm (encryption E or decryption D) and the same secret key.

But as noted earlier, a fixed key even though it is kept secret does not ensure an unpredictable cryptographic key stream. To avoid producing the same key stream at each iteration of the algorithm, another parameter called the initialization vector (IV) is

introduced in the ciphering process. Different initialization vectors are generated in a pseudo-random non-repeating manner which in turn produce different cryptographic key streams. That is, R is generated using $R = f_k(Z)$ where Z is the initialization vector, f_k defines the block cipher algorithm under key k . Encryption and decryption operations are then given by $Y = X \oplus f_k(Z)$ and $X = Y \oplus f_k(Z)$. This is shown in Figure 2.5. For the stream cipher to be cryptographically strong, the initialization vector needs to be varied in a pseudo-random manner. One way to do this is to generate independently a new initialization vector for each iteration of the ciphering algorithm. This has the disadvantage of increasing the amount of transmitted data since the initialization vectors are now added to each block of ciphertext. A more efficient approach is as follows: at the first iteration of the cipher algorithm, the initialization vector is used as before to produce a block of key stream bits which can be used to encipher the first block of plaintext (assuming the blocksize of the cipher algorithm is same as the size of the plaintext block). At all subsequent iterations of ciphering algorithm, the initialization vector is altered or determined using one of many feedback chaining techniques. Thus chaining eliminates the problem of transmitting or storing a separate initialization vector value for each ciphertext. A feedback can be obtained from several places namely the key stream itself, the plaintext, the ciphertext or some combination thereof. Each of these approaches gives rise to cryptographic systems with different characteristics with respect to recovery from errors.

Note that the initialization vector in addition to providing cryptographic strength also establishes synchronization between communicating cryptographic devices. It assures that the same cryptographic key streams are generated at the both ends of the link. Once the initial state of the system has been set, only the current state of the system needs to be remembered to maintain synchronization.

In general feedback chaining techniques increase the overall strength of a cryptographic system. The chaining techniques when used during ciphering process make an output dependent not only on the current input and key but also on earlier input(s) and/or output(s). In effect it introduces noise into the ciphering process. This helps to eliminate the undesirable effects of redundancy and structure present within the plaintext data. Several chaining techniques will be discussed in Chapter 5 with special reference to the Data Encryption Standard.

DATA ENCRYPTION ALGORITHMS

3.1 General

In cryptography, two main operations have been used for centuries and they still form the main elements of modern encryption algorithms. They are transpositions and substitutions and may be applied to words, symbols, letters and binary bits or groups of bits. There is also another technique, that of concealment where the symbols of the message are mixed up with many other symbols which carry no important information at all although they may appear to. This method can be used to give considerable security but it expands the message by a great amount. These operations lead to three different classes of ciphers namely the transposition ciphers, the substitution ciphers and a combination of both called the product ciphers.

3.1.1 Transposition Cipher

A transposition cipher consists of rearrangement of the characters (bits) in a block of plaintext; the characters retain their identity but lose their position. If the transposition is one-to-one then the process is reversible. On the other hand, if the transposition is not one-to-one then the operation becomes irreversible. Consider, for instance, the transposition which maps an 8-bit block to a 6-bit one, say by discarding bits 3 and 6 and rearranging the others. Here the total number of zeroes and ones are no longer preserved. Transposition by itself is not a very secure type of encipherment because unless every message has a unique form of transposition, the acquisition of several plaintext-ciphertext pairs allows the cryptanalyst to discover the permutation statistically.

3.1.2 Substitution Cipher

A substitution cipher consists of the replacement of characters of the plaintext with characters from another alphabet. In the case of binary operations, a look-up table characterises the substitution operation. The bits are divided into small groups which are then replaced by the contents of the look-up table addressed

by each group. For example, if the message is divided into 4 bit groups, there will be 16 possible combinations for each group, so that the table will require 16 entries numbered 0 to 15. In general, the number of ones and zeroes is not preserved and a change in one bit of the input may affect several bits of the output. The Data Encryption Standard (Section 3.2) uses eight such look-up tables commonly referred to as S-boxes, each converting a six-bit input into a four bit output. An important advantage of the substitution cipher is that the contents of the look-up table can be changed frequently and implementation of such an operation can be readily done with read only memories (ROMs). A substitution may or may not be reversible depending on the form of the look-up tables. One of the oldest substitution cipher is the Caesar cipher which is a monoalphabetical substitution cipher. This cipher can be broken in ciphertext-only attack with approximately 30 alphabet characters using letter frequency analysis [14]. An important substitution cipher is the one-time pad in which the key is random, non-repeating and used only once. One-time pads are unbreakable as there is not enough information in the ciphertext to determine the key or message uniquely. The first implementation of the one-time pad cipher was the Vernam cipher [16] in which the key bits were added modulo 2 to the plaintext bits. One major problem with this cipher is that the key length grows linearly with the length of the message.

3.1.3 Product Cipher

A product cipher involves both the steps of substitution and transposition. Shannon [7] suggested the use of product ciphers to build a strong system out of individually weak components. He suggested that the product cipher be formed using substitution and permutation ciphers in an alternating manner. The permutation shuffles the digits providing 'diffusion' and non-linear substitutions provide 'confusion'. Confusion makes the relationship between the ciphertext and the plaintext as complicated as possible, that is, it hides the key and diffusion spreads the statistics of the plaintext into the ciphertext. This formed the basis of the Lucifer system designed by the IBM [17]. The Data Encryption Standard which is considered next is based on the Lucifer system.

3.2 Data Encryption Standard

The cryptographic algorithm used in the design of the encryption unit is the Data Encryption Standard (DES) [11]. This algorithm is now regarded as the US Federal Standard recommended for use by non-military Government Agencies. It has also been adopted by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and is recommended for use by the American Bankers Association, (ABA). The adoption of DES as a Standard for encrypting data contributed to the surge in interest in this algorithm. Before considering the development of an encryption system to provide communication security using a hardware implementation of the Standard in the next chapter, in this chapter the DES algorithm is analysed to provide a deeper insight into the design of practical encryption algorithms. The algorithm has been implemented by software to study some of its characteristics. In particular, the software approach enables the study of intermediate outputs during each round, whereas the hardware (LSI) implementation only gives the final ciphertext output. The software implementation is also found to be useful when performing statistical tests on the randomness of the output obtained from the algorithm. This forms the subject of Chapter 6.

First an overview of the algorithm is given. Then the software implementation is described together with some performance figures. Some of the characteristics of the algorithm together with some of the design criteria underlying the choice of parameters in the algorithm are presented. The controversy surrounding the DES and possible weaknesses of the algorithm are then considered. Finally the complexity of the algorithm and its security are investigated.

3.2.1 DES Algorithm - An Overview

The Data Encryption Standard algorithm is a block product cipher system. Block because it transforms more than one character at a time. Product because it is composed of a series of transpositions, substitutions and additive encodings combined by a sequence of feedback cycles.

It is a complex non-linear algorithm which enciphers a 64-bit block of plaintext into a 64-bit block of ciphertext under the control of a 56-bit cryptographic key. DES can be regarded as a huge key-controlled substitution box (S-box) with a 64-bit input and output. With such an S-box a total of $(2^{64})!$ different transformations

or functions from plaintext to ciphertext are possible. The 56-bit key thus selects only a small subset (2^{56}) of the total set's possible functions. As a single huge S-box is difficult to construct, DES is implemented by using several smaller S-boxes and permuting their concatenated outputs. Repetition of the substitution and permutation processes several times increases the cryptographic strength.

The complete DES algorithm is given in [11]. The three major steps in the algorithm are summarized in Figure 3.1.

1. A transposition operation, referred to as the initial permutation (IP). This fixed transposition does not utilize the 64-bit key and operates solely on the 64 data bits.
2. A complex key dependent product transformation that uses block ciphering to increase the number of substitutional and reordering patterns.
3. A final transposition operation referred to as the inverse initial permutation (IP^{-1}) which is actually the reversal of the transformation performed in the first step.

The second step is the most important step out of the three and it consists of 16 separate rounds of encipherment; each round using a product cipher approach or cipher function. The steps performed in each round shown in Figure 3.2 are summarized below:

- (i) The 64-bit input block is divided into two parts, a left half (L) and a right half (R), each 32-bits long.
- (ii) The right half of the input block becomes the left half of the output block. This is denoted in Figure 3.2 by an arrow going from R_{i-1} to L_i .

The steps (iii) to (vii) which follow can be regarded as a complex cipher function, f , operating on both key and right half of the input block.

- (iii) The 32-bits long right half (R) undergoes an expansion




Fig.3.1 -Overview of Enciphering process for Data Encryption Standard.




Fig.3.2(a) - Enciphering operation.




Fig.3.2(b) -Details of function $f(R, K)$.

process (E), yielding a 48-bit data block. This is a fixed expansion permutation and not key-dependent.

- (iv) The 64-bit key is used to generate a 48-bit subkey through a key scheduling procedure described in the next section.
- (v) This 48-bit subkey K_i is exclusive-ored with the expanded right half $E(R_i)$ yielding a 48-bit result.
- (vi) This 48-bit data is divided into eight 6-bit groups each of which is subjected to a 6-to-4-bit non-reversible substitution function (S_i). Six groups of 4-bits are then concatenated to form a 32-bit output.
- (vii) The 32-bit output is permuted to produce a 32-bit block by the fixed permutation P.
- (viii) The 32-bit output of step (vii) is combined via the exclusive-or operation with the left half of the input block to form the right half of the 64-bit output block.

Details of the permutations IP , IP^{-1} , P and substitution boxes S_i can be found in [11].

3.2.2 The Key Schedule Procedure

The key schedule procedure is used to enlarge the keyspace by expanding the externally supplied key into internal subkeys. The DES key schedule operation derives its sixteen 48-bit subkeys required for 16 rounds from the 56-bit key entered externally, by simple repetition. For reasons of security, all of these keys must be different. This is achieved by selecting a different subset of 48-bits from the 56-bit key. (Note that 8-bits out of 64-bits key are used as parity bits). The procedure is based on a shifting and bit selection algorithm.

Figure 3.3 illustrates the key schedule calculation used for encipherment. It begins with an initial permutation defined by Permuted Choice 1, (PC-1). PC-1 is the same for encipherment and decipherment and it selects 56 of the 64 external key bits (stripping off the 8 parity bits) and loads them into two 28-bit shift registers C and D. The parity checking of the external key is performed prior




Fig.3.3 -Key Schedule Calculation.

to PC-1. During encryption, the contents of the registers C_{i-1} and D_{i-1} are shifted one or two positions to the left according to the schedule of circular shift operations shown in Table 3.1, Figure 3.4. The key $K(i)$ is then derived from (C_i, D_i) through a second permutation defined by Permuted Choice, PC-2. Moreover the shift schedule is such that $C_{16} = C_0$ and $D_{16} = D_0$. It is to be noted here that PC-2 does not mix the contents of C_i and D_i registers. This property together with the circular shift operations allows certain values of keys K to generate identical internal subkeys. This is an inherent weakness of the algorithm and is discussed further in Section 3.6.4.

During the deciphering operation the key $K(16)$ is used in round one and $K(15)$ in round two and so on. But the contents of C_0 and D_0 are the same for enciphering and deciphering, since the external key is loaded in both cases via the Permuted Choice PC-1. This means that the key $K(16)$ can be created at the first round merely by omitting the first shift operation and $K(15)$ can be created at the second round by shifting C_0 and D_0 one bit to the right. The remainder of the internal keys are obtained in the same manner using the shift schedule in Table 3.1, Figure 3.4, in reverse order except that left shifts are changed to right shifts.

3.2.3 DES Encryption and Decryption

It is seen that the same algorithm can be used to perform encryption and decryption with minor changes. An outline of the proof of this property is as follows:

Let the contents of L and R registers on the i^{th} round be $L(i)$ and $R(i)$. Let the output of the permutation P be $P(i)$ and the output of PC-2 be $K(i)$. Let the operation of the expansion permutation, S-boxes, permutation P be represented by f . Then during encryption,

$$\begin{aligned} L(i) &= R(i-1) \\ R(i) &= L(i-1) \oplus P(i) \\ P(i) &= f[R(i-1), K(i)] \end{aligned}$$

where \oplus denotes Exclusive-or operation.

Round	Number	Number of Shifts
	1	1
	2	1
	3	2
	4	2
	5	2
	6	2
	7	2
	8	2
	9	1
	10	2
	11	2
	12	2
	13	2
	14	2
	15	2
	16	1

Figure 3.4 - Table 3.1 : Schedule of Shift operations

Rewriting these as

$$L(i) = R(i-1) \quad (3.1)$$

$$R(i) = L(i-1) \oplus f[R(i-1), K(i)] \quad (3.2)$$

Hence the i^{th} round is completely determined in terms of the $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$ round provided the appropriate bits of key K are available. Similarly $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$ round can be completely expressed in terms of the i^{th} round. This can be done because of the reversible property of exclusive-or operation: if $A = B \oplus C$ then $B = A \oplus C$. Therefore rewriting (3.1) as

$$R(i-1) = L(i)$$

and rewriting (3.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} L(i-1) &= R(i) \oplus f[R(i-1), K(i)] \\ \text{ie, } L(i-1) &= R(i) \oplus f[L(i), K(i)] \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

it is seen that the same algorithm can be used for decryption. The involute structure of the DES can be seen by regarding the DES as a product of 33 mappings [6] as shown below

$$IP^{-1} \times \Pi_{16} \times \dots \times \Theta \times \Pi_1 \times IP$$

where

IP is the initial permutation and IP^{-1} is its inverse
 $\Pi_i, (1 \leq i \leq 16)$ are involutions given by

$\Pi_i: (x, x') \longrightarrow (x \oplus f(x'), x')$ where x is the left half and x' is the right half

Θ is the interchange involution given by

$$\Theta: (x, x') \longrightarrow (x', x)$$

The inverse of the DES is then given by

$$(DES)^{-1} = (IP)^{-1} \times \Pi_1 \times \Theta \times \dots \times \Theta \times \Pi_{16} \times IP$$

That is, decryption is essentially achieved by operating the DES backwards.

3.3 Software DES Implementation

The DES algorithm has been implemented using a 6502 based Apple microcomputer system. This was done to gain a deeper insight into the working of the algorithm and to allow a comparison between hardware and software implementation with regard to performance characteristics and the results produced. The two implementations may not be compatible and the results may not agree with each other as the FIPS publication [11] gives only the recommended values for the permutations and S-boxes.

The description of the program together with a partial listing is given in Appendix 1. The two important factors to be considered in the software design are the storage and the speed of the implementation. In this design, a mixed approach has been adopted as there was no real constraint on memory or speed. In some places storage space increases are traded to obtain speed. A typical example of such an instance would be the use of in-line code to replace loops. On the other hand, most of the transpositions and substitutions are implemented as functions instead of using tables. Consider for instance, the 48-bit key select function involving the 56-bit initial permutation and then one or two left shifts of the 56-bit string and a 48-bit permutation to produce each of the 16 operational keys required during a cycle through the algorithm. Here these subkeys are generated at the time required. But this whole process could have been implemented using sixteen 48 byte tables, listing equivalent permutations of the current keys bit positions. In the beginning of the program, all the 16 operational keys can be calculated and stored in a table. Then each round through the algorithm can obtain the operational keys directly from the storage table.

The storage space used by this program is approximately 2 kilo-bytes. The encryption times are of the order of 100 milliseconds. This would allow a maximum throughput of about 640 bits per second. Therefore the algorithm can be implemented with reasonable performance. But it is found that 6502 instruction set is not suitable for high speed implementation of the algorithm. If bit test instructions are available similar to those of Z80, encryption time can be

much reduced.

A numerical example showing the output from intermediate stages within an encryption round together with different key and data values after every round is shown in Figure 3.5. It is also found that the results produced by this program and the hardware implementation (Chapter 4) agreed with each other.

Although the algorithm can be implemented in software, it suffers from two major disadvantages. Firstly as seen from above the operation is slow compared to LSI implementation of the algorithm which allows of the order of 1 M bits per second or above. Secondly, in the software approach, the key is stored within the computer system memory which is accessible when the system is in use. With the LSI hardware implementation the key becomes unavailable after it has been entered into the device and hence the security of the overall system has been greatly enhanced. In the next section a possible advantage of the software approach is discussed.

3.3.1 A Possible Advantage of DES Software

If the internal functions of the DES algorithm are generated using tables, then it seems that there exists a mechanism to increase the difficulty of cryptanalyzing a series of encrypted messages given an encrypted message and a copy of the corresponding plaintext. Assuming that there is no fatal flaw which is dependent on these internal tables, then it is shown that these tables (ie. permutations and substitutions) can be altered in software implementations (in contrast to the hardware approach) to produce a significantly larger effective key space. One may argue that changing the values of these tables may strengthen the algorithm, but does not reduce the throughput from the rate achieved with the specified standard. Therefore each of these tables can be used as variables, like the key, agreed between senders and receivers. (Note that this idea may not be valid from subsequent sections where it is argued that carefully chosen permutations and substitutions strengthen the DES algorithm and that randomly chosen parameters may introduce weaknesses into the algorithm).

The Initial Permutation (IP) and its inverse (IP^{-1}) operate on 64-bit data blocks and hence there are $64!$ different settings possible for this permutation. Note that given IP, IP^{-1} is fixed. Here any bit ordering including an identity transform is assumed to be acceptable but each bit must be used. The tables are defined by a series of 64 unique one byte addresses of bits in the Initial Permutation.

All data and key values are represented in hexadecimal form.

Plaintext, X: FEDCBA9876543210

Key, K: FEDCBA9876543210

Round 1

Plaintext : L(0) = FEDCBA98

R(0) = 76543210

Plaintext after Initial Permutation: 33FF3300F550F55

Key after Permutation choice 1 : 0E98D4BE5498C2FE

Key after 1 left shift : 1E32AA7CAA3286FC

Key after Permutation choice 2 : 7A1E6C3032163234

Output from S-boxes, S1-S8 : 0C216D50

Output after Permutation P : 921C209C

Ciphertext after round 1 : 0F550F55A1E3139C

i.e. L(1) = 0F550F55

R(1) = A1E3139C

Round 1 - Data: 0F550F55A1E3139C

Key : 7A1E6C3032163234

Round 2 - Data: A1E3139C7D1EC3B6

Key : 4A4A4C4C6C522E32

Round 3 - Data: 7D1EC3B6B66ABD06

Key : 5C445A6A247A385A

Round 4 - Data: B66ABD06F229B504

Key : 462E305A2C4E6C50

Round 5 - Data: F229B5048FC9FBC4

Key : 60623E78740A487A

Round 6 - Data: 8FC9FBC40EB8F43D

Key : 6E1A5642606E5474

Round 7 - Data: 0EB8F43DC6B370BA

Key : 487E5A547A225872

Round 8 - Data: C6B370BACB7238B9

Key : 540E3E0E4862527C

Round 9 - Data: CB7238B90C8E9F39

Key : 1E66302C6C283E0C

Round 10- Data: 0C8E9F39C3DD5634

Key : 364266704E1C141A

Round 11- Data: C3DD5634F5C83C96

Key : 6E5C0278784E360A

Round 12- Data: F5C83C96A38DA004

Key : 465878345C541C56

Round 13- Data: A38DA0040B8B7529

Key : 3646585E5A4E7806

Round 14- Data: 0B8B75293393CBF1

Key : 547662123E441A64

Round 15- Data: 3393CBF145770966

Key : 242C76747A3C4A14

Round 16- Data: 4577096604DE0463

Key : 1A38167822707E1A

Ciphertext - A933F6183023B310

Figure 3.5 - Data and Key values after each DES round

To change IP or IP^{-1} requires an input of 64 bytes. There are 32! different P permutations possible and a 32 byte input vector is required to specify the ordering of 32-bits. Also there are $\binom{48}{32} \doteq 2.25 \times 10^{12}$ different possible expansion (E) permutations for mapping 32-bits into 48-bits. The 16 intermediate keys K(1) to K(16) can be obtained using a 16 x 48 table. Assuming that the 16 key select vectors must be unique, the number of unique combinations is equal to $\prod_{i=0}^{15} \binom{56}{48} - i$ which is approximately 2.77×10^{146} . Changing the key selection tables requires an input of 768 bytes. Further, there are $\binom{48}{32} = 2.25 \times 10^{12}$ possible combinations for S-boxes which reduce the 48-bit exclusive-ored product to 32-bits.

Thus the number of possible control variables using this table structure is equal to (number of IP/ IP^{-1} combinations) x (number of 48-bit expansion combinations) x (number of P permutation combinations) x (number of 6 bits to 4 bits reduction combination) x (number of key select combinations). This is of the order 10^{295} . For each of these parameter settings, there are 2^{56} possible values of key which must be tested if the DES is to be broken by exhaustive attack. For this extended algorithm, an input of 1232 bytes is required. Changing the key merely requires changing any of these 1232 bytes.

The above argument shows how the permutations and substitutions in the DES algorithm can themselves be regarded as 'keys'. But one should be careful in determining the security of such a system. In the above analysis, it has been assumed for simplicity that any one set of permutations and substitutions can be used in the algorithm. But the security of the algorithm may be heavily dependent on the particular values of the S-boxes and the permutations [3]. Thus although the above process shows how the keysize to the DES algorithm can be extended, it must be realized that not all these 'keys' may provide the same security.

3.4 Some Characteristics of DES Algorithm

3.4.1 Avalanche Effect

If a small change in the key or plaintext were to produce a corresponding small change in the ciphertext, then this might effectively reduce the size of the plaintext or key space to be searched. Hence one of the fundamental requirements of a good

cryptographic algorithm is to produce a 'large' change in the ciphertext for a 'small' change in the plaintext or key. The DES exhibits this property referred to as the 'avalanche' effect by Horst Feistel [6]. Actually Meyer has shown in [18] that after five rounds, each ciphertext bit depends on all plaintext bits and key bits. This inter-symbol dependence property can be used for error detection and authentication purposes.

Table 3.2 in Figure 3.6 shows the effect of change of a single input bit change in the plaintext. The plaintexts X and X'

$X = (11111111 \ 11111111 \ 11111111 \ 11111111 \ 11111111 \ 11111111$
 $11111111 \ 11111111)$

$X' = (01111111 \ 11111111 \ 11111111 \ 11111111 \ 11111111 \ 11111111$
 $11111111 \ 11111111)$

are enciphered with a randomly chosen key and the effect of changing a single bit as a function of the number of rounds is seen. In Table 3.3 in Figure 3.7, the procedure is repeated, now fixing the plaintext and changing a single bit in the key.

A similar effect is seen to occur for changes of single bit in ciphertext and key on decryption.

3.4.2 Complementary Property

The DES is invariant under complementation of plaintext, key and ciphertext. The relationship called the complementary property of DES can be expressed as:

$$E_K(X) = \overline{E_{\bar{K}}(\bar{X})}$$

where E_K stands for encryption under key K

X is the plaintext

and the bars represent complementation, that is, bit-inversion.

This property arises because of the way in which the internal subkeys are used in each round. This can be shown as follows:

Section 3.2.1 shows that the expanded version of right half

Key		10000101 11001101 11001011 00011100 10011011 11010000 01000110 00011010
Round		
Plaintext 1	0 :	11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111
Plaintext 2	0 :	01111111 01111111 01111111 01111111 01111111 01111111 01111111 01111111
	1 :	11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 01000100 01111101 11111111 00010000
	1 :	11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 01000000 01110100 11111110 00000000
	2 :	01000100 01111101 11111111 00010000 00101010 11011000 10101000 01010111
	2 :	01000000 01110100 11111110 00000000 01100010 10100111 11010001 10110111
	3 :	00101010 11011000 10101000 01010111 00110101 01010000 01000110 11001000
	3 :	01100010 10100111 11010001 10110111 11010101 01001000 01100111 10001100
	4 :	00110101 01010000 01000110 11001000 10011011 10110000 01100010 01010001
	4 :	11010101 01001000 01100111 10001100 01110110 00001100 10100001 10011000
	5 :	10011011 10110000 01100010 01010001 00111001 00011110 00001000 01011001
	5 :	01110110 00001100 10100001 10011000 11011011 10000111 01010010 11111011
	6 :	00111001 00011110 00001001 01011001 11001101 00001111 11101001 00010010
	6 :	11011011 10000111 01010010 11111011 01101111 01111111 10101110 11001001
	7 :	11001101 00001111 11101001 00010010 10000011 01010000 00110110 00110011
	7 :	01101111 01111111 10101110 11001001 00111111 10110100 11110111 01000110
	8 :	10000011 01010000 00110110 00110011 10111010 10011110 11000100 00010110
	8 :	00111111 10110100 11110111 01000110 01000100 11111110 10001001 00110000

(continued on next page)

9	:	10111010 10011110 11000100 00010110 01000111 10011000 11001001 00011001
9	:	01000100 11111110 10001001 00110000 10100101 11010100 11001111 01100110
10	:	01000111 10011000 11001001 00011001 00101110 10110011 11000111 01010100
10	:	10100101 11010100 11001111 01100110 00010110 10100001 11101011 01000100
11	:	00101110 10110011 11000111 01010100 11000010 00100101 11110111 01001101
11	:	00010110 10100001 11101011 01000100 10111110 01111010 00000010 01010111
12	:	11000010 00100101 11110111 01001101 11011100 00000101 01101100 11011001
12	:	10111110 01111010 00000010 01010111 01000110 10110010 11000111 01110111
13	:	11011100 00000101 01101100 11011001 01001111 10100110 00000010 10111001
13	:	01000110 10110010 11000111 01110111 00101101 11011011 10010000 00100100
14	:	01001111 10100110 00100010 10111001 01101110 10010001 01100010 10101100
14	:	00101101 11011011 10010000 00100100 11000010 10100111 00100001 00011111
15	:	01101110 10010001 01100010 10101100 01001010 11100001 00101111 10000110
15	:	11000010 10100111 00100001 00011111 01110011 01000011 11000111 00101011
16	:	01001010 11100001 00101111 10110110 00100110 00011000 11110001 01101110
16	:	01110011 01000011 11000111 00101011 01101001 10011011 01001100 01011101
Ciphertext 1	:	00101100 11001011 01001011 10011001 00010100 01101101 10100101 00100110
Ciphertext 2	:	11111011 10111010 00001101 01010111 10010001 11000010 11101101 00011000

Figure 3.6 - Table 3.2 : Avalanche Effect in DES; change in plaintext

	Key 1	10000101 11001101 11001011 00011100 10011011 11010000 01000110 00011010
	Key 2	00000101 11001101 11001011 00011100 10011011 11010000 01000110 00011010
	Round	
Plaintext	0 :	11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111
Plaintext	0 :	11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111
	1 :	11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 01000100 01111101 11111111 00010000
	1 :	11111111 11111111 11111111 11111111 01000100 00111101 11111111 01010000
	2 :	01000100 01111101 11111111 00010000 00101010 11011000 10101000 01010111
	2 :	01000100 00111101 11111111 01010000 00101110 11011001 11101100 01000110
	3 :	00101010 11011000 10101000 01010111 00110101 01010000 01000110 11001000
	3 :	00101110 11011001 11101100 01000110 11111011 11101110 01111001 00101001
	4 :	00110101 01010000 01000110 11001000 10011011 10110000 01100010 01010001
	4 :	11111011 11101110 01111001 00101001 01001010 11100010 01111101 11001000
	5 :	10011011 10110000 01100010 01010001 00111001 00011110 00001001 01011001
	5 :	01001010 11100010 01111101 11001000 00100001 11100000 00010011 00101011
	6 :	00111001 00011110 00001001 01011001 11001101 00001111 11101001 00010010
	6 :	00100001 11110000 00010011 00101011 10001110 00110101 01000111 01111010
	7 :	11001101 00001111 11101001 00010010 10000011 01010000 00110110 00110011
	7 :	10001110 00110101 01000111 01111010 10100001 00001100 00110001 01110110
	8 :	10000011 01010000 00110110 00110011 10111010 10011110 11000100 00010110
	8 :	10100001 00001100 00110001 01110110 00111011 01011111 10111001 00110010

(Continued on next page)

9	:	10111010 10011110 11000100 00010110 01000111 10011000 11001001 00011001
9	:	00111011 01011111 10111001 00110010 10100011 00001110 11000010 01011110
10	:	01000111 10011000 11001001 00011001 00101110 10110011 11000111 01010100
10	:	10100011 00001110 11000010 01011110 00000010 00010100 10000011 11011111
11	:	00101110 11010011 11000111 01010100 11000010 00100101 11110111 01001101
11	:	00000010 00010100 10000011 11011111 01011110 11001011 01001100 01000111
12	:	11000010 00100101 11110111 01001101 11011100 00000101 01101100 11011001
12	:	01011110 11001011 01001100 01000111 01000111 01010001 01111100 00011011
13	:	11011100 00000101 01101100 11011001 01001111 10100110 00000010 10111001
13	:	01000111 01010001 01111100 00011011 10111011 01010101 11010010 01110001
14	:	01001111 10100110 00000010 10111001 01101110 10010001 01100010 10101100
14	:	10111011 01010101 11010010 01110001 11110010 01101010 10101101 10001111
15	:	01101110 10010001 01100010 10101100 01001010 11100001 00101111 10000110
15	:	11110010 01101010 10101101 10001111 11011010 01000011 11111000 01010011
16	:	01001010 11100001 00101111 10110110 00100110 00011000 11110001 01101110
16	:	11011010 01000011 11111000 01010011 00111110 01010101 01001010 01111010
Ciphertext 1	:	00101100 11001011 01001011 10011001 00010100 01101101 10100101 00100110
Ciphertext 2	:	00110010 11100111 01010000 11001101 11011011 01001001 10111111 10001000

Figure 3.7 - Table 3.3 : Avalanche Effect in DES; Change in Key

of the plaintext undergoes a complicated process together with the key vectors $K(i)$ at each round i . Let this process be denoted by function f . Then,

$$f [R(i), K(i+1)] = f [E(R(i)) \oplus K(i+1)]$$

where $E(R(i))$ denotes the expanded right half of plaintext at round i

\oplus denotes Exclusive-or operation

Complementing both $R(i)$ and $K(i+1)$ therefore does not change the value of f and

$$f [R(i), K(i+1)] = f [\bar{R}(i), \bar{K}(i+1)]$$

Because

$$L(1) = R(0)$$

$$R(1) = L(0) \oplus f [R(0), K(1)]$$

complementing plaintext X means complementing $L(0)$ and $R(0)$.

Complementing key K means complementing $K(1), \dots, K(16)$. Therefore this results in complementation of $L(1)$ and $R(1)$. By induction, this can be extended to $L(2) R(2), \dots, L(16)R(16)$ and hence to ciphertext C .

Because of the complementary property of DES, if a cryptanalyst could obtain $Y_1 = E_K(X)$ and $Y_2 = E_K(\bar{X})$ for an arbitrary X , he could reduce the size of the key space he must search from 2^{56} to 2^{55} . The cryptanalyst enciphers X with all keys K that start with a '0'. The resultant ciphertext is compared with Y_1 and Y_2 . If $C \neq Y_1$ the key in use is not K , if $\bar{C} \neq Y_2$ the key in use is not \bar{K} (which starts with a '1'). That is, effectively this symmetry reduces the search effort by 50 percent under a partially chosen plaintext attack. An example illustrating this complementary principle of the DES obtained using the DES software is shown in Figure 3.8. This complementary behaviour can be avoided by selecting the S-boxes using one or more key bits directly, instead of employing the modulo 2 operation. On the other hand, this complementary property can be used advantageously for testing purposes.

Key: 10001000100010001000100010001000100010001000100010001000100010001000

Plaintext: 0011011110110100111010100110110000100011110010111010000111111110

Ciphertext 1: 101100010111000001101101100110010001001010110111111110011110011

Complementation of key: 01110111011101110111011101110111011101110111011101110111

Complementation of Plaintext: 1100100001001011000101011001001111011100001101000101111000000001

Ciphertext 2: 0100111010001111100100100110011011101101010010000000001100001100

Ciphertext 2: Complement of Ciphertext 1.

Figure 3.8 : Complementation in DES

3.5 Design Criteria

Although the DES algorithm has been made public, the design criteria behind the specific choice of some permutations and substitutions remain still classified. For example, one design criterion for the DES was that the permutation schedule must ensure that each output (ciphertext) bit is a function of all input bits (plaintext and key) after a minimum number of rounds. It is reported that [3] the initial approach of random selection of the parameters such as the permutations and substitutions had to be abandoned because they introduced weaknesses into the algorithm. Instead the parameters were randomly generated and tested against the design criteria. It is believed that a significant portion of the random designs were rejected in this process. One of the most important parameters in the algorithm is the S-boxes and they are considered in the next section.

3.5.1 S-Boxes

All the operations involved in the DES algorithm except the S-box mappings are linear in binary arithmetic. Therefore the S-boxes play an important role in the security of the DES. It is therefore crucial that the S-boxes not be affine or the whole algorithm would be affine. A study by Hellman et al [19] found that none of the S-boxes are affine. But they point out that there are a number of questionable quasi-linear structures which may tend to weaken the algorithm. But currently no methods are found which could exploit these quasi-linearities in reducing the search effort. The designers of DES argue that it should not be surprising that certain parameters contain some structural properties different from those expected to result from the use of purely random selection. Further they claim that [3] their design effort showed that carefully selected S-box functions produce a much stronger algorithm than one based on random designs.

The DES S-boxes are non-invertible 6 to 4 non-linear mappings. Each S-box is found to have the property that changing any single bit of the input while keeping the others constant always changes at least two bits in the output. This property results in the error propagation property and is essential to avoid the key clustering attack [2]. This property plays an important role in causing the avalanche effect mentioned earlier. On average it is

found that the number of output bits that change in the DES for a single input is above 2 (2.5 - 2.6) [19].

Another principle underlying the design of the S-boxes is that the difference between the number of zeroes and the number of ones in the output when any one of the input bits is held constant is a minimum. This design criteria is believed [20] to strengthen the algorithm.

3.5.2 Initial and Final Permutations

The plaintext input to the DES is first permuted using IP before transformed by the sixteen rounds. The output of the final round undergoes an inverse permutation IP^{-1} where IP^{-1} . $IP = I$, the identity permutation. It is important to note that in a single encryption process, IP and IP^{-1} do not cancel each other as IP operates on the plaintext and IP^{-1} operates on the ciphertext produced by the 16th round. On the other hand, the permutation IP^{-1} performed during the encryption process is cancelled by the permutation IP performed at the beginning of the decryption process.

It seems that the permutations IP and IP^{-1} do not have any cryptographic significance. Possible reasons for their inclusion in the algorithm are that they facilitate the implementation of the algorithm for a particular IC layout or they result from the way the data is loaded into the DES chip. These permutations IP and IP^{-1} can be absorbed by proper layout into the main algorithm and they do not slow down the algorithm. The algorithm designers claim that the permutations do have cryptographic value and they contribute to the security of the DES. This could be possible as follows: In many applications, ASCII characters are used for the plaintext. Because of the particular ASCII coding and the frequency distribution of the English alphabets, the distribution of '1's and '0's will not be uniform. The IP permutation groups every i^{th} bit of each input byte into j^{th} byte of the permuted output. This preprocessing of the plaintext may redistribute the '1's and '0's in a uniform way before inputting to the 16 rounds and thus may enhance the security.

3.5.3 P-Permutation

The function of the P-permutation in the DES as in all substitution-permutation ciphers is to provide the element of diffusion [7]. This concept was first introduced by Shannon to

spread the dependencies of output bits on input bits through successive rounds to achieve total mixing.

3.6 Criticism and Weaknesses of DES

The controversy surrounding the DES essentially comes from two main objections given below:

- (i) the small size of the key space and
- (ii) the algorithm's unpublished design principles.

Other criticisms of the algorithm include the small number of rounds, the two 'redundant' permutations IP and IP^{-1} and a relatively simple key schedule operation.

To investigate these criticisms, two workshops were organized by the National Bureau of Standards (NBS). The first workshop analysed the complexity of the algorithm and restated that no short cut methods were found [20]. The second workshop concluded that a key searching machine cannot be built before 1990 and will cost several tens of millions of dollars with a probability factor of being available even then of about 0.1 to 0.2 [21]. Let us now consider the major criticisms of DES in turn.

3.6.1 The Key Length

By far the greatest source of controversy has been the choice of 56-bits as the key length. The length of the key determines the feasibility of key trial. Critics argued that the length of the key is too small and that the key space is amenable to exhaustive search. Diffie and Hellman [22] disputed the NBS claim that trying all possible keys is not economically feasible and estimated that a DES key can be recovered by exhaustive search for approximately \$5000 worth of computation time on a special purpose machine. The special purpose machine would consist of a million LSI chips and could try all the 2^{56} keys in one day. The cost of such a machine using the semiconductor technology of the mid-seventies was estimated to be in 20 million dollar range. More recently [84], the cost of a 2-day average search time machine is estimated to be around 50 million dollars. This meant that it is out of reach of most groups with the possible exception of government security agencies. It was predicted that in 10 years, the machine will cost approximately 200,000 dollars

and recovery of one key will be around 50 dollars. Such attacks assume that the DES is being used in the standard code book mode.

Alternative brute force attack on the DES algorithm is to use a table look-up approach. In this approach all the ciphertexts resulting from enciphering a chosen plaintext are stored and sorted using all the 2^{56} keys. The amount of memory required for this attack is of the order of $56 (2^{56}) \doteq 4 \times 10^{18}$ bits which is enormous. This would require 4 billion magnetic tapes (about 10^9 bits per tape), costing about 80 billion dollars (\$20 per tape) [4]. In [23], Hellman proposed a trade off between time and memory. Like table look up approach, this technique requires precomputation and storage of a table. However, with this technique, the table size is only of the order $O(n^{2/3})$ instead of the previously $O(n)$ where $n = 56(2^{56})$ bits. This technique also requires searching and the search time is of the order $O(n^{2/3})$ instead of $O(n)$ where $n = 2^{56}$. Hellman predicts that with proper combination of precomputation and searching, a machine may be constructed that would recover the key with high probability in one day. This in turn led to the suggestion that a bigger key length of 128 bits is required.

Further the key space is reduced if the key is not chosen as a 56 randomly selected bits. For instance, if the key is selected as eight characters, each character being one of say 64 possibilities then the number of distinct keys which need to be tested is $(64)^8 = 2.8 \times 10^{14}$ which may be quite feasible.

The key space is also reduced by the invariant property of DES under complementation. As seen in Section 3.4.2, this symmetry can be used to reduce search effort by 50% under a partially chosen plaintext attack.

3.6.2 Unpublished Design Principles

The second major criticism of the DES algorithm is that the design criteria behind the choice of some of the parameters are not disclosed publicly. Those parameters are the S-boxes, fixed permutation P and the key schedule operation.

The classified design principles led some critics to argue that deliberate trapdoors might have been incorporated in the algorithm by the designer to his advantage. This argument is based on the fact that it is possible to design 'innocent-looking' S-boxes which contained trapdoors [19]. Further, regular structures were

discovered in certain parts of the DES algorithm which were surprisingly similar to a type that can be used to build a trapdoor into the system [19, 24]. Like the complementation property, it may be possible to save another factor of two with carefully chosen S-boxes thus saving a total of 75% over exhaustive search. Lexar Corporation [24] examined the properties of the substitutions and permutations and reported some 'peculiar' properties of the S-boxes but to date no feasible cryptanalytic technique for DES has been found.

3.6.3 Number of Rounds

DES is also criticized for having a small number of rounds and it is suggested that the number of rounds should at least be increased to 32 [19]. The number of rounds controls the mixing of input bit values, that is, the amount of diffusion introduced by the co-ordinate permutation. An increase in the number of rounds will produce a greater avalanche effect. A two round DES was successfully cryptanalyzed [19] by exploiting the correlation between L_2R_2 and the input. Such correlation is believed to disappear after eight rounds [6].

Some statistical analysis to test the randomness of the DES output sequences and any correlation between plaintext input and ciphertext output is considered in Chapter 6.

3.6.4 Key Schedule Algorithm - Weak and Semiweak Keys

The cryptographic strength of the DES will be reduced if some of the internal subkeys derived from the external key are the same. In the extreme case, if all the internal keys are the same then the key space is reduced to 2^{48} . Referring to the key schedule algorithm given in Figure 3.3, this situation occurs whenever all bits in the register C are all ones or zeroes and the bits in register D are all ones or zeroes. There are four such weak keys altogether [3]. These weak keys also have the property that there is no difference between the operations of encipherment and decipherment, that is, $E_k E_k(X) = X = D_k D_k(X)$ for weak keys. There is another set of keys defined as semiweak which have the property that only two different internal keys are produced each occurring 8 times. There are six such semiweak keys and they have the property $E_k E_{k'}(X) = X = E_{k'} E_k(X)$, where k and k' are distinct semiweak keys. Further there are 48 other external keys which produce only 4 different internal

keys.

These special keys do not pose any threat to the security of the algorithm because the number of such keys is small compared to the total set. Provided that the keys are randomly selected the probability of choosing such a key is very small. Further these keys can easily be avoided during key generation.

The key schedule algorithm can be improved by incorporating non-linearity in the internal key generation process [39]. A suitably shifted external key can be inputted to a substitution-permutation process in each round to produce the internal key as shown in Figure 3.9. The scheme allows, in addition to the enlargement of the key space, other cryptographically desirable properties such as error propagation. As the substitution permutation function is already available in the algorithm, this requires minimum additional hardware.

3.7 Cryptanalysis of DES

Cryptanalytical methods can be divided into two subcategories namely deterministic or analytical and statistical methods.

In a deterministic approach, the cryptanalyst attempts to express the desired unknown quantity (such as the key or the message) in terms of some other known quantity or quantities (such as given ciphertext or given plaintext and corresponding ciphertext). One method of attack is therefore to represent the 64 ciphertext output bits as functions of the 56 key bits in a known plaintext attack and try to solve the 64 non-linear equations over $GF(2)$ for 56 unknowns. This problem is an NP-complete problem [8] and hence is difficult to solve in general. Such an analytical attack of the DES was proposed in [25]. The attack proved to be manageable with affine S-boxes but infeasible when the real DES was considered. IBM and NSA also reported to have conducted similar attacks as part of their validation process with no short cut solutions being found.

In the statistical approach, the cryptanalyst attempts to exploit statistical relationships between plaintext, ciphertext and key. To thwart statistical attacks, the algorithm's output should be pseudo-random even for highly structured inputs. In other words, for a large set of plaintext and key inputs one must not be able, on the basis of statistical analysis, to reject the hypothesis that the




Figure 3.9 - Non-linear Key Schedule Algorithm

output bit stream is random. Some fundamental statistical tests have been performed on the DES output streams and they are discussed in Chapter 6.

Finally one should mention the brute force attack to find the unknown quantity such as the message or key by using a direct search method, trial and error or exhaustion. As mentioned earlier the key length is an important factor on this type of attack and greater the key length bigger the work factor to perform the key exhaustion.

3.8 Discussion

If an algorithm is defined to be cryptographically strong when the algorithm complexity is such that,

- (i) using all known shortcut solutions, it is not practically possible to solve for the key or for the message
- (ii) it is too costly to employ simple methods such as key exhaustion because too much time and/or hardware are required

then, despite a lot of controversy surrounding the DES, DES can be considered to be a cryptographically strong algorithm. As far as the author is aware not a single successful 'break' has been developed that can produce or determine the unknown key. Further, the life of the DES can be extended (until a shortcut solution is found) by enciphering the data two or more times with different keys. Double encryption will significantly increase the difficulty of intrusion requiring of the order of 2^{56} words of memory and 2^{55} operations [3]. However it is preferred that such a multiple encryption process is specified as part of the algorithm itself. The security can also be improved by employing DES under various chaining modes. They are considered in subsequent chapters. In account of this, the DES algorithm has been chosen to be employed in the design of an encryption interface unit to be used in a communication network. This forms the subject of the next chapter. This chapter is concluded by noting that the DES can be used as a building block for designing more sophisticated algorithms. For instance, the DES itself can be used as

the cipher function f in Figure 3.2. This gives rise to the scheme shown in Figure 3.10 where a block of 128 bits forms the plaintext.




Figure 3.10 - Extended DES Algorithm

DESIGN OF ENCRYPTION SYSTEM: SYSTEM HARDWARE

4.1 System Requirements

The requirements for the encryption system may be classified into three groups namely

- (i) security requirements
- (ii) Operator requirements and
- (iii) technical requirements.

4.1.1 Security Requirements

Briefly, the security requirements are as follows:

- (a) The encryption algorithm used in the system should be cryptographically strong. That is, it should withstand at least all the major cryptanalytic attacks described in Section 2.2.
- (b) There should be a large number of user selectable keys to prevent the opponent employing exhaustion techniques to determine the key selected.

The DES algorithm outlined in Chapter 3 meets these conditions very well.

- (c) The use of the algorithm within the system should be carried out in such a way that the system as a whole is at least as strong as the initial strength of the algorithm itself.
- (d) At no time, keys used by the algorithm must be stored in plain form within the system as otherwise it would enable any unauthorized user to its recovery.
- (e) Key changes should be made easy to implement.
- (f) A high degree of physical security measures must be provided to protect the system against intentional or accidental

threats.

4.1.2 Operator Requirements

The system should be made 'user friendly' thus allowing any user to operate it efficiently with little training. This aspect is very important in the case of large networks. The unit should also be easy to install.

4.1.3 Technical Requirements

The technical requirements for the encryption system depend to a great extent upon the use to which the system will be put. This particular encryption system is designed to be used in the following applications:

- (a) transmission/reception of encrypted/plain data in a point-to-point communication security system not containing a host computer;
- (b) a local encrypted/plain data storage/retrieval system using floppy disks;
- (c) transmission/reception of encrypted/plain data over the communication link to a host computer and storage/retrieval of encrypted/plain data using a host computer, in particular with the Prestel Viewdata computer.

The requirements for a storage/retrieval encryption system are quite different from those necessary in a point-to-point communication system. Some of the aspects to be considered are:

1. Real time processing and transmission delays: These are particularly important in point-to-point communication where information flow is irregular and messages may not be of fixed format.
2. Transmission data rates: This aspect is important in determining whether asynchronous or synchronous transmission is needed. We are concerned here with only asynchronous transmission of data rates up to 1200 bauds. Further the

communication with Prestel computer is asymmetric full duplex in the sense that it requires 1200 bauds for transmission and 75 bauds for reception.

3. Where a mixture of plain and encrypted texts is required to be sent, switching between encrypted text mode and plain-text mode should be easy. This should be carried out within the message so that the receiving unit can follow identical mode changes.
4. In the case of storage/retrieval system with a host computer, since the encrypted information is likely to pass through the host computer control unit where certain control characters are generated and detected, there is a need to prevent the occurrence of these special characters in the encrypted information. This implies that the encryption system should be made transparent to the host computer protocols. This is particularly relevant when the system is used in Prestel network.
5. Standard editing facilities such as character delete, line delete etc must be available to the system users.

4.2 General System Description

A symmetric encryption-decryption system in an end-to-end communication configuration is shown schematically in Figure 4.1. The operation of the system can be summarised as follows. Referring to Figure 4.1, the plaintext from an Apple terminal forms the input to the encryption system. The plaintext is a combination of any of the alphanumerical characters from the keyboard. The key required for the encryption process is entered from the terminal keyboard under the user control prior to the commencement of the session and is stored in an inaccessible area within the encryption device. The encryption system operates on the input sequence using the key to produce a ciphertext sequence. This cipher is transmitted through input-output communication controller to the modem. The modem converts the binary data pulses to analog frequencies using Frequency Shift Keying (FSK) scheme for transmission over the public switched telephone network.




Fig. 4.1 - Point to Point System Configuration.

At the receiving end, another modem converts the FSK signals back to digital form which is then deciphered to regenerate the original plaintext. This deciphered information can then be displayed on a Visual Display Unit or printed on a line printer.

The communication link between Apple microcomputers is a half-duplex one thus allowing transfer of data in either direction but not at the same time.

From Figure 4.1 it is seen that in this implementation, the encryption system is incorporated as an in-built feature of the terminal, rather than as a separate unit. The built-in implementation is superior to stand-alone implementation in the area of access prevention as the former technique greatly reduces the chances of detection between the terminal and the encryption unit where the text is in plain form. However this technique may be difficult to implement in existing systems and can require major redesigns. This is where the stand-alone unit has the advantage in that it can simply be inserted into existing networks with little or no impact to existing equipment.

The different sections which constitute the system are:

1. Apple microcomputers - comprising 6502 microprocessor, memory, diskette interface and Language card.
2. The encryption interface unit.
3. The modulator-demodulator equipment.

4.2.1 Apple Microcomputer

In this project, Apple microcomputers have been used to form a two node network. The Apple microcomputer is a 6502 microprocessor based system with diskette interfaces, disk driving units, Integer card and a standard keyboard. The processor can directly reference up to 64 kilobytes of memory. Along the back of the Apple's main board, is a row of eight 'slots' or peripheral connectors. In seven of these slots, peripheral interface boards designed for Apple system can be installed. In slot 0, Integer firmware card is installed. Each slot has a 2K-byte of common shared memory associated with it, with a view to holding programs or driving subroutines of the peripheral interface card. The designed encryption interface card is

installed in slot number 2 providing a memory range of C800 to CFFF.

4.2.2 Encryption Interface Unit

The unit is designed utilizing the 6502 microprocessor of the Apple microcomputer system. A block diagram showing the various sections of the unit is illustrated in Figure 4.2. The sections are:

1. Data Security Device.
2. Serial Input-Output Controller.
3. RS-232C Interface Circuits.
4. Timing Circuits.
5. Decoding Circuits.
6. Memory.

4.2.2.1 Circuit Description and Operation

A complete circuit diagram is shown in Figure 4.3. Some important aspects of the circuit are now briefly considered.

4.2.2.2 Data Security Device

As mentioned earlier, it has been decided to use the DES algorithm in hardware in the design of the interface unit. The choice of the data security device incorporating the standard was restricted due to limitations of not only their availability but also their access. A Western Digital device has been used for this purpose because of its ready availability. The device is made in n-channel silicon gate MOS technology and is TTL compatible on all inputs and outputs. The device can be interfaced to a wide variety of processors though it is tailored to the Intel 8080A class microprocessor. The device performs 64-bit block encryption and decryption using 56-bit key. The block diagram, shown in Figure 4.4, illustrates the internal structure of the device. It contains 64-bit data register, 56-bit key register, an 8-bit command/status register plus the necessary logic to check key parity and implement the DES algorithm. The device has a single 8-bit data bus buffer with tri-state operation through which




Fig 4.2 - Functional Block Diagram




Fig 4.3 - Data Encryption Interface Circuit Diagram




Fig. 4.4 Internal Architecture of Data Security Device




Fig. 4.5 - Internal Architecture of Serial I/O Controller

data may be entered into the key register or the data register. Output data from the status register or the data register is also switched through the data bus buffer. The device can be programmed either via the input lines or via the data bus. In this design, the second approach has been adopted. The programming of the device will be briefly discussed in system software (Chapter 5).

4.2.2.3 Serial Input-Output Controller

There are a number of such devices which would be suitable for transmission and reception of plain and enciphered data. Again a Western Digital device, dual enhanced communications element (DEUCE) has been used. It is primarily designed to operate in an 8-bit microprocessor environment.

The controller contains two independent full-duplex asynchronous receiver/transmitter channels and two internal baud rate generators associated with the two channels. A block diagram of the internal architecture of the device is shown in Figure 4.5. Communications between the controlling CPU and the two receiver/transmitter channels or the two baud rate generators occurs via the 8-bit data bus through a common set of bus transceivers. The use of this complex device has enabled the development of a compact encryption system.

Each channel has associated with it a number of registers such as the Transmit Holding Register, Receive Holding Register, Command Register, Mode Register, Status Register etc, which can be programmed to transmit and receive asynchronous serial data. It performs serial to parallel conversion on data characters received from the modem and parallel to serial conversion on data characters received from the CPU. The CPU can read the status of either channel at any time. Status information includes the type and condition of transfer operations being performed by the device as well as any transmission error conditions. Internal control of each channel is achieved by means of two internal microcontrollers one for transmit and one for receive. A block diagram of one of two communication controllers is shown in Figure 4.6. The control registers, various counters and external signals provide inputs to the microcontrollers, which generate the necessary control signals to send and receive serial data according to the programmed protocol.

The device also contains Baud Rate Registers which can be




Fig. 4.6 - Block Diagram of a Receive/Transmit Communication Controller

programmed to desired data rates by loading them with the appropriate number of bauds. The contents of these registers are then decoded and then addressed to a frequency select ROM for the generation of proper frequency by the divider circuitry and the control logic during operation. A diagram of one of the two Baud Rate generators is shown in Figure 4.7. The baud clock source is selected using select clock pin (SELCLK) in conjunction with the clock select bit (CR1) in the Command Register (see Figure 4.8). When the bit CR1 is high, the external clock mode is selected. This means that the Transmit and Receive clocks are internally tied together and SELCLK input determines whether those clocks are driven from the internal baud rate generator (SELCLK high) or from the external clock input XCI/BCO (SELCLK low). If the internal baud rate generator is selected, then the external clock input becomes a baud rate generator clock output. When the bit CR1 is a logic '0' then the internal clock select mode is chosen. The transmit clock is driven by the internal baud rate generator clock and the receive clock is driven by the SELCLK input. The XCI/BCO pin then becomes the baud clock output, the transmit clock. The inputs to the SELCLK and XCI/BCO pins are derived using the simple logic circuit shown in Figure 4.8(a).

When the signal present on the line marked X is low and the signal at Y is high, this enables the tristate Z1 and sets the SELCLK to be high. With the bit CR1 at logic '1', this would result in both the transmit and receive clocks tied together and driven by internal baud rate generator. This mode shown in Figure 4.8(b) is adopted for point-to-point communication between two Apple microcomputers.

When the signal present on the line marked X is high and the bit CR1 is at logic '0' then the tristate Z2 is enabled. The XCI/BCO output is then connected to the SELCLK input which drives the receive clock. The transmit clock is driven by the internal baud rate generator. This configuration thus allows to transmit and receive at different frequencies using only one channel without using an external baud rate generator. This is one of the main reasons for using this I/O controller. This mode of operation, shown in Figure 4.8(c), is adopted when the interface is used in the Prestel network where the transmission data rate is 75 bauds and receive data rate is 1200 bauds.

The signals Clear To Send (CTS) and Request To Send (RTS) to and from the device are used in controlling the modem. These







Fig. 4.7 - Baud Rate Generator Block Diagram



(a)



(b)



(c)

Fig. 4.8

signals are discussed in the next section.

4.2.2.4 RS-232C Interface Circuits

These circuits are used to interface between Data Terminal Equipment (eg Apple computer terminal) and Data Communications Equipment (eg Modem) in conformity with the specification of EIA standard number RS-232C. The RS-232C standard defines all the control and data signals (including signal levels) used to enable the modem and the terminal to operate together and transfer data. For this purpose, a quad line driver (MC 1488) and its companion circuit (MC 1489) quad line receiver are used to provide a complete interface system between TTL level signals from the system to RS-232C levels.

The interface control lines can be divided into two groups, one concerned with the control sequences for connecting the modem to the line and the other concerned with the sequences controlling the application (and detection) of signal to the line.

The signals Data Terminal Ready (DTR) and Data Set Ready (DSR) belong to the first group. The DTR signal from the terminal is used to tell the modem to answer the telephone (ON) and to hang it up (OFF). In this design, this signal is used to indicate whether the terminal power is ON or OFF. The DSR signal is used to indicate to the terminal that the modem is in a state in which it is capable of transmitting data.

The group of RS-232C control signals that relate to the application and detection of time signal are: Request To Send (RTS), Clear To Send (CTS) and Data Carrier Detect (DCD). The logical interaction of these signals is simple when they operate in a two-wire half-duplex mode and complex when terminals operate a four-wire full-duplex mode. In this design, the point-to-point communication between two Apple microcomputers is half-duplex whereas the communication with the Prestel computer is asymmetric full-duplex.

The Request To Send signal from the terminal is used to indicate to the modem that the terminal wishes to transmit data. The modem then responds by initiating its transmit mode. When the modem has reached a steady state carrier condition, and is ready to transmit, it sends a Clear To Send signal to the terminal. However, CTS does not imply a positive verification that communication with the other end has been established. Therefore the Data Carrier Detect signal is used to indicate that the receiving modem has detected a line signal

(carrier) from the other end.

In point-to-point communication, initially when both ends are waiting for transmission to begin, the RTS signals of the serial input-output controller are at logic '1' and the modem will be holding the CTS inputs to the serial I/O device high. The terminal which wishes to transmit activates the RTS by making it low and does not start transmitting until CTS is turned ON. The RTS/CTS time delay is determined by specific hardware settings in the modem and is vitally important in determining the overall efficiency of data link use. Actually, the designed encryption system has been tested with two different modems - Modular Technology and the standard BT[†] ones. It is found that the former produced large amount of noise spikes when the modem switched from one mode to the other. This necessitated some complex delay routines to be introduced to reject the noise spikes. A typical interface timing diagram is shown in Figure 4.9. When connected to asymmetric full-duplex Prestel system, the RTS is kept ON continuously and the CTS ON is used to indicate that the transmission can start. This is an easy approach to ensure that the modem will operate properly in the full-duplex mode.

4.2.2.5 Timing Circuits

This block in Figure 4.2 consists of logic circuitry to provide the correct timing signals such as CHIP SELECT, READ, WRITE etc for the operation of the interface unit. The inputs to this block include amongst others, the clock signals from the Apple microcomputer system for proper synchronization.

The 6502 microprocessor has two clock signals ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 of 1.023 MHz which are complementary to each other. In addition, a general purpose timing signal, twice the frequency of the system clocks but asymmetrical and an intermediate timing signal of 7.159 MHz are also available. The microprocessor uses its address and data buses only during the timing period when ϕ_0 is active. When ϕ_0 is low, the microprocessor is carrying out internal operations and does not need the address buses. These timing signals are shown in Figure 4.10.

Let us now very briefly consider the main timing signals required for the data security device and the serial input-output controller.

The timing diagram of a typical READ cycle of the encryption device is shown in Figure 4.11. The Data Output Request (DOR) bit in the Status Register of the device is used to indicate whether the




Fig. 4.9 -Interface Control Timing.




Fig. 4.10 - System Clock Signals and Timing Relationships




Fig.4.11 - READ Timing of Data Security Device.




Fig. 4.12- WRITE Timing of Data Security Device.

DATA WORD present on the data bus is valid or not. When it is valid, the device is selected by making the CHIP SELECT (\overline{CS}) active low. This signal remains active low for at least 410 nano-seconds (ns). Then the READ signal is made active low to read the data from the bus. From the timing diagram, it is seen that the READ signal needs to be active low for 330 ns and the data must remain stable at least 30 ns after the rising edge of the READ pulse. The READ timing is not critical and it only needs to be greater than 330 ns. The READ signal is therefore derived using a standard decoder which produces an active low pulse of about 450 ns wide. This signal is also suitable for CHIP SELECT signals of both the security device and the serial I/O controller.

The WRITE timing diagram of the encryption device is shown in Figure 4.12. The device is selected by enabling the CHIP SELECT active low and then the DATA WORD or KEY WORD to be written is transferred to the data bus and a WRITE signal is produced. From the timing diagram, the data is to remain stable on the bus at least 200 ns before the rising edge of the WRITE pulse and 90 ns after the same edge. As the CHIP SELECT signal obtained from the decoder is 450 ns wide, the required pulse can be obtained by chopping off up to 200 ns from the rising edge of the CHIP SELECT signal. Actually, only a 90 ns pulse is subtracted as a 90 ns pulse is needed anyway in deriving the WRITE signal for the serial input-output controller. This pulse is then ored with the CHIP SELECT to give the WRITE signal of the security device. In Figure 4.13, this signal is denoted by $Q2 + CS$. The pulse is produced using a simple bistable circuit as shown in Figure 4.13 together with the timing diagram. The clock signals 7 MHz and Q3 are obtained from the Apple system. Note that the CHIP SELECT signal from the decoder has been used to control the rising edge of the WRITE signal by using it as the CLEAR input signal to the second bistable. The signal Q3 could not be used because it changes to the high state slightly before the CHIP SELECT thus producing a spike.

Now let us consider the READ, WRITE and CHIP SELECT signals required for the serial input-output controller.

The READ timing diagram of the serial input-output controller is shown in Figure 4.14. This signal is derived using a simple logic circuit which combines the pulse produced by the bistable circuit with another output line from the decoder circuit to produce the READ





Fig. 4.13




Fig. 4.14- READ Timing of I/O Controller.




Fig. 4.15 -WRITE Timing of I/O Controller.

signal. This produces a READ pulse of about 360 ns wide, with a rising edge some 90 ns from the valid data edge. These agree well with the required READ pulse in the timing diagram.

The WRITE timing diagram of the serial input-output controller is shown in Figure 4.15. In comparing this WRITE signal with that of the one required by the security device, it is seen that the controller requires the data to be stable on the bus for a longer time of at least 350 ns before the rising edge. Also the data is required to remain stable for a minimum time of 100 ns after the edge, which is 10 ns greater than that required for the encryption device. This signal is produced again by oring the 90 ns pulse with an output line from the decoder. Although this produces a WRITE pulse of width 360 ns with 90 ns of stable data after the rising edge, it is found that these timings do satisfy the requirements and does not cause any problem.

The two CHIP SELECT signals required for channel A and baud rate generator of the I/O controller are directly derived from the output of the decoder.

4.2.2.6 Decoding Circuits

This block in Figure 4.2 provides signals which together with the output signals from the timing block are used in selecting different devices when appropriate addresses are present on the address bus. In a system where there are many devices to run, it is necessary to decode the address bus down into individual addresses. This allows only one device and in particular only one mode of operation of the device to be selected. For instance, say when a control word has to be written into the serial input-output controller, three operations are required to be carried out namely

- (i) only the input-output device must be selected,
- (ii) only WRITE signal must be activated and
- (iii) a distinction has to be made between a control word and a data word.

The inputs to the decoder include the Device Select line from the Apple microcomputer system which indicates which one of the peripheral connectors is active. Whenever the interface is selected this line will be active low. The Table 4.1 in Figure 4.16 shows the decoded address and the corresponding operations carried out by

the system.

<u>Address</u>	<u>Operation Performed</u>
$\overline{C\phi}A0$	WRITE Data Word to data security device.
$\overline{C\phi}A1$	WRITE Control Word to data security device.
$\overline{C\phi}A2$	READ Data Word from data security device.
$\overline{C\phi}A3$	READ Status of data security device.
$\overline{C\phi}A4$	ACTIVATE latch.
$\overline{C\phi}A6$	ACTIVATE Tristate to test modem control signals.
$\overline{C\phi}A8$	RESET I/O Controller
$\overline{C\phi}AA$	RECEIVE (READ) Data from I/O Controller.
$\overline{C\phi}AB$	READ Status of I/O Controller.
$\overline{C\phi}AC$	TRANSMIT (WRITE) Data to I/O Controller.
$\overline{C\phi}AD$	WRITE Control Word to I/O Controller.
$\overline{C\phi}AE$	WRITE to Baud Rate Register of I/O Controller.

Figure 4.16 - Table 4.1: Decoding Arrangement

4.2.2.7 Memory

The operation of the encryption unit is completely controlled by software. Hence the proposed interface unit must have some non-volatile memory to hold the program. For this reason, a 2K-byte PROM is incorporated on the interface. The reasons for the choice are two fold. Firstly, a 2K-byte is the maximum amount of memory that can be associated with a peripheral slot in the Apple microcomputer system. Secondly, it is estimated that a 2K-byte memory should be sufficient for each of the required system tasks.

4.2.3 Modem

To transmit digital signals over Public Switched Telephone network, which passes frequencies in the range 300-3000 Hz, it is necessary for a data transmitter to modulate the digital stream by superimposing the '1's and '0's onto a carrier signal. The data receiver at the other end demodulates this signal. In addition to its basic function of translating between the binary digital signals of the data terminal equipment and the modulated voice frequency signals of the communication channel, as seen in section 4.2.2.4, the modem also performs a number of control functions which co-ordinate the flow of data between terminal equipments.

The digital information can be encoded by systematically changing either the amplitude, the frequency, the phase or some combination of these characteristics of the carrier signal. The type of modulation used depends on the specific application. Over the public telephone network, the maximum data rate is limited to 1200 bits per second. For speeds up to 1800 bits per second, Frequency Shift Keying (FSK) is the common choice and hence this is used. With FSK, a pair of tones f_1 and f_2 are alternatively sent over the line for the binary '1's and '0's of the asynchronous data stream. The receiving section essentially consists of two filters that sense the frequency f_1 or f_2 . Whenever f_1 is sensed, a binary '1' is produced and whenever f_2 is sensed a binary '0' is output to the data terminal equipment.

Modular Technology (12 CV) mini modems and standard BT modems employing FSK scheme have been used with this system.

CHAPTER 5

POINT TO POINT COMMUNICATION SYSTEM : SYSTEM SOFTWARE (1)

5.1 General

The software has been written for the encryption interface unit to perform the following tasks

- (a) Point-to-point communication between Apple microcomputers.
- (b) Storage/retrieval of encrypted information with floppy disk system.
- (c) Storage/retrieval of encrypted information with Prestel Viewdata system.

All the programs are written in 6502 Assembly language to minimize the execution time and memory space required. Before considering these programs, two basic I/O techniques for handling transfer of asynchronous data are briefly examined.

5.1.1 Polling Technique

The polling method is one of the simplest ways to handle asynchronous events. In this type of I/O, all operations are controlled by the CPU program. The processor interrogates flags associated with each possible event to determine whether any service is required. That is, it polls the peripheral device periodically to determine its readiness and hence the name polling technique. The CPU resources are tied up during the time of transfer and the time of polling and hence cannot be used for other tasks. This technique is mainly used with low speed devices.

5.1.2 Interrupt Driven Technique

In non-polling systems, the asynchronous event generates an interrupt request which is passed on to the processor. The processor in turn suspends the execution of the current process and starts execution of the interrupt service routine which say performs the data transfer. When the interrupt service routine is completed, the processor resumes execution of the suspended process. The response

time is faster with such a system because no time is spent on interrogating the other non-active interrupts which in turn increases the system throughput.

The decision to adopt one technique or other depends on the nature of the application. In this project, the polling technique has been chosen for two reasons. Firstly in this encryption system, there are only two low speed devices which result in enough spare time for the processor to examine the status flags in a repeated fashion. Secondly the Apple 6502 system is not particularly suitable for an interrupt driven technique.

In this chapter, only the point-to-point communication program will be discussed. The other two tasks will be considered in Chapters 7 and 8 respectively.

5.1.3 Point-to-Point Communication

The encryption interface allows data transfer between Apple terminals (via a public switched telephone network) in either plain or encrypted or a mixture of plain and encrypted formats. Five different modes of the Data Encryption Standard have been investigated [3, 26]. They are:

1. Block Encryption (ECB)
2. Chained Block Cipher (CBC)
3. Stream Cipher Feedback (CFB)
4. Chained Block Cipher with Plaintext Feedback (CBCP)
5. Stream Cipher with Ciphertext and Vector Feedback (CFBV)

Each of these modes has been implemented using the encryption interface. They are described briefly in succeeding sections.

5.2 Block Encryption Mode

5.2.1 Principle

This is the most basic mode of operation of the Data Encryption Standard which transforms 64-bits of input to 64-bits of output as mentioned in Section 3.2. The analogy to Electronic Code Book (ECB) arises because the same plaintext block always produces the same ciphertext for a given cryptographic key. Thus it should be theoretically possible to construct a codebook of plaintext blocks and corresponding ciphertext blocks for any given key.

The ECB encryption-decryption scheme is shown in Figure 5.1. In encryption, the plaintext block (D1, D2, ..., D64) is used directly as the DES input block (I1, I2, ..., I64). The input block is processed through the DES device in the encrypt state which has been preloaded with the appropriate cryptographic key. The resultant output block (O1, O2, ..., O64) is used directly as the ciphertext (C1, C2, ..., C64). The decryption process is same as the encryption process except that the decrypt state of the DES device is used rather than the encrypt state. That is, the key schedule selection is reversed.

Mathematically, the operations of encipherment and decipherment can be described as follows:

Let the cryptographic function f define the relationship between the plaintext X and the ciphertext Y . Then,

$$Y = f_k(X)$$

and

$$X = f_k^{-1}(Y)$$

where the subscript k designates the particular key (and hence the particular function f_k) which is selected out of the set of all possible keys.

5.2.2 Implementation

Only a brief summary of the program is given here. The structure of the program can be divided mainly into five parts, namely, the initialisation routine, key input routine, data input routine, transmission routine, which includes encryption, and receive




Fig. 5.1 - Block Encryption Codebook Mode (ECB)

routine, which includes decryption and display routines. The basic flowchart of the program and the complete listing can be found in Appendix 2.

5.2.2.1 Initialisation Routine

The initialisation routine itself can be divided into two subsections. The first subsection contains instructions which are executed without any user interaction whereas in the second subsection the user chooses the parameters for setting a particular mode of operation of the interface.

The first subsection consists of instructions to initialise the CPU, to set the ports of the serial I/O controller, to ensure the correct state of control signals from the modem, to set the state of the latch in the interface and to initialize some memory locations which would be later used as counters and flags in the program. The Data Set Ready (DSR) signal from the modem is tested to check whether the modem is ON and is not in the test mode. If it is not set, an error message 'NO LINK ERROR' is displayed. The format of the character to be transferred is defined to consist of 1 stop bit, 8 data bits and an even parity bit. A baud rate factor of 16 is used. The external clock mode is selected in the I/O controller. As explained in Section 4.2.2.3, this mode has been selected in point-to-point communication to drive the transmit and receive clocks with the same source. The error flags have been reset to allow error detection in subsequent transfer of data. These error flags include parity error, overflow error and framing error. The serial I/O is set to a null mode, that is, neither a transmission mode nor a receive mode.

In the second subsection, to begin with the user selects the desired data transmission rate. A choice of baud rates ranging from 50 to 1200 bits per second are available. Then the user is asked to select one of the three formats in which the interface may operate namely plain or encrypted or a mixture of plain and encrypted. If plain format is selected, no further initialization is required. If either of the other two formats is chosen, then the data security device is activated to encryption state.

5.2.2.2 Key Input Routine

If one of the two encryption formats is selected, then the

secret DES key is entered from the keyboard by the user. It is assumed that both the parties concerned have the preknowledge of the key which is a prerequisite for proper communication of encrypted data. In this program, 8 characters are used to form the 64-bit key required by the DES algorithm. Any of the 88 alphanumerical characters of the keyboard can be used to form the 8 character key. As mentioned in Section 3.6.1, the total number of distinct keys possible is then equal to $(88)^8 \approx 3.6 \times 10^{15}$ which is less than the maximum $2^{56} \approx 7.2 \times 10^{16}$. The program can be very easily changed to accept sixteen 4-bit characters as the key thus giving the total possible key space. Here the 8 character key is chosen to enable the user to remember the key without actually recording it somewhere. It is essential that the key should be chosen randomly so that it may not be easily guessed by the opponent. Before loading every key byte into the KEY REGISTER of the device, the key parity is tested. If a parity error is detected, then an appropriate error message is displayed on the screen. The interface unit displays the entered key on the screen to enable the user to verify the correctness of the key. However the display is immediately erased to avoid detection by others during subsequent communication. The majority of users would probably use some easy to remember phrase or number combination for developing the key. In such cases, the long phrases can be converted to a form suitable for DES using a good hashing function. It should be sufficiently complicated to produce essentially unbiased and statistically independent bits in the DES key. The program can also be modified to provide for multiple DES key encryption with different keys to achieve higher levels of security.

5.2.2.3 Data Input Routine

In point-to-point communication mode, the data to be enciphered is assumed to be input from the keyboard of the terminal. File transfer is considered in Chapter 7 where file security is discussed. This routine determines whether the data to be processed is coming from the telephone line or from the keyboard of the terminal. This operation is carried out using the polling technique. The processor is allowed to poll the Data Carrier Detect (DCD) flag from the modem and the Keyboard Data input Flag (KDF). The KDF is provided by the Apple system and if set indicates that a key has been pressed. This implies that the data from the keyboard needs to be

transmitted over the line in plain or encrypted or mixture format depending on the selection made earlier and hence the control is transferred to Transmission routine. On the other hand, if the DCD flag is set indicating the presence of data on the line, Receive routine is invoked to decrypt and display the received data.

5.2.2.4 Transmission Routine

At a given time, up to a maximum of 256 bytes of message can be input to the interface from the keyboard. A Return character is used to mark the end of the message. At any time, the key CNTRL-X can be used to cancel the entire message. All editing facilities of the Apple system such as cursor movements can be used. The program operates on the whole message (up to 256 characters) at a time. After a whole message is processed and transmitted, the program returns to fetch the next message from the keyboard. An important point to note is that with block encryption mode (ECB), the message needs to be divided into blocks of 64 bits (8 bytes) before encryption can be done.

Initially, the I/O controller is set to transmit mode. Each 64 bit block of plaintext is then input to the data security device in successive 8 bytes after testing for the correct status of the Data Input Request (DIR) flag of the device. Then the ciphertext block is sent from the device byte by byte after testing the control signals, Clear To Send (CTS) and Transmit Ready (TXRDY). Appropriate error messages are displayed if the flags are not set properly and the system is resynchronized. Special routines are developed to deal with control characters CNTRL-G (Bell), CNTRL-J (line feed) and repeated Return characters in the plaintext block. When the encrypted version of these characters are transmitted, a certain amount of delay (approximately 80 milliseconds) is included to allow time for the generation of these characters at the receiving end after decryption.

However the message need not necessarily contain an exact number of 64 bit blocks. Hence it is likely that the message will end before the last block of 64 bits is complete. Therefore some padding is required at the end of the message to fill the last block to exactly 64 bits long. This has been done by padding the last block with some random characters after the Return character . These random characters are generated as part of the key input routine. The padding results in the cryptogram expansion of up to a maximum of 7 bytes compared to the original plaintext message if the last block is

not of full size.

5.2.2.5 Receive Routine

The Receive routine is much simpler than its counterpart Transmit routine. It is known that the incoming data is encrypted by dividing it up into blocks of 64-bits at the transmitting end. Hence this routine should simply fetch the 64-bits of ciphertext at a time from the link after testing the Receive Ready flag (RXRDY) of the I/O controller. The ciphertext is then input to the data security device which is now set to decryption mode. Then the deciphered block of data is read from the device and is displayed on the screen using Display routine. The Display routine is same as the one used by the Apple system. It displays uppercase and lowercase alphanumeric characters in either normal or inverse or flashing modes. The control characters in the decrypted block are not displayed. The block of decrypted characters is tested for the presence of the Return character. If it is not present, then it is known that another block of encrypted data must be following the current block. Hence the program loops back to test the RXRDY flag to obtain more data from the link. On the other hand if a Return character is detected within the block of deciphered data, it indicates that the current block is the last block of the message. The random characters after the Return character are treated as dummy characters and hence they are ignored. The decrypted information will be the same as the original message provided the same key has been used at both ends of the link.

The routine also performs three types of error tests on the received characters. They are parity error, framing error and overrun error. In each case, appropriate error messages are displayed. A framing error is detected when the receiver finds a logic '0' occurring at the time when stop bit, logic '1', should occur. A overrun error is detected when a new character is loaded into the Receive Holding Register of the serial I/O controller before the processor has had time to read the previous one. In all these three cases the program loops back to the start of the data input routine thus abandoning the current ciphertext block and automatically synchronises.

5.2.2.6 Plain Data Communication

The routines which allow plain data transmission and

reception are very much similar to the ones described earlier except in this case there is no need to perform encryption and decryption. Hence the message is processed byte by byte rather than in blocks.

This facility of communicating in plain format is provided to allow the transfer of non-sensitive information over the link. For instance, one could envisage a situation where the users initially communicate in plain format to set various parameters needed for establishing a secure link. This immediately led to the idea of developing a program which could handle a mixture of plain and encrypted data and this is considered in the next section.

5.2.2.7 Mixture of Plain and Encrypted Data Communication

With this format, only parts of the message are in encrypted form while the rest of the message is transmitted in plain form. This mode is useful in many applications where it is not necessary to encrypt the whole message.

The receiver must be able to identify which parts of the received data are in encrypted form. The data transmitted is always in plain form until the change to encryption mode is initiated. This is done by typing a special character (CNTRL-A) on the keyboard that has been designated for this purpose. Characters following this character are encrypted by the sending terminal. The interface unit is automatically returned to the plain format after 8 characters. Alternatively, another special character (CNTRL-B) can be used to return to the plain format. The receiving end then checks for a CNTRL-A character and starts to decrypt when it is found. When a CNTRL-B is received, it switches back to plain mode reception. However it is necessary to ensure that the CNTRL-B character does not occur within the enciphered data to ensure unambiguous decryption at the receiving end. This can be achieved by using multiple CNTRL-B characters to indicate the end of encrypted text. The greater the number of such characters, the smaller the probability that they occur in the enciphered data and hence the smaller the ambiguity in decryption, but this increases the number of redundant characters in the transmitted data. The key required for the encryption algorithm is entered as before at the beginning of the communication.

Again with the block encryption mode, if the encrypted parts of the message are not integral multiples of 64 bits, they require padding and this results in cryptogram expansion. The difference

with the mixture format is that this expansion does not occur only at the end of the message (last block), as in the complete encryption format discussed earlier, but it may occur anywhere within the message.

When implementing this mixture format in the Apple system, additional problems are encountered compared to the complete encryption format. One such problem arises from the requirement that in the multi-user network the plain information transmitted may need to be received correctly by all users whereas the encrypted information must only be deciphered correctly by the user with the right key. There may be cases where the encryption algorithm transforms a non-control character to a control character and vice versa. As a control character is not displayed by the Apple system and the screen cursor does not move, this results in a line of text, with parts of it encrypted at the transmitting end, not producing a line of text at the receiving end with the wrong key. As a certain amount of delay is required for some special characters such as CNTRL-G (Bell), CNTRL-J (Line feed), this can cause overrun error at the receiving end with the wrong key. This results in errors in the subsequent reception of data even in plain format at the receiving end. This is further complicated in this block encryption mode due to the padding with random numbers to fill the block.

A modified version of the earlier complete encryption format program is developed for this mixture format which overcomes the problems mentioned above.

5.2.3 Results and Discussion

An example of plaintext containing some data structure and patterns has been chosen to study the various characteristics of different encryption modes. Such an example is provided by the assembly language program shown in Figure 5.2. This whole message is enciphered using ECB encryption with the key 3131313131313131 in hexadecimal form. Note that here a non-random key has been chosen to allow the ciphertext produced to be used in some statistical tests in Chapter 6. A ciphertext character produced can be any one of the 256 possible combinations (2^8). To display the ciphertext, it is therefore necessary to extend the standard ASCII character set from 128 to 256. This has been done using Hershey characters [27]. The complete character set is given in Appendix 3. Note that this extended

61

 THIS SAMPLE PROGRAM ENABLES TRANSFER OF
 DES ENCIPIERED DATA BETWEEN APPLE MICRO-
 COMPUTERS

1 0 0 0	L D A # 0 E	S E T M O D E R E G .
	S T A C O A D	O P U A R T .
	L D A # 1 2	S E T C O M M A N D R E G .
	S T A C O A D	O P U A R T .
	J S R 2 1 3 C	I N P U T S E C R E T K E Y
	J S R 2 3 5 5	C H E C K P A R I T Y
	S P A C E	
1 0 1 1	L D A C O A 6	P O L L K E Y B O A R D A N D
	A N D # 0 3	L I N E C O N T I N U O S L Y .
	D E Q (1 0 1 B)	
	J M P 1 0 3 4	
1 0 1 B	L D A C O 0 0	
	B P L (1 0 1 1)	
	S P A C E	
	J S R 2 4 0 0	S E T E N C R Y P T I O N M O D E
	J S R 3 0 0 0	S U B R O U T I N E R E A D
	J S R 2 5 0 0	E C D E N C R Y P T I O N
	J S R 2 6 0 0	S U B R O U T I N E T R A N S M I T
	J S R 2 7 0 0	S U B R O U T I N E D E L A Y
	J M P 1 0 1 1	
	S P A C E	
1 0 3 4	J S R 2 8 0 0	S E T D E C R Y P T I O N M O D E
	J S R 2 9 0 0	S U B R O U T I N E R E C E I V E
	J S R 2 A 0 0	E C D D E C R Y P T I O N
	J S R 3 1 0 0	S U B R O U T I N E D I S P L A Y
	C M P # 0 D	T E S T F O R E N D
	B E Q (1 0 4 7)	O F D A T A
	J M P 1 0 1 1	
1 0 4 7	H A L T	

Fig. 5.2 - Plaintext Example

character set does not in itself introduce any secure cryptographic transformation. The ciphertext produced is shown in Figure 5.3. The program used to produce this graphical display is given in Appendix 4.


From Figure 5.3, it can be seen that a potential weakness of this block mode is that the same plaintext always produces the same ciphertext under a fixed key. This in turn implies that if the plaintext contains patterns, they will be reflected in the ciphertext as seen in Figure 5.3. Consider for instance, the asterisks in lines 1 and 5 and sequences of blanks at the beginning of each line in the assembly language program. Thus the compromise of the plaintext block underlying any ciphertext block results in the compromise of all repetitions of this same text for the remainder of the cryptographic period. Thus this block encryption mode is more susceptible to code book analysis compared to the other modes considered later. Further if the plaintext information is highly redundant then block encryption may not prevent cryptanalysis using block frequency analysis. Block frequency analysis determines the frequency of each ciphertext block from a large sample of intercepted ciphertext. By relating the observed frequencies of the ciphertext blocks to the expected frequencies of the plaintext blocks, the cryptanalyst may be able to draw certain inferences concerning the nature of the plaintext corresponding to a given ciphertext. Also if the data transmitted is highly redundant, the number of possible meaningful plaintext blocks may be small enough to construct a dictionary.

This block encryption mode is also susceptible to replay. As each block is independently enciphered with the same key, one block can be replayed for another. For instance, consider the transaction shown below

CREDIT	JONES	£5000	CREDIT	SMITH	£10
C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6

This can be changed to

CREDIT	JONES	£5000	CREDIT	SMITH	£5000
C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C3



•

by replaying the block containing the ciphertext for £5000. This type of replay is not possible with stream cipher and chained block cipher modes which are considered later. One simple solution to this problem is to append checksums to the end of messages.

This block encryption mode is also vulnerable to insertion and deletion of blocks because these changes to the message do not affect surrounding blocks. Again use of error detecting codes before encryption and checksums protects against this threat.

As mentioned in the implementation section, in this mode, the information is encrypted in integral multiples of 64 bits. This resulted in padding of last block with random characters. This causes cipher extension and therefore may be unacceptable in some applications. The padding effect can be seen in Figure 5.3 at the end producing a longer ciphertext message than the original plaintext. If the padding is done with blanks or zeroes, instead of random numbers, then this may make them vulnerable to cryptanalysis.

Since each bit of the ECB output block is a complex function of every bit in the input block and the key, a single bit change in either the key or the plaintext results in a ciphertext block in which each bit is changed with approximately equal probability. Conversely, a change in 1 bit of either the key or ciphertext will produce changes in an average of fifty percent of the bits of deciphered plaintext. Although this error propagation within the block is extensive, it is strictly limited to the block in which the error occurs and the decryption of other blocks is unaffected. This can be seen from Figure 5.4 where a number of errors have been introduced in the ciphertext prior to decryption. Thus the ECB mode does not provide error extension between blocks. If block boundaries are lost between sender and receiver, then ECB cryptographic synchronization will also be lost until correct block boundaries are re-established. This may happen for instance when a bit slip occurs.

5.3 Cipher Block Chaining Mode

5.3.1 Principle

Cipher block chaining is again a block cipher in which the plaintext is exclusive-ored with a block of pseudo-random data prior to being processed through the DES device.

This scheme is shown in Figure 5.5. In order to commence the CBC encryption, the first DES input block is formed by exclusive-oring the first data block with a 64-bit initialization vector (IV). That is,

$$(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{64}) = (IV_1 \oplus D_1, IV_2 \oplus D_2, \dots, IV_{64} \oplus D_{64}).$$

This first CBC input block is processed through the DES device in the encrypt state, producing a 64-bit DES output block which defines the ciphertext. This first ciphertext block is then exclusive-ored with the second plaintext block to produce the second DES input block. This second input block is enciphered using the DES device to produce the second ciphertext block. This encryption process continues to chain successive ciphertext and plaintext blocks together until the last plaintext block of the message is encrypted. In CBC decryption, the first ciphertext block is processed through the DES device in the decrypt state. The first output block is then exclusive-ored with the CBC initialization vector producing the first plaintext block. The second ciphertext block is then entered into the DES device and the resultant output block is exclusive-ored with the first ciphertext block to produce the second plaintext block. The CBC decryption process continues to exclusive-or the ciphertext block at time $t-1$ ($t > 1$) with the DES output block to obtain plaintext at time t until the end of the message.

Mathematically, the scheme can be expressed as follows: Let the cryptographic function f_k define the relationship between the DES input block and the DES output block under the chosen key k . Let function h define how the input to the DES is altered through the introduction of the initialization vector and the feedback or intermediate initialization vectors $U(1), U(2), \dots, U(n-1)$ at time $t = 1$ to $n-1$. Note that the function h may be a many-to-one function since identical inputs to this function will be available during both encipherment and decipherment. Then

$$U(1) = Z = \text{Initialization Vector}$$

$$U(i) = h[U(i-1), \text{feedback quantity}], i > 1$$

In the CBC mode, $U(i)$ is equal to the previous ciphertext block $Y(i-1)$, the feedback quantity. That is, $U(i) = Y(i-1)$. Hence the encipherment and decipherment operations can be expressed as




Fig. 5.5 - Cipher Block Chaining Mode. (CBC)

$$Y(i) = f_k [X(i) \oplus Y(i-1)] \quad i \geq 1$$

and

$$X(i) = f_k^{-1} [Y(i)] \oplus Y(i-1) \quad i \geq 1$$

where

$$X(0) \equiv Y(0) \equiv Z$$

From the recursive nature of the above equations, there exists functions H_1, H_2, \dots, H_i such that

$$Y(i) = H_i [k, X(0), X(1), \dots, X(i)], \quad i \geq 1 \quad (5.1)$$

Similarly there exists functions G_1, G_2, \dots, G_i such that

$$X(i) = G_i [k, Y(i-1), Y(i)], \quad i \geq 1 \quad (5.2)$$

From equations (5.1) and (5.2), it follows that patterns within the input are masked since the ciphertext block $Y(i)$ depends on plaintext blocks $X(1), X(2), \dots, X(i)$. However since the received plaintext block $X(i)$ does not depend on all ciphertext blocks $Y(1), Y(2), \dots, Y(i)$, the scheme does not represent a general block cipher (see Section 5.5).

5.3.2 Implementation

This program is a modified version of the ECB mode program described in Section 5.2.2. The flow chart of the program together with the listing can be found in Appendix 5. Here only the differences between this program and the ECB mode program are briefly discussed.

As in the ECB program, the user initially selects the data rate, the format of data transfer (plain, encrypted or mixture) and enters the DES secret key. Then the transmission end generates a 64 bit random block and sends it to the receiving end. The block is encrypted under the ECB mode at both the transmitting and receiving ends to form the initialization vector (IV). This vector is stored in a set of memory locations named TEMP 1 at the transmitting end and TEMP 2 at the receiving end. It is again necessary to divide the message into blocks of 64 bits. Hence padding of the last block

with random characters is required to make the blocksize equal to 64. The transmission routine fetches the plaintext block which is then exclusive-ored with the memory locations TEMP 1 before inputting it to the DES device. The ciphertext block produced by the device is transmitted to the receiver as in the ECB mode. The ciphertext is also stored back into the memory locations TEMP 1 for use in the next encryption cycle. The receive routine inputs the ciphertext to the DES device and also stores it in memory locations TEMP 3. The output from the DES device is exclusive-ored with the memory locations TEMP 2 to produce the plaintext. The memory locations TEMP 3 are then transferred to TEMP 2 to form the new IV for exclusive-or operation in the decryption of the next ciphertext block.

Finally, note that in the case of mixture of plain and encrypted data communication, only the encrypted blocks are chained together.

5.3.3 Results and Discussion

The plaintext example shown in Figure 5.2 is enciphered under CBC encryption with the same key as in the ECB mode. The initialization vector used is 0202020202020202. The ciphertext produced is shown in Figure 5.6.

From Figure 5.6, it is seen that the CBC mode does not produce the same ciphertext even when the plaintext is the same and hence the pattern exposure problems associated with the ECB mode have been eliminated. This is because the ciphertext produced for a plaintext block with a given key is dependent on the plaintext as well as the feedback (intermediate) vector used in the process which is different at different times. So the CBC mode reproduces the same ciphertext whenever the same plaintext is encrypted under a fixed key and initialization vector. Thus with the CBC mode, ciphertext repetition occurs at the message level whereas with the ECB mode, ciphertext repetition is found to occur at block level. Thus the code book analysis problem has been reduced.

CBC is therefore less susceptible to replay than the ECB mode of encryption. The type of replay mentioned in Section 5.2.3 is not possible with CBC mode as different parts of the message are enciphered with different feedback vectors. It is also less vulnerable to insertion and deletion of blocks as these changes to the message affect the surrounding blocks.

Fig. 5.6 - Ciphertext Example

CBC also protects a block cipher against the time-memory trade off attack [23] as follows.

Let $Y(i)$ be the ciphertext corresponding to the chosen plaintext $X(i)$. Since $f_k^{-1}(Y(i)) = X(i) \oplus Y(i-1)$, to determine the key k , a cryptanalyst would have to generate tables of starting and ending points using $X(i) \oplus Y(i-1)$ rather than $X(i)$. But this would rule out the possibility of precomputing the tables or of using the same tables to break more than one cipher.

As noted in the implementation section, the problem of padding still exists with the CBC mode. In the complete encryption format, this is confined to the last block of the message. The receiver scans the decrypted block and discards the pseudo-random bits after the Return character code in the block. One way to eliminate padding is to switch to stream cipher feedback (CFB) mode (see Section 5.4) to encipher the short block at the end of the message .

In addition, the security of the CBC mode depends among other things upon the management of the CBC initialization vectors. It is important that the initialization vector (IV) is pseudo-randomly selected. Further the vector must be protected from disclosure. In the above implementation, this is carried out by using the ECB encrypted version of the random block generated as the IV. It is also advisable to change the vectors frequently to avoid the cryptanalyst using the ciphertext search attack.

Within the ciphertext, some errors are introduced and then deciphered with the same key and initialization vector to study the error extension characteristics of the CBC mode. From Figure 5.7 one or more bit errors within a single ciphertext block are found to affect the decryption of two blocks, namely, the block in which the error occurs and the succeeding block. If the errors occur in the i th ciphertext block, then each bit of the i th plaintext block has an error rate of about fifty percent. The $(i+1)$ -th plaintext block has only those bits in error which correspond directly to the ciphertext bits in error. Further it is seen from Figure 5.7 that after two blocks, cryptographic synchronization is automatically established and the subsequent deciphered blocks are unaffected. Compared to the ECB mode, this has extended the error propagation to two blocks. This can also be seen from equations (5.1) and (5.2).

```

01
* * * * *
m : A E P b Q L E P R O G R A M E N A D L E S T R A N S P E R O P
D E S E N C I P I E R E D D A T A D E T W E E N A P P L E M I C R O -
C O M P U T E H S
* * * * *
1 0 0 0 - J ( 1 h @ λ " S E T M O D E R E G
S T A C O A D O P U A R T
L D A # 1 2 S E T C O M M A N D R E G
S T A C O A D O P U A R T
J S R 2 1 3 C I N P U T S E C R E T K E Y
J S R 2 3 5 5 C H E C K P A R I T Y
S P A C E
1 0 1 1 L D A C O A 6 " 7 T " u S { E Y C O A R D A N D
A N D # 0 3 L I N E C O N T I N U O S L Y
D E Q ( 1 0 1 B )
J S R 1 0 3 4
1 0 1 U L D A C O 0 0
D P L ( 1 0 1 1 )
S P A C E
H u " R 1 1 S E T E N C R Y P T I O N M O D E
J S R 3 0 0 0 S U B R O U T I N E R E A D
J S R 2 5 0 0 E C D E N C R Y P T I O N
J S R 2 6 0 0 S U B R O U T I N E T R A N S M I T
J S R 2 7 0 0 p 6 / : O u U c T I O E D E L A Y
J M P 1 0 1 1
S P A C E
1 0 3 4 " , p N i x 0 S E T D E r - ± - J } O D E
J S R 2 9 0 0 S U B R O U T I N E R E C R I V E
J S R 2 A 0 0 E C D D E C R Y P T I O N
j C z i h v m S U U S O U T I N E D I S P L A Y
C M P # 0 D r j ) o y a U O R E N D
D E Q ( 1 0 4 7 ) O P D A T A
L i ω i j 2 i
1 0 1 1 H A L T

```

Fig. 5.7 - CBC Error Characteristic

where an error in the ciphertext block $Y(i-1)$ can affect every bit in the recovered plaintext block $X(i-1)$ but it will affect only the corresponding bit positions in the received plaintext block $X(i)$. Subsequent plaintext blocks $X(i+1)$, $X(i+2)$, ... are unaffected.

If bits are added or lost in a ciphertext block so that block boundaries are lost between sender and receiver, then synchronization is lost. However cryptographic synchronization will automatically be re-established 64 bits after block boundaries have been established.

This self synchronizing scheme may be useful when small amounts of noise are present on the data communication links.

5.4 Stream Cipher Feedback

5.4.1 Principle

The cipher feedback mode (CFB) is an additive stream cipher technique in which the DES is used to generate a pseudo-random binary stream. This stream is exclusive-ored with the binary plaintext to form the ciphertext which is fed back to form the next DES input block. The pseudo-random binary stream is sometimes referred to as the key stream and the DES the key generator.

This mode is schematically shown in Figure 5.8. One through to sixty four bit CFB operation may be used unlike the ECB mode where the message is required to be divided into blocks of a given blocksize namely 64. A 64-bit initialization vector (IV) is used as a starter input block to begin the CFB operation. (Note that if the size of IV is chosen to be less than 64 bits, then it can be padded with '0's to form 64 bits). This vector is processed through the DES device in the encrypt state to produce a pseudo-random output block. The message is divided into characters of s -bit size where $0 < s \leq 65$. The DES algorithm is operated once for each new s -bit character. Then s -bits of the DES pseudo-random output block (O_1, O_2, \dots, O_s) are used in the exclusive-or operation with the s -bits of the plaintext (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_s) to form the ciphertext (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_s). That is, $(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_s) = (D_1 \oplus O_1, D_2 \oplus O_2, \dots, D_s \oplus O_s)$. This operation may be defined when the length of the plaintext character to be encrypted is less than




Fig. 5.8 - Cipher Feedback Mode. (CFB)

s-bits by concatenating zeroes to the left hand side or most significant bits of the plaintext character. Similarly during decryption, plaintext is produced by exclusive-oring a s-bit ciphertext character with the s-bits of DES output block. That is,

$$(D_1, D_2, \dots, D_s) = (C_1 \oplus O_1, C_2 \oplus O_2, \dots, C_s \oplus O_s)$$

In both cases, the same s-bits of the DES output block are used and the unused bits are discarded. At both ends, the next input block is created by discarding the most significant s-bits of the previous input block, shifting the remaining bits s positions to the left and then inserting the s-bit ciphertext character just produced in the encryption operation or just used in the decrypt operation into the least significant bit positions as shown in Figure 5.8. That is, the input block $(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{64})$ is given by,

$$(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{64}) = (I_{s+1}, I_{s+2}, \dots, I_{64}, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_s)$$

This input block is then processed through the DES device in the encrypt state to produce the next output block. An important difference compared with the two modes considered in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 is that even in decryption, the DES is used in its encryption state. This is because in CFB mode, the DES algorithm has been used as a pseudo-random number generator rather than as a cryptographic transformation.

Mathematically, this mode can be expressed as follows:

Let $X(i)$ be the i th plaintext input data and $Y(i)$ be the i th ciphertext output data. Let $U(i)$ be the intermediate initialization vector at time i . In general, the length of the intermediate initialization vector may not be equal to the length of the initialization vector Z . Let the function h' define how $U(1)$ is obtained from Z . That is, $U(1) = h'(Z)$.

Encipherment of the first s-bit plaintext is given by:

$$Y(1) = X(1) \oplus f_k [U(1)]$$

Let the function h define the dependency of the intermediate initialization vector at time i on the previous initialization vector $U(i-1)$ as well as the additional feedback quantity. That is,

$$U(i) = h [U(i-1), \text{feedback quantity}]$$

where the feedback quantity = $Y(i-1)$.

In CFB mode with $s < 64$,

$$U(i) = U(i-1) \parallel Y(i-1), i > 1$$

where \parallel denotes concatenation of $U(i-1)$ and $Y(i-1)$.

With $s=64$,

$$U(i) = Y(i-1), i > 1$$

That is, effectively the ciphertext at time $i-1$ is fed back as input to the DES algorithm. Defining $Y(0) \equiv Z$, with $s=64$, it follows that

$$f_k [U(i)] = f_k [Y(i-1)], i \geq 1$$

Therefore equations of encipherment and decipherment can be expressed as

$$Y(i) = X(i) \oplus f_k [U(i)], i \geq 1 \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$X(i) = Y(i) \oplus f_k [U(i)], i \geq 1 \quad (5.4)$$

respectively where $U(1) = Z$.

5.4.2 Implementation

An 8-bit cipher feedback mode has been implemented on the encryption system. The flowchart of the program can be found in Appendix 6. The differences between this program and the ECB and CBC programs are now very briefly mentioned.

As in the case of the CBC program, a 64-bit initialization vector is generated at the transmitting end and sent to the receiver for proper synchronization. The major difference compared to ECB

and CBC programs is that in this mode, the message is encrypted byte by byte and not in blocks. This implies that there is no need for padding at the end of the message and consequently no cryptogram extension. One additional routine SHIFT is required which shifts the intermediate initialization vector (memory locations TEMP 1 and TEMP 2) to the left and appends the 8 bits of the ciphertext to the right side of the shifted input to produce the next DES input. Finally at both the transmitting and the receiving ends, the data security device is programmed to encryption state.

5.4.3. Results and Discussion

The plaintext example shown in Figure 5.2 is enciphered under the CFB mode using the same key and the initialization vector as in the CBC mode. The ciphertext produced is shown in Figure 5.9.

From Figure 5.9, it is seen that as in the case of the CBC mode, the CFB mode does not produce the same ciphertext even when the input plaintext is the same. This is because the intermediate initialization vectors are different in each case. Thus chaining has again eliminated patterns occurring in the ciphertext. For this mode to produce the same ciphertext when the same plaintext is encrypted, both the key and the initialization vector must be identical in the two cases. This mode is similar to CBC in its resistance to forms of attack such as ciphertext searching, replay, insertion and deletion.

While both the CBC and ECB modes discussed earlier required padding of the last block of the message (if its length is not equal to an integral multiple of 64 bits), such problems do not arise in this stream cipher mode. That is, the key stream length can be matched exactly to the length of the plaintext to be enciphered. So this mode allows easy encryption of 'non-block type' messages such as character by character or even bit by bit encryption. Hence the CFB mode can be used for instance to encipher the short last block occurring at the end of the message in CBC mode (Section 5.3.3). However, CFB is less efficient than CBC in that it requires for each plaintext character one execution of the encryption algorithm. For example, the throughput of the DES operating on 8-bit CFB is reduced by a factor of 8 or more compared to the CBC mode. This can be overcome by enciphering n plaintext characters using the pseudo-random key stream produced by one DES cycle where n is the largest

21
 Y C X k t L a T R M P ±] μ f v y P E ~ / | 7 w . p 0 1 v | ~
 .. G N l ~ * Y S N § T O M ±] μ f v y P E ~ / | 7 w . p 0 1 v | ~
 l t m d A d A V W i o ∞ 0 1 S ψ ^ ^ 6 X e z o o S B φ K v n ^ A W c i e d u u i ∞ 0 1 v | ~
 D j c o (p i v k - --- N - - W v i 3 d g b # i l b p o - v k n U U ^ x f . --- 3 / w p 7 ~ k q - α . o t j u ^ ()
 W) D i (p i v k - --- N - - W v i 3 d g b # i l b p o - v k n U U ^ x f . --- 3 / w p 7 ~ k q - α . o t j u ^ ()
 (∞ o i e r p i v k - --- N - - W v i 3 d g b # i l b p o - v k n U U ^ x f . --- 3 / w p 7 ~ k q - α . o t j u ^ ()
 i g w d o k k - --- N - - W v i 3 d g b # i l b p o - v k n U U ^ x f . --- 3 / w p 7 ~ k q - α . o t j u ^ ()
 U A g Q γ β x v α A g 2 P e) + n = a u y i l b p o - v k n U U ^ x f . --- 3 / w p 7 ~ k q - α . o t j u ^ ()
 n π γ β x v α A g 2 P e) + n = a u y i l b p o - v k n U U ^ x f . --- 3 / w p 7 ~ k q - α . o t j u ^ ()
 l d γ β x v α A g 2 P e) + n = a u y i l b p o - v k n U U ^ x f . --- 3 / w p 7 ~ k q - α . o t j u ^ ()
 o 7 3 7 p v i ∞ x e u 7 n m x 0 b i n w - --- h k y ① i a l n o t g p 9 B 7 ~ k q - α . o t j u ^ ()
 4 σ x h γ 0 < 9 u i o t U o s . - 7 " (W F s > i U r b v j p x m x = a d i p k o " π i [o) i j x i o m z
 = γ γ 4 M 7 i α i o n 2 - 0 \ γ y l (= 7 " (W F s > i U r b v j p x m x = a d i p k o " π i [o) i j x i o m z
 p γ 8 v i α i o n 2 - 0 \ γ y l (= 7 " (W F s > i U r b v j p x m x = a d i p k o " π i [o) i j x i o m z
 l i p u v α i o n 2 - 0 \ γ y l (= 7 " (W F s > i U r b v j p x m x = a d i p k o " π i [o) i j x i o m z
 v Z i o v α i o n 2 - 0 \ γ y l (= 7 " (W F s > i U r b v j p x m x = a d i p k o " π i [o) i j x i o m z
 e x k m 6 γ M f o i f & f φ v r b m) d - ± K S k : e x 2 P / - y m v Q κ η j
 F w m n o γ J O i f & f φ v r b m) d - ± K S k : e x 2 P / - y m v Q κ η j
 S r R n o γ J O i f & f φ v r b m) d - ± K S k : e x 2 P / - y m v Q κ η j
 l r ω s N e ; t l ① o e i j 0 i t 5 σ t t l s W O R v n i o i m ① T Q κ η j
 - 3 [x /] G y h 0 } >
 k [x /] G y h 0 } >
 / x /] G y h 0 } >
 φ o o j h i a } >
 8

Fig. 5.9 - CFB Ciphertext Example

71

U - / E , i o L E P R O G R A M E N A B L E S T H A N S F E R C P
 D E S E N C I P I I E R E D D A T A D E T W E B E N A P P L E M I C R O -
 C O M P U T E R S

1 0 0 0 O = k , - * S E T M O D E R E G
 S T A C O A D O P U A R T
 L D A # 1 2 S E T C O M M A N D R E G
 S T A C O A D O P U A R T
 J S R 2 1 3 C I N P U T S E C R E T K E Y
 J S R 2 3 5 5 C H E C K P A R I T Y
 S P A C E
 1 0 1 1 L D A C O A 6 Q J # y ? O A R D A N D
 A N D # 0 3 L I N E C O N T I N U O S L Y
 D B Q (1 0 1 D)
 J M P 1 0 3 4
 1 0 1 B L D A C O 0 0
 B P L (1 0 1 1)
 S P A C E
 K v i u 0 0 r v S E T E N C R Y P T I O N M O D E
 J S R 3 0 0 0 S U D R O U T I N E R E A D
 J S R 2 5 0 0 E C D E N C R Y P T I O N
 J S R 2 6 0 0 S U B R O U T I N E T R A N S M I T
 J S R 2 7 0 0 R μ ? 1 6 ω E D E L A Y
 J M P 1 0 1 1
 S P A C E
 1 0 3 4 K 7 1) 0 ω M M S E T D E C S (☆ o ω N § T N O D E
 J S R 2 0 0 0 S U B R O U T I N E R E C E I V E
 J S R 2 A 0 0 E C D D E C R Y P T I O N
 J S R 3 0 # * η ; - * 2 O U T I N E D I S P L A Y
 C M P # 8 D S d y l h P c - E N D
 D B Q (1 0 4 7) O P D A T A
 J M S k : ρ § * * f
 1 0 4 7 H A L T

Fig. 5.10 - CFB Error Characteristic

integer which is less than $\left\lceil \frac{\text{block size of DES}}{\text{plaintext character size}} \right\rceil$. In the case of 8-bit CFB for instance, one can encipher 8 plaintext characters (bytes) using the pseudo-random sequence produced by one DES cycle. This will produce a throughput comparable to that of the CBC without the need for padding.

Errors have been introduced within the ciphertext to study the error extension characteristics of the CFB mode. From Figure 5.10 it is seen that bit errors within one CFB ciphertext character affects not only the decryption of the garbled ciphertext character but also the decryption of the succeeding characters until the bit errors are shifted out of the CFB input block. The first affected plaintext character is garbled in exactly those places where the ciphertext character is in error. Successive plaintext characters experience an average error rate of 50% until all errors have been shifted out of the DES input block. In this 8-bit CFB case, errors in one ciphertext character is seen to affect decryption of nine characters. Further it is seen from Figure 5.10 that the CFB decryption automatically regains cryptographic synchronization. This self-synchronization property is also reflected in equations (5.3) and (5.4), Section 5.4.1. From equation (5.4), an error in ciphertext $Y(i-1)$ can potentially affect every bit in the computed quantity $f_k[U(i)]$ and hence can cause every bit in the recovered plaintext $X(i)$ to be in error. From equation (5.4), an error in ciphertext $Y(i-1)$ causes only the corresponding bit position in the recovered plaintext $X(i-1)$ to be in error. The system synchronizes when $U(i)$ becomes equal at both ends. Thus like the CBC mode, CFB mode provides limited error extension.

The recommendations given in Section 5.3.3 regarding the management of the CBC initialization vectors and its influence on the security are also applicable to CFB.

5.5 Cipher Block Chaining with Plaintext Feedback

5.5.1 Principle

Cipher block chaining with plaintext feedback (CBCP) is a block cipher in which the plaintext is exclusive-ored not only with the previous ciphertext block but also with the previous plaintext block prior to being processed by the DES.

This scheme is illustrated in Figure 5.11. The first DES




Fig 5.11 - Cipher Block Chaining with Plaintext Feedback (CBCP)

input block is formed by exclusive-oring the first plaintext block with a 64-bit initialization vector (IV). This input block is processed through the DES device producing a 64-bit DES output block which defines the first ciphertext block. Hence processing of the first plaintext block is same as in the CBC mode. This first ciphertext block is exclusive-ored with the second plaintext block as well as the first plaintext block to construct the second DES input block. The next DES operation produces the second ciphertext block. This chaining process is continued until the end of the message when the last block of ciphertext is obtained by encrypting the last input block formed by exclusive-oring last plaintext block with the (last-1) ciphertext and plaintext blocks.

In CBCP decryption, the first ciphertext block received is processed through the DES device to produce the DES output block. This first output block is exclusive-ored with the same initialization vector (IV) to produce the first plaintext block. Again the deciphering process of the first ciphertext block is same as in the CBC mode. The second ciphertext block is processed through the DES to yield the second output block which is then exclusive-ored with the first ciphertext and plaintext blocks to produce the second plaintext block. This process is continued until the end when the last block of plaintext is obtained by exclusive-oring the last DES output block with the (last-1) plaintext and ciphertext blocks.

Mathematically, the scheme can be expressed as follows:

Encipherment and decipherment procedures are given by:

$$Y(i) = f_k [X(i) \oplus U(i)] \quad i \geq 1 \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$X(i) = f_k^{-1} [Y(i)] \oplus U(i) \quad i \geq 1 \quad (5.6)$$

where

$X(i)$ is the i th plaintext block

$Y(i)$ is the corresponding i th ciphertext block

and

$$U(i) = \begin{cases} Z, \text{ the initialization vector} & i = 1 \\ h[X(i-1), Y(i-1)] & i > 1 \end{cases}$$

Here h represents a simple exclusive-or function.

Therefore,

$$U(i) = X(i-1) \oplus Y(i-1), \quad i > 1 \quad (5.7)$$

Substituting (5.7) for $U(i)$ into (5.5) gives

$$Y(i) = f_k[X(i) \oplus X(i-1) \oplus Y(i-1)], \quad i > 1$$

From the recursive nature of the equations (5.5) and (5.7) it follows that there exist functions H_1, H_2, \dots, H_i such that

$$Y(i) = H_i[k, X(0), X(1), \dots, X(i)], \quad i \geq 1 \quad (5.8)$$

where $X(0) \equiv Z$.

Similarly from (5.6) and (5.7) it follows that,

$$X(i) = \begin{cases} f_k^{-1}[Y(i)] \oplus Z & i = 1 \\ f_k^{-1}[Y(i)] \oplus Y(i-1) \oplus X(i-1) & i > 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus there exist functions G_1, G_2, \dots, G_i such that

$$X(i) = G_i[k, Y(0), Y(1), \dots, Y(i)], \quad i \geq 1 \quad (5.9)$$

where $Y(0) \equiv Z$.

From equation (5.8), one can see that the enciphering process is entirely deterministic and the output ciphertext block at time i , $Y(i)$, is dependent only on the inputs to the ciphering process from time 1 through time i , namely, the key (k), the initialization vector (Z) and all the plaintext blocks $X(1)$ through to $X(i)$. Furthermore, since ciphertext block $Y(i)$ is dependent on

the initial conditions established at the beginning of the ciphering process, at time 1, it is said to be origin dependent[3].

From equation (5.9), it can be seen that the recovered plaintext block at time i, $X(i)$, depends only on the key (k), the initialization vector (Z) and all ciphertext blocks $Y(1)$ through to $Y(i)$. Thus $X(i)$ is also origin dependent.

This scheme is defined to be a general block cipher[3].

5.5.2 Implementation

The program implementing this mode of operation is very much similar to the one used for the CBC mode. The only difference is that in this case, a plaintext block is not only exclusive-ored with the previous ciphertext block (TEMP 1) but also with the previous plaintext block prior to DES encryption. A similar difference occurs after DES decryption.

5.5.3 Results and Discussion

The plaintext example shown in Figure 5.2 is enciphered under this mode using the same key and initialization vector as before. The ciphertext produced is shown in Figure 5.12.

Again from Figure 5.12 it is seen that there is no repetition of ciphertext even when the plaintext is repetitive. This mode is similar to CBC and CFB in its resistance to forms of attack such as ciphertext searching, replay, insertion and deletion. The most interesting property of this mode however is that of error extension. The deciphered version of the example with some errors introduced in the ciphertext prior to decryption is shown in Figure 5.13. Two points are worth to be noted. The first one is that an error in the ciphertext affects the decryption of all subsequent blocks until the end of the message. That is, the scheme exhibits the property of error propagation. This agrees with equation (5.9) where every bit of the recovered plaintext block $X(i)$ is a function of every bit in the ciphertext blocks $Y(1)$ through to $Y(i)$. The only case in which the error is not propagated occurs when the corrupted ciphertext block $Y(i)^*$ and the deciphered value of $Y(i)^*$ under key k obey the equality

$$Y(i)^* \oplus f_k^{-1}(Y(i)^*) = Y(i) \oplus f_k^{-1}(Y(i))$$

41
 g d N T u R f q I K [I " e k - 7 U i 3 A (S > ' R <) B λ f e i
 c m C T n h o o D f σ n & u t p / P i i o α θ L --- G i v l i h u s r v 6 σ o
 ō - a (f w x M o x b α i u T P / P i i o α θ L --- G i v l i h u s r v 6 σ o
 U e G D Z n n u n j b α i u T P / P i i o α θ L --- G i v l i h u s r v 6 σ o
 Y y o o - Q o i ~ K § II K w X II Y e E i X h l c p i N [g Z M f p i i o
 |) : y z o J u ± ~ i ~ i f l δ α - | i 4 f l ? u P x M π G n 2 e q u o u n n i
 n " q f w g v λ (i s \ l δ α - | i 4 f l ? u P x M π G n 2 e q u o u n n i
 o y i u i / s --- d U r e f w Θ > x i 2 s p δ : 7 e o S T b i l - a m r -
 U C e D 4 / s --- d U r e f w Θ > x i 2 s p δ : 7 e o S T b i l - a m r -
 | h o i p n s | σ r o i : 4 v - S J e σ u + o i U) φ L e s D ~ 3 ~ X
 ō y e j n G p - q u π U t N b e - i z e - K v V l η θ ε ∞ μ - j d L m a U i κ v o x
 g o o M G p - q u π U t N b e - i z e - K v V l η θ ε ∞ μ - j d L m a U i κ v o x
 n / t - ^ u π U t N b e - i z e - K v V l η θ ε ∞ μ - j d L m a U i κ v o x
 s i l k ' Y λ ? (a h c & i - c + 6 w μ a] L o i j d L m a U i κ v o x
 : l L x h T / d w A w Θ - c m n ? μ a] L o i j d L m a U i κ v o x
 q x o --- h t s v ^ T r i φ r m ō u b = l g s ^ l ~ p p o μ s \ B n ~ σ j 8
 t u e 6 D e c B V n α n y p ō u b = l g s ^ l ~ p p o μ s \ B n ~ σ j 8
 l e o t u T o k h g i n μ r 4 w s y r v p p o μ s \ B n ~ σ j 8
 i Y d l = s o y ∞ α | s b s n X 4 w s y r v p p o μ s \ B n ~ σ j 8
 - U b L 2 u o r < r i P ~ i r k k 0 --- η i o i D n i 6 e j s c i / δ c h i a x
 r o - e c p < r i P ~ i r k k 0 --- η i o i D n i 6 e j s c i / δ c h i a x
 F n μ o e 3 s i P ~ i r k k 0 --- η i o i D n i 6 e j s c i / δ c h i a x
 h h v κ J f q q m | M r l h h L 9 ~ c & e 5 e] i t E i f v ∞ h q m x
 i g λ K ~ F | i v . o i 7 j T ^ y - 4 s D e W j r B x e p - k κ o κ x ∞ T i c g
 x v i - i . o i 7 j T ^ y - 4 s D e W j r B x e p - k κ o κ x ∞ T i c g
) f i m ~ a C A j o ^ η m d W 7 (m 7 i o i / 7 L e η O M l { " ∞ ? n L Θ
 B e v t u u ^ v f η m d W 7 (m 7 i o i / 7 L e η O M l { " ∞ ? n L Θ
 o γ J ? Z d a O a < o - --- l w ω i K ± m v p 5 L l e o j o
 o π e W φ N h . h | L i O z | k m
 h i , D i i

Fig. 5.12 - CBCP Ciphertext Example

[illegible]

Fig. 5.13 - CBCP Error Characteristic

That is, the feedback value at point A(Figure 5.11)input to the next cycle, is unchanged. Assuming that the probability that an error in $Y(i)$ causing each bit in $f_k^{-1}(Y(i)^*)$ to differ from the corresponding bit in $f_k^{-1}(Y(i))$ is approximately equal to 0.5, the probability that an error cancellation occurs is approximately equal to 2^{-64} .


Secondly, when deciphering the ciphertext containing errors with the right key and the right initialization vector, it is seen that patterns or repetitions in the garbled deciphered text are revealed which correspond to the patterns in the plaintext. The reason for this occurrence is given as follows:

First consider the case where there are no errors in the ciphertext prior to decryption. Referring to the diagram shown below, assume that the plaintext blocks 2 and 3 are the same (but their corresponding ciphertexts C_1 and C_2 will be different due to chaining). Then, for the deciphered block 3 to be equal to block 2, P_2 , one must have

$$O_2^2 \oplus C_2^1 \oplus P_2 = P_2$$

ie ,

$$O_2^2 \oplus C_2^1 = (0 \dots 0) = \text{Zero block}$$



Now if the block C_1 has an error, C_1^* , then this decryption results in plaintext block, P_1^* . The second block P_2^* is then equal to $C_1^* \oplus P_1^* \oplus O_2^1$. The third block is given by $O_2^2 \oplus C_2^1 \oplus P_2^*$ and this is equal to P_2^* because $O_2^2 \oplus C_2^1$ is still equal to the zero block as it is unaffected by error in C_1 . Thus this repetition in the deciphered version will occur as long as the two successive blocks are the same in the plaintext. When P_2 and P_3 are different, then

$P_2 \oplus C_2^1 \oplus O_2^2 = P_3$ and $C_2^1 \oplus O_2^2$ is not equal to the zero block. Therefore when an error occurs in C_1^* , $P_2^* \oplus C_2^1 \oplus O_2^2 = P_3^* \neq P_2^*$.

This pattern occurrence is not of great concern as far as the security of this scheme is concerned because here we are talking about the legitimate receiver with the right key and the right initialization vector detecting patterns in the decrypted version of the garbled ciphertext. This scheme is not at all suitable for communication links prone to noise. On the other hand, this error propagation property can be used to prevent 'spoofing' attack. This scheme is very much suitable for message authentication purposes where one needs to determine with a high level of confidence whether the message has been altered.

As CBCP is a block cipher padding is again required at the end of the message like the ECB and the CBC modes.

5.6 Stream Cipher Feedback with Vector Feedback

5.6.1 Principle

This is an additive stream cipher technique similar to CFB in which the DES is used to generate a pseudo-random binary stream. This stream is exclusive-ored with the plaintext to form the ciphertext. The ciphertext together with the initialization vector is then fed back to form the next DES input block. The feedback from the initialization vector is the feature which differentiates it from the CFB mode. It is referred to as CFBV.

This scheme is illustrated in Figure 5.14. The initialization vector forms the first DES input block. This is encrypted by the DES device producing a 64-bit pseudo-random output block. The rightmost or the least significant s -bits ($1 \leq s \leq 64$) of the DES output block are exclusive-ored with the s -bits of the plaintext to form s -bits of ciphertext. These s -bits of the ciphertext are expanded to form a 64-bit block by repetition. This block is then exclusive-ored with the previous initialization vector and the result is shifted by s -bits to form the next DES input block, the new initialization vector. This is then used in the encryption of the next s -bit plaintext character. This process is repeated until the end of the message.

In CFBV decryption, the first output block, produced by encrypting the same initialization vector, is exclusive-ored with the




Fig. 5.14 -Stream Cipher with Ciphertext and Vector Feedback (CFBV)

first s -bit ciphertext character to produce the first s -bit plaintext character. The first s -bit ciphertext character is then expanded to form a 64-bit block which is exclusive-ored with the previous initialization vector. The result is shifted by s -bits to form the next DES input block. This process is repeated until the end of the message.

Note that the first cycle of this scheme is exactly the same as in CFB. Furthermore as in CFB, the DES device is used in its encryption state at both ends.

Mathematically, this scheme can be described as follows:
The encipherment and decipherment can be expressed as

$$Y(i) = X(i) \oplus f_k [U(i)] \quad i \geq 1 \quad (5.10)$$

and

$$X(i) = Y(i) \oplus f_k [U(i)] \quad i \geq 1 \quad (5.11)$$

respectively

where

$X(i)$ is the i th plaintext character

$Y(i)$ is the corresponding i th ciphertext character

$$U(i) = \begin{cases} Z, \text{ initialization vector, } i = 1 \\ h [U(i-1), Y(i-1)] , & i > 1 \end{cases} \quad (5.12)$$

Here the function h is given by

$$h [U(i-1), Y(i-1)] = E (Y(i-1)) \oplus U(i-1), i > 1$$

where

$E (Y(i-1))$ represents expansion of s -bit ciphertext characters $Y(i-1)$ repetitively to form a 64-bit block.

From the recursive nature of the equations (5.10), (5.11) and (5.12), it follows that there exist functions H_1, H_2, \dots, H_i and $G_1, G_2, \dots,$

G_i , such that

$$Y(i) = X(i) + H_i [k, X(0), X(1), \dots, X(i-1)] \quad i \geq 1 \quad (5.13)$$

and

$$X(i) = Y(i) + G_i [k, Y(0), Y(1), \dots, Y(i-1)] \quad i \geq 1 \quad (5.14)$$

where

$$X(0) \equiv Y(0) \equiv Z$$

The equations (5.13) and (5.14) are counterparts of the equations (5.8) and (5.9) given in Section 5.5.1. Hence this scheme represents a general stream cipher [3].

From equation (5.13), it follows that the j th bit in the ciphertext character $Y(i)$ is directly affected by only the j th bit in the plaintext character $X(i)$ whereas it is potentially affected by every bit in plaintext characters $X(1)$ through to $X(i-1)$. In like manner, from equation (5.14), it follows that the j th bit in the received plaintext character $X(i)$ is directly affected by only the j th bit in the ciphertext character $Y(i)$ whereas it is potentially affected by every bit in ciphertext characters $Y(1)$ through to $Y(i-1)$.

5.6.2 Implementation

The program implementing this mode of operation with $s=8$ is very much similar to the one used for the CFB mode. The only difference compared to the CFB mode is that in this case, the new initialization vector is formed by exclusive-oring the previous initialization vector with the expanded previous 8-bit ciphertext character.

5.6.3 Results and Discussion

The plaintext example shown in Figure 5.2 is enciphered under this mode using the same key and initialization vector as before. The ciphertext produced is shown in Figure 5.15.

Like all the other modes except the ECB discussed earlier this mode masks the patterns in the plaintext thus reducing the code book analysis, replay, insertion and deletion attacks. It is

Fig. 5.15 - CFBV Ciphertext Example




Fig. 5.16 - CFBV Error Characteristic

similar to CFB in that the messages can be processed character by character thus avoiding the padding required in ECB, CBC and CBCP modes.

The most interesting property of this stream cipher mode is that of error extension. The deciphered version of the example with some errors introduced in the ciphertext prior to decryption is shown in Figure 5.16. Two points are worth mentioning. The first one is that an error in a ciphertext character is found to affect the decryption of all subsequent ciphertext characters until the end of the message. That is, like CBCP, this stream cipher exhibits the property of error propagation. This can also be seen from equation (5.14). Since the recovered plaintext $X(i)$ is potentially affected by every bit in the ciphertexts $Y(1)$ through to $Y(i-1)$, error propagation is achieved. However because the j th bit in the plaintext $X(i)$ depends only on the j th bit in the ciphertext $Y(i)$, the intersymbol dependence can be achieved for all but the final plaintext. On the other hand with the CBCP, there is intersymbol dependence throughout all blocks.

Secondly, when deciphering this ciphertext containing errors with correct key and initialization vector, it is seen from Figure 5.16 that there is no pattern or repetition in the garbled decrypted text. This is in contrast to the CBCP scheme considered in Section 5.5.3. So even the legitimate user with right key and right initialization vector gets a completely garbled text when the errors are introduced in the ciphertext. This means that this scheme is not suitable for links prone to noise but is very useful for message authentication purposes.

STATISTICAL TESTS ON DES OUTPUT SEQUENCES

6.1 General

Some statistical tests are applied to the output sequences obtained using the DES algorithm under different modes (see Chapter 5) to test for their randomness properties. Some simple statistical tests are also considered with a view to detecting the dependence or correlation between the output and inputs to the DES and to determining whether plaintext-ciphertext pairs could be used to predict the bits of the key.

6.2 Statistical Tests for Randomness

Strictly speaking, no finite sequence is ever truly random. The best that can be done is to single out certain properties as being associated with randomness and to accept any sequence which has these properties as a random sequence. In particular, it is assumed that the opponent intercepts sections of ciphertext sequence and attempts to exploit the statistical properties of the sequence in his crypt-analytical attack. Therefore it is necessary to apply the statistical tests to sections of ciphertext sequence to check their randomness characteristics. This type of randomness is often referred to as local randomness [14].

There are several statistical tests which can be applied to a sequence. Here four fundamental tests have been considered which can be used to provide a quantitative measure of randomness [14]. They are the frequency test, the serial test, the runs test and the autocorrelation test. All these tests measure the relative frequencies of certain patterns of '0's and '1's in the sequence considered, in one way or another. The sequence under consideration is then regarded to be random if the sequence passes the test. Levels of confidence are set so as to decide if the sequence is random enough for our purpose.

Initially, it is necessary to choose the length of the section or sample to be tested. The sample size must not be too large to swamp local variations but at the same time it must not be too small preventing any reasonable conclusions. Accordingly, for

the test in question, a sample size of $n = 1024$ is chosen. As the next step, the properties of the input to the DES algorithm are defined. The procedure adopted is as follows: In each case, 'non-random' inputs are applied to the DES and the output is tested for its randomness. For this reason, the inputs are chosen to be periodic sequences with different period lengths, thus allowing a variable number of cycles to be present within the selected sample size.

Six different cycle lengths are selected namely 5, 8, 10, 20, 40 and 64 digits. Within each category, five input samples are chosen. Each of these inputs is encrypted using DES under the five different modes namely, the ECB, the CBC, the CFB, the CBCP and the CFBV. This procedure is carried out using five different DES keys. Among the chosen keys are included a weak key (see Section 4.6.4) and a semi-weak key (see Section 4.6.4), a 'non-random' key and two arbitrarily selected 'random' keys. The input samples and the keys used are given in Appendix 7, Section A7.1.

The tests are performed in two parts. In the first part, the tests are applied to output samples produced by encryption under the different DES modes for a fixed key. This is done to investigate the effect of different modes of encryption on randomness of the output. In the second part, the key to the DES is varied to find the effect of key on the randomness of the output.

The four tests and the confidence levels which indicate whether a sequence is random or non-random are now briefly outlined [14].

6.2.1 Test 1: The Frequency Test

The frequency test checks whether there is approximately the same number of '0's and '1's in the sequence.

Let the length of the sequence be n and let it contain n_0 zeroes and n_1 ones. Defining,

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(n_0 - n_1)^2}{n}$$

$\chi^2 = 0$ when $n_0 = n_1$. Larger the value of χ^2 , greater the discrepancy between the observed and expected frequencies. This is a χ^2 -test with one degree of freedom. Thus if the value of χ^2 is

not greater than 3.84, then from the table of χ^2 distribution given in [28], the sequence is passed at 5% significance level. (Note that if $\chi^2 = 0$, the sequence might also be rejected on the grounds of it being too good!).

6.2.2 Test_2: The Serial Test

The serial test checks whether the transition probabilities are reasonable, that is, the probability of consecutive entries being equal or different is about the same. This then gives some level of confidence that each bit is independent of its predecessor.

Supposing that the sequences 00 occurs n_{00} times, 01 occurs n_{01} times, 10 occurs n_{10} times and 11 occurs n_{11} times, then

$$n_{01} + n_{00} = n_0 \quad \text{or} \quad n_0 - 1$$

$$n_{10} + n_{11} = n_1 \quad \text{or} \quad n_1 - 1$$

and

$$n_{00} + n_{01} + n_{10} + n_{11} = n - 1$$

(Note that $n-1$ occurs because in a sequence length of n bits, there are only $n-1$ transitions).

Ideally, we want $n_{00} = n_{01} = n_{10} = n_{11} \stackrel{=}{=} \frac{n-1}{4}$. Good [29] has shown that

$$\frac{4}{n-1} \left[\sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^1 (n_{ij})^2 \right] - \frac{2}{n} \left[\sum_{i=0}^1 (n_i)^2 \right] + 1 \quad (6.1)$$

is approximately distributed as χ^2 with two degrees of freedom. The value of χ^2 corresponding to a 5% significance level with two degrees of freedom is 5.99. Hence the sequence is rejected if the value of (6.1) is greater than 5.99.

6.2.3 Test_3: The Runs Test

The runs test is based on the theory of runs where a run is a succession of identical letters (zeroes or ones) which is followed and preceded by different letters. The total number of runs is often a good indication of a possible lack of randomness.

To find the probability that n_0 zeroes and n_1 ones will

form u runs when each of $\binom{n_0 + n_1}{n_1}$ possible arrangement of these letters is equally likely, first consider the case where u is even, namely, $u = 2t$, for some positive integer t . There are $\binom{n_0-1}{t-1}$ ways in which the n_0 zeroes can form t runs and $\binom{n_1-1}{t-1}$ ways in which the n_1 ones can form t runs. It follows then that there are altogether $2 \binom{n_1-1}{t-1} \binom{n_0-1}{t-1}$ ways in which those $n_1 + n_0$ letters can form $2t$ runs. The factor 2 is accounted for by the fact that when the two kinds of runs are combined so that they alternate, we can begin with a run of zero or with a run of one. Thus when $u = 2t$, the probability of getting u runs is

$$f(u) = \frac{2 \binom{n_0-1}{t-1} \binom{n_1-1}{t-1}}{\binom{n_0 + n_1}{n_1}}$$

When $u = 2t + 1$, similar arguments lead to

$$f(u) = \frac{\binom{n_0-1}{t} \binom{n_1-1}{t-1} + \binom{n_0-1}{t-1} \binom{n_1-1}{t}}{\binom{n_0 + n_1}{n_1}}$$

When n_0 and n_1 are both greater than 10 or more, the sampling distribution of u can be approximated with a normal distribution. Making use of this distribution, Gibbons [30] shows that, the expected value $E(u)$ and variance $\text{Var}(u)$ are as follows:

$$E(u) = \frac{2 n_0 n_1}{n_0 + n_1} + 1$$

and

$$\text{Var}(u) = \frac{2 n_0 n_1 (2 n_0 n_1 - n_0 - n_1)}{(n_0 + n_1)^2 (n_0 + n_1 - 1)}$$

Thus for sufficiently large value of n_0 and n_1 , the normal test variable Z is given by

$$Z = \frac{u - E(u)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(u)}}$$

The null hypothesis that the sequence is random is rejected if

$|Z| \geq 1.96$ at 5% significance level.

6.2.4 Test 4: The Autocorrelation Test

The autocorrelation function of the sequence is an important element when testing for randomness. Random sequences possess a special kind of autocorrelation function namely peaked in the middle and tapering off rapidly at the ends. Autocorrelation also reflects the periodicity within the sequence.

If $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a binary sequence, then its autocorrelation function can be defined as

$$A(r) = \frac{1}{n-r} \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} x_i \cdot x_{i+r} \quad \text{for } r = 0, 1, \dots, m$$

Here a slightly modified version of $A(r)$ has been used. The operation between x_i and x_{i+r} is defined as one of matching (comparison) instead of direct multiplication. That is,

$$A(r) = \frac{1}{n-r} \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} Z_{ir}$$

where

$$Z_{ir} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i = x_{i+r} \\ 0 & \text{if } x_i \neq x_{i+r} \end{cases}$$

That is, $A(r)$ is some sort of a measure of number of times the shifted and original sequences match, both x_i and x_{i+r} are equal to one or zero. This operation is more sensitive than direct multiplication which just tests for matching ones.

6.2.4.1 Expected Value of $A(r)$, $E(A(r))$

The probability that x_i and x_{i+r} match is given by $\text{Prob}[Z_{ir} = 1]$.

For $r \neq 0$

$$\text{Prob}[Z_{ir} = 1] = \text{Prob}(x_i = 1 \text{ and } x_{i+r} = 1) + \text{Prob}(x_i = 0 \text{ and } x_{i+r} = 0)$$

Let the probability that $x_i = 1$ be p and the probability that $x_i = 0$ be q . Then,

$$\text{Prob } [Z_{ir} = 1] = p^2 + q^2$$

$$\text{Therefore } \text{Pr}[Z_{ir} = 0] = 1 - (p^2 + q^2) = 2pq$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } E(Z_{ir}) &= (p^2 + q^2) \cdot 1 + (2pq) \cdot 0 \\ &= p^2 + q^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } E(A(r)) &= \frac{1}{n-r} E \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-r} Z_{ir} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-r} (n-r) E(Z_{ir}) \end{aligned}$$

$$E(A(r)) = p^2 + q^2$$

For a random sequence, assuming that the probabilities p and q is a $\frac{1}{2}$ this yields

$$E(A(r)) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}$$

This is to be expected as in a random sequence, the probability of observing a match of zeroes or ones is equal to that of not observing such a match. The mean value itself is an indication of non-randomness. From this, if the sequence is random, its autocorrelation function should vary around the 0.5 mark.

6.2.4.2 Variance of $A(r)$, $\text{Var } A(r)$

$$\text{Var}(A(r)) = E(A^2(r)) - [E(A(r))]^2$$

Considering first $E(A^2(r))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(A^2(r)) &= \frac{1}{(n-r)^2} E \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} (x_i x_{i+r} x_j x_{j+r}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-r)^2} E \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} (Z_{ir} Z_{jr}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-r)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} E(Z_{ir} Z_{jr}) \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Dividing the expression (6.2) into three cases namely (i) $i=j$, (ii) $i \neq j$ and $i=j+r$ or $j=i+r$ and (iii) $i \neq j$ and $i \neq j+r$ or $j \neq i+r$, we have

$$E(A^2(r)) = \frac{1}{(n-r)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} E(Z_{ir} Z_{ir}) + \frac{2}{(n-r)^2} \sum_{i=r+1}^{n-r} E(Z_{ir} Z_{i-r,r}) \\ + \frac{1}{(n-r)^2} \sum_i \sum_j E(Z_{ir} Z_{jr})$$

$$E(Z_{ir} Z_{ir}) = E(Z_{ir}) = p^2 + q^2$$

Now consider the second term $E(Z_{ir} Z_{i-r,r})$

$$Z_{ir} Z_{i-r,r} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Z_{ir} = Z_{i-r,r} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that here Z_{ir} and $Z_{i-r,r}$ are not independent because

$$Z_{ir} = x_i \odot x_{i+r} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{i-r,r} = x_{i-r} \odot x_i$$

Where \odot refers to matching.

Therefore,

$$\text{Prob}(Z_{ir}, Z_{i-r,r} = 1) = \text{Prob}(x_i \odot x_{i+r} \odot x_{i-r} = 1) + \\ \text{Prob}(x_i \odot x_{i+r} \odot x_{i-r} = 0) \\ = p^3 + q^3$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{2}{(n-r)^2} \sum_{i=r+1}^{n-r} E(Z_{ir} Z_{i-r,r}) = \frac{2(n-2r)}{(n-r)^2} (p^3 + q^3) \quad (6.3)$$

$n-2r$ is equal to the number of terms for which $i \neq j$ and $i = j+r$. By symmetry, the number of terms for which, $i \neq j$ and $j = i+r$ is $n-2r$. Hence the factor 2 in the expression (6.3).

Now consider the third term $\frac{1}{(n-r)^2} \sum_i \sum_j E(Z_{ir} Z_{jr})$ where $i \neq j, i \neq j+r$ and $j \neq i+r$.

$$Z_{ir} Z_{jr} = \begin{cases} 1 & Z_{ir} = Z_{jr} = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Prob}(Z_{ir} Z_{jr} = 1) = \text{prob}(Z_{ir} = 1) \text{ and } \text{prob}(Z_{jr} = 1)$$

$$= (p^2 + q^2) (p^2 + q^2)$$

$$= (p^2 + q^2)^2$$

The number of terms in the double sum $\sum_i \sum_j$ is given by

$$= \text{total number of terms} - [(n-r) + 2(n-2r)]$$

$$= (n-r)^2 - (n-r) - 2(n-2r)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{(n-r)^2} \sum_i \sum_j E(Z_{ir} Z_{jr}) = \left(1 - \frac{2(n-2r)}{(n-r)^2} - \frac{1}{n-r}\right) (p^2 + q^2)^2$$

Hence,

$$E(A^2(r)) = \frac{p^2 + q^2}{(n-r)} + \frac{2(n-2r)}{(n-r)^2} (p^3 + q^3) + \left[1 - \frac{2(n-2r)}{(n-r)^2} - \frac{1}{n-r}\right] (p^2 + q^2)^2$$

For a random sequence, it is assumed that $p = q = \frac{1}{2}$. Substituting this in the above expression for $E(A^2(r))$ gives,

$$\begin{aligned} E(A^2(r)) &= \frac{1}{2(n-r)} + \frac{n-2r}{2(n-r)^2} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{(n-2r)}{2(n-r)^2} - \frac{1}{4(n-r)} \\ &= \frac{1}{4(n-r)} + \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Var } A(r) = E(A^2(r)) - [E(A(r))]^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{4(n-r)} + \frac{1}{4} - \left[\frac{1}{2}\right]^2 = \frac{1}{4(n-r)}$$

Thus the variance is inversely proportional to $(n-r)$. That is, greater the sample size n , smaller the variation from the mean and as the lag r increases, the variance increases. Having calculated the expected value and the variance of $A(r)$, one can approximate the distribution with a normal distribution for sufficiently large value of n . Thus the normal test variable $N(r)$ is given by

$$N(r) = \left| \frac{A(r) - E(A(r))}{\sqrt{\text{Var } A(r)}} \right|$$

Thus at 5% significance level if $|N(r)| < 1.96$, the sequence is said to be random. In this test, the autocorrelation of the ciphertext sequences are computed and the number of $|N(r)|$ values which exceed 1.96 is used as a measure of non-randomness of the sequence.

6.3 Results and Discussion

Section A7.2 in Appendix 7 gives the results of the frequency, serial and runs tests on the ciphertext sequences produced using the ECB, CFB, CBC, CBCP and CFBV modes of DES under a fixed key namely 3131313131313131. Five input samples for each cycle length have been encrypted under the five chosen modes of DES. The notation used in Section A7.2 is : (Encryption Mode r.s), where r indicates the cycle length of the input sample and s indicates the number of the input sample within the cycle length category, r . (see Section A7.1). For instance CFB 1.2 refers to the mode CFB, input sample number 2, having a cycle length of 5 digits. The figures marked with (*) indicate that the values are in proximity to the 5% significance level and the figures marked with (**) indicate the values beyond the 5% significance level, thus showing a possible lack of randomness of the sequence under consideration.

It is seen from the results in Section A 7.2 that in general the DES under the ECB mode shows the most non-randomness characteristics out of the selected five modes. Section A7.2.1 shows, under each mode, the number of sequences which are classified as non-random by each of the three tests. In Section A7.2.2 are listed the sequences which are classified as non-random by more than one test. Again from Sections A7.2.1 and A7.2.2, it is seen that the ECB mode seems to produce the most non-random sequences out of the five modes

considered.

Section A7.3 in Appendix 7 gives the results of the frequency, serial and runs tests on the ciphertext sequences produced using the five different chosen keys (see Section A7.1) under the five DES modes. In this case, one input sample from each cycle length category has been chosen for testing purposes. The input samples selected are themselves classified as non-random by more than one of the three tests. The chosen input sequences are : 1.5, 2.1, 3.3, 4.1, 5.2 and 6.5 (see Section A7.1). These six inputs are renumbered as (i) to (vi) respectively. The five keys used are labelled I to V (see Section A7.1). It is seen from the results in Section A7.3 that the variation of the key does not seem to produce any appreciable difference in the randomness characteristic of the output sequences. Section A7.3.1 shows, under each key, the number of sequences which are classified as non-random by each of the three tests. In Section A7.3.2 are listed the sequences which are classified as non-random by more than one test. Again from Sections A7.3.1 and A7.3.2, there seems to be no great significant effect on randomness due to change in the DES keys. This seems to suggest that the sequences produced are more or less random like for any key being used. If so, this may be regarded as an important positive aspect of the DES cryptographic algorithm. If there were some keys which produced significant non-random sequences, then this might be used in cryptanalytical attacks and hence may be considered as a weakness.

Two input samples having cycle lengths of 5 and 10 digits (input samples 1.5 and 3.3), encrypted using the keys I and V, have been used in the autocorrelation test. Only the autocorrelation function curves of the input sample 1.5 (denoted as sample (i)) and its five ciphertext output sequences produced using the five DES modes with key V, are shown in Section A7.4. The autocorrelation function of the input reflects the periodic nature of the input sample (repetition of 5 digits). Further the minimum value of the input autocorrelation curve depends on the relative proportion of zeroes and ones present in the input sequence. Greater the proportion of '1', higher the mean value and hence higher the minimum value of the autocorrelation curve. From the ciphertext autocorrelation curves, it is seen that their mean value is around 0.5 which agrees with the expected value $E(A(r))$ derived earlier for a random sequence. It is also seen that the variation of the curve around the mean value seems

to be the greatest in the case of ECB mode. Section A7.4.1 gives the number of points M which lie beyond the 5% significance level, calculated using normal distribution approximation given in Section 6.2.4. The value of M can be used as a measure of randomness; greater the value of M, less is the randomness of the sequence. It is seen that for the input sample (i), with ECB mode, about 19% of the points lie outside the 5% significant level thus indicating some degree of non-randomness.

To sum up, it can be said that, from the four tests - frequency, serial, runs and autocorrelation, the DES algorithm seems to be a very good pseudo-random number generator. Out of the five modes considered - ECB, CFB, CBC, CBCP and CFBV - the ECB mode seems to produce the most non-randomness characteristics. There does not seem to be any great difference between the other modes from the point of view of randomness of output sequences produced.

Having examined the randomness characteristics of the final ciphertext output from the DES algorithm, the next step is to apply these tests to the intermediate outputs namely the outputs of the 16 rounds of the DES operated in the standard ECB mode. The degree of randomness is expected to increase as the number of rounds increases. It is found that the first round shows a high degree of non-randomness and as the number of rounds increases the outputs become more and more random. Some of the results obtained are given in Section A7.5.

6.4 Other Statistical Tests

In this section, some other statistical tests which are carried out to detect dependence or correlation between the inputs and the output of DES are briefly mentioned.

6.4.1 Cross-Correlation Test

The aim of this test is to find out whether there is any significant correlation between the ciphertext sequences produced using some special inputs and whether it can be of any use in a cryptanalytical attack on DES.

A key consisting of a '1' in the most significant position and sixty three '0's is chosen to encrypt a plaintext block of all '0's. Then the bits are shifted one position to right and the key

is used to encrypt the same plaintext. This procedure is repeated 64 times, by shifting each time the key bits one position to the right. Out of the 64 ciphertexts produced, only 56 ciphertext sequences are distinct, since 8 bits of the key are used as parity bits. In order to determine whether there is any correlation between these 56 sequences, it is necessary to examine the cross correlation of each sequence with the other 55 sequences. As this seemed to be impracticable, two sequences are chosen and they are cross correlated with the rest. The chosen sequences are:

- (i) the first ciphertext sequence corresponding to the key 100... 0
- (ii) the 29th ciphertext sequence corresponding to key 0...1^{29th}...0

The results obtained showed cases with high degree of correlation; three such cases are given below:

- (a) first output cross-correlated with the third output with lag $r = 1$
- (b) first output cross-correlated with the fourth output with lag $r = 1$
- (c) first output cross-correlated with the eleventh output with lag $r = 0$

To investigate these cases further, four other plaintext blocks are encrypted under the same key using the above procedure. The results are then examined for correlation for the three cases stated above. Further these four plaintexts are encrypted using four different keys following the above procedure and the results examined for correlation for the three cases (a), (b) and (c) above. However, these investigations showed that no conclusive evidence can be found of the existence of any systematic correlation between these 56 ciphertext sequences.

6.4.2 χ^2 -Test to Detect Dependence Between Output and Input

A χ^2 -test [6] has been used to detect whether there is any dependence of an output sub-block on an input sub-block for a fixed key.

Let the plaintext block $X = (x_0 \dots x_{63})$ be enciphered by DES with key $K = (k_0, \dots, k_{55})$ into ciphertext $Y = (y_0, \dots, y_{63})$.

In DES, each output bit co-ordinate y_i is a function of the 64 input bit co-ordinates x_i and the 56 key co-ordinates k_i . The χ^2 test enables to check whether some set of the output bit co-ordinates \mathcal{CO}_{out} are dependent on some set of the input bit co-ordinates \mathcal{CO}_{in} for a fixed key. Note that the test can also be used [6] to test for the dependency of some set of output bit co-ordinates on some set of key co-ordinates for a fixed input. Dependence might be used to estimate the key or plaintext; for example, if k_i is dependent on some set of output or input bit positions, one could make this the basis of the recovery of k_i from corresponding plaintext and ciphertext.

The χ^2 test used is explained below [6]:

- (i) Small subsets of \mathcal{CO}_{in} and \mathcal{CO}_{out} of sizes N_{in} and N_{out} are chosen where $\mathcal{CO}_{in} = (i_0, \dots, i_{N_{in}-1})$, $\mathcal{CO}_{out} = (j_0, \dots, j_{N_{out}-1})$
- (ii) A key $K = (k_0, \dots, k_{55})$ is chosen
- (iii) A set of plaintexts is encrypted under the key K and the $2^{N_{in}}$ by $2^{N_{out}}$ contingency table is formed where the (s, t) entry is the number of times

$$(x_{i,i_0}, \dots, x_{i,i_{N_{in}-1}}) = (s_0, \dots, s_{N_{in}-1})$$

$$(y_{i,j_0}, \dots, y_{i,j_{N_{out}-1}}) = (t_0, \dots, t_{N_{out}-1})$$

where $(s_0, \dots, s_{N_{in}-1})$, $(t_0, \dots, t_{N_{out}-1})$ are the base 2 representations of s and t .

- (iv) Then the χ^2 -statistic with $2^{N_{in}+N_{out}-1}$ degrees of freedom is computed where

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{0 \leq s < 2^{N_{in}}} \sum_{0 \leq t < 2^{N_{out}}} \frac{(N_{s,t}(X) - N_{samples} 2^{-(N_{out}+N_{in})})^2}{2^{-(N_{out}+N_{in})} N_{samples}}$$

In our case, $N_{samples} = 250$ and the key is 3131313131313131. The subsets are chosen to be $\mathcal{CO}_{in} = \mathcal{CO}_{out} = (3, 4)$. The contingency table for a two-tailed χ^2 -test at 1% and 99% confidence levels is given as follows:

	00	01	10	11
00	20	21	11	9
01	19	10	18	16
10	25	17	13	12
11	22	10	16	11

The computed χ^2 -value is 23.408. Using the two-tailed χ^2 -test, the 1% and 99% confidence levels are given by $\chi^2_{\text{lower}} = 5.81$ and $\chi^2_{\text{upper}} = 32$ respectively. This leads us to accept the null hypothesis that the output bit positions in CO_{out} are independent of the input bit positions in CO_{in} with the chosen key. In practice, the acceptance or rejection of the null hypothesis must be based upon the results of several independent χ^2 -tests. The evaluation of multiple χ^2 -tests is often made using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test [6]. Even with multiple χ^2 -tests for the correlation to be of any value in cryptanalyzing the DES, either the correlation is present for only a limited number of pairs (which can be predetermined) or correlation is present in a relatively large number of pairs which can be determined by random sampling. Thus it is necessary to carry out these tests on all pairs ($CO_{\text{in}}, CO_{\text{out}}$). The application of χ^2 -test is reported [6] to have been carried out by IBM and NSA as part of internal validation of DES.

CHAPTER 7

LOCAL FILE SECURITY: SYSTEM SOFTWARE (2)

7.1 General

The second application of the developed encryption interface unit is the encryption and decryption of files stored locally in the Apple disk system. This application offers the system off-line encryption facility whereas the point-to-point configuration considered in Chapter 5 provides on-line encryption facility.

7.2 Choice of DES Mode

Theoretically any of the DES modes previously discussed can be used for this application. However when a file is encrypted, recovery from an error must be effected with ciphertext alone. If a ciphering procedure with error propagation is used for file security, subsequent inability to read a portion of the ciphertext because of damage either to the physical medium or to the recorded bits, may prevent all the following ciphertext from being deciphered. Therefore, a self-synchronizing approach is desirable for file encryption. This constraint therefore eliminates the use of the last two of the five modes discussed in Chapter 5, leaving CBC, CFB and ECB modes. The ECB mode is to be avoided as it is the least secure of the three because of its vulnerability to the code book analysis problem. The remaining two chaining modes are the CBC, a block cipher and the CFB, a stream cipher. Any one of these two can be used. If stream cipher feedback on eight bit character is used, then the maximum speed will be one-eighth of the speed that can be achieved using the block mode. That is, if the 8-bit CFB is used, the throughput is very much reduced. Hence it is decided to adopt the CBC mode for this file security program. The limited error extension property of the CBC mode may be useful in such an application even though complete error propagation property is not suitable. Consider for instance, encryption of a database containing personnel records. Suppose a figure in the salary field of the ciphertext file is changed accidentally or deliberately, then the limited error extension property will cause two blocks of characters to be in error when decrypted. This would enable easy error detection.

On the other hand, with the CBC, the problem of padding the end of the file with pseudo-random numbers exists as it is a block cipher. Also when padding is used, an additional character called the pad count needs to be included as part of the padding characters. The pad count specifies the number of pad characters including itself which have been appended to the end of the file. This information needs to be preserved for future decipherment. Due to padding, the ciphertext will be longer than the original plaintext. This may be undesirable if the ciphertext is to replace the plaintext in some previously allocated file space. One way to avoid ciphertext expansion would be to use a stream cipher mode of operation to handle the special situation of short blocks. In this mixed mode of operation, the block cipher mode is used for ciphering standard blocks and the stream cipher mode is used for ciphering the short blocks at the end of file. Alternatively, the short blocks can be enciphered without increasing their length using the following method. To encipher the last short block of l bytes ($l < 8$) the preceding full block of ciphertext is reenciphered and the first l bytes of the result are then exclusive-ored with the plaintext short block. The preceding full block of ciphertext depends on all the preceding blocks of the file and thus is sufficiently variable. But as it is visible to the opponent, reencipherment of it provides the necessary secrecy. Thus this method provides the last short block the full strength of a standard DES encryption.

For this Apple system, as there is no stringent constraint preventing the ciphertext expansion, the padding technique has been adopted. It will be seen in the next chapter that such an approach is not possible when considering the Prestel Viewdata System and a stream cipher technique needs to be used.

7.3 Implementation

As far as the implementation is concerned, there is to be no change in the hardware of the encryption system. On the other hand, a different program has been developed for this purpose. The program can be divided into two sections. The first section performs encryption and decryption of files stored locally in the Apple disk system. The files can be APPLESOFT or INTEGER BASIC files. The second section invokes some of the routines developed in the point-to-

point communication system to transfer the encrypted files to a remote Apple terminal where they can be automatically stored onto floppy disks. The flowchart of the first section of the program together with the listing is given in Appendix 8. The two sections of the program are now very briefly described.

The program initially asks the user to enter the name of the file to be encrypted or decrypted. It then fetches the file from the local Apple disk system automatically and stores the file in a prespecified part of the memory in the Apple microcomputer system. The APPLESOFT files are stored starting from memory locations 0800 (hex) upwards whereas the INTEGER files are stored from 9600 (hex) downwards. These addresses are referred to as 'start-of-file' addresses. Each Basic instruction stored in machine code consists of a two-byte next instruction pointer, a two-byte instruction number, a sequence of bytes representing the original source line of instructions and a byte containing the 'end-of-file' marker. The Apple system also provides an 'end-of-file' pointer. Briefly, the encryption and decryption program is described as follows. In encryption, the plain file from the start-of-file address to end-of-file address forms the input to the program. Then the file is divided into blocks of 64-bits and encrypted under cipher block chaining mode. Padding of the last block of the file is done with random characters in the usual way. The encrypted file is then stored back into the same memory locations writing on top of the plain file in the Apple system memory. Then an automatic transfer of the encrypted file from the system memory to a floppy disk is performed under the filename provided by the user. The encrypted file can be loaded back from the disk at a later time and decrypted to give the original file provided the same key and initialization vectors are used. The decryption program requires the 'end-of-file' address to be able to stop the decipherment process. This in turn implies that the 'end-of-file' address must be stored along with the cipher file during encryption. One can store this end-of-file address either at the end of the cipher file or at the head of the cipher file. If the address is stored at the end of the cipher file, the decryption program will be unable to find it as the end of file depends on the length of the cipher file which varies. The decryption program cannot distinguish between the actual ciphertext and the information containing the 'end-of-file' address; so it is stored

at the head of the cipher file in plain format. In addition, the count of the number of random characters padded to the end of file, pad count, is also stored in a similar fashion. Having obtained the 'end-of-file' address and the pad count, the decryption program can find the initialization vector stored at the end of the file. Alternatively, the user may be asked to enter the initialization vector along with the key at the beginning of the program. The decryption program then deciphers the cipher file using CBC in the normal way discarding the dummy random characters at the end.

The second section uses modified versions of the Transmission routine and Receive routine (Section 5.2.2) to transfer files between two users in a point-to-point system. The user who wishes to transmit a file initially sends some plaintext to the receiving end using the terminal keyboard which contains information about his identity, the identity of the intended receiver, the type of file (APPLESOFT or INTEGER), the time at which it is sent etc. The cipher file is then sent over the communication link using the Transmission routine. The receiving end fetches the file and stores it onto a floppy disk automatically under the file name provided by the sender. The intended receiver can then decrypt the file at a later time in an off-line manner.

SECURITY IN PRESTEL VIEWDATA SYSTEM: SYSTEM SOFTWARE (3)

8.1 General

As the need and the common use of large data bases to store sensitive information increases, the requirement to maintain secrecy becomes more and more important. The Apple microcomputer system together with the designed encryption unit is interfaced to the Prestel network, the British Telecom Viewdata System, thus allowing the Apple to act as an intelligent viewdata terminal. This enables transfer and storage of encrypted as well as plain data between Apple and Prestel computer.

8.2 Brief Review of Prestel Viewdata System

The Prestel system consists of a network of GEC 4082 computers linked together by high speed data links. There are two types of computer centres namely the Information Retrieval Centres (IRCs) and Update Centres (UDCs). Currently the network consists of one UDC linked to a number of IRCs.

The basic unit of information on Prestel is a frame which consists up to a maximum of 960 characters. One or more frames are linked together to form a page. These pages of information form the Prestel database. Each page is uniquely identified by a number of up to 9 digits. Frames are further identified by letters of the alphabet a to z. Frames and pages are linked together by means of pointers and they form a tree structure. Detailed information on Prestel system can be found in [31].

8.3 Encryption/Decryption in Prestel System

As the basic unit of information is a frame, a natural choice would therefore be to encipher a complete frame at a time. However, there may be instances where encipherment of sections of a frame may be required. So in our system, we should be able to encrypt parts of a frame. At the start of each frame, it is to be indicated whether encipherment has been used.

As discussed in Chapter 7, the two modes of DES which are suitable for this data base application are the cipher block chaining (CBC) and the stream cipher feedback (CFB). Since it is required to encrypt parts of a page which may be small pieces of data such as individual characters, the stream cipher feedback appears to be more suitable. Further if the CBC mode is used, when parts of a frame are encrypted, this is likely to require padding for the encryption portion. This in turn will result in cryptogram extension and pose a problem when storing the enciphered frame on the Prestel data base as each frame is limited to a maximum of 960 characters. This constraint leads us to consider the use of the CFB mode in this application. As the backward channel, that is, from the user to the Prestel computer, has a speed of only 75 bits per second the reduction in speed resulting from the use of the CFB mode does not affect the throughput of the system.


The data format of each character transferred to the Prestel computer consists of 7 data bits. For transmission down the line, these 7 data bits are sent in an asynchronous start-stop format comprising 1 start bit, 1 stop bit, 1 even parity bit and 7 data bits. If a block cipher mode such as the CBC mode is used then it is required to transmit 64-bits of ciphertext in the above 10-bit format. One way to do this is to break the block into nine seven-bit groups and a single bit group. The nine 7-bit groups can be transmitted in the normal fashion. The last bit can be grouped with the next block. This needs to be done each time a block is enciphered and this process continues until the end of the frame or page. Alternatively, the last bit can be padded with 6 other bits to form an extra character. But this results in an extra character for every block encrypted and causes problems in storage of enciphered frame as mentioned earlier. Further since all the 64-bits of a ciphertext block are required to decipher correctly, the last bit must be received before decryption can begin. In account of this, the simple approach of stream cipher feedback mode has been adopted.

The encrypted information passes through the Prestel computer control unit which rejects any of the control characters present in the ciphertext. Referring to the coding table given in Figure 8.1, the codes belonging to the columns 1 and 0 are not accepted by Prestel computer as data. Therefore there is a need to prevent the occurrence of these control characters in the ciphertext. That is, the encryption system is to be made transparent to Prestel control

Fig. 8.1 - Viewdata Transmission Codes

unit. A simple way of achieving this is to use a 6-bit cipher feedback technique. With this technique it is always possible to ensure that the ciphertext belongs to the set of accepted codes. But this allows only 64 different possible characters that can be enciphered. In this design, these 64 input codes are 0-9, A-Z, a-z, space and period. All other codes are transparent and bypass encryption. Thus the output codes are reformed into the same range as the inputs thus preserving the one to one relationship between transmission and reception. As we are mainly interested in enciphering alphanumeric characters present in the text, the above set of input codes is found to be adequate for our purpose.

This can be extended to 96 codes (32 out of the possible 128 codes being control codes) using the 'breaking-up' technique mentioned above. First consider the case where the plaintext (ciphertext) characters are 8-bits long. In this case, the encryption process can either be in block cipher mode or in stream cipher mode. The cipher is first broken into 6-bit groups and then each 6-bit group is expanded to form a 7-bit character by adding a '1' in the most significant position, on transmission. This process removes any unwanted control codes from the transmitted ciphertext character. This is shown below




○ - denotes the 6-bit ciphertext character which is expanded to 7-bits by adding a '1' in the most significant position on transmission

□ - denotes the original 8-bit ciphertext character

Thus it is seen that to transmit 3 bytes of information, one needs to send 4 encrypted 7-bit characters. If the length of the plaintext to be enciphered is n-bytes long then this method will result in $\lceil \frac{8n}{6} \rceil + 1$ or $\frac{8n}{6}$ 7-bit characters depending $8n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ or $8n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ where $\lceil \frac{8n}{6} \rceil$ indicates the largest integer less than $\frac{8n}{6}$.

Now consider the case where the plaintext characters are 7-bits long. In this case, as mentioned earlier, stream cipher feedback mode seems to be more suitable than block cipher mode. To allow encryption of all 96 codes, again the breaking up technique can be

used. In this case, cipher is first broken into groups of 6-bits and then each 6-bit group is expanded back to 7 bits by adding a '1' in the most significant position, on transmission. This process ensures that the transmitted character is in the normal ASCII code range and is acceptable to any host computer.



Thus if the plaintext to be enciphered consists of n 7-bit characters then this method will result in $\lceil \frac{7n}{6} \rceil + 1$ or $\frac{7n}{6}$ characters on transmission depending on $7n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ or $7n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

Let us now briefly consider the connection protocol involved when using the Apple encryption system with the Prestel computer. In the usual way, the system is connected to the public switched telephone network via the modem. A call to the Prestel computer is initiated using the telephone connected to the modem. The Prestel computer responds by sending a continuous tone of high frequency. At this point, the DATA switch on the telephone is pressed thus allowing the modem to get control of the line. That is, the modem acknowledges by sending a low frequency tone to the Prestel computer. Now the terminal is ready for data transfer.

The system software essentially carries out two distinctive tasks. Firstly, it emulates the Prestel terminal keyboard using Apple keyboard. That is, for the system to make use of some extra facilities provided by Prestel, the Apple keyboard is effectively extended to include some special characters. Secondly it incorporates encryption-decryption facility into the Prestel system. The software is lengthy and complicated. Hence only some important aspects are briefly considered here.

Prestel system can be used in two modes, namely, the user mode and the information provider (IP) mode.

In the user mode, two main facilities provided by the system are:

- (a) Reception of plain and encrypted frames from the Prestel database.
- (b) Transmission of commands such as choosing a frame etc from the Apple keyboard to the Prestel computer. Note that in this case, the only keys used are 0-9, # and *.

In the editing mode, in addition to (a) and (b), facilities are provided for entering, amending, copying and deleting plain or encrypted frames of Prestel. The Prestel editing terminal keyboard is given in Appendix 9. It is seen that this keyboard has additional facilities compared to the Apple keyboard which are required to provide necessary control signals. Two important ones among these are: Start Edit and End Edit. In addition, special functions for encryption and decryption are required.

Having entered the secret DES key in the normal fashion, at the beginning of the communication, in the user mode, the user must have the choice as to when to set the interface into the decryption mode. This enables him to decipher only those pages which are in enciphered form and to read the other Prestel pages in plain form. This is carried out by pressing the key CNTRL-Q. Now if any of the enciphered pages is read from the Prestel database, it is displayed on the terminal in plain form. Only the user with the right key and the correct initialization vector will be able to obtain the complete original plain frame. The interface unit is set back to normal plain mode by pressing the key CNTRL-R. This software implementation allows changes in initialization vector during communication whereas to change the DES key, the system needs to be reset and restarted again. This has been done because every user is expected to have a single secret key although he may use any number of different initialization vectors. This is particularly important when a user needs to encrypt same portions of text in different frames. Changing the initialization vector allows different ciphertext representations of the same plaintext under a fixed key. As it stands, the user needs to keep a record of the frame number together with the initialization vector he used to encrypt that frame and his single secret DES key. An improved scheme would be to generate a pseudo-random key, called the frame key, dynamically and encrypt the frame using this key. The frame key can then be enciphered under the user's secret key using ECB mode and stored at the head of the frame. The initialization vector is again generated using a pseudo-random process and can be enciphered under the frame key using ECB mode and is also stored at the top of the frame. Using this method one has effectively chained the frame key and the initialization vector used in the encryption of a frame. The decryption process can automatically recover the enciphered frame key from the top of the frame and then decipher it

using the user's secret key under ECB mode to produce the frame key. The frame key is now used to decipher the next 8 bytes at the head of the frame under ECB mode to produce the initialization vector. The initialization vector and the frame key can now be used to decipher the frame under the CFB mode. This method would allow different frames and different pages to be enciphered under different keys without having to reset the system. Further, the user does not need to keep a record of each frame key and the corresponding initialization vector used in the encipherment of that frame. This is being done automatically. More on such key management aspects will be considered in Chapter 9.

In the editing mode, the user is able to enter and amend the encrypted as well as plain frames in Prestel. From the user point of view, it is essential that the operations that need to be done for encryption and decryption must be as simple as possible. Start (?) and stop (/) markers are used to indicate the beginning and end of enciphered data in the frame. The key CNTRL-A is pressed to set the interface unit to encryption state. All subsequent characters typed are automatically encrypted under the 6-bit CFB mode. The key CNTRL-B is used to return the interface unit to the plain mode. This allows encryption of even single bytes of data. The system initially produces upper case letters. Lower case letters are obtained by pressing the key CNTRL-V. All characters typed are now in lower case until the upper case shift, CNTRL-W is typed. Start Edit and End Edit needed to work the Prestel Editor System are obtained using the keys CNTRL-T and CNTRL-E respectively. Most of the cursor control movements such as backspace, forward, downward, upward are included in the editor facilities. The Return key behaves slightly differently compared to the normal Apple mode in that the cursor returns to the beginning of the same line. So to move to the beginning of the following line, one needs to press the Return followed by Line feed (CNTRL-J). Note that the graphic and colour keys are not included in this Prestel encryption system.

This software implementation provides on-line editing/user facilities on the Prestel Viewdata system. It is possible to merge this program and the one discussed in Chapter 7 to perform local off-line editing and encryption of a Prestel frame and then transfer the created Prestel frame to the Prestel computer.

An example of a completely encrypted frame and a partly encrypted frame together with the corresponding plain frame is shown in Figures 8.2, 8.3 and 8.4.

. . HERE IS A GAME THAT CAN BE PROGRAMMED
FOR PLAY ON A DIGITAL COMPUTER . . .

A polyomino is a figure formed by joining unit squares along their edges. Pentominoes are 5 square polyominoes and it is possible to construct 12 different pentominoes. A pentomino game is played by arranging the 12 pentominoes into various size rectangular boxes . . . 3 by 20 or 4 by 15 or 5 by 12 or 6 by 10. Computers have been used to generate many solutions. A computer program produced two solutions for 3 by 20 configuration and 2339 for the most popular size 6 by 10 rectangular configuration.

Fig. 8.2 - Plain PRESTEL Page

Scratchpad

651314b

Op

?OnLih^LcKwGbZHjxeaJgVwBCLJ BVz bbvDW Ev
o' y w PFZQsNNaBK txvOp hV TBB@xUmJ' K
D YnvBISJ YwQKFtrTmoBCLMv okHXebhN GXqq
'KZdvI erePmTQax@ kyKmc Rcfi'P 'JiNaXt
@nQbwYg xkTRLsODN IuGvSzLZvXNZb WNPYPB
X HdIEzgOnPtmjDGtOaUVIG OdmF OgiYmdqRYA
sdTdriHkuejTd QQs CnxkbuVgziKiokxbKtpdj
juwOC 'G nH yMig@MvI dhae RHX'DbQHo 'yF
xx@y Kz S UZg amqmVB'EfozyFjUIPQFcQF TR
JkzPtFA AVsoGpciLp!Wewo wkBPJ JyIDHEz Z
uLrWEu oRPgWzOLDIWmr^L Rkqs f YRAXZXS!V
PR CSZCIVUet DtPC^Lc MhTEyQByjfALbp rq^L
G Ip psp!yee B@It! Yxo abb TxftGQpWgjLZ
yyaLmfEJvrOLzfSBwXsf ByiX wFvg h bH Art
vbuoraZdf'xfvG!xS HRztdsp!gNuaDQ BsIyUK/

? indicates start of encryption.

/ indicates end of encryption.

KEY 3131313131313131

IV 0000000000000000

MODE: 6-bit CFB

Fig. 8.3 - Completely Encrypted PRESTEL page

Scratchpad

651314 e

Op

. . . HERE IS A ?@FJI/ THAT CAN BE PROGRAMMED
FOR ? Kwt/ ON A DIGITAL COMPUTER . . .

A ?gVDy NEG/ is a figure formed by joini
ng unit squares along their edges. ?l Yhab
@aZ / are 5 square ?DtNxLC k!F / and it is
possible to construct 12 different ?jXgl Pa pUzA/
A ?KS Mh1D/ game is played by ar
ranging the 12 ? Smw@YzLZc/ into various
size rectangular boxes . . . 3 by 20 4 b
y 15 or 5 by 12 or 6 by 10. Computers hav
e been used to generate many solutions. A
computer program produced ? jF/ solutions
for ?C KoLgq/ configuration and ?huYv/ for
the most popular size ?bScLSto/ rectangula
r configuration.

? indicates start of encryption.

/ indicates end of encryption.

KEY : 3131313131313131

IV : 0000000000000000

MODE: 6-bit CFB

Fig. 8.4 - Partly Encrypted PRESTEL page

KEY DISTRIBUTION AND PUBLIC KEY CRYPTOGRAPHY

9.1 General

Until now, the use of DES cryptographic algorithm in protecting the data during transfer between users has been considered. However the security of the DES depends on the secrecy of its keys. Thus protecting the data depends on protecting the keys because they are the means by which the data can be decrypted. Any key controlled cryptographic algorithm thus requires a protocol for safely handling and controlling its cryptographic keys. Keys must be produced and distributed not once but constantly. In some systems they must be changed with the passage of time, or with the amount of traffic and in all systems, they must be changed when they are feared compromised. Frequent key changes limit the amount of data compromised if an opponent does learn a key. Keys must be provided to new users of the system and old keys must be retired as users withdraw. The consideration of all these aspects forms the subject of key management.

There are essentially three ways to incorporate cryptography into a communication system namely link-by-link, node-by-node and end-to-end encryption [3].

In link-by-link encryption, data is encrypted across the medium connecting two directly communicating nodes. Link-by-link encryption is independent of the system and does not necessarily imply that the cryptographic capability is integrated into the communicating nodes. It may be regarded as being implemented by a pair of cryptographic devices bracketing the line between two communicating nodes and situated between the nodes and their modems as shown in Figure 9.1.

Node-by-node encryption is similar to link-by-link encryption in that each link is protected by a unique key. However data passing through an intermediate node are not in the clear as would be the case with link encryption. Rather at an intermediate node, the enciphered data are transformed from encipherment under one key to encipherment under another key (that is, deciphered and reenciphered) within a security module which may be a peripheral device attached to the node. That is, the plaintext occurs only within the security




Fig. 9.1 Link Encryption




Fig. 9.2 Node Encryption




Fig. 9.3 - End Encryption

module and not within the node (Figure 9.2).

In end-to-end encryption, data encrypted at the originating node is not decrypted until it arrives at its final destination. Thus this method continuously protects data during transmission between users. Unlike link and node encryption, end-to-end encryption allows each user to have several keys, one key for each user who uses encryption (Figure 9.3)

It appears that in terms of security, cost and flexibility, end-to-end encryption seems to be the most attractive for systems requiring many protected links [3] .

The Apple encryption system discussed earlier is a simple end-to-end encryption system. More exactly, it can be referred to as a private end-to-end cryptographic system as the user needs to request for cryptography and its use is not transparent.

Some key management schemes which allow the DES interface unit to be integrated into data processing systems to provide protection for communications between individual users in an end-to-end encryption network are discussed.

9.2 Key Management Using Key Centre

This approach uses a Key Centre (KC) which acts as a source of session keys for encrypted calls using the DES algorithm. A detailed description of the functioning of such a centre is given in [3, 32].

Key centre can be operated manually in which the keys are sent by mail or couriers. If such an arrangement is trusted, that is, whether the risk of untoward disclosure either accidentally or as a result of deliberate attempts is acceptable, it could work out very well. At least, this may be possible when the network is small and traffic volume is low. On the other hand, if the network handles large traffic volumes, the need to change the keys often demands that large amounts of keys to be distributed. In large networks, the number of possible interconnections grows as $n(n-1)/2$ where n is the number of users. This may become an expensive venture because the manual systems have to be guarded against security leaks by conventional methods and the persons involved have to be trustworthy.

In an automatic KC, data network is used to distribute and generate the keys automatically. Consider the connection protocols

involved when two users wish to communicate in a secure fashion in a single Key Centre environment.

Essentially two types of keys namely data encrypting keys and key encrypting keys can be identified. The data encrypting key is active only for a duration of a single communication session and therefore is referred to as a session key (KS). The session key is protected by enciphering it under key encrypting key which varies from user to user. Therefore the Key Centre is required to store one key encrypting key for each user. These keys are themselves stored within the centre in enciphered form using the Centre's master key (KCM). Hence the problem of providing secrecy for cipher keys is reduced to providing secrecy for only one key namely the master key. This type of approach is referred to as the master key concept [3]. It is assumed that the master key is stored in some non volatile storage in an inaccessible area in the Centre referred to as the cryptographic facility so that it need be loaded into the cryptographic facility only once. Furthermore, each user is required to store only his user key (KU).

9.3 Communication Security

Let KS_1, KS_2, \dots, KS_n represent the time variant, dynamically changing data encrypting keys used for enciphering and deciphering data. It is assumed that KS is operational for the duration of a communications session. Let KCM represent the master key of the Centre and KU represent the user (or terminal) key.

To begin with, the user i requests the Centre KC for a session key (KS) to communicate with user j . The request is accompanied with a verifiable identification of the user i . The whole message is enciphered under the user i 's key KU_i . That is,

$$i \rightarrow KC : i, (i, j, r_o)_{KU_i}$$

where r_o is a random number chosen by user i . It is used to prevent an intruder impersonating the KC by replaying some previously recorded reply containing an earlier session key which the intruder would like the user i to use again. Upon receiving the request the Centre fetches the user i 's key KU_i which is stored in its memory under the master key KCM. Then it deciphers the request and checks against its stored information to see if the request is legitimate

and if it is, it issues the session key (KS) to the user i. The session key KS is generated within the Centre using a pseudo-random procedure. The reply from the Centre to the user i is given by

$$KC \rightarrow i : (KS, r_o, (KS, i)_{KU_j})_{KU_i}$$

The random number r_o is returned by the KC for the user i to verify that the reply is coming from the KC and not from an intruder. Further as the session key KS is encrypted under KU_i , it allows only the user i to decrypt and obtain KS and not any intruder. The session key together with the identification of user i encrypted under KU_j is also sent to user i. The user i cannot decrypt this portion of the reply as he does not possess KU_j . The user i then sends this cipher portion to user j, that is,

$$i \rightarrow j : (KS, i)_{KU_j}$$

The user j responds by sending a random number r_1 to user i, encrypted under the session key KS

$$j \rightarrow i : (r_1, j)_{KS}$$

The user j does this to ensure that it is indeed user i who is requesting the call and not any intruder using parameters of a previous call. The user i then checks j's identity and modifies the random number r_1 in some prearranged fashion to result in r_2 , which he returns to user j under KS

$$i \rightarrow j : (r_2)_{KS}$$

Now the users i and j can be almost certain that they are talking to each other and can communicate with each other in a secure manner using KS as the DES secret key. Most of the above steps can be made transparent to the users in the network.

A variation of the above method consists of the Key Centre KC sending the session key directly to the user j instead of sending

it via user i. That is, the distinction lies in the path taken by the session key from the Centre to user j. With this approach, two possibilities may occur - either the session key has already arrived at user j when the latter receives the call request or it has not yet arrived. In the latter case, one must ensure that an old key is not used mistakenly. Further the case where user j needs to wait for the session key increases the complexity of the connection protocols. Thus the above outlined method where the session key arrives to user j via user i seems to have some advantage over the other method.

To further improve the integrity of the conversation and reduce the problem of impersonation, timestamps, T, [33] can be added to the key distribution protocol. The first three steps of the above procedure are then modified to become:

$$i \rightarrow KC : i, (i, j, r_o, T)_{KU_i}$$

$$KC \rightarrow i : (KS, r_o, (KS, i, T)_{KU_j}, T)_{KU_i}$$

$$i \rightarrow j : (KS, i, T)_{KU_j}$$

The users i and j can then verify that their messages are not replays by checking $|\text{clock} - T| < \Delta t$ where clock gives the local time, Δt gives some time error which includes the network delay time and the time discrepancy between the sender's clock and the local clock. This requires some form of time synchronization among the users of the network.

With the above schemes, it is seen that if the session key is somehow lost within the user's system, then a fresh call is to be made by the user i to the KC to establish a new session key. It is preferable that the KC generates a new session key even when the user i did not actually use the old KS for any conversation. If on the other hand, the KC does keep a record of session keys issued to different users over a small period of time (say one day) then these keys need to be stored in enciphered form within the Centre. Rather than using the same master key for this purpose, it is advisable to use another master key KCM_1 to encrypt these temporarily stored session keys.

Let us now consider a key management scheme for file security where one wishes to protect the stored data in the same way as the communicated data [3]. It is assumed that the encrypted files are to be stored in a database in the host processor (HP) in the network. (This could be for instance the Key Centre mentioned earlier). It is also assumed that the users in the network have distinct secret keys KU which are also stored in the host processor in enciphered form under the master key KCM . Consider the case where the user i wishes to store a file in encrypted form in the database under the name CIPHERFILE. Let the corresponding file in clear form be PLAINFILE. To begin with the procedure followed is very similar to the one outlined for communication security given earlier. A call is made to the host processor

$$i \rightarrow HP : i, (i, r_o)_{KU_i}$$

where r_o is a random number chosen by user i .

The host processor responds by generating a file key (KF) using a pseudo-random process and encrypting it under the user i 's key KU_i . This is then sent to user i

$$HP \rightarrow i : (KF, r_o)_{KU_i}$$

The user i decrypts the message to obtain KF and verifies the random number r_o to ensure that the reply is coming from HP and not from an intruder. Then the user can encrypt his PLAINFILE using KF as the DES secret key to produce CIPHERFILE. This is then transmitted to the host processor to be stored under the same name. To be able to recover the PLAINFILE, it is necessary for the host processor or the user i to record the information that the file has been encrypted under KF . In a large system with a number of users and with each user having a number of files, it may not be a good idea for the Centre to keep a separate file containing the name of the data file and the corresponding file key. (If this is done, then this separate file needs to be enciphered under some master key). A better arrangement would be to store the information at the header of the file itself. The file key KF can be stored in the header in encrypted form under a master key $KCM2$. ($KCM2$ rather than KCM is chosen to achieve separation from communication security). With this method if a user r wishes to

decipher the CIPHERFILE, he requests the host processor for the file key KF.

$r \rightarrow \text{HP} : r, (\text{CIPHERFILE})$

The host processor reads the header of CIPHERFILE, decrypts it using KCM_2 to recover KF. This is then reenciphered under KU_r and transmitted to user r. This procedure does not allow the host processor to differentiate between users. For instance, the user i may wish that PLAINFILE not be available to user r. This can be achieved if in addition to KF, the identification of the owner of the CIPHERFILE is recorded on the file header, that is, the header contains the information $[i, (KF)_{KCM_2}]$. Further, the host processor is required to maintain a record of which users are allowed by user i to obtain the PLAINFILE. Then if user r requests the host processor for the file key of CIPHERFILE, the host processor first reads the header to find the owner of the CIPHERFILE. Having found the owner, i, it checks whether the requesting user belongs to the group of users who are allowed to read PLAINFILE. If user r belongs to this group, it recovers the file key KF from the header, encrypts it under KU_r and sends it to user r. If user r does not belong to this group, the above step will not be carried out by the host processor and access to the file key is prohibited.

9.5 Key Distribution for Groups of Users

Consider a more general case where a user in the network wishes to broadcast a message to several users [34]. Assume that a group G is a non-empty subset of n users and members of G wish to broadcast and receive messages from other members of G and to access and update files private to G. A given user may be a member of as many as 2^{n-1} groups and there are at most $2^n - 1$ non-empty groups in the system. Again it is assumed that all aspects of key distribution for any given group is managed by a single Key Centre. One method of key distribution among the group of users is considered in this section and three other methods employing public key concept are described in Section 9.7.

9.5.1 Method 1

In this method, the Key Centre is assumed to keep a list of personal keys of all users. In addition, the Key Centre also keeps a record of all group keys for the groups it manages.

To establish a group G, a member i of G registers the group with the Key Centre. The Centre returns a group identifier IG to the user i who then distributes to the members of the group G using the method described earlier. The KC also generates a group key KG and creates a record identified by IG that contains KG and the users who belong to G. This record itself is stored in enciphered form under a master key.

Whenever a user j belonging to G wishes to communicate with other users or store a file to be read by other users, he obtains the group key KG from the Centre. The key distribution protocol can be described as follows:

$$j \rightarrow KC : j, IG$$

The user j sends to KC his identification and his group identification and requests for the group key KG from KC. KC fetches the group record identified by IG, checks whether j is a member of the group and returns KG to user j enciphered under j's personal key.

$$KC \rightarrow j : (IG, KG, T)_{KU_j}$$

where T is a timestamp used to protect against replay of previous keys. Because the group key KG is enciphered under user j's personal key it is not possible for an intruder either to intercept KG or to impersonate j and acquire a group key for a group to which he does not belong. User j can now use the group key KG to encrypt a file to be read by other users of the group or to decrypt an encrypted file created by any other user of the group.

An user i of the group can obtain the group key KG from the Centre in a similar fashion and hence the users i and j can communicate with each other in a secure manner.

The primary disadvantage of this approach is the storage requirements for the group keys. KC may need to store up to $2^n - 1$ group keys. Secondly there is no identification between group members and hence no discrimination between group members.

The idea of one Key Centre in the above schemes can be extended to many such Centres and a group of m users 'belonging' to each Centre. In such a situation, each Key Centre is required to possess a shared secret key with each of the other Key Centres. (It could be two such keys, for instance, one for communication security and the other for file security). If there are n such Centres, each Centre therefore has $n-1$ (or $2n-2$) such keys. Then for instance, if a user i belonging to Centre I wishes to communicate with a user j belonging to Centre J , then the Centre I generates the session key and sends it to user i in the usual fashion. As the Centre I does not know the user j 's secret key, it sends the session key to Centre J enciphered under the shared communication secret key between Centres I and J . The Centre J recovers the session key and reenciphers it under the user j 's key KU_j and sends it to user j . A similar procedure can be envisaged when a user i belonging to Centre I wishes to read a file of user j belonging to Centre J .

The problem of key distribution can also be overcome using public key cryptography concept proposed by Diffie and Hellman [35]. In the next section, the underlying principles of public key cryptography are considered to see how such systems can be used to solve the key distribution problem in an elegant way.

9.6 Public Key Systems

Public key systems allow two users to communicate securely over an insecure channel without any prearrangement. Cryptosystems which allow this type of communication are asymmetric (see Section 2.2) in the sense that the sender and receiver have different keys

at least one of which is computationally infeasible to derive from the other. These systems separate enciphering and deciphering capabilities and privacy is achieved without keeping the enciphering key secret because it is no longer used for deciphering. Hence the enciphering key is published in addition to the enciphering and deciphering algorithms without compromising the security of the system. The concept of a public key cryptosystem is shown in Figure 9.4. User i encrypts the message M using the public enciphering key of user j and sends the cipher to user j over an insecure channel. Only the user j will be able to decrypt the cipher to recover M as he is the only one who knows his secret deciphering key. The encryption (E) and decryption (D) algorithms in such a system have the following properties:

- (a) Deciphering the enciphered form of a message M yields M , that is, $D(E(M)) = M$.
- (b) Both E and D are easy to compute.
- (c) By publicly revealing E , the user does not reveal an easy way to compute D . This means that only the receiver (designer) can decrypt messages encrypted with E or compute D efficiently.
- (d) If a message M is first deciphered and then enciphered, then M is the result, that is $E(D(M)) = M$.

The property (d) is not necessary for a public key cryptosystem but if it is obeyed then it is possible to obtain the digital signature feature (see Section 2.2) [34].

The public key concept gives rise to a new class of cryptographic algorithms. One application of such algorithms is to solve the problem of key distribution in systems employing symmetric cryptosystems. The public key cryptosystems would in many instances be the ultimate solution to the key distribution problem. This can be done as follows: User i can encrypt the session key KS using the public key of user j and then send it to user j over an insecure channel. Because the deciphering key is only known to user j , he is the only one who can decrypt the cipher and obtain the session key.




Fig. 9.4 - Public-Key Cryptosystem.

The users i and j can then communicate with each other using a symmetric cryptosystem such as the DES under KS . The protocols as described above pose other problems associated with the integrity of the public keys and false impersonations by an opponent. Again a trustworthy third party such as the Key Centre, KC , may be required for the maintenance of the public keys. The above set up can now be modified using a Public Key Centre (PKC) which supplies and maintains public keys of all users in the network. One can further assume that the Centre PKC has a public key (P_k) and a secret key (S_k) pair and that the key P_k is known to every user in the network.

$$1. \quad i \rightarrow PKC : i, (i, j, r_o)_{P_k}$$

where r_o is a random number chosen by user i . As in Section 9.3, this is used to prevent an opponent impersonating the PKC by replaying some previously recorded reply.

The PKC upon receiving the request from user i for user j 's public key, encrypts the user j 's public key P_j using the public key of user i , P_i , and sends it to user i along with the random number r_o (or some modified r_o using a publicly arranged function).

$$2. \quad PKC \rightarrow i : (i, P_j, r_o)_{P_i}$$

Note that the cipher in step 1 can only be decrypted by the Centre and no one else and the cipher in step 2 can only be decrypted by user i and no one else. A similar procedure can be followed by user j if he wishes to obtain the public key of user i . From now on, user i can communicate with user j in a secure manner, either by generating a session key KS and transmitting it to user j enciphered under P_j as mentioned above or using public key approach, that is, by encrypting the messages under the public key of the receiver j .

Note that in the set up procedure, the Centre PKC is not used for generating the session keys and it does not know the secret keys of users but is used as a distributor of public keys. Thus for the integrity to be maintained, it is crucial that the public key file be protected from unauthorized modification.

The public key concept can also be employed in a different way to the one described above to provide a solution to the key

distribution problem [34]. With this method, there is no need for decryption as such at the receiving end. It is not a public key cryptosystem but it is a public key distribution system. Two users wishing to exchange a key, communicate back and forth until they arrive at a key which is common. Then this common key can be used as a session key in a symmetric cryptosystem. The opponent eavesdropping on this exchange finds it computationally infeasible to compute the key from the information overheard.

Both the public key cryptosystems and the public key distribution systems are based on one-way functions of one form or another. For instance, it is said that in public key systems, it is infeasible to determine the secret key from the knowledge of public key. (Property (c)). A one-way function has the properties that

- (i) It is an easily computed function from x to y , that is, $y = f(x)$.
- (ii) It has an inverse function.
- (iii) It is computationally infeasible to discover the inverse function.

A precise definition of a one-way function therefore depends on a specific measure of complexity as it varies with time and technology. As mentioned in Section 2.3, the complexity measures are often defined in terms of time or storage required or as a time-memory product. If the number of operations to be done in computing the inverse is taken as a measure, then thermodynamics places a limit of approximately 10^{70} on the number of operations that can be performed even if the entire energy of the Sun could be harvested [36, 37]. As the legal receiver has to decrypt the cipher, the public key systems are based on 'trapdoor' one-way functions rather than one-way functions. A trapdoor one-way function is a one-way function which has the additional property that:

- it is computationally infeasible to discover what the inverse function is, unless certain specific information (trapdoor information) that is employed in the design of the function is known. A trapdoor one-way function becomes a trapdoor one-way permutation if

it satisfies the property that:

- decryption algorithm followed by encryption algorithm produces the original plaintext (property (d)).

In this case, the mapping between ciphertext and plaintext becomes both injective and surjective. This is essential for implementing digital signatures.

A brief review of two well known public key cryptosystems and a public key distribution system is now presented.

9.6.1 Merkle-Hellman Trapdoor Knapsack Public Key Cryptosystem [13]

The knapsack problem is a combinatorial problem in which given a vector \underline{a} of n elements, it is required to select a subset of these which add up to a given sum S . The problem is to determine which a_i for $i = 1$ to n are to be included in forming S , that is, determining whether $x_i = 0$ or $x_i = 1$ for $i = 1$ to n in the following equation:

$$S = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \quad (9.1)$$

It is seen that there are 2^n possible ways of selecting the quantities x_i and this exponential function increases very rapidly as n increases whereas it is easy to test whether a particular combination is a solution. But there are some instances in which the equation (9.1) is easy to solve. One such instance is when the elements $\{a'_i\}$ form a superincreasing sequence. That is,

$$a'_i > \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a'_j \quad \text{for all } i > 1 \quad (9.2)$$

When this occurs, then $x_n = 1$ and only if $S \geq a'_n$ and similarly for $i = n-1, n-2, \dots, 1$ $x_i = 1$ if and only if

$$S - \sum_{j=i+1}^n x_j \cdot a'_j \geq a'_i$$

Hence the legal receiver designs the system in such a way that only he can transform the hard knapsack (9.1) into an easy knapsack using the above procedure whereas the opponents are forced to solving (9.1). He does this by choosing two numbers w and m which are relatively

prime to each other and a superincreasing sequence a'_i for $i = 1$ to n . This vector is then transformed to form the sequence $\{a_i\}$ using w and m as follows:

$$a_i = a'_i \cdot w \pmod{m}$$

The vector \underline{a} is published and it forms the encrypting key of the public key system. The vector \underline{a}' and integers w and m are kept secret and form the deciphering key. The encryption procedure consists of taking a plaintext x in the form of a vector $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and forming $S = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{x}$. The ciphertext S is then sent over the insecure channel to the receiver. The decryption process uses w and m and \underline{a}' as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S' &= w^{-1} \cdot S \pmod{m} \\ &= w^{-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot a_i \pmod{m} \\ &= w^{-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot w \cdot a'_i \pmod{m} \\ S' &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot a'_i \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

If m is chosen such that $m > \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i$ then

$$S' = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot a'_i$$

This knapsack is easily solved for \underline{x} which is also the solution to the apparently difficult trapdoor knapsack $S = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{x}$. It is also possible to iterate the basic transformation by generating several pairs of (w, m) . For instance, rather than requiring \underline{a}' to satisfy (9.2), \underline{a}' can be transformed to a new problem \underline{a}'' using

$$a''_i = w'^{-1} \cdot a'_i \pmod{m'}$$

where \underline{a}'' satisfies (9.2) and is easy to solve. With each such successive transformation, the structure in the publicly known vector

a is made more and more obscure.

9.6.2 Rivest-Shamir-Adleman(RSA)_Public Key Cryptosystem [12]

The trapdoor in this asymmetric scheme is based on the difference in computational difficulty in finding large primes as opposed to factoring large numbers.

Briefly, the RSA system can be described as follows:
The receiver chooses two large primes p and q so large that factoring $m = p \cdot q$ is beyond all projected computational capabilities. The plaintext message M can be chosen from the range $1 \leq M < m$. The ciphertext C corresponding to M is derived from the permutation

$$C \equiv M^e \pmod{m}$$

The plaintext M is retrieved from C by applying the inverse transformation

$$M \equiv C^d \pmod{m}$$

The receiver chooses e and d such that

$$(a) \quad \gcd^{\dagger}(e, \phi(m)) = 1 \quad \text{where } \phi(m) \text{ is the Euler-totient function and in this case, it is equal to } (p-1)(q-1)$$

$$(b) \quad e d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(m)} \quad (9.3)$$

In other words, e and d are multiplicative inverses in the group formed by residue classes mod $\phi(m)$.

The reason why this encryption-decryption scheme works is based on the Euler-Fermat theorem [38] which states that for any integer M which is relatively prime to m ,

$$M^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \quad (9.4)$$

Using (9.3) gives,

$$M^{ed} \equiv M^{K \phi(m) + 1} \pmod{m} \quad \text{for some integer } K.$$

[†] greatest common divisor

From (9.4), for all M such that p does not divide M

$$M^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

and since $p-1$ divides $\phi(m)$

$$M^{K \phi(m) + 1} \equiv M \pmod{p} \quad (9.5)$$

When $M \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, the equation (9.5) is obeyed trivially. Similarly for q

$$M^{K \phi(m) + 1} \equiv M \pmod{q} \quad (9.6)$$

Using Chinese Remainder Theorem (Appendix 10), equations (9.5) and (9.6) imply that for all M ,

$$M^{ed} \equiv M^{K \phi(m) + 1} \equiv M \pmod{m}$$

In this system, the numbers e and m are made public and they form the encrypting key. The numbers d , p and q are kept secret and form the decrypting key. If m can be easily factorized to p and q then the cryptanalyst can find $\phi(m)$ and d and hence can crack the system.

This cryptosystem and its possible extensions form a major part of this thesis and hence this system is considered in detail in subsequent chapters.

9.6.3 Diffie-Hellman Public Key Distribution System [35]

This public key distribution system makes use of the apparent difficulty of computing logarithms over a finite (Galois) field $GF(q)$ where q is a prime.

Let ' a ' be a primitive element of $GF(q)$ and let

$$y \equiv a^x \pmod{q} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq x \leq q-1$$

x is referred to as the logarithm of y to the base ' a ' over $GF(q)$

$$x = \log_a y \text{ over } GF(q)$$

Calculation of y from x is easy whereas computation of x from y is much more difficult, that is, it is an one-way function. This problem is called the logarithm problem whereas the RSA system is based on the root problem.

The key distribution occurs as follows. User i generates a random number x_i chosen uniformly from the set of integers $1, 2, \dots, q-1$. He then computes

$$y_i \equiv a^{x_i} \pmod{q}$$

and publishes y_i and keeps x_i secret. Similarly user j publishes y_j and keeps x_j secret where

$$y_j \equiv a^{x_j} \pmod{q}$$

The private session key, K_{ij} , is established by forming

$$K_{ij} \equiv a^{x_i x_j} \pmod{q}$$

User i computes K_{ij} by obtaining y_j from the public file and forming

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} &\equiv y_j^{x_i} \pmod{q} \\ &\equiv a^{x_i x_j} \equiv a^{x_j x_i} \pmod{q} \end{aligned}$$

User j similarly computes K_{ij} using $y_i^{x_j} \pmod{q}$. For the opponent to form K_{ij} , he must compute

$$K_{ij} \equiv y_i^{(\log_a y_j)} \pmod{q} \equiv y_j^{(\log_a y_i)} \pmod{q}$$

Therefore if logarithms over $GF(q)$ are easily computed, the system can be broken. A possible extension of this system together with a practical implementation are described in Chapter 13.

9.7 Key Distribution Using Public Key For Groups of Users

Let us now return to the situation where members of a group G wish to broadcast and receive messages from other members of G and to access and update files private to G and consider three other methods of key distribution for such an arrangement. Method 1 is given in Section 9.5.1.

9.7.1 Method_2_

This approach uses a public key distribution method such as the Diffie-Hellman exponentiation method to distribute the group key.

Here each user i registers with the Key Centre KC a public key $y_i \equiv a^{x_i} \pmod{p}$ where x_i is only known to user i . The primitive root 'a' and the prime p are known to all users in the network. The user i transmits to KC a list of members of the group G .

$$i \rightarrow \text{KC}: i, G = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$$

If the user i belongs to the group, then the Key Centre generates a number x_G and sends it to user i enciphered under his public key. That is,

$$\text{KC} \rightarrow i: K_{i,G} \equiv y_i^{x_G} \pmod{p}$$

The user i upon receiving the above message computes

$$\begin{aligned} & (K_{i,G})^{x_i^{-1}} \pmod{p} \\ & \equiv a^{x_i x_G x_i^{-1}} \pmod{p} \\ & \equiv a^{x_G} \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

That is, the group key KG is given by $a^{x_G} \pmod{p}$. With this method, storage of up to $2^n - 1$ secret values of x_G is necessary either by the Key Centre or by the users, where n is the total number of users and the KC does not need to know the personal keys of the users x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

9.7.2 Method_3_

If the KC is however given access to users' personal keys, a modification to the above public key distribution method is as follows:

The group key KG is now made equal to

$$KG = a^{x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n} \pmod{p} \quad (9.7)$$

When the i th member of G requests KG from the Centre, KC returns KG

enciphered under the personal key x_i . The master key is represented by the list of personal keys. Another member of G may be able to determine $a^{x_i} \pmod{p}$ but he cannot compute x_i without computing a discrete logarithm. If p is chosen to be a large prime number, this is not feasible.

Here the key centre KC needs to store only n personal keys. Although the method uses a one-way function, it is not a public key distribution method because the KC must have access to secret personal keys of the users.

Note that in both the schemes 2 and 3, for suitably chosen primes p of the form $p = 4q + 1$ where q is also a prime, $a = 2$ is a primitive root.

9.7.3 Method 4

This method considers the establishment of the group key KG given by (9.7) without the use of the Key Centre. It assumes a special situation where the n users (0 to $n-1$) are linked together in a circular fashion, thus forming a ring. That is, user i always sends messages to user $i + 1$ and user $n-1$ sends message to user 0 . The table 9.1 given in Figure 9.5 shows the messages received and transmitted by user i at various time instants.

Time Instant	Transmitted Message	Received Message
1	$a^{x_i} \pmod{p}$	$a^{x_{i-1}} \pmod{p}$
2	$a^{x_{i-1} x_i} \pmod{p}$	$a^{x_{i-2} x_{i-1}} \pmod{p}$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
r	$a^{x_{i-(r-1)} \cdots x_i} \pmod{p}$	$a^{x_{i-r} \cdots x_{i-1}} \pmod{p}$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$n-1$	$a^{x_{i-(n-2)} \cdots x_i} \pmod{p}$	$a^{x_{i-(n-1)} \cdots x_{i-1}} \pmod{p}$

Figure 9.5 - Table 9.1: Messages received and transmitted by user i .

At time instant $t = r$, the user i raises the message received from user $i - 1$ at time instant $t = r-1$ to the power x_i and transmits it to user $i + 1$. The user i forms the group key KG by raising the received message at time instant $t = n - 1$ to the power x_i . That is,

$$KG = a^{x_{i-(n-1)} \cdots x_{i-1} x_i} \pmod{p}$$

Every user in the network can arrive at this common group key by raising the message received at the $(n-1)$ th time instant to the power of his secret key. Any intruder who does not belong to this ring network cannot determine the group key by monitoring the transmitted messages at all links in the network. A more generalized version of this method has recently been published in [41].

9.8 Key Distribution Schemes for Prestel Encryption System

This chapter is concluded by considering possible key management schemes for Prestel Viewdata system with encryption facility.

Initially consider the case where a user i (information provider) wishes to store a frame (or frames) on Prestel database which should only be read by user j . This is referred to as the 'Postbox system'. In this case, it is assumed that there is no direct network link between the two users other than via the database. If the user systems only allow DES type symmetric cryptography then the frame key (the key with which a Prestel frame has been enciphered) must be exchanged via some secure courier. This is the only method possible if it is assumed that Prestel is only used as a database and does not play an interactive role in the distribution of keys. However, if the user systems support public key cryptography, then one of the following two approaches can be adopted.

The first approach uses a public key cryptosystem such as the RSA system. Here the user i can encrypt the frame using the public key of user j (this is assuming that the public file containing enciphering keys of users is available, say, in the form of a telephone directory). User j will then be the only person who would be able to read the frame and not even user i can read the frame he had entered. Alternatively, user i can generate a random frame key which he can use to encrypt the frame using a symmetric algorithm such as the DES. User i then encrypts this frame key using the public key of user j and stores the result at the top of the frame. User j can recover the frame key using his secret RSA key and then read the Prestel frame. User i will also be able to read the frame as he can keep a copy of the frame key which he has generated (say enciphered under his own public key).

The second approach uses a public key distribution system such as the Diffie-Hellman exponentiation system. Here the user i obtains the public key information y_j of user j from the public file and performs $y_j^{x_i}$, where x_i is the secret key of user i , to form the common key K_{ij} . Then he generates a random frame key and uses it to encrypt the Prestel frame. The difference between this approach and the one above is that in this case, the frame key is enciphered under the common key and is stored at the top of the frame. User j will be able to read the frame as he can obtain the common key and hence the frame key. Here again only users i and j will be able to read the Prestel frame.

Consider now the case where a group of n users who wish to communicate with each other via the Prestel database. That is, each one of the n users should be able to read the frames entered by any one of the others in the group. Amongst the members of the group, existence of a privileged member referred to as the Manager is envisaged. [The group could represent typically a small company or an organization]. Further it is assumed that every member of the group is linked to the Manager. In such a situation, the Manager assumes the role of the Key Centre described earlier. Any user i who wishes to enter an encrypted frame on Prestel initially establishes a frame key with the Manager following the procedure outlined in Section 9.3. This frame key can then be used to encipher the frame and stored at the top of the frame enciphered under a master key of the Manager. A user j belonging to the group can read the enciphered frame key from the top of the frame and send it to the Manager who returns to him the frame key enciphered under the user j 's personal key. Thus user j can decipher the frame entered by user i . With this approach, in addition to the master key, the Manager is required to keep a record of the personal keys of all n users and if there is more than one group, a record of members in each group. One can also use any one of the methods 1 to 3 given in Sections 9.5 and 9.7 to establish a group key among the n users using the Manager as the Key Centre. Having established the group key, the user i can follow the usual procedure of generating a random frame key and storing this at the top of the frame, this time enciphered under the group key. Thus any user belonging to the group can read the frame. Method 3 (see Section 9.7.2) is seen to be the most attractive method as the Manager needs to store a list of keys which is a polynomial function of the number of users n .

EXTENSIONS OF THE RSA CRYPTOSYSTEM

10.1 General

As seen in the last chapter, the concept of public key cryptosystems recently proposed by Merkle and Hellman [35] not only provides a novel way of distributing the keys required for the symmetric cryptosystems but it also gives rise to a class of asymmetric public key cryptosystems with digital signature capabilities. From this stage onwards, the thesis mainly concentrates on the analysis and the design of public key systems; in particular, the RSA public key cryptosystem and the Diffie-Hellman public key distribution system. Both these systems are of immense interest among the cryptographic community at the present time.

Before considering some possible extensions of the RSA system to matrix and polynomial rings, it is useful to consider some design aspects of the RSA system over the rational integers. These aspects are more or less applicable to the extended RSA systems considered in subsequent sections.

10.2 Some Design Aspects of RSA System

As mentioned in Section 9.6.2, in the RSA system the encryption is performed by raising the message x ($1 \leq x < m$) to the eth power modulo m and the decryption is performed by raising the cipher y to the power d modulo m . That is

$$y \equiv x^e \pmod{m}$$

and

$$x \equiv y^d \pmod{m}$$

where m is equal to the product of two large distinct primes p and q . The public encryption key is the pair of integers (e, m) and the secret decryption key is (d, m) . The coding exponents are chosen such that they are multiplicative inverse of each other modulo $\phi(m)$ and that x^e is a permutation of the residue classes modulo m . That is,

$$e d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(m)} \quad \text{where } \phi(m) = (p-1)(q-1)$$

To design the RSA system, the user needs to choose two large primes p and q to form the modulus m . The magnitude of the modulus m is determined by the required difficulty of breaking the designed system. The table shown in Figure 10.1, taken from [12], gives an indication of the magnitude of m . The number of operations computed for each value of m is based on the best known factoring algorithm for large integers. (see Section 10.3.1).

$\log_{10} m$	Number of operations	Remarks
50	1.4×10^{10}	
100	2.3×10^{15}	At the limits of current technology
200	1.2×10^{23}	Beyond current technology
400	2.7×10^{34}	Requires significant advances in technology
800	1.3×10^{51}	

Figure 10.1 Effort required to factor modulus m

Once an approximate idea of the magnitude of m is decided, then the two primes p and q need to be selected randomly. The prime number theorem states that the primes near m are spaced on the average one every $(\ln m)$ integers. Thus even for large primes several hundred digits long, only a few hundred candidates must be tested before finding a prime. Hence one needs to test whether a chosen large number is a prime. There exists elegant probabilistic algorithms [42] which decide with an arbitrarily small uncertainty if the chosen number is a prime. The probabilistic algorithm consists of making many independent tests and declaring the number to be composite when any one test fails. If a test mistakes a composite for being prime with probability f^{-1} then by using k such tests the probability that the algorithm will incorrectly declare a composite to be prime is f^{-k} . Three tests of primality are commonly used [43] namely, the Fermat

test, the Solovay-Strassen test and the Rabin test. In all these cases, it is assumed that the tests are applied to the number b.

10.2.1 Primality Tests

10.2.1.1 Fermat Test

This test is based on the Euler-Fermat theorem previously mentioned. The theorem states that if p is a prime then

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \text{ for all } a, 1 < a < p$$

Thus the test consists of choosing 'a' less than the number b and accepting b to be prime if $a^{b-1} \pmod{b}$ is congruent to 1 (mod b). In practice, only a few values of 'a' need to be tried and not all 'a' as indicated above. (Only a small number of composites pass this test even a few times). It is recommended that approximately one hundred tests be made to reliably conclude that the selected number is prime. It is generally believed that choosing $a = 3$ will identify virtually all composites. The Carmichael numbers (eg. $561 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$) are known to pass this test even though they are composite.

10.2.1.2 Solovay-Strassen Test [44]

This test identifies the Carmichael numbers as being composite. It picks a random number 'a' between 1 and b-1 and tests whether

$$\text{gcd}(a, b) = 1 \text{ and } J(a, b) = a^{(b-1)/2} \pmod{b} \quad (10.1)$$

where $J(a, b)$ is the Jacobi symbol and gcd denotes the greatest common divisor. The Jacobi symbol is defined as

$$J(a, p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p} \text{ has a solution in } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \\ -1 & \text{when } x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p} \text{ has no solution in } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the ring of integers modulo p.

If b is prime, then (10.1) is always true and if b is composite (10.1) is false with a probability of $\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore making k tests yield an answer that is wrong with a probability of 2^{-k} when claiming the input is prime. (When claiming the number is composite, it is always

correct).

10.2.1.3 Rabin Test [42]

As b is necessarily an odd integer, representing b as $b = 2^r s + 1$ where s is odd, this test then chooses a number of values of 'a' randomly in the range 1 to $b-1$ and accepts b to be prime if either $a^s \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ or $a^{2^j s} \equiv -1 \pmod{b}$ for some j where $0 \leq j < r$. Otherwise b is rejected.

All the three tests can be carried out to check whether the chosen number b is a prime or not. The failure probabilities of each test are discussed in length in [43]. Note that this primality testing procedure needs to be done only once by any system designer and all these tests require computational effort of the order of $O(\log_2 b)$ operations on large integers.

To confound certain factoring algorithms [45], it is desirable to choose primes p and q such that $p-1$ and $q-1$ have a large prime factor. This can be done by generating a large prime number b and then letting p (or q) be the first prime in the sequence $bi + 1$ for $i = 2, 4, 6 \dots$. Additional security can be provided if $b-1$ also has a large prime factor. Furthermore, it is also advisable not to choose p and q too close to each other. If $p \approx q$, then $2\sqrt{m}$ is a good approximation of $p + q$. Knowing $p + q$ gives immediately $\phi(m)$ since $\phi(m) = (p-1)(q-1) = m + 1 - (p+q)$. Further, the primes should not be chosen to be any special primes such as the Fermat primes or Mersenne primes as these are well studied and the resulting product may be more likely to yield to attacks of factorization.

10.2.2 Choice of Coding Exponents

Having chosen the primes p and q , and hence m , the next step is to choose the coding exponents e and d . To do this, the user chooses a number d which is relatively prime to $\phi(m)$. This is done by selecting a number $d \pmod{m}$ and computing $\gcd(d, \phi(m))$. This is done using the Euclid's algorithm given in the Appendix 11. This not only checks whether d and $\phi(m)$ are relatively prime but also gives the multiplicative inverse e . It is known that the \gcd function is computable in $O(\log_2 m)$ time [45]. It is necessary to choose both e and d such that they are greater than $\log_2 m$. If $e < \log_2 m$, then small messages will not be disguised by the modulo

reduction process. That is, $x^e \pmod{m} = x$ and the cipher is breakable by brute force attack. If d is smaller than $\log_2 m$, again the system can be broken by a random search by the opponent to determine its value. There is a further condition on the choice of e . As mentioned previously, e needs to be chosen relatively prime to $\phi(m)$ or more exactly to $\text{lcm}^\dagger(p-1, q-1)$, for the function $x^e \pmod{m}$ to permute the residue classes \pmod{m} (see Section 14.1). But this permutation has fixed messages, that is, there are residue classes $x \pmod{m}$ which satisfy the congruence

$$x^e \equiv x \pmod{m} \text{ where } e \geq 3 \text{ is odd and } m = p \cdot q \quad (10.2)$$

It is known [46] that any solution of the congruence

$$x^3 \equiv x \pmod{m} \quad (10.3)$$

also satisfies (10.2). Note that the congruence (10.3) has exactly 9 solutions in the range $1 \leq x \leq m-1$, ($m = pq$). Thus the congruence (10.2) will have at least 9 fixed messages. Blakely [47] has shown that for the congruence to have only 9 fixed messages e must be chosen such that $\text{gcd}\{e-1, \text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)\} = 2$.

10.3 Cryptanalysis of RSA System

The main cryptanalytical attack seems to be the determination of the secret coding exponent d . There are basically three ways a cryptanalyst might try to determine d from the publicly revealed information (e, m) .

10.3.1 Factorization of m

The factorization of m would allow the opponent to compute $\phi(m)$ and hence the secret coding exponent d using $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(m)}$. A large number of factoring algorithms exist [45]. The fastest algorithm known at the present time can factor m in approximately $(\ln m)^{(\ln m / \ln \ln m)^{1/2}}$ steps and is due to R. Schroepel (unpublished). The table given in Section 10.1 is based on Schroepel's method and it shows that a number m of 200 digits (decimal) long would provide a margin of safety against future developments.

$\dagger \text{lcm}$: least common multiple - 171 -

10.3.2 Computation of $\phi(m)$ Without Factorization of m

Another method of cryptanalysis would be to somehow directly determine $\phi(m)$ without factorizing m . It is shown in [12] that the approach of computing $\phi(m)$ directly is no easier than factoring m since finding $\phi(m)$ enables the opponent to easily factor m . This can be seen as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } x &= p + q = m + 1 - \phi(m) \\ \text{and } y &= (p-q)^2 = x^2 - 4m\end{aligned}$$

Knowing $\phi(m)$, one can determine x and hence y . Using x and y , the primes p and q are given by $p = \frac{x + \sqrt{y}}{2}$ and $q = \frac{x - \sqrt{y}}{2}$.

10.3.3. Determining d Without Factoring m or Computing $\phi(m)$

The third method of cryptanalysis consists of computing the secret exponent d without factorizing m or determining $\phi(m)$. Again it is argued in [12] that provided d is chosen large enough to make a direct search attack infeasible, computing d is no easier than factoring m , since once d is known, m could be factorized easily. This can be seen as follows: If d is known, then it is possible to calculate some multiple of $\phi(m)$ using

$$ed - 1 = k \phi(m) \text{ for some integer } k$$

Miller [40] has demonstrated that m can be factored using any multiple of $\phi(m)$. The opponent, on the other hand, may hope to find a d' such that it is equivalent to the secret exponent d of the designer. If there are a lot of such d' , then one could use a brute force search method to break the system. But all the d' differ by the least common multiple of $(p-1)$ and $(q-1)$ and if one is found then m can be factored. Thus finding any such d' is as difficult as factoring m .

Now some possible extensions of the RSA system are considered.

10.4 Extension of RSA System to Matrix Rings

10.4.1 Trapdoor Rings

Assume R is a finite ring [48] with 1 which is associative

but not necessarily commutative. Suppose that members of the ring R are used as messages and that $r \in R$ is enciphered as r^e where e is the published encrypting exponent. The trapdoor property can now be stated as follows:- there exists some integer $n > 0$ such that $r^{n+1} = r$ for all $r \in R$. These rings are to be referred to as trapdoor rings. For instance in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $r^p = r$ for all $r \in R$. More generally, if we let $R = F = GF(q)$, the field of q elements where q is a prime power (p^k) then $r^q = r$ for all $r \in R$. Further, if R and S are any two such trapdoor rings, then the direct sum $R \oplus S$ consists of vectors (r, s) with $r \in R$ and $s \in S$ is another trapdoor ring say T . The number of elements in the ring T is equal to the product of the number of elements in R and S . This above process can be applied repeatedly taking vectors of arbitrarily many components, each taken from some finite field. Considering finite fields F_{q_i} for $1 \leq i \leq j$ where q_i 's can be the same or different, the trapdoor ring R is formed by all vectors $x = (x_1, \dots, x_j)$ where $x_i \in F_{q_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq j$. The ring R consists of $q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \dots \cdot q_j$ elements and the equality $r^{n+1} = r$ is obeyed for all $r \in R$ where n is equal to $(q_1 - 1)(q_2 - 1) \dots (q_j - 1)$ or any multiple of it.

There are many finite rings which are not trapdoor rings. Consider for instance, $R = \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ where p is a prime. Then, $p^2 = p^3 = \dots = 0$ in the ring R but $p \neq 0$ in ring R . So the property that $p^{n+1} = p$ is not satisfied for any $n > 0$. More generally, for a ring R to be a trapdoor ring, it is necessary that R have no nilpotent elements except zero. (An element, x , is said to be nilpotent if $x^a = 0$ and $x^{a-1} \neq 0$ for some $a > 0$). However, if we take an integer m to be a square free positive integer say $m = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_j$, where all the p_i 's are distinct primes, then the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is a trapdoor ring. This ring can in fact be regarded as a direct sum of finite fields $F_{p_1} \oplus F_{p_2} \oplus \dots \oplus F_{p_j}$ as described above. If $j=2$, then this becomes the standard trapdoor ring used by the RSA cryptosystem.

It has been suggested by Dr R Odoni that every finite trapdoor ring is isomorphic to a direct sum of finite fields. The argument relies on the use of Wedderburn's structure theory [49] for semisimple rings. The main steps involved are as follows:

1. The ring R is trapdoor implies that R has no nilpotent elements except 0.
2. A finite ring without nonzero nilpotent elements must have a 1 [50].

3. A ^{finite} ring with 1 and lacking nilpotents ($\neq 0$) is a direct sum of matrix rings with entries in a division algebra (skew field) (Wedderburn's theorem).
4. If any of these matrices is not 1×1 then there will be non-zero nilpotent elements in R .
5. Hence R is a direct sum of finite skew fields.
6. A finite skew field is necessarily commutative.

Thus a trapdoor ring R is a commutative ring with 1 which is isomorphic to a direct sum of finite fields. (Note that two rings R and S are isomorphic if there exists a function $f : R \rightarrow S$ which is one-to-one and onto and satisfies $f(r_1 \pm r_2) = f(r_1) \pm f(r_2)$, $f(r_1 r_2) = f(r_1) f(r_2)$ for all $r_1, r_2 \in R$)

The original RSA scheme derived its message space from $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, the ring of integers modulo m where m is the product of two large distinct primes p and q . Here other finite systems that might serve as a basis for an extended RSA cryptosystem are investigated.

10.4.2 Non-Singular Matrices Over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$

If the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices over the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is considered, it is seen that the ring contains nilpotent elements when $n > 1$. Consider for instance $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ over $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$; $M^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ but $M \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. To overcome this problem, initially only the group M_n formed by the non-singular matrices of order n , is selected to form the message space of this extended system.

Let us first consider the finite group formed by matrices of order n whose determinants are relatively prime to p and whose elements are in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (p prime). That is, the non-singular matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ are considered.

To begin with, the non-singular matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ form a finite group because (i) the product of any two members of the set is congruent \pmod{p} with some member of the set, (ii) every member has its reciprocal, that is, for any two members A and B , there exist members C and D such that $AB \equiv C \pmod{p}$ and $AD \equiv I \pmod{p}$ and (iii) the unit matrix is the 'identical' member of the group.

The order of the group formed by these elements can be

shown to be equal to N_p where

$$N_p = (p^n - 1) \cdot (p^n - p) \dots (p^n - p^{n-1}) \quad (10.4)$$

The argument goes as follows: The elements of the first row vector \underline{U}_1 of the matrix may consist of any of the p numbers except that they cannot all be zero since this would make the matrix singular. There are therefore $p^n - 1$ ways of selecting this vector. When \underline{U}_1 has been selected, then \underline{U}_2 may be any of the p^n possible vectors except those which are congruent with $k_1 \underline{U}_1 \pmod{p}$ for $k_1 = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. This will be the case if and only if \underline{U}_1 and \underline{U}_2 are chosen to be linearly independent. Therefore there are $p^n - p$ ways of selecting \underline{U}_2 . Continuing this procedure, the expression (10.4) for the order N_p is obtained.

If non-singular matrices with elements over Z/pZ are used as messages, then one can form a conventional cryptographic system where the secret key contains the modulus p itself. The encrypting (e) and decrypting (d) exponents can then be determined using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{N_p} \quad (10.5)$$

The encrypting key is therefore (e, p, n) and the decrypting key is (d, p, n) . None of these keys can be made public and the encryption and decryption procedures are as in the RSA system.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} M^e \equiv C \pmod{p} \\ \text{and} \\ C^d \equiv M \pmod{p} \end{array} \right\} \quad (10.6)$$

where $M, C \in M_n(Z/pZ)$, non-singular matrices over Z/pZ

The above system can be modified to include the public key property as follows: Suppose the modulus is a composite number m whose factorization is

$$m = \prod_{j=1}^s p_j^{r_j} \quad (10.7)$$

Then the order N_m of the multiplicative group formed by non-singular matrices of order n over Z/mZ is given by

$$N_m = \prod_{j=1}^s N_{p_j}^{r_j} \quad (10.8)$$

This is a consequence of the Chinese Remainder Theorem (Appendix 10).

Let us first determine the order N_p^r of the group of non-singular $n \times n$ matrices over $Z/p^r Z$ when p is prime and $r > 1$.

Let θ be the homomorphism [48], mapping an $n \times n$ matrix A over $Z/p^{r+1} Z$ to A' , a matrix over $Z/p^r Z$, via $a_{ij} \pmod{p^{r+1}} \mapsto a_{ij} \pmod{p^r}$.

$$\theta : A \longmapsto A'$$

$$\theta : M_n(Z/p^{r+1}Z) \longrightarrow M_n(Z/p^rZ)$$

This induces a surjective homomorphism between the linear groups formed by these matrices, that is,

$$\theta' : GL(n, p^{r+1}) \longrightarrow GL(n, p^r)$$

Therefore using group theory [48]

$$\frac{GL(n, p^{r+1})}{\text{Kernel}(\theta')} \cong GL(n, p^r)$$

(where \cong denotes isomorphic to)

The kernel consists of the set of matrices which are mapped to the identity matrix $I \pmod{p^r}$, ie,

$$a_{ii} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^r} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n \quad (10.9)$$

$$a_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r} \quad \text{for } i \neq j \quad (10.10)$$

There are p possibilities for each of the equations (10.9) and (10.10) giving rise to p^{n^2} possibilities. Therefore using group theory, and denoting order by the symbol $\#$,

$$\begin{aligned} \# GL(n, p^{r+1}) &= p^{n^2} \# GL(n, p^r) = \dots = \\ &= p^{rn^2} \# GL(n, p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \# GL(n, p) &= N_p \\ &= (p^n - 1)(p^n - p) \dots (p^n - p^{n-1}) \text{ from (10.4)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \# GL(n, p^r) &= p^{(r-1)n^2} (p^n - 1) \dots (p^n - p^{n-1}) \\ &= N_p^r \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore N_{p_j^r} = p_j^{(r-1)n^2} (p_j^n - 1)(p_j^n - p_j) \dots (p_j^n - p_j^{n-1}) \quad (10.11)$$

Substituting (10.11) into (10.8) gives the order N_m . Now as in the RSA cryptosystem if m is made to be the product of two distinct primes p and q this simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} N_m &= N_p \cdot N_q \\ &= (p^n - 1)(p^n - p) \dots (p^n - p^{n-1})(q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \dots (q^n - q^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for a message M

$$M^N \equiv I \pmod{m}$$

and the coding exponents e and d are determined using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{N_m} \quad (10.12)$$

The expression of the order N_m depends on the structure of m , that is, on its prime factors. This therefore can be used to form a public key cryptosystem by choosing m to be a large integer (say 200 decimal digits) whose security is the same as that of the RSA system.

Although the order N_m can be used in finding e and d as in (10.12), it is usually a large number. For instance, even for small primes such as $p = 13$ and $q = 23$, the order is approximately 1.6×10^{22} for 3×3 non-singular matrices. Therefore it is desirable to find the exponent, EXP , of the group, that is, the least integer greater than zero such that

$$M^{EXP} \equiv I \pmod{m} \text{ for all } M.$$

EXP is a divisor of the order N_m of the group.

Let us first consider the exponent of the group formed by the non-singular matrices over Z/pZ , $M_n(Z/pZ)$.

Let the exponent be ℓ such that

$$A^\ell \equiv I \text{ for all } A \in M_n(Z/pZ)$$

Assume that $p > n$. $A^\ell \equiv I \pmod{p}$ implies that $x^\ell - 1$ is divisible by the minimum polynomial of A . As A ranges over the non-singular matrices of order n over Z/pZ , $x^\ell - 1$ must be divisible by every monic irreducible polynomial $P(x) (\neq x)$ of degree $\leq n$ in Z/pZ . Every irreducible polynomial $P(x)_u (\neq x)$ of degree u divides $x^{p^u-1} - 1$. Thus $x^\ell - 1$ must be divisible by $x^{p^u-1} - 1$.

But

$$x^b - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{x^a - 1}$$

implies that $a \mid b$

Hence,

$$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{p^u - 1} \text{ for } 1 \leq u \leq n$$

Therefore,

$$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{lcm}\{p-1, \dots, p^n-1\}} \text{ certainly} \quad (10.14)$$

Furthermore, the matrix A given by

$$A = I + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \bigcirc & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \ddots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfies $A^p = I \neq A$ ($p > n$)

That is, A has order p and hence $p \mid \ell$

Hence the exponent of $GL(n, p)$, $p > n$, is given by

$$\ell = p \cdot \text{lcm}\{p-1, p^2-1, \dots, p^n-1\} \quad (10.15)$$

Now for any $A \in M_n(Z/pZ)$, using Jordan's Canonical form, there exists a non-singular matrix E such that

$$E^{-1}AE = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & & \\ & B_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & B_r \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= D$$

Each block B_i is of the form

$$B_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}$$

ie, $B_i = \lambda_i I_i + N_i$ for some upper triangular nilpotent matrix N_i

where λ_i 's are non-zero in $F_{p^{r_i}}$ for some $r_i \leq n$.

If the order of D is k , that is, $D^k \equiv I \pmod{p}$, then as $D = E^{-1}AE$, this gives

$$(E^{-1}AE)^k = (E^{-1}AE)(E^{-1}AE) \dots \text{k times}$$

$$= E^{-1}A^kE$$

$$\text{Thus } A^k \equiv I \pmod{p}$$

Order of $A = \text{order of } D = k = \text{lcm of orders of } B_i$. If $N_i = 0$, then order of B_i is a divisor of $p^{r_i}-1$. Hence the order of A divides $\text{lcm} \{p-1, \dots, p^n-1\}$. Otherwise, $B_i^p = \lambda_i^p I_i$ will have such an order and hence the order of A divides $p \text{ lcm} \{p-1, \dots, p^n-1\}$.

A multiple of p in the expression (10.15) for the exponent ℓ is expected as the order given by (10.4) contains multiples of p .

Similarly,

$$A^s \equiv I \pmod{q} \text{ for all } A \text{ in } M_n(Z/qZ)$$

where

$$s = q \operatorname{lcm} \{q-1, q^2-1, \dots, q^n-1\}$$

Therefore exponent EXP of the group $GL(n, m)$ where $m = pq$ is given by

$$\operatorname{lcm} (p \operatorname{lcm} \{p-1, p^2-1, \dots, p^n-1\}, q \operatorname{lcm} \{q-1, q^2-1, \dots, q^n-1\})$$

Let us now extend this argument to non-square free modulus m . First consider a matrix A in $M_n(Z/p^2Z)$. Let θ be the natural homomorphism from Z/p^2Z onto Z/pZ (p prime). From the above argument

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(A)^{\text{EXP}} &\equiv I \text{ in } M_n(Z/pZ) \\ &\equiv \theta(I) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\theta(A^t - I) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ where } t = \text{EXP}$$

This means that every entry in $A^t - I$ is some multiple of prime p and hence

$$A^t - I = pB \text{ for some matrix } B.$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} A^t &= I + pB \\ A^{tp} &= (I + pB)^p \end{aligned}$$

Using the binomial theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{tp} &= I + \binom{p}{1} pB + \binom{p}{2} p^2 B^2 + \dots \\ &\equiv I \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore considering in general a matrix in $M_n(Z/p^kZ)$

$$\begin{aligned} &M_n(Z/p^kZ) \rightarrow M_n(Z/pZ) \\ \theta: \quad A &\rightarrow \theta(A) \end{aligned}$$

If $\theta(A)$ has order t then A has order t or pt or $p^2t \dots$ or $p^{k-1}t$.

If

$$m = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{r_i}$$

then

$$\text{EXP} = \text{lcm} \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}$$

where

$$v_i = p_i^{r_i-1} (w_i)$$

and

$$w_i = p_i \text{lcm} (p_i-1, p_i^2-1, \dots, p_i^{n-1}-1)$$

(10.16)

(assuming p_i is greater than n for all i)

Again from (10.16), it is clearly seen that the exponent EXP depends upon the prime factor decomposition of m .

The message space has so far been restricted to only non-singular elements, $M_n(Z/mZ)$. Theoretically, the message space can also include some singular non-nilpotent elements. Consider for instance, $M_2(Z/32Z)$. There are 48 non-singular matrices using (10.4). But there are in addition, some non-nilpotent singular matrices which could also be used as messages. Consider such a matrix X

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \lambda a & \lambda b \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } \lambda, a, b \text{ are in } Z/32Z$$

From the Cayley-Hamilton theorem [51], this matrix satisfies a quadratic characteristic equation of the form

$$X^2 - (\text{trace } X) X + (\det X) I = 0$$

In the singular case, $\det X = 0$. If $\text{trace } X = 0$, then $X^2 = 0$ and so X is nilpotent. If $\text{trace } X \neq 0$, then $X^2 = \lambda X$, $X^4 = \lambda^2 X^2 = X^2$ as $\lambda^2 = 1$ for $0 \neq \lambda \in Z/32Z$; thus $X^3 = \lambda X^2 = \lambda^2 X = X$. Therefore $X^{1+2k} = X$ for $k \geq 0$. Therefore for such non-nilpotent matrices X in $Z/32Z$, $X^{49} \equiv X$.

Note that when $n > 2$, there may be singular non-nilpotent matrices which do not satisfy an equation of the form $X^k \equiv X$. This happens for instance when the minimal equation is $X^4 - X^2 = 0$. Or even

consider a non-nilpotent matrix X which contains a nilpotent block as shown below

$$X = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \text{nilpotent} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \text{non-singular} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} N & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B \end{array} \right)$$

Then

$$X^k = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} N^k & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B^k \end{array} \right)$$

As N is nilpotent for some k , $N^k = 0$ and hence

$$X^k = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B^k \end{array} \right) \neq X$$

Thus in such cases, this would imply that it is not possible to recover the message. These cases need to be avoided if one decides to include such singular non-nilpotent matrices.

From cryptography point of view, the use of such singular non-nilpotent matrices as messages may seem impractical as the sender cannot easily recognize such matrices. In practice, one would like to determine easily whether a message is within the acceptable set or not. Even the restriction of messages to arbitrary non-singular matrices may pose problems as the sender has no control over the matrix elements but must accept what the plaintext dictates. That is, the sender cannot ensure that his messages will always form non-singular matrices (over any modulus). The sender is faced with the problem of determining whether a plaintext message matrix is non-singular or not. This involves finding the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix and then checking whether the determinant is relatively prime to the modulus using the Euclid's algorithm. (Appendix 11). However it will be seen in Section 10.4.6 that for large m , the probability that an arbitrarily selected matrix is non-singular is very much close to 1.

One common approach to obtain an arbitrary non-singular matrix over the reals is to have the diagonal entries of the matrix message much bigger than the corresponding entries in the row and

column but this 'diagonal dominance' does not always ensure that the matrix will be non-singular when working over finite rings. For instance, consider the matrix A below which is 'diagonally dominant'

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} p-1 & 1 \\ 1 & p-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\text{Det } A = p^2 - 2p = 0$ over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Hence it is seen that 'diagonal dominance' is not applicable over finite rings.

A simple method to obtain arbitrary non-singular matrices is described in Section 10.4.8 where system implementation is considered.

10.4.3 Orthogonal Matrices Over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$

Now let us consider the use of a special set of non-singular matrices, namely the set of orthogonal matrices, as the message space of the matrix based RSA system.

The set of orthogonal matrices over a finite field of p elements forms a group as shown below.

Let M_i be a member of the set. Then $M_i^t M_i = I$.

As $(M_i M_j)^t = M_j^t M_i^t$, we have

$$(M_i M_j)^t M_i M_j = M_j^t M_i^t M_i M_j = I$$

Hence the closure property is obeyed. Further $(M_i^{-1})^t = (M_i^t)^{-1} = (M_i^{-1})^{-1} = M_i$ and $(M_i^{-1})^t M_i^{-1} = M_i M_i^{-1} = I$. Let $J = M_i^{-1}$ where $J^t J = I$ and J is orthogonal. Hence the set of orthogonal matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ forms a group.

The order of the group formed by the $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices has been worked out by J. MacWilliams [51].

For odd n , ie, $n = 2a + 1$ for some integer a , the order is given by

$$2p^a \prod_{i=0}^{a-1} (p^{2a} - p^{2i})$$

For even n , ie $n = 2a$, the order is given by

$$\frac{2}{p^a + 1} \prod_{i=0}^{a-1} (p^{2a} - p^{2i}) = 2(p^a - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{a-1} (p^{2a} - p^{2i})$$

if -1 is a square in $GF(p)$
and the order is equal to

$$2(p^a + (-1)^{a+1}) \prod_{i=1}^{a-1} (p^{2a} - p^{2i})$$

if -1 is a non-square in $GF(p)$.

Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the order of the group of the orthogonal matrices over Z/mZ , where $m = p_1 p_2$, a square free integer, is equal to

(order of orthogonal matrices over $Z/p_1 Z$) \times (order of orthogonal matrices over $Z/p_2 Z$). As the factorization of the modulus m is required to calculate the order, one can use the group of orthogonal matrices in the matrix based public key system.

Now the question how easy it is to construct an orthogonal matrix message needs to be looked at. Cayley's theorem [52] gives an easy way of constructing an orthogonal matrix using a skew-symmetric matrix over the reals. If S is a real skew-symmetric matrix then $I + S$ is non-singular because the characteristic roots of S are purely imaginary and the matrix $A = \frac{I-S}{I+S}$ is an orthogonal matrix. But this is not applicable to finite fields as the determinant of $I + S$ can be equal to 0 (mod $p_1 p_2$). Thus one can use the Cayley's technique to construct orthogonal matrix messages provided one ensures that the determinant of $(I + S)$ is relatively prime to $p_1 p_2$. Note that if $I + S$ is non-singular over Z/mZ ($m = p_1 p_2$), then $I - S$ is also non-singular. This can be seen as follows: Let W be the inverse of $I + S$ (mod m). That is, $(I + S)W \equiv I$ (mod m).

Then $((I + S)W)^t = W^t(I + S)^t = W^t(I - S) \equiv I$. Hence W^t is the inverse of $(I - S)$ (mod m).

Hence to construct an orthogonal matrix message over Z/mZ , an arbitrary skew-symmetric matrix S over Z/mZ is chosen and $I + S$ is

formed. If $I + S$ is non-singular over Z/mZ , then $(I - S)/(I + S)$ is constructed to form the message. But again the sender is faced with the problem of determining whether the matrix $I + S$ is non-singular or not.

10.4.4 Upper Triangular Matrices Over Z/mZ

Alternatively, let us now consider the set of upper triangular matrices as a possible choice for the message space. If the diagonal entries of an upper triangular matrix are made unity, this ensures that the matrix is invertible over any modulus m as the determinant is equal to 1.

Let M represent such an $n \times n$ upper triangular message matrix. One can partition M into $I + N$ where N is a nilpotent matrix and I is the identity matrix. If M is in $U_n(Z/pZ)$ then $(I + N)^p \equiv I$ as $N^p = 0$ assuming $p \geq n-1$. The order of the group formed by these upper triangular matrices U_n over Z/pZ is $p^{n(n-1)/2}$. The order becomes $m^{n(n-1)/2}$ when considering matrices U_n over Z/mZ . To determine the exponent EXP of the group formed by such upper triangular matrices over Z/mZ ,

let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } a, b, c \in Z/mZ$$

and let

$$M^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_k & b_k \\ 0 & 1 & c_k \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$M^{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_k & b_k \\ 0 & 1 & c_k \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a+a_k & b+ca_k+b_k \\ 0 & 1 & c_k+c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore $a_{k+1} = a+a_k$ implies that $a_k = ka$
 $c_{k+1} = c+c_k$ implies that $c_k = kc$

and

$$b_{k+1} = b+ka+b_k$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore b_N - b_0 &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} b_{k+1} - b_k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (b+ka) \end{aligned}$$

$$b_N = Nb+ca \frac{(N-1)}{2} N$$

Therefore

$$M^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ka & kb + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} ac \\ 0 & 1 & kc \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

For $M^k \equiv I \pmod{m}$ to be obeyed, the following conditions must be satisfied:

- (i) $ka \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$
- (ii) $kc \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$
- (iii) $kb + \frac{k(k-1)ac}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$

To satisfy (i) and (ii) $k \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$

To satisfy (iii) $\frac{k(k-1)}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$

ie, $k(k-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$

Therefore if m is even, the exponent, EXP , is $2m$ and if m is odd then EXP is m . Therefore it is seen that both the order and the exponent do not depend on the prime factors of m . Hence this cannot be used in a public key cryptosystem but again one can use it in a

conventional cryptosystem with the secret key (e, d, m, n) where $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(2m \text{ or } m)}$.

On the other hand, if the message space is altered to contain upper triangular matrices with diagonal entries prime to m , then such messages are invertible modulo m . This is not a serious problem as in practice m is a product of large primes and the diagonal elements can be chosen to be relatively small integers. Now the order of the group formed by such matrices is determined as follows.

Considering a $n \times n$ matrix, it is required that all the n diagonal entries must be coprime to m . The number of integers less than m and coprime to m is given by the Euler totient function $\phi(m)$. The remaining $\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)$ superdiagonal entries of the matrix may take any value modulo m . Therefore the order is equal to $m^{n(n-1)/2} \phi(m)^n$. The vital difference between this order and the one calculated above is that now the order of the group is dependent on the prime factors of m . Hence the modulus m needs to be factorized before the decryption exponent d can be calculated using $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(\text{order})}$. As for the set of non-singular matrices, one can determine the exponent of the group formed by these upper triangular matrices with diagonal entries prime to m . The exponent can be used instead of the order in finding e and d .

Initially, consider a square free modulus. Let

$$m = \prod_{j=1}^s p_j$$

Consider first a message M in $\mathbb{Z}/p_1\mathbb{Z}$ whose diagonal elements are relatively prime to p_1

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & & ? \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } (a_{ii}, p_1) = 1, \forall i$$

$$1 \leq i \leq n$$

Partitioning the matrix M into a diagonal matrix D_1 and an upper triangular nilpotent matrix U_1 , that is, $M = D_1 + U_1$, then it is seen that $D_1 \cdot U_1$, $U_1 \cdot D_1$ and U_1^2 are also upper triangular nilpotent. Then inductively,

if $M^r = D_1^r + U_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 M^{r+1} &= (D_1 + U_1) (D_1^r + U_r) \\
 &= D_1^{r+1} + \underbrace{U_1 D_1^r + D_1 U_r + U_1 U_r}_{\text{nilpotent upper triangular}} \\
 &= D_1^{r+1} + U_{r+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$M^{\phi(p_1)} \equiv I + U_{\phi} \pmod{p_1}$$

But

$$(I + U_{\phi})^{p_1} \equiv I + U_{\phi}^{p_1} \pmod{p_1}$$

$$(I + U_{\phi})^{p_1^2} \equiv I + U_{\phi}^{p_1^2} \pmod{p_1}$$

\vdots

\vdots

Thus $M^{p_1^t} \equiv I \pmod{p_1}$ for some t .

If $p_1 \geq n-1$ then $t = 1$. Therefore the exponent of upper triangular matrices with coprime elements along the diagonal is $\phi(p_1) \cdot p_1$

If $m = \prod_{j=1}^s p_j$ then the exponent divides

$$\text{lcm} \{ \phi(p_1) \cdot p_1, \phi(p_2) \cdot p_2, \dots, \phi(p_s) \cdot p_s \}$$

If m is made to be a non-square free modulus given by

$$m = \prod_{j=1}^s p_j^{r_j}$$

then a bound for the exponent can be calculated as follows:

First consider an $n \times n$ upper triangular non-singular matrix M over $\mathbb{Z}/p^r \mathbb{Z}$, ($p \geq n$). Again let $M = D_1 + U_1$ where D_1 is a diagonal matrix and U_1 is an upper triangular nilpotent matrix over $\mathbb{Z}/p^r \mathbb{Z}$. Following the argument given above, it is seen that

$$M^{\phi(p^r)} \equiv I + U_{\phi} \pmod{p^r}$$

where U_ϕ is some upper triangular nilpotent matrix.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(I + U_\phi)^p &\equiv I + p \cdot U_{\phi_1} \pmod{p^r} \\(I + p \cdot U_{\phi_1})^p &\equiv I + p^2 \cdot U_{\phi_2} \pmod{p^r} \\&\vdots \\(I + p \cdot U_{\phi_{r-1}})^p &\equiv (I + U_\phi)^{p^r} \equiv I + p^r \cdot U_{\phi_r} \pmod{p^r} \\&\equiv I \pmod{p^r}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$M_\phi(p^r) p^r \equiv I \pmod{p^r}$$

Hence the exponent of the group formed by upper triangular non-singular matrices over Z/mZ , where m is a non-square free modulus given above, divides

$$\text{lcm} \{ \phi(p_1^{r_1}) p_1^{r_1}, \phi(p_2^{r_2}) p_2^{r_2}, \dots, \phi(p_s^{r_s}) p_s^{r_s} \}$$

10.4.5 Linear Fractional Group [53]

Finally, let us consider the group of linear fractional substitutions over Z/mZ , where m is equal to the product of r distinct primes to see if such a group is suitable for a trapdoor system. The linear fractional group $LF(n, m)$ is very much similar to the linear homogenous group $GL(n, m)$ considered earlier. Here only the case $n = 2$ is examined. First consider the group $LF(2, p)$ where p is a prime. These substitutions are of the form

$$u : x \mapsto \frac{ax + b}{cx + d} \quad \text{over } Z/p^*Z = \{ 0, 1, \dots, p-1, \infty \}$$

The symbol ∞ is adjoined to represent any formal quotient $y/0$ where y is a non-zero element of the field. The linear fractional substitutions $LF(2, p)$ can be obtained from the linear homogenous substitution $GL(2, p)$ on variables x_1 and x_2 by setting $x \equiv x_1/x_2$. The number of transformations of the form u is finite and they form a group as the product of any two substitutions is also a substitution of the same form. The order of the group $LF(2, m)$ can be determined as follows, where $m = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$.

For u to represent a substitution, it is required that the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be invertible, that is, the determinant $ad-bc$ prime to m . Now let us consider the two matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \lambda a & \lambda b \\ \lambda c & \lambda d \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$$

The corresponding two substitutions represented by

$$\frac{ax + b}{cx + d} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\lambda(ax + b)}{\lambda(cx + d)} \quad \text{over } \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$$

are identical if $(\lambda, m) = 1$

Consider the matrix M_p given by

$$M_p = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & b_p \\ c_p & d_p \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } a_p d_p - b_p c_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

If the condition that $\det M_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ can be satisfied by choosing a_p, b_p, c_p and d_p appropriately, then the Chinese Remainder Theorem (Appendix 10) allows us to find a, b, c and d over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that:

$$a \equiv a_{p_1} \pmod{p_1}, a \equiv a_{p_2} \pmod{p_2}, \dots, a \equiv a_{p_r} \pmod{p_r}$$

and similarly for b, c and d .

Thus the order of $LF(2, m)$ can be found by finding the orders of $LF(2, p_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. The number of possible values of λ which result in identical substitutions is given by the Euler totient function $\phi(p_i)$ for each p_i . Thus the order is given by

$$\# LF(2, m) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \# LF'(2, p_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^r \phi(p_i)}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \# LF'(2, p) &= \# GL(2, p) \\ &= (p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p) \text{ from Section 10.4.2 with } n=2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \quad \# \text{LF}(2,m) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (p_i^2 - 1) \cdot (p_i^2 - p_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^r (p_i - 1)}$$

$$\# \text{LF}(2,m) = \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i + 1) p_i (p_i - 1)$$

Thus as the order depends on the factorization of the modulus m , this is suitable for a trapdoor system. The messages are of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and $ad - bc \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. The encrypting and decrypting exponents e and d can be determined using $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\# \text{LF}(2,m)}$.

10.4.6 Proportion of Non-Singular Matrices Over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$

The extended RSA system described above requires the message space to consist of either arbitrary non-singular matrices or orthogonal matrices or invertible upper triangular matrices including the diagonal elements over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. In the first two cases it is required to choose arbitrary message matrices which are non-singular. In the case of orthogonal matrices, recall that one method of forming a message requires the matrix $(I+S)$ to be non-singular. Hence some bound on the fraction of $n \times n$ matrices which are invertible modulo m will be useful. If the elements of the $n \times n$ matrix are chosen uniformly from the integers modulo m , then these bounds can be taken as the bounds on the probability that the matrix is non-singular.

An $n \times n$ matrix M has an inverse modulo m if and only if the rows (columns) of the matrix form a linearly independent set of vectors modulo m . Using the argument given in Section 10.4.2, if $m = p$, a prime, then the number of invertible matrices \pmod{p} is given by

$$(p^n - 1)(p^n - p) \dots (p^n - p^{n-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (p^n - p^i)$$

The total number of $n \times n$ matrices with elements over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is equal to p^{n^2} . Thus the proportion of invertible matrices \pmod{p} is equal to

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (p^n - p^i)}{p^{n^2}}$$

$$= (1-1/p^n) (1-p/p^n) \dots (1-p^{n-1}/p^n)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n (1-p^{-i})$$

Thus as the size of the prime increases, the probability that a chosen matrix is non-singular approaches 1. If the proportion of non-singular matrices over Z/mZ is considered, where $m = \prod_{j=1}^r p_j$, then it is equal to

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n (p_j^n - p_j^i)}{\left(\prod_{j=1}^r p_j \right)^{n^2}}$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n (1-p_j^{-i})$$

Similar expressions can be found for the proportion of non-singular matrices over Z/mZ , where m is a non-square free integer, using the expression for the order N_m derived in Section 10.4.2. The proportion is then given by N_m/m^{n^2} . From the cryptography point of view where m is a large integer composed of a few large prime factors, this proportion is very much close to 1. Even for small primes $p_1=13$ and $p_2=23$, the proportion is approximately 0.87, for $n=2$.

10.4.7 System Design and Operation

The designer randomly chooses large primes p_1 to p_r for some $r \geq 2$ following the guidelines suggested in Section 10.2 and these are kept secret. But the primes need not be necessarily distinct. Then he specifies what his message space is going to be, whether it consists of arbitrary non-singular matrices or orthogonal matrices or upper triangular matrices with invertible elements along the diagonal. This information along with the dimension n of the matrix message is made public. Then he determines the coding exponents e and d using the equation $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{(Order or Exponent)}}$. The order and the exponent expressions for the different message spaces are given in the earlier sections. The public encryption key is therefore given by (e, m, n) and the secret decryption key is

(d, m, n). The system is also suitable for authentication purposes like the RSA system.

A general procedure to construct a matrix message is as follows. The plaintext message is divided into blocks of integers less than the modulus m and a sequence of $n \times n$ message matrices M_i is constructed by arranging the integers in order as they occur, left to right and top to bottom. The encryption procedure consists of raising each of these plaintext matrices to the power e modulo m . The ciphertext matrices, C_i , produced are then transmitted to the receiver by sending the ciphertext matrix elements in order as they occur in the matrix, left to right, top to bottom, with a space separating the elements. The receiver recovers the original message by first reconstructing the sequence of $n \times n$ ciphertext matrices and then raising each matrix C_i in the sequence to the power d modulo m . Thus the main operation in both the encryption and decryption procedures consists of raising an $n \times n$ matrix to some power modulo m . This can be performed using the Square and Multiply Technique [45] which is now briefly described.


10.4.7.1 Square and Multiply Technique

Consider the binary representation of the encryption exponent e . Each '1' in the representation is now replaced by a pair of letters SX and each '0' is replaced by the letter S. The symbol SX which appears at the left is now crossed off. The result is a rule for computing M^e if S is interpreted as the squaring operation and X is interpreted as the multiplying operation by M . Each of these operations is performed modulo m . The flowchart of the algorithm is given in Figure 10.2. If the encryption exponent is chosen to have only a few '1' digits in its binary representation, this will make this algorithm run faster than if the exponent has a random binary representation. A similar procedure can be carried out for decryption using the exponent d .

Further, the intermediate computations need not be reduced over Z/mZ but rather over Z/kmZ for any positive integer k . The final answer is computed by reducing the answer over Z/kmZ into Z/mZ . This gives the correct answer because $(a_1 \cdot a_2 \pmod{km}) \equiv a_1 \cdot a_2 \pmod{m}$.

Let $e = (e_k, \dots, e_2, e_1)$

$e_i \in GF(2)$



All operations are modulo m

Fig. 10.2 - Algorithm for computing $M^e \pmod{m}$

10.4.8 System Implementation

This extended RSA system using matrix messages has been simulated on the Prime Computer. The encryption and the decryption of message matrices have been performed using the Square and Multiply Technique given above.

10.4.8.1 Non-Singular Matrix Messages

In the case of non-singular matrix message space, the message matrix M is constructed according to the general procedure given in Section 10.4.7 and its determinant is calculated using the program DETMOD.F77 given in Appendix 12. Then Euclid's algorithm is used to test whether the determinant is relatively prime to the modulus m . If so, the message is raised to the power e over Z/mZ using the program MATEXP.FTN given in Appendix 13. The same program is used to decrypt the ciphertext matrices with exponent d . Recall from Section 10.4.6 that the probability of an arbitrarily chosen matrix message M over Z/mZ , where $m = pq$ and p and q are large primes, is non-singular, is very much close to 1.

One can also construct an arbitrary non-singular matrix M over Z/mZ by multiplying together an upper triangular matrix U with unit diagonal and a lower triangular matrix L with unit diagonal over Z/mZ . The elements other than the diagonal ones in U and L can be arbitrarily chosen modulo m . As both U and L are non-singular over Z/mZ , $M = LU$ is non-singular over Z/mZ . Further the non-commutativity property of matrices ($UL \neq LU$ in general) ensures that the opponent still needs to factorize m to be able to calculate the decrypting exponent d , in contrast to the case of upper triangular matrices with unit diagonal considered in Section 10.4.4. That is, $M^e = (UL)^e \neq U^e L^e$. Thus although $U^{ed} \equiv U \pmod{m}$, $L^{ed} \equiv L \pmod{m}$ where $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{m \text{ or } 2m}$ (see Section 10.4.4), $M^{ed} \not\equiv M \pmod{m}$. However $M^{ed} \equiv M \pmod{m}$ where $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\#GL(n,m) \text{ or } \text{EXP } GL(n,m)}$ (see Section 10.4.2). The receiver can obtain the matrices L and U uniquely given the matrix M .

10.4.8.2 Upper Triangular Matrix Messages

In the case of upper triangular matrix messages with invertible diagonal elements, the message matrix is again constructed according to the general procedure given in Section 10.4.7. The lower triangular section of the message (excluding the diagonal) is

set to zero. The diagonal elements are almost arbitrarily chosen to be relatively prime to the modulus m . This can be done provided they are relatively small, as the prime factors of m are normally very large. Again these messages are encrypted/decrypted using the program MATEXP.FTN given in Appendix 13. Note that in this case, only the upper triangular entries of the ciphertext matrices (ie, $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ elements of each ciphertext matrix) need to be transmitted to the receiver.

An example in each of these two message spaces showing the various parameters involved is given below.

10.4.8.3 Example 1 : 2 x 2 Non-Singular Matrix Messages

Let the modulus be $m = p_1^2 p_2 = 3^2 5 = 45$

Exponent of the group formed by 2×2 non-singular matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/45\mathbb{Z}$ divides $\text{lcm}\{v_1, v_2\}$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= 3^{2-1} \text{lcm}\{3, 3-1, 9-1\} = 3 \text{lcm}\{3, 2, 8\} \\ v_2 &= \text{lcm}\{5, 5-1, 25-1\} = \text{lcm}\{5, 4, 24\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus EXP is a divisor of 1080. Hence the coding exponents e and d are given by

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{1080}$$

One pair (e, d) which satisfies the above congruence is $e = 23, d = 47$.

Let us assume that the plaintext message to be encrypted is 81334. Dividing the message as (8 13 3 4), the plaintext message matrix can be constructed as

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 13 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

The determinant of P is equal to $-7 \equiv 38 \pmod{45}$ and $(\det P, 45) = 1$. Hence P is non-singular $(\text{mod } m)$.

The ciphertext matrix is then given by

$$C \equiv P^e \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 13 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}^{23} \pmod{45}$$

$$\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 38 & 34 \\ 39 & 31 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{45}$$

This ciphertext matrix is transmitted to the receiver as (38 34 39 31). The receiver reconstructs the matrix C and computes $C^d \pmod{m}$ to obtain P. That is,

$$C^d \pmod{45} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 38 & 34 \\ 39 & 31 \end{pmatrix}^{47} \pmod{45}$$

$$\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 13 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{45}$$

$$\equiv P$$

10.4.8.4 Example 2 = 3 x 3 Upper Triangular Matrix Messages

Let the modulus $m = p \cdot q = 41 \cdot 29 = 1189$

Exponent of the group formed by 3×3 upper triangular non-singular matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/1189\mathbb{Z}$ divides

$$\text{lcm} \{40 \cdot 41, 28 \cdot 29\} = 332920$$

Choosing the encrypting exponent, $e = 1317$, the decrypting exponent d can be calculated using Euclid's Algorithm (Appendix 11) and is equal to 117293. That is,

$$1317 \cdot 117293 \equiv 1 \pmod{332920}$$

Let us assume that the plaintext message to be encrypted is 232677205141. In this example, the message is divided into 2-digit blocks of integers less than m starting from right to left as

$$(23 \ 26 \ 77 \ 20 \ 51 \ 41)$$

The upper triangular plaintext message matrices then become

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 90 & 23 & 26 \\ 0 & 50 & 77 \\ 0 & 0 & 48 \end{pmatrix}, P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 31 & 20 & 51 \\ 0 & 215 & 41 \\ 0 & 0 & 289 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the diagonal elements are arbitrarily chosen to be relatively prime to m ($=1189$).

The ciphertext message matrices are then given by

$$C_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 90 & 23 & 26 \\ 0 & 50 & 77 \\ 0 & 0 & 48 \end{pmatrix}^{1317} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1105 & 458 & 1070 \\ 0 & 50 & 251 \\ 0 & 0 & 831 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{1189}$$

$$C_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 31 & 20 & 51 \\ 0 & 215 & 41 \\ 0 & 0 & 289 \end{pmatrix}^{1317} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 843 & 774 & 660 \\ 0 & 592 & 41 \\ 0 & 0 & 405 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{1189}$$

These ciphertext matrices are transmitted to the receiver as (1105 458 1070 50 251 831 843 774 660 592 41 405).

The receiver reconstructs the matrices C_1 and C_2 and computes $C_1^d \pmod{m}$ and $C_2^d \pmod{m}$ to obtain P_1 and P_2 and hence the plaintext message. That is,

$$C_1^d \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1105 & 458 & 1070 \\ 0 & 50 & 251 \\ 0 & 0 & 831 \end{pmatrix}^{117293} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 90 & 23 & 26 \\ 0 & 50 & 77 \\ 0 & 0 & 48 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{1189}$$

$$C_2^d \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 843 & 774 & 660 \\ 0 & 592 & 41 \\ 0 & 0 & 405 \end{pmatrix}^{117293} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 31 & 20 & 51 \\ 0 & 215 & 41 \\ 0 & 0 & 289 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{1189}$$

10.4.9 Discussion

Thus one can see that the RSA system can be generalized to matrix rings provided the message space is restricted to avoid nilpotent elements. The group of non-singular matrices over Z/mZ , the group of orthogonal matrices over Z/mZ and the group of upper triangular matrices over Z/mZ with diagonal elements coprime to m have been investigated. From a practical implementation point of view, the upper triangular (non-singular) matrix message space seems to be the better candidate as the messages can be constructed in an almost arbitrary manner. In the case of non-singular and orthogonal matrices, an additional procedure to find the determinant of the message matrix is required. From Section 10.4.6, it is seen that for a large enough modulus, the probability that an arbitrarily chosen

message matrix is non-singular is very much close to 1. Further if the non-singular matrix is constructed as a product of upper and lower triangular matrices, then it seems that arbitrary non-singular message matrices can be easily constructed.

For a cryptanalyst to break the system by computing the secret decoding exponent d , he needs to find the order (or the exponent) of the group. In all the three cases, it is seen that the factorization of the modulus is required to compute the order (or the exponent) of the group. Provided m is chosen to be a large integer (say 200 decimal digits long, see Figure 10.1), this provides a secure system. Other attacks such as the computation of the order without finding the prime factors of m and determining the secret coding exponent d without factoring m or calculating the order can be shown to be as difficult as factoring m using similar arguments to those given in Section 10.3. Thus this extended matrix RSA system provides a similar level of security as the RSA system over integers.

Further two points are worth mentioning regarding this extended system. Firstly it is seen that a non-square free modulus can be used with this system which is not possible with the RSA system over integers. That is, powers of primes can be used to form the modulus m . Secondly, the use of a matrix as a message allows large amounts of data to be processed within one encryption/decryption cycle. Whether this is an advantage depends upon the ease with which matrix manipulation can be carried out in real time.

Also the use of a matrix as a message may provide some extra features to the system. Consider, for instance, a non-singular message matrix divided into blocks as shown below

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} M_1 & X_1 \\ \hline X_2 & M_2 \end{array} \right)$$

where M_1 and M_2 represent genuine message blocks whereas X_1 and X_2 are redundant random blocks. Encryption of such a message M would truly 'internally' mix the message elements and the random elements in the matrix. In the case of RSA system over integers, a similar effect can be achieved by sending a sequence of ciphers which contains some random elements. For instance, the sequence may be $c_1, x_1, c_2, x_2, \dots$

where c_i represents genuine cipher and x_i represents random cipher. In the former case, the internal structure of the matrix and in the latter case, the pattern of the sequence need to be prearranged between the sender and the receiver. In the case of the matrix system, the knowledge of the internal structure of the matrix does not give any help to the opponent as the message is enciphered 'internally' and hence this information can be made public. In the latter case, however, the knowledge of the pattern sequence helps the opponent to discard the random ciphers and hence this information cannot be made public. The use of chaining techniques in such matrix systems is considered in Chapter 15.

10.5 Extension of RSA System to Polynomial Rings

Another ring of special interest is the polynomial ring $R[x]$ which consists of polynomials whose coefficients are elements of an arbitrary ring R . A possible extension of the factorization trapdoor system in the ring of polynomials $R[x]$ is considered. In particular, to begin with, the ring $F[x]$ where F is a finite field is looked at.

10.5.1 Concept of Galois Field

Let $P(x)$ be a given polynomial in x of degree n with integral coefficients not all divisible by a given prime p . Let $F(x)$ be any polynomial in x with integral coefficients. On dividing $F(x)$ by $P(x)$, a quotient $Q(x)$ and a remainder of degree $n-1$ at most is obtained, where the remainder may be written in the form $f(x) + p q(x)$, and

$$f(x) \equiv a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1}$$

Each of the coefficients a_i belong to the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ and $q(x)$ is a polynomial with integral coefficients. That is,

$$F(x) = f(x) + p q(x) + P(x) \cdot Q(x)$$

The totality of functions $F(x)$ which can be obtained by holding $f(x)$ fixed and varying $q(x)$ and $Q(x)$ in all possible ways, subject to maintaining the named properties of $q(x)$ and $Q(x)$, constitute a

class of residues which is completely determined by $f(x)$, the given prime p and $P(x)$. Two polynomials in x with integral coefficients are congruent moduli p and $P(x)$ if and only if they belong to the same class of residues moduli p and $P(x)$. The number of distinct residue classes moduli p and $P(x)$ is equal to the number of functions of the form $f(x)$. Since each of the n independent coefficients a_i can take any one of the p values, there are p^n possible residue classes. These residues constitute a finite field called the Galois field [54]. If $P(x)$ is not irreducible modulo p or if p is not a prime, then the residues do not form a field since at least two non-zero residues can be found whose product is 0 moduli p and $P(x)$.

10.5.2 A Polynomial Based RSA System

Using this concept, one can design a conventional cryptographic system as follows. Since the number of residue classes is finite, it must be possible to find two numbers r and s such that

$$(f(x))^r \equiv (f(x))^s \text{ modd } (p, P(x))$$

The non-zero elements $f(x)$ of the extension field $GF(p^n)$ form a multiplicative group. The order of this group is equal to $p^n - 1$, that is,

$$(f(x))^{1 + k(p^n - 1)} \equiv f(x) \text{ modd } (p, P(x))$$

where k is an arbitrary non-negative integer.

The system designer chooses randomly a large prime number p (see Section 10.2) and a high degree irreducible polynomial $P(x)$ over $GF(p)$ of degree n . (See Section 10.5.2.1) The message space of this cryptographic system consists of polynomials $\{m(x)\}$ belonging to $F[x]$ where F is the finite field $GF(p)$. The messages are represented as blocks of sequences of p -ary digits each of size n associated with a polynomial $m(x)$ over $GF(p)$ of degree less than n . The encryption and decryption coding exponents are determined using

$$\begin{aligned} &ed \equiv 1 \text{ mod } (\text{order}) \\ \text{ie, } &ed \equiv 1 \text{ mod } (p^n - 1) \end{aligned} \quad (10.17)$$

The encryption procedure then consists of raising the message polynomial to the power e to form the cipher polynomial, $c(x)$

$$c(x) \equiv (m(x))^e \pmod{(p, P(x))}$$

The decryption procedure consists of raising the cipher polynomial to the power d to obtain the message polynomial using

$$m(x) \equiv (c(x))^d \pmod{(p, P(x))}$$

The encryption key is $(e, p, P(x))$ and the decryption key is $(d, p, P(x))$. Both these keys need to be kept secret since the knowledge of the encryption key would allow the opponent to calculate d using (10.17) and vice versa. Hence this does not give rise to a public key system as such.

Note further that the probability that $c(x) \equiv m(x) \pmod{(p, P(x))}$ is equal to $\gcd(e-1, p^n-1)/p^n$ and this proportion can be made small by the system designer through appropriate choice of e , p and n .

This actually is the generalization of the Pohlig-Hellman secret key scheme over $GF(p)$ to arbitrary finite fields $GF(p^n)$ where p is a prime and n is a positive integer. Note that the field $GF(p^n)$ is isomorphic to $Z/pZ[x]/P(x)$ where $P(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree n over Z/pZ .

This can be further extended to the case where the system designer chooses high degree irreducible polynomials $P_i(x)$ and large distinct primes p_i for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let the degree of irreducible polynomials be n_i for $1 \leq i \leq s$. The coding exponents e_i and d_i can then be determined using

$$e_i d_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i^{n_i}-1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq s$$

The message is divided into groups of s blocks where the i th block is of size n_i and consists of a sequence of p_i -ary digits. The i th plain block is associated with a polynomial $m_i(x)$ of degree less than n_i . The i th cipher block $c_i(x)$ is produced using

$$c_i(x) \equiv (m_i(x))^{e_i} \pmod{(p_i, P_i(x))} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq s$$

Now let $n_{\max} = \text{maximum of } (n_1, \dots, n_s)$. Each $c_i(x)$ is now extended to form a polynomial of degree $n_{\max}-1$ by randomly generating the extra coefficients required over Z/p_iZ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Thus there are s polynomials each of degree $n_{\max}-1$ with coefficients over Z/p_iZ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. These polynomials are combined to form a single polynomial $c(x)$ over Z/mZ where $m = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i$ using the Chinese Remainder Theorem (Appendix 10). $c(x)$ is the cipher polynomial which is transmitted to the receiver. The receiver reduces the polynomial $c(x) \bmod (p_i, x^{n_i})$ to obtain $c_i(x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then he uses the coding exponents d_i , to obtain $m_i(x)$ using $m_i(x) \equiv (c_i(x))^{d_i} \bmod (p_i, P_i(x))$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Hence the encryption key consists of $(e_i, p_i, P_i(x))$ and the decryption key consists of $(d_i, p_i, P_i(x))$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Note that this is still a conventional (symmetric) cryptosystem.

The above method can be modified to give a public key system. This has been first proposed by Kravitz [55]. This is based on the difficulty of obtaining the degrees of the irreducible factors of a polynomial of large degree over a finite field, $F = Z/pZ$, p prime.

Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of degree s which is equal to the product of r distinct irreducible polynomials over Z/pZ . That is,

$$f(x) = \Pi_1(x) \cdot \Pi_2(x) \dots \Pi_r(x) \pmod{p}$$

where $\Pi_i(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, are distinct irreducible polynomials of degree s_i . Then $s = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_r = \sum_{i=1}^r s_i$

Let the message space consists of $\{m(x)\}$ where $m(x)$ is a polynomial over Z/pZ of degree less than s . Then the set of polynomials of degree less than s and relatively prime to $f(x)$ form a multiplicative group modulo $f(x)$. [Two polynomials are said to be relatively prime if their greatest common divisor has degree 0 (ie, a constant polynomial). That is, two polynomials h_1 and h_2 are relatively prime if $ah_1 + bh_2 = 1$ for some polynomials a, b in $Z/pZ[x]$].

Hence

$$(m(x))^{\text{order}} \equiv 1 \pmod{(p, f(x))}$$

The order of the group is determined as follows.

First let us consider a slightly different case where

$f(x) = \prod_1^{g_1} (x)$, a non square free polynomial (although it is said earlier that $f(x)$ consists of distinct irreducible factors mod p). Here the degree of the message polynomial $m(x)$ is less than the degree of $\prod_1^{g_1} (x)$, that is, less than $g_1 s_1$. In addition, if the greatest common divisor of the degrees of $m(x)$ and $\prod_1 (x)$ is greater than 0, then

$$m(x) = \prod_1 (x) \cdot h(x)$$

where the degree of $h(x)$ is less than $g_1 s_1 - s_1 = s_1 (g_1 - 1)$.

Total number of polynomials $\{m(x)\}$ of degree less than $g_1 s_1$ is equal to $|F|^{g_1 s_1} = p^{g_1 s_1}$. Within this set, the number of polynomials $m(x)$ which are not relatively prime to $\prod_1 (x)$ (that is, which are multiples of $\prod_1 (x)$ and for which $h(x)$ exists) is equal to the number of such polynomials $h(x)$ possible. There are $|F|^{s_1 (g_1 - 1)}$ such polynomials $h(x)$ and hence there are $|F|^{s_1 (g_1 - 1)}$ polynomials $m(x)$ which are multiples of $\prod_1 (x)$. Therefore, the order of the group is given by

$$|F|^{s_1 g_1} - |F|^{s_1 (g_1 - 1)}$$

Thus if

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_i^{g_i} (x) \pmod{p}$$

then, the order of the group becomes

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \left(|F|^{g_i s_i} - |F|^{s_i (g_i - 1)} \right) \quad (10.18)$$

In this case $|F| = p$. Substituting this into the above expression gives

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \left(p^{g_i s_i} - p^{s_i (g_i - 1)} \right) \quad (10.19)$$

In particular, if $g_i = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, (that is, $f(x)$ consists of distinct irreducible factors) then the expression (10.19) becomes

$$\prod_{i=1}^r (p^{s_i} - 1)$$

As will be seen later in Section 10.5.3, the polynomial $f(x)$ needs

to be square free for cryptographic application.

10.5.2.1 System Design and Operation

The system designer randomly chooses a large prime p and r distinct high-degree irreducible polynomials over Z/pZ , $\Pi_1(x)$ to $\Pi_r(x)$, with degrees s_1 to s_r .

The chosen number can be tested to check if it is a prime using the primality tests given in Section 10.2. A chosen polynomial can be tested to see if it is irreducible over Z/pZ as follows: From [56], a polynomial $P(x)$ of degree n is irreducible in Z/pZ if and only if $P(x) \nmid (x^{p^n} - x)$ and $\gcd(P(x), x^{p^{n_i}} - x) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ where $n = u_1 \dots u_k$ and u_i 's are primes and $n_i = n/u_i$. Thus to test whether a chosen polynomial $P(x)$ with degree n is irreducible over Z/pZ , the designer computes $\gcd(P(x), x^{p^{n_i}} - x)$ and tests whether it is equal to 1 for all i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. Berlekamp [56] shows that a randomly chosen polynomial of degree s_i is irreducible with probability $1/s_i$. Thus an average of s_i tries is required to find an s_i th degree irreducible polynomial.

Having selected the irreducible polynomials $\Pi_1(x)$ to $\Pi_r(x)$, the designer forms their product $f(x)$ which is of degree s where $s = \sum_{i=1}^r s_i$. The polynomial $f(x)$ and the prime p are then made public information. The r distinct irreducible factors of $f(x)$ are kept secret. The coding exponents e and d are calculated using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{order}} \quad (10.20)$$

$$\text{where } \text{order} = \prod_{i=1}^r (p^{s_i} - 1) \quad (10.21)$$

The public encryption key is $(e, p, f(x))$ and the secret decryption key is $(d, p, f(x))$. The message is divided into blocks of size s consisting of sequences of p -ary digits. Each such plaintext block is associated with a polynomial $m(x)$ of degree less than s . The encryption procedure then consists of raising the polynomial $m(x)$ to the power e using

$$c(x) \equiv (m(x))^e \pmod{(p, f(x))}$$

and the decryption procedure is given by

$$m(x) \equiv (c(x))^d \pmod{(p, f(x))}$$

The above scheme can be used as a public key system as the order is found to depend upon the degrees of the irreducible polynomial factors of the composite modulus polynomial thus providing the trapdoor property.

10.5.2.2 Security of The System

The above scheme is not as secure as the RSA system over integers or the matrix based RSA system considered earlier due to the following reasons.

Following Section 10.3, the main cryptanalytical attacks consist of finding the order (expression (10.21)). Once the order is found, the cryptanalyst can determine the secret decoding exponent d using (10.20). The order expression contains the degrees of the irreducible factors of $f(x)$ and the prime p . As the prime p is made public, the security of the system relies on the difficulty of factorizing the polynomial $f(x)$ into its irreducible factors. Furthermore, the same decoding exponent d works for all sets of $\Pi_i(x)$ for $i = 1$ to r with same degrees s_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$.

It is generally true that factorization of a polynomial over a finite field is not a hard problem in sharp contrast with the factorization problem of a large integer. Berlekamp [57] proposed an efficient algorithm for factoring polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ where p is prime. For large prime p , Knuth [45] suggests some modifications to the Berlekamp's procedure. Here the Berlekamp's procedure is briefly described. The basic strategy of Berlekamp's method of factoring a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is to translate the problem into that of solving a system of linear equations with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and finding greatest common divisors. Both of these steps can be done using known methods in a finite number of steps. The idea behind the algorithm is now briefly outlined.

Suppose that $f(x)$ has degree s and suppose that a polynomial $h(x)$ can be found in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ of degree greater than or equal to 1 but less than s such that

$$f(x) \mid (h(x))^p - h(x)$$

If $\text{degree}(h(x)) = k \geq 1$, then $(h(x))^p - h(x) \neq 0$ for the coefficient of x^{pk} is non-zero. By Fermat's theorem, the polynomial $u^p - u$ has p roots in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ namely $u = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1$. Thus $u^p - u$ factors (mod p)

into

$$u^P - u = u(u-1)(u-2) \dots (u-(p-1))$$

Setting $u = h(x)$ gives

$$(h(x))^P - h(x) = h(x)(h(x)-1)(h(x)-2) \dots (h(x)-(p-1)) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \quad (10.22)$$

Since $f(x)$ divides $(h(x))^P - h(x)$, $f(x)$ is the greatest common divisor of $f(x)$ and $(h(x))^P - h(x)$. Since $h(x)-v_1$ and $h(x)-v_2$ are relatively prime if $v_1 \neq v_2$, from (10.22) it is seen that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \gcd(f(x), (h(x))^P - h(x)) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} \gcd(f(x), h(x) - j) \end{aligned} \quad (10.23)$$

Each factor on the right hand side has degree at most that of $h(x)$ which is in turn less than s , the degree of $f(x)$. Thus there must be at least two non-trivial factors of $f(x)$ on the right hand side of (10.23), that is, at least two factors of f which have degree ≥ 1 and hence (10.23) is a non-trivial factorization of $f(x)$.

To factor $f(x)$, thus one needs to find a polynomial $h(x)$ such that $f(x)$ divides $(h(x))^P - h(x)$. This is done by solving a set of linear equations for the coefficients of $h(x)$ as follows.

Suppose $h(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_{s-1}x^{s-1}$ where b_0, \dots, b_{s-1} are the coefficients to be determined. To see whether $f(x)$ divides $(h(x))^P - h(x)$, consider first $(h(x))^P$.

Using the equality

$$(a + b)^P = a^P + b^P \text{ over } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

we have

$$(h(x))^P = b_0^P + b_1^P x^P + \dots + b_{s-1}^P x^{(s-1)P}$$

Applying Fermat's theorem to each of b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{s-1} , $b_i^P = b_i$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for all i , and hence

$$(h(x))^P = b_0 + b_1 x^P + \dots + b_{s-1} x^{(s-1)P} \quad (10.24)$$

Now using the division algorithm and dividing $f(x)$ into x^{iP} for $i = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$, gives

$$x^{ip} = f(x) q_i(x) + r_i(x) \quad (10.25)$$

where

$$r_i(x) = r_{i,0} + r_{i,1} x + \dots + r_{i,s-1} x^{s-1} \quad (10.26)$$

Substituting (10.25) into (10.24) yields

$$(h(x))^p = b_0 r_0(x) + b_1 r_1(x) + \dots + b_{s-1} r_{s-1}(x) + (\text{multiple of } f(x))$$

Thus $f(x)$ divides $(h(x))^p - h(x)$ if and only if $f(x)$ divides the polynomial

$$b_0 r_0(x) + b_1 r_1(x) + \dots + b_{s-1} r_{s-1}(x) - (b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_{s-1} x^{s-1})$$

But this polynomial has degree $\leq s-1$ hence is divisible by $f(x)$ (of degree s) if and only if it is equal to 0.

Thus $f(x)$ divides $(h(x))^p - h(x)$ if and only if the coefficients b_0, b_1, \dots, b_s of $h(x)$ satisfy

$$b_0 r_0(x) + b_1 r_1(x) + \dots + b_{s-1} r_{s-1}(x) - (b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_{s-1} x^{s-1}) = 0 \quad (10.27)$$

Collecting coefficients of $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{s-1}$ in (10.27), s simultaneous linear equations in the s unknowns b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{s-1} are obtained. These equations can be solved for b_0, \dots, b_{s-1} and coefficients of a polynomial $h(x)$ such that $f(x)$ divides $(h(x))^p - h(x)$ can be obtained.

To calculate the decoding exponent d in (10.20), one does not need to obtain the irreducible factors but only need to obtain their degrees. In particular, in a system where the modulus $f(x)$ consists of two distinct irreducible factors $\Pi_1(x)$ and $\Pi_2(x)$ (analogous to the RSA system over integers), one can follow a simple technique to obtain the degrees of the irreducible factors. Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that polynomials are all monic. From finite fields theory [56], it is known that in a field of order p , $x^{p^\ell} - x$ factors into the product of all monic irreducible polynomials whose degrees divide ℓ . Thus the cryptanalyst computes $h_\ell(x) = \gcd(f(x), x^{p^\ell} - x)$ for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, s/2$, successively until he finds a $h_\ell(x) \neq 1$. In such an instance, $h_\ell(x) = \Pi_1(x)$ or $\Pi_2(x)$ or $f(x)$.

The first two cases give the degrees $\ell = s_1$ or s_2 and in the third case $\ell = s_1 = s_2$. Thus in all the three cases, the opponent has obtained the degrees of irreducible factors even though in the third case, he has not actually factorized $f(x)$. Thus he can calculate the order (10.21) and can determine the decoding exponent using (10.20).

To compute the $\gcd(f(x), x^{p^\ell} - x)$ for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, s/2$ requires approximately

- (i) $s/2$ operations of $\gcd(f(x), x^{p^\ell} - x)$
- (ii) each such operation requires approximately $\log_2 p^{s/2}$ multiplications mod $f(x)$, giving a total of $O(s^2 \log_2 p)$ multiplications mod $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

The encryption (or decryption) procedure requires a maximum of $\log_2 w$ multiplications mod $f(x)$ where $w = (p^{s_1}-1)(p^{s_2}-1) - 1$ because the maximum value of e (or d) is $(p^{s_1}-1)(p^{s_2}-1) - 1$. As $w < p^s$, the computational effort is $O(s \log_2 p)$ multiplications mod $f(x)$. Thus the work factor is approximately $O(s)$. Thus if the cryptanalyst is required to make about 2^{60} trials before finding the decoding exponent d , then the degree of $f(x) = s_1 + s_2 = s = 2^{60}$. In such a case, the length of the cipher polynomial also becomes 2^{60} introducing a long delay in both encrypting and decrypting devices.

Further Gait [59] points out a short cycling attack based on superenciphering any non-trivial polynomial $t(x)$ with encrypting exponent e equal to any non-trivial power of p . He shows that the period of the sequence of powers of $t(x)^e \bmod f(x)$ is equal to $s_1 s_2$. It seems then the work required to recover the decoding exponent depends on the difficulty of factorizing $s_1 s_2$. Hence for the system to be secure $s_1 s_2$ needs to be very large. Short cycling attacks against public key systems are considered in Chapter 13.

10.5.3 An Improved Polynomial Based RSA System

Now let us extend this polynomial scheme further to the case where $f(x)$ is a composite polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, where m is a composite number, in an attempt to increase the security of the above mentioned public key system.

Let

$$m = \prod_{i=1}^l p_i^{\alpha_i} \quad \text{where } p_i \text{ are primes} \quad (10.28)$$

and the degree of the polynomial $f(x)$ be s .

Then the message space consists of polynomials $\{m(x)\}$ whose degrees are less than s and whose coefficients are elements of the ring Z/mZ . The first step is to find the order of the group formed by the polynomials of degree less than s and relatively prime to $f(x)$ over Z/mZ . Let us denote this order using the Euler totient function symbol $\phi_m(f(x))$. From the expression derived for the finite field $F = Z/pZ$ in Section 10.5.2, the order of the group formed by the polynomials which are relatively prime to $f(x)$ in Z/pZ is given by

$$\phi_p(f(x)) = \prod_{i=1}^r (p^{s_i b_i} - p^{s_i(b_i-1)}) \quad (10.29)$$

where $f(x) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^r g_i^{b_i}(x) \pmod{p}$ and $g_i(x)$ are irreducible polynomials of degrees s_i in Z/pZ .

Note that the commutative ring $R = Z/pZ[x]/f(x)$ under consideration is isomorphic to $Z[x]/(p, f(x))$. Now let us consider the order of the group formed by the units in the ring $Z/p^t Z[x]/f(x) \cong Z[x]/(p^t, f(x))$. Let θ be a surjective ring homomorphism between the rings given below

$$\theta : \frac{Z[x]}{(p^{t+1}, f(x))} \longrightarrow \frac{Z[x]}{(p^t, f(x))}$$

If restricted to units, then there is a surjective homomorphism θ' such that

$$\theta' : U \left(\frac{Z[x]}{(p^{t+1}, f(x))} \right) \longrightarrow U \left(\frac{Z[x]}{(p^t, f(x))} \right)$$

The kernel of the mapping θ' consists of those sets of units of the left hand side which are congruent to 1 mod $(p^t, f(x))$, that is,

$$\text{kernel } \theta' = \{h(x)\} \quad \text{such that } h(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{(p^t, f(x))}$$

or,

$$h(x) = 1 + p^t v(x) + f(x) k(x)$$

and $h(x)$ is a unit in $\frac{Z[x]}{(p^{t+1}, f(x))}$. Let us now calculate the number of

such classes mod $(p^{t+1}, f(x))$. The standard form for representatives of classes mod $(p^t, f(x))$ is given by

$$u_0 + u_1 x + \dots + u_{s-1} x^{s-1} \text{ where } 0 \leq u_i < p^t.$$

The standard form for representatives of classes mod $(p^{t+1}, f(x))$ is given by

$$u_0 + u_1 x + \dots + u_{s-1} x^{s-1} \text{ where } 0 \leq u_i < p^{t+1}$$

As $f(x)$ is same in both cases, $h(x) \equiv 1 + p^t v(x) \pmod{f(x)}$.

The number of such $v(x)$ possible in mod $(p^{t+1}, f(x))$ is p^s because:

$v(x) = v_0 + v_1 x + \dots + v_{s-1} x^{s-1}$ and each coefficient v_i can take values in the range 0 to $p-1$. If v_i is greater than $p-1$ then it will get reduced mod p^{t+1} as $p^t \cdot p = p^{t+1}$. Thus the total number of such $v(x)$ possible is equal to $p^{\deg f(x)} = p^s$.

Therefore

$$\# \text{ kernel } \theta' = p^s$$

Using group theory,

$$\frac{U\left(\frac{Z[x]}{(p^{t+1}, f(x))}\right)}{\text{Kernel } \theta'}$$

and

$$\# U\left(\frac{Z[x]}{(p^{t+1}, f(x))}\right) = \# (\text{kernel } \theta') \# U\left(\frac{Z[x]}{(p^t, f(x))}\right)$$

where $\#$ denotes the order.

Therefore,

$$\phi_{p^{t+1}}(f(x)) = p^s \phi_{p^t}(f(x)) \quad t \geq 1$$

$$= p^{2s} \phi_{p^{t-1}}(f(x))$$

$$= \dots = \dots$$

$$\text{and } \therefore \phi_{p^t}(f(x)) = p^{(t-1)s} \phi_p(f(x)) \quad (10.30)$$

The next stage is to consider the case where $m = \prod_{i=1}^l p_i^{\alpha_i}$ and to evaluate the required expression $\phi_m(f(x))$.

Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem it is seen that

$$\frac{Z[x]}{(m, f(x))} \cong \frac{Z[x]}{(p_1^{\alpha_1}, f(x))} \oplus \frac{Z[x]}{(p_2^{\alpha_2}, f(x))} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{Z[x]}{(p_l^{\alpha_l}, f(x))}$$

where \oplus denotes the direct sum.

Thus

$$\phi_m(f(x)) = \prod_{i=1}^l \phi_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}(f_i(x)) \quad (10.31)$$

where $f_i(x) \equiv f(x) \pmod{p_i}$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, l$.

Considering the factorization of $f_i(x)$ rather than $f(x)$ over Z , let the factorization of $f_i(x)$ be

$$f_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} g_{ij}^{b_{ij}}(x) \pmod{p_i} \quad (10.32)$$

where the degree of irreducible polynomial $g_{ij}^{b_{ij}}(x)$ is $s_{ij} b_{ij}$.

Note that the upper limit of the product term in the expression (10.32) goes up to r_i , that is, it is a function of to which prime p_i the polynomial $f(x)$ is being factored. This is because in general $f(x) \pmod{p_i}$ will factorize into some r_i distinct irreducible polynomials as i varies.

Substituting (10.30) into (10.31) yields

$$\phi_m(f(x)) = \prod_{i=1}^l p_i^{(\alpha_i-1)s} \phi_{p_i}(f_i(x)) \quad (10.33)$$

From (10.29), $\phi_{p_i}(f_i(x))$ is given by

$$\phi_{p_i}(f_i(x)) = \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} (p_i^{s_{ij}b_{ij}} - p_i^{s_{ij}(b_{ij}-1)}) \quad (10.34)$$

Substituting (10.34) into (10.33) gives

$$\phi_m(f(x)) = \prod_{i=1}^l p_i^{(\alpha_i-1)s} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} (p_i^{s_{ij}b_{ij}} - p_i^{s_{ij}(b_{ij}-1)})$$

Substituting $m = \prod_{i=1}^l p_i^{\alpha_i}$, the above expression becomes

$$\phi_m(f(x)) = \left(\frac{m}{\prod_{i=1}^l p_i} \right)^s \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} (p_i^{s_{ij}b_{ij}} - p_i^{s_{ij}(b_{ij}-1)}) \quad (10.35)$$

Although the general expression (10.35) for the order of the group formed by polynomials $\{m(x)\}$ which are relatively prime to $f(x)$ over Z/mZ has been derived, from cryptography point of view, only square free modulus m and square free $f(x)$ are allowed. If for instance, m is chosen to be a non-square free integer then there will be nilpotent residue classes mod $f(x)$ for any $f(x)$ and proper

decryption will not be possible in such cases. Similar arguments also apply to the case where $f(x)$ is non-square free. Consider the two examples given below which illustrate the two cases m and $f(x)$ non-square free.

Example 1 : non-square free m

Let $m = p_1^2 \cdot p_2 = 3^2 \cdot 5 = 45$ and let $f(x) = x$
 $f(x) \pmod{3} \equiv f_1(x) = x$
 $f(x) \pmod{5} \equiv f_2(x) = x$
 $\phi_m(f(x)) = \left(\frac{45}{15}\right) \cdot 2 \cdot 4 = 24$
 choosing $e = d = 5$ so that $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$

Let the message $m(x) = 6$. Then $(m(x))^{ed} \equiv 6^{ed} \equiv 36 \not\equiv 6 \pmod{(45, x)}$.
 The message $m(x) = 36$ gives $36^{ed} \equiv 36 \pmod{(45, x)}$.
 Thus there is ambiguous decryption as both messages 6 and 36 produce identical output after decryption.

Example 2 : non-square free $f(x)$

Let $m = p_1 \cdot p_2 = 3 \cdot 5 = 15$ and let $f(x) = 4x^2 + 3$
 $f(x) \pmod{3} \equiv f_1(x) \equiv x^2 \equiv (\pi_{11}(x))^2$
 $f(x) \pmod{5} \equiv f_2(x) \equiv 4x^2 + 3 \equiv \pi_{21}(x)$
 $\phi_m(f(x)) = 24$
 choosing $e = d = 5$ so that $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$

Let the message $m(x) = x$. Then $(m(x))^{ed} \equiv 6x \not\equiv x \pmod{(15, 4x^2 + 3)}$.
 The message $m(x) = 6x$ gives $(6x)^{ed} \equiv 6x \pmod{(15, 4x^2 + 3)}$.
 Thus there is ambiguous decryption as both messages x and $6x$ produce identical output after decryption.
 Thus if m and $f(x)$ are made square free, then the expression (10.35) becomes

$$\phi_m(f(x)) = \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} (p_i^{s_{ij}} - 1) \quad (10.36)$$

Thus the order $\phi_m(f(x))$ not only depends on the degrees of the irreducible factors (s_{ij}) of $f(x)$ but also on the prime factors of the integer m . So if the original polynomial scheme is operated over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, then this seems to give rise to a secure public key cryptosystem like

the RSA system over integers.

10.5.3.1 System Design and Operation

The designer randomly chooses large primes p_1 to p_ℓ for some $\ell, \ell \geq 2$ following the guidelines suggested in Section 10.2. He then needs to choose a modulus polynomial $f(x)$ with the property that $f_i(x) \equiv f(x) \pmod{p_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, are square free polynomials of degree s . Two methods for designing such a polynomial $f(x)$ are now outlined.

In method 1, the designer chooses randomly irreducible polynomials $h_{ij}(x)$ of degrees s_{ij} over $\mathbb{Z}/p_i\mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 1$ to ℓ . As noted in Section 10.5.2.1, an average of s_{ij} tries is required to find an irreducible polynomial of degree s_{ij} in $\mathbb{Z}/p_i\mathbb{Z}$. The polynomials are chosen in such a way that the degrees of the factors modulo p_i for a given i add up to form s . That is, the distinct factors $h_{ij}(x)$, $1 \leq j \leq r_i$, are combined to form $f_i(x) \pmod{p_i}$ of degree s . Thus the designer now has the values s_{ij} and p_i for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $1 \leq j \leq r_i$.

In method 2, the designer randomly chooses polynomials $f_i(x)$ of degree s and accepts them if they are square free over $\mathbb{Z}/p_i\mathbb{Z}$ respectively. This is done by choosing a polynomial $f_i(x)$ and computing the gcd $(f_i(x), f'_i(x))$. If the gcd $(f_i(x), f'_i(x)) = 1$, then $f_i(x)$ is square free. Knuth [45] estimates that a randomly chosen polynomial $f_i(x)$ will be square free over $\mathbb{Z}/p_i\mathbb{Z}$ with a probability of $1 - 1/p_i$. Hence the expected number of trials for finding a square free polynomial $f_i(x)$ is less than 2 for each i . An alternative way of finding square free polynomials is to start off with irreducible polynomials $f(x)$ over \mathbb{Z} . The discriminant of $f(x)$ can be calculated using standard formula [85, p 451] and the prime p is then chosen so that p does not divide the discriminant. Then $f(x)$ is square free over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. This procedure can be repeated for $p_i, 1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Having obtained the square free polynomials $f_i(x)$ over $\mathbb{Z}/p_i\mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, through one way or other, the designer can use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to form a unique s th degree composite polynomial $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ where $m = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i$ and $f(x) \equiv f_i(x) \pmod{p_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. The degrees of the irreducible factors of $f_i(x)$ over $\mathbb{Z}/p_i\mathbb{Z}$ can be determined using the polynomial factorization algorithm of Berlekamp (Section 10.5.2.2) or one of the modified techniques given by Knuth [45]. Thus the designer now has the values s_{ij} and p_i for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $1 \leq j \leq r_i$.

These s_{ij} and p_i values can be substituted into the

expression of $\phi_m(f(x))$ given by (10.36). Recall that for the encryption and decryption to work properly,

$$(m(x))^{1+k\phi_m(f(x))} \equiv m(x) \pmod{(m, f(x))}$$

for any $m(x)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and whose degree is less than s . The coding exponents e and d can therefore be determined using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi_m(f(x))}$$

where e and d are multiplicative inverse of each other $\pmod{\phi_m(f(x))}$. The public encryption key is given by $(e, m, f(x))$ and the secret decryption key is equal to $(d, m, f(x))$.

The message is divided into blocks of size s (degree of $f(x)$), each block consisting of integers over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Each such block is associated with a polynomial $m(x)$ of degree less than s . The encryption procedure then consists of raising $m(x)$ to the power e to form the cipher polynomial $c(x)$ using

$$c(x) \equiv (m(x))^e \pmod{(m, f(x))}$$

The decryption procedure uses

$$m(x) \equiv (c(x))^d \pmod{(m, f(x))}$$

to obtain the message polynomial back.

10.5.3.2 System Implementation

This extended polynomial based RSA system has been simulated on the Prime Computer. The encryption/decryption of message/cipher polynomials are performed using the Square and Multiply technique given in Section 10.4.7.1. The listing of the program is given in Appendix 14. The cipher polynomial is transmitted to the receiver by the sender in the form of a vector. The coefficients of the cipher polynomial are sent to receiver, separated by a space starting from the lowest power coefficient. The receiver reconstructs the cipher polynomial and decrypts it to recover the message. An example showing the various parameters involved is given below:

Example

Let $m = p_1 p_2 = 5 \cdot 7 = 35$. Hence $\ell = 2$

Let $f(x) = x^4 + x^2 + 1$

$$f(x) \pmod{5} \equiv f_1(x) = (x^2 + 4x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1)$$

$$f(x) \pmod{7} \equiv f_2(x) = (x^2 + 5)(x^2 + 3)$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \phi_m(f(x)) = (5^2 - 1)^2 (7^2 - 1)^2 = 1327104$$

The coding exponents e and d are chosen to be $e = 6005$ and $d = 221$ where $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{1327104}$

Let the 35-ary representation of the message to be encrypted be $(18 \ 9 \ 30 \ 23), (4 \ 21 \ 13 \ 7)$ where the message is broken into blocks of size 4. That is,

$$m_1(x) = 4x^3 + 21x^2 + 13x + 7 \quad \text{and}$$

$$m_2(x) = 18x^3 + 9x^2 + 30x + 23$$

Encryption:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cipher polynomial } c_1(x) &\equiv (4x^3 + 21x^2 + 13x + 7)^{221} \pmod{(35, x^4 + x^2 + 1)} \\ &\equiv x^3 + 14x^2 + 12x + 21 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cipher polynomial } c_2(x) &\equiv (18x^3 + 9x^2 + 30x + 23)^{221} \pmod{(35, x^4 + x^2 + 1)} \\ &\equiv 8x^3 + 6x^2 + 20x + 14 \end{aligned}$$

Decryption:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Message polynomial } m_1(x) &\equiv (x^3 + 14x^2 + 12x + 21)^{6005} \pmod{(35, x^4 + x^2 + 1)} \\ &\equiv 4x^3 + 21x^2 + 13x + 7 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Message polynomial } m_2(x) &\equiv (8x^3 + 6x^2 + 20x + 14)^{6005} \pmod{(35, x^4 + x^2 + 1)} \\ &\equiv 18x^3 + 9x^2 + 30x + 23 \end{aligned}$$

10.5.4 Discussion

Thus it is seen that the RSA cryptosystem can be extended to polynomial rings. The difficulty of factorization of a polynomial into its irreducible factors over a finite field does not in itself provide the necessary security required for a public key cryptosystem. It is necessary to incorporate the factorization of an integer into its primes by considering the modulus polynomial $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (m -square free composite integer) to enhance the security

of the system. This gives a system which is comparable in strength to that of the RSA system over integers. Furthermore, from cryptography point of view, it is required that both the modulus polynomial $f(x)$ and the modulus integer m be square free. This is very much like the RSA system over integers in contrast to the matrix RSA system discussed in Section 10.4.

10.6 Extension of RSA System to Matrix Rings with Polynomial Elements

In this section, the aim is to combine the two systems discussed in Sections 10.4 and 10.5, thus extending the factorization trapdoor concept to matrix messages containing polynomials over a ring as their elements. The approach adopted in this section parallels with that used in Section 10.4.2. If the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices over R is considered, then it is seen that the ring contains nilpotent elements when $n > 1$. As mentioned in Section 10.4.2, from cryptography point of view, it is necessary to avoid such nilpotent elements to satisfy the condition $M^{r+1} \equiv M$ for some $r > 0$. As in Section 10.4.2, to begin with the set of non-singular matrices is investigated; then the set of upper triangular matrices is considered.

10.6.1 Non-singular Matrices Over $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(m, f(x))$

The matrix messages are represented as $M(x)$ instead of M , to indicate that their elements are polynomials in a single indeterminate x . Hence $M(x)$ is written as

$$M(x) = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11}(x) & \dots & m_{1n}(x) \\ m_{12}(x) & \dots & m_{2n}(x) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{nl}(x) & \dots & m_{nn}(x) \end{bmatrix} \quad (10.37)$$

The complete public key system using such messages is developed in four separate stages.

10.6.1.1 Stage 1

In this stage, the elements $m_{ij}(x)$ in (10.37) for all i, j

$1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, are to belong to the ring $R_1 = \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(\Pi_1(x))}$ where $\Pi_1(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial in Z/pZ of degree s_1 (more precisely, here R_1 is a field). That is, the group M_n formed by non-singular message matrices of order n with elements in R_1 is considered. In this instance, non-singularity implies that the determinant of $M(x)$ is relatively prime to p and $\Pi_1(x)$. The order of the group formed by such $n \times n$ non-singular matrices can be obtained in a similar fashion as in the case of non-singular matrices over Z/pZ discussed in Section 10.4.2.

The order of the group formed by these matrices is given by the expression below, where $\#$ denotes the order.

$$\# GL(n, \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(\Pi_1(x))}) = \# GL(n, F_{p^{s_1}})$$

because

$$\frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(\Pi_1(x))} \text{ is a finite field of } p^{s_1} \text{ elements}$$

And

$$\# GL(n, F_{p^{s_1}}) = \left[(p^{s_1})^n - 1 \right] \left[(p^{s_1})^n - (p^{s_1}) \right] \dots \left[(p^{s_1})^n - (p^{s_1})^{n-1} \right] \quad (10.38)$$

using (10.4) in Section 10.4.2.

If such non-singular matrices are used as messages then one can form a conventional cryptographic system where the secret key contains the modulus p itself. The encrypting (e) and decrypting (d) exponents can then be determined using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(\# GL(n, F_{p^{s_1}}))}$$

The encrypting key is therefore $(e, p, \Pi_1(x), n)$ and the decrypting key is $(d, p, \Pi_1(x), n)$. None of these keys can be made public and the encryption and the decryption procedures are given by

$$C(x) = (M(x))^e \pmod{(p, \Pi_1(x))}$$

and

$$M(x) = (C(x))^d \pmod{(p, \Pi_1(x))}$$

respectively where $M(x), C(x) \in M_n(R_1)$

10.6.1.2 Stage 2

The above system can be modified to include the public key property as follows:

To begin with, the modulus polynomial is allowed to be equal to the product of distinct irreducible polynomials. This will result in a public key system analogous to the original polynomial scheme considered in Section 10.5.2 whose security depends upon the difficulty of factorizing a composite polynomial to irreducible factors. Let

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r g_i(x) \pmod{p}$$

where the polynomials $g_i(x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ are irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. The polynomials $g_i(x)$ are of degrees s_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$ respectively.

The order of the group formed by the non-singular message matrices $M(x)$ with elements in the ring $R_2 = \frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(f(x))}$ can be obtained by the application of the Chinese Remainder Theorem as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \# \text{GL}(n, \frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(f(x))}) &= \# \text{GL}(n, \frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(g_1(x))}) \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(g_r(x))} \\ &= \# \text{GL}(n, F_{p^{s_1}} \oplus \dots \oplus F_{p^{s_r}}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \# \text{GL}(n, F_{p^{s_i}}) \end{aligned} \quad (10.39)$$

Substituting (10.38) into (10.39) gives

$$\# \text{GL}(n, \frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(f(x))}) = \prod_{i=1}^r \left[(p^{s_i})^{n-1} \right] \left[(p^{s_i})^n - (p^{s_i}) \right] \dots \left[(p^{s_i})^n - (p^{s_i})^{n-1} \right]$$

Thus

$$(M(x))^{\text{order}} \equiv 1 \pmod{(p, f(x))}$$

and hence the coding exponents e and d can be calculated using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(\# \text{GL}(n, \frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(f(x))}))}$$

and

$$(M(x))^{ed} \equiv M(x) \pmod{(p, f(x))}$$

It is seen that in this system, the order depends on the degrees of the irreducible factors $g_i(x)$. (cf. Section 10.5.2). Thus this system can be used as a public key system with the public encryption key $(e, p, f(x), n)$ and the secret decryption key $(d, p, f(x), n)$.

The encryption and decryption procedures are given by

$$C(x) \equiv (M(x))^e \text{ modd } (p, f(x))$$

and

$$M(x) \equiv (C(x))^d \text{ modd } (p, f(x))$$

respectively, where $M(x), C(x) \in M_n(R_2)$.

The security of this system is dependent on the difficulty of factorizing the modulus polynomial $f(x)$ in Z/pZ . This is so because if the degrees of the irreducible factors of $f(x)$ can be found then the order of the group can be evaluated and hence the secret decoding exponent d can be determined. For the reasons mentioned in Section 10.5.2.2, this does not give rise to a secure public key system.

10.6.1.3 Stage 3

The next logical step is to consider the case where the modulus polynomial $f(x)$ consists of powers of irreducible polynomials as its factors, that is, $f(x)$ is a non-square free polynomial. Note that in contrast to the system considered in Section 10.5, here it is allowed to have a non-square free $f(x)$ because the nilpotent elements are being eliminated by considering only the non-singular matrices over the ring $R_3 = \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(f(x))}$. Considering the factors of $f(x)$ in $Z/pZ[x]$ rather than in $Z[x]$,

let

$$\bar{f}(x) \equiv f(x) \pmod{p}$$

and

$$\bar{f}(x) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^r g_i^{b_i}(x) \pmod{p}$$

where $g_i(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, are irreducible polynomials in Z/pZ with degrees s_i respectively.

The order of the group formed by non-singular matrices $M(x)$ over the ring R_3 is evaluated as follows:

Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(\bar{f}(x))} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(g_1^{b_1}(x))} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(g_r^{b_r}(x))}$$

Letting $T = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\rho_i = (g_i(x))$
then,

$$GL(n, T/\bar{f}(x)) \cong GL(n, T/\rho_1^{b_1}) \times \dots \times GL(n, T/\rho_r^{b_r})$$

where \times denotes direct product.

To determine the order, $\# GL(n, T/\rho_i^{b_i})$, consider the sequence of surjective homomorphisms, θ_i .

$$\theta_i : GL(n, T/\rho^i) \longrightarrow GL(n, T/\rho^{i-1}) \quad i \geq 2$$

Under such a mapping an $n \times n$ matrix $M(x) \pmod{\rho^i}$ becomes $M'(x) \pmod{\rho^{i-1}}$ as shown below:

$$M(x) \pmod{\rho^i} \longrightarrow M'(x) \pmod{\rho^{i-1}}$$

Using group theory, for such an onto mapping θ_i ,

$$\# GL(n, T/\rho^i) = \# GL(n, T/\rho^{i-1}) \cdot \# (\text{Kernel } \theta_i)$$

The kernel θ_i consists of the set of matrices which are mapped to the identity matrix I in $(\text{mod } \rho^{i-1})$, that is,

$$m_{lj}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{\rho^{i-1}} \quad l \neq j \quad (10.40)$$

$$m_{ll}(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{\rho^{i-1}} \quad 1 \leq l \leq n \quad (10.41)$$

There are $p^{\deg g_i(x)} = p^{s_i}$ possibilities for each of the equations (10.40) and (10.41) giving rise to $(p^{s_i})^n$ total possibilities. Hence,

$$\# GL(n, T/\rho^i) = (p^{s_i})^{n^2} \# GL(n, T/\rho^{i-1})$$

$$= \dots = \dots$$

Therefore,

$$\#GL(n, T/\rho^{b_i}) = (p^{s_i})^{n^2(b_i-1)} \#GL(n, T/\rho) \quad (10.42)$$

But $T/\rho = \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(g_i(x))}$ is a finite field of p^{s_i} elements.

Therefore using (10.38)

$$\#GL(n, T/\rho) = [(p^{s_i})^n - 1] [(p^{s_i})^n - (p^{s_i})] \dots [(p^{s_i})^n - (p^{s_i})^{n-1}]$$

Substituting this into (10.42) yields

$$\#GL\left(n, \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(g_i^{b_i}(x))}\right) = (p^{s_i})^{n^2(b_i-1)} [(p^{s_i})^n - 1] \dots [(p^{s_i})^n - (p^{s_i})^{n-1}] \quad (10.43)$$

Thus

$$\#GL\left(n, \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(f(x))}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^r \#GL\left(n, \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(g_i^{b_i}(x))}\right) \quad (10.44)$$

It is necessary to consider one further stage to complete the design of a secure public key system using such non-singular matrices as messages.

10.6.1.4 Stage 4

The final stage is to consider the elements $m_{ij}(x)$ in the message matrix $M(x)$ in (10.37) to belong to the ring $R_4 = \frac{Z/mZ[x]}{(f(x))}$

where

$$m = \prod_{i=1}^t p_i \quad \text{and } p_i, 1 \leq i \leq t, \text{ are distinct primes}$$

and the factorization of $f(x)$ is given by

$$f(x) \pmod{p_i} \equiv f_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} g_{ij}^{b_{ij}}(x) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq t$$

The degrees of irreducible polynomials $g_{ij}(x)$ over Z/p_iZ are s_{ij} respectively.

The order of the group formed by the $n \times n$ non-singular matrices $M(x)$ over the ring R_4 , denoted by $\#GL\left(n, \frac{Z/mZ[x]}{(f(x))}\right)$, is evaluated as follows:

The ring $\frac{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(f(x))}$ is isomorphic to the ring $\frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(m, f(x))}$. Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(m, f(x))} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(p_1, f(x))} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(p_2, f(x))} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(p_t, f(x))}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{GL}(n, \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(m, f(x))}) \cong \text{GL}(n, \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(p_1, f(x))}) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(n, \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(p_t, f(x))})$$

But

$$\text{GL}\left(n, \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(p_i, f(x))}\right) \cong \text{GL}\left(n, \frac{\mathbb{F}_{p_i}[x]}{(f(x))}\right)$$

where \mathbb{F}_{p_i} is a finite field of p_i elements.

The order $\# \text{GL}\left(n, \frac{\mathbb{F}_{p_i}[x]}{(f(x))}\right)$ has already been evaluated and is given by (10.44) as

$$\# \text{GL}\left(n, \frac{\mathbb{F}_{p_i}[x]}{(f(x))}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} (p_i^{s_{ij}})^{n^2(b_{ij}-1)} \left[(p_i^{s_{ij}})^{n-1} \dots \left[(p_i^{s_{ij}})^n - (p_i^{s_{ij}})^{n-1} \right] \right]$$

Hence

$$\# \text{GL}\left(n, \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(m, f(x))}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^t \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} (p_i^{s_{ij}})^{n^2(b_{ij}-1)} \left[(p_i^{s_{ij}})^{n-1} \dots \left[(p_i^{s_{ij}})^n - (p_i^{s_{ij}})^{n-1} \right] \right] \quad (10.45)$$

The order given by the expression (10.45) above shows that it is dependent on the degrees of the irreducible factors of $f(x)$, namely, $s_{ij} b_{ij}$, as well as on the prime factors of the modulus m , namely p_i , for all i and j . Thus when used as a public key system, this provides considerably more security than the system considered in Stage 2, provided that the modulus m is chosen large enough. The encryption and the decryption of messages are carried out in the normal fashion using

$$C(x) \equiv (M(x))^e \pmod{(m, f(x))}$$

and

$$M(x) \equiv (C(x))^d \pmod{(m, f(x))}$$

where $M(x), C(x) \in M_n(R_4)$

and $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{order}}$

and the order is given by (10.45).

Note that this system is a combined version of the systems developed in Section 10.4 and 10.5.

10.6.2 Upper Triangular Matrices Over $R = \frac{Z[x]}{(m, f(x))}$

For the systems developed in the previous section, the message space is restricted to contain only non-singular matrices. But as mentioned in Section 10.4.2, the sender is faced with the problem of determining whether his message matrix is non-singular or not, to find whether it belongs to the message space. This poses problems as the sender has no control over the matrix elements but must accept what the plaintext dictates. Alternatively, as in Section 10.4.4, one can consider the set of upper triangular matrices with invertible elements along the diagonal as the message space. This makes the construction of non-singular message matrices easier especially when m is a product of a few large primes and $f(x)$ is a product of a few high degree irreducible polynomials. In such a situation one can almost arbitrarily choose the diagonal elements of the upper triangular message matrix to satisfy the condition that they are relatively prime to $f(x)$ modulo m . In particular, the diagonal elements can be chosen to be elements in the ring Z/mZ which are relatively prime to m . If necessary, one can also use the Euclid's algorithm to evaluate the gcd to test the relative primeness.

In this section, the set of upper triangular matrices whose elements are polynomials over a chosen ring R forms the message space of the developed cryptosystem. The four stages involved in developing the complete public key system, similar to those discussed in the previous section, are now considered in turn. Note that in all these stages, it is assumed that the diagonal elements are invertible elements over the chosen ring R . This ensures that the message matrix is invertible. A typical message matrix $M_{\Delta}(x)$ is written as

$$M_{\Delta}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11}(x) & . & . & . & m_{1n}(x) \\ 0 & . & & & . \\ . & & . & & . \\ . & \bigcirc & & . & . \\ 0 & . & . & . & 0 \quad m_{nn}(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

where Δ indicates that the matrix is triangular.

10.6.2.1 Stage 1

In this stage, the message space consists of upper triangular matrices whose non-zero elements $m_{ij}(x)$ belong to the ring $R_1 = \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(\Pi_1(x))}$ where $\Pi_1(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial in Z/pZ of degree s_1 . (That is, R_1 is actually a field).

The order of the group formed by such upper triangular matrices over R_1 can be found as follows:

Each diagonal entry may be any one of the polynomials which is relatively prime to $\Pi_1(x)$ over Z/pZ . The number of such polynomials has already been evaluated in Section 10.5.2 and is given by the Euler totient function $\phi_p(\Pi_1(x))$ where

$$\phi_p(\Pi_1(x)) = (p^{s_1} - 1)$$

The remaining $\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)$ superdiagonal entries of the upper triangular matrix may take any value in the field $\frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(\Pi_1(x))}$. Thus each of these entries has p^{s_1} possibilities as $\frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(\Pi_1(x))}$ is a finite field of p^{s_1} elements.

Thus the order of this group $U(n, \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(\Pi_1(x))})$ is given by

$$\# U(n, \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(\Pi_1(x))}) = (p^{s_1} - 1)^n (p^{s_1})^{n(n-1)/2}$$

This can be used to form a conventional cryptographic system where the secret coding exponents e and d can be found using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\# U(n, \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(\Pi_1(x))})}$$

and the encryption and the decryption procedures are given by

$$C_{\Delta}(x) \equiv (M_{\Delta}(x))^e \pmod{(p, \Pi_1(x))}$$

and

$$M_{\Delta}(x) \equiv (C_{\Delta}(x))^d \pmod{(p, \Pi_1(x))}$$

respectively, where $M_{\Delta}(x), C_{\Delta}(x) \in U_n(R_1)$

10.6.2.2 Stage 2

The above system can be modified to include the public key property as follows:

Initially, it is assumed that the modulus polynomial consists of a product of distinct irreducible polynomials modulo p . That is,

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r g_i(x) \pmod{p}$$

where $g_i(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ are irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

The polynomials $g_i(x)$ are of degrees s_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, respectively.

The order of the group formed by upper triangular matrices over the ring $R_2 = \frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(f(x))}$ with invertible diagonal elements is evaluated as follows:

Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \# U \left(n, \frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(f(x))} \right) &= \# U \left(n, \frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(g_1(x))} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(g_r(x))} \right) \\ &= \# U \left(n, F_{p^{s_1}} \oplus \dots \oplus F_{p^{s_r}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Each of the diagonal entries can be any one of the polynomials relatively prime to $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and is given by $\phi_p(f(x))$ where

$$\phi_p(f(x)) = \prod_{i=1}^r (p^{s_i} - 1) \quad (\text{See Section 10.5.2})$$

The remaining $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ superdiagonal entries have p^s possibilities each where

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^r s_i$$

Therefore the order is given by

$$\# U \left(n, \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(f(x))} \right) = \left[\phi_p(f(x)) \right]^n \left[(p^s) \right]^{n(n-1)/2}$$

It is seen that in this system, the order depends on the degrees of the irreducible factors of the modulus polynomial $f(x)$ (cf Sections 10.5.2 and 10.6.1.2). Thus this system can be used as a public key system with the public encryption key $(e, p, f(x), n)$ and the secret decryption key $(d, p, f(x), n)$. The coding exponents e and d are calculated using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\# U \left(n, \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(f(x))} \right)}$$

and

$$\underset{\Delta}{M(x)}^{\underset{\Delta}{ed}} \equiv \underset{\Delta}{M(x)} \pmod{(p, f(x))}$$

where $\underset{\Delta}{M(x)} \in \underset{\Delta}{U_n(R_2)}$

For the reasons mentioned in Section 10.5.2.2, this does not offer a secure public key system.

10.6.2.3 Stage 3

The next step is to consider the case where the modulus polynomial $f(x)$ consists of powers of irreducible polynomials as its factors, that is, $f(x)$ is a non-square free polynomial in Z/pZ .

Let

$$f(x) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^r g_i^{b_i(x)} \pmod{p}$$

where $g_i(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, are irreducible polynomials in Z/pZ with degrees s_i respectively.

The order of the group formed by upper triangular matrices over the ring $R_3 = \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(f(x))}$ is now evaluated.

Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$\frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(f(x))} \cong \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(g_1^{b_1(x)})} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(g_r^{b_r(x)})}$$

and hence

$$\# U \left(n, \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(f(x))} \right) = \# U \left(n, \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(g_1^{b_1}(x))} \right) \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(g_r^{b_r}(x))}$$

Using arguments similar to the ones given in Section 10.6.2.2,

$$\# U \left(n, \frac{Z/pZ[x]}{(f(x))} \right) = \left[\phi_p(f(x)) \right]^n (p^s)^{n(n-1)/2}$$

where

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^r s_i b_i$$

and

$$\phi_p(f(x)) = \prod_{i=1}^r \left[p^{s_i b_i} - p^{s_i (b_i - 1)} \right] \quad (\text{see Section 10.5.2})$$

10.6.2.4 Stage 4

Finally the group formed by the upper triangular matrices with invertible diagonal elements over the ring $R_4 = \frac{Z/mZ[x]}{(f(x))}$ is considered. The modulus $m = \prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{\alpha_i}$, where p_i , $1 \leq i \leq t$, are distinct primes and the factorization of $f(x)$ is given by

$$f(x) \pmod{p_i} \equiv f_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} g_{ij}^{b_{ij}}(x) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t$$

where the degrees of the irreducible polynomials $g_{ij}(x)$ are s_{ij} respectively. Using arguments similar to the ones given in Section 10.6.2.2, the order of the group is given by

$$\# U \left(n, \frac{Z/mZ[x]}{(f(x))} \right) = \left[\phi_m(f(x)) \right]^n (m^s)^{n(n-1)/2}$$

where $\phi_m(f(x))$ is obtained from Section 10.5.3 as

$$\phi_m(f(x)) = \left(\frac{m}{\prod_{i=1}^t p_i} \right)^s \prod_{i=1}^t \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} (p_i^{s_{ij} b_{ij}} - p_i^{s_{ij} (b_{ij} - 1)})$$

Note also that by substituting $n=1$ in the expression (10.45) gives

$$\# GL \left(1, \frac{Z[x]}{(m, f(x))} \right) = \phi_m(f(x)) \quad (\alpha_i = 1, \forall i)$$

Thus the order is seen to be dependent on the degrees of the irreducible factors of $f(x)$ as well as on the prime factors of m . Thus this system can be used to provide a secure public key system provided the modulus m is chosen large enough (say 200 decimal digits, see Section 10.2). The encryption and the decryption procedures are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\Delta}(x) &\equiv (M_{\Delta}(x))^e \pmod{(m, f(x))} \\ \text{and} \\ M_{\Delta}(x) &\equiv (C_{\Delta}(x))^d \pmod{(m, f(x))} \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{\Delta}(x), C_{\Delta}(x) \in U_n(R_4)$

and $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{order}}$.

The public encryption key is given by $(e, m, f(x), n)$ and the secret decryption key is equal to $(d, m, f(x), n)$.

10.7 Discussion

In this chapter, the factorization trapdoor concept has been extended to some matrix and polynomial rings which are isomorphic to a direct sum of finite fields. This has resulted in a generalization of the RSA cryptosystem to matrix and polynomial ring message space. It is seen that some of these extended systems can be made at least as secure as the original RSA system over integers modulo m . Other features such as the use of non-square free moduli seem to be possible with some of these extended systems in contrast to the original RSA system over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Investigation of such systems indicate that factorization trapdoor structures required for the design of public key system can be found in rings other than the ring of integers modulo m . From a practical point of view, it seems that the high complexity of such systems may favour the implementation of the factorization trapdoor in the ring of integers.

FACTORIZATION TRAPDOOR FROM IDEAL POINT OF VIEW

11.1 General

In addition to considering the factorization trapdoor system from an 'element' point of view, the trapdoor concept can also be treated from the more general 'ideal' point of view. In particular the integer, polynomial and matrix based RSA factorization trapdoor schemes considered in the previous chapter are briefly re-examined from the ideal point of view. Some of the principles of the ideal theory are used in the next chapter in further extending the trapdoor concept to such algebraic number fields as the ring of Gaussian integers and some other quadratic fields.

To begin with, some basic definitions and principles of the ideal theory are stated (without proofs) which will be required in subsequent sections. A detailed treatment of ideal theory can be found in a number of mathematical textbooks in particular in [60, 61].

11.2 Basic Concepts

11.2.1 Ideal

A set J of one or more elements of a ring R is called an ideal in R if and only if it has the following properties:

- (i) If i and j are elements of J , then $i + j$ is an element of J .
- (ii) If i is an element of J , then for every element r of R , ir and ri are elements of J .

11.2.2 Congruence

Let J be an ideal. Two elements a and b are defined to be congruent modulo the ideal J if $a-b$ is in J , denoted by $a \equiv b \pmod{J}$.

11.2.3 Principal Ideal

Let R be a commutative ring with 1 and ' a ' be a non-zero element of R . If A is any ideal which contains the element ' a ', then

A must also contain all the elements of the form ra where $r \in R$. An ideal generated by a single element 'a' of R is called a principal ideal and is denoted by $\langle a \rangle$. An integral domain in which all ideals are principal is called a principal ideal domain (PID).

11.2.4 Prime Ideal

If R is a commutative ring with 1, an ideal ρ in R is said to be a prime ideal if and only if $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{\rho}$ implies that $a \equiv 0 \pmod{\rho}$ or $b \equiv 0 \pmod{\rho}$. An alternative definition of prime ideal is that it is an ideal ρ other than the unit ideal with the property that for any two ideals A and B if $\rho \mid AB$ then $\rho \mid A$ or $\rho \mid B$. Note that an ideal ρ is said to 'divide' an ideal A if there exists an ideal C such that $A = \rho C$.

11.2.5 Product of Ideals

The product AB of two ideals A and B is defined as the ideal C 'generated by all products' ab where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

11.2.6 Unique Factorization of Ideals

Every ideal in a Dedekind domain [60] can be factored into the product of a finite number of prime ideals and this representation is unique.

11.2.7 Factorization Trapdoor

Using the ideal factorization theorem (Section 11.2.6), let the decomposition of a non-square free ideal A be

$$A = \rho_1^{s_1} \dots \rho_r^{s_r}$$

The number of residues modulo the ideal A is given by the norm of the ideal, $N(A)$. The number of invertible residue classes modulo the ideal A is denoted by $\tilde{\phi}(A)$ in a similar manner to the Euler totient function ϕ .

Theorem 1

If A and B are relatively prime ideals then

$$\tilde{\phi}(AB) = \tilde{\phi}(A) \cdot \tilde{\phi}(B)$$

The congruences $a \equiv c \pmod{A}$ and $a \equiv d \pmod{B}$ establish a one to one correspondence $a \leftrightarrow (c,d)$ between the residues 'a' prime to AB and the pairs (c,d) whose two members c,d range over the residues prime to A and B respectively. Hence the theorem 1 follows.

Theorem 2

If ρ is a prime ideal then,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\phi}(\rho^s) &= (N\rho)^s \{1 - 1/N\rho\} \\ &= (N\rho - 1) (N\rho)^{s-1}\end{aligned}$$

A complete proof is given in [61]. But it can be proved using a method similar to that which is employed to calculate the Euler totient function $\phi(p^s)$ where p is a prime in \mathbb{Z} . The complete system of residues with respect to ρ is represented using $N\rho$ integers $0, 1, \dots, N\rho - 1$. Of these only 0 is not prime to ρ . Hence $\bar{\phi}(\rho) = N\rho - 1 = N\rho(1 - 1/N\rho)$. As $N(AB) = N(A)N(B)$ for any two ideals A and B , it is seen that $N(\rho^2) = (N\rho)^2$. With respect to ρ^2 there are $N(\rho^2) - N\rho$ incongruent classes that are relatively prime to ρ and hence $\bar{\phi}(\rho^2) = (N\rho)^2 [1 - \frac{1}{N\rho}]$. Use of induction, gives Theorem 2.

Using theorems 1 and 2, if

$$A = \rho_1^{s_1} \dots \rho_r^{s_r}$$

then

$$\bar{\phi}(A) = N(A) \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{N\rho_i}\right) \quad (11.1)$$

The expression (11.1) can be used to give the generalized version of Fermat's theorem for ideals, namely,

if 'a' is prime to an ideal A then $a^{\bar{\phi}(A)} \equiv 1 \pmod{A}$

If A is a prime ideal, ie, $A = \rho$, then

$$a^{N\rho-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\rho}$$

Thus the relatively prime residues modulo A form a group of order $\bar{\phi}(A)$.

Some of these concepts are now applied to the specific cases already looked at such as the ring integers, the ring of polynomials and matrix rings.

11.3 Ring of Integers

Let Z be the ring of integers. If m is a fixed integer in the ring Z then the ideal $\langle m \rangle$ contains just the integers which are divisible by m .

Theorem 3

In the ring Z , every ideal is a principal ideal.

Let A be an ideal in Z . If A is the zero ideal then A is the principal ideal $\langle 0 \rangle$. If $A \neq \langle 0 \rangle$ let m be the smallest integer in A . If n is an integer then using Euclid's algorithm, we have

$$n = qm + r$$

where q and r are integers and $0 \leq r < m$.

Now if n is an element of A , then $n - qm$ is also in A . That is, r is in A . If r is greater than zero, then it contradicts the assumption that m is the least positive integer. Therefore $r = 0$, that is, $n = qm$. So all elements of A are of this form and hence $A = \langle m \rangle$.

The prime ideals in Z are therefore precisely the ideals $\langle p \rangle$ where p is a prime (together with the ideal $\langle 0 \rangle$ and the ideal Z).

If the unique factorization of m into primes over Z is given by

$$m = p_1 \dots p_r \quad \text{for some } r \geq 2 \quad (m \text{ assumed to be square free})$$

then one can view the ideal decomposition of $\langle m \rangle$ into prime ideals $\langle p_i \rangle$ as

$$\langle m \rangle = \langle p_1 \rangle \dots \langle p_r \rangle$$

The order of the group formed by relatively prime residues modulo $\langle m \rangle$ is given by the Euler totient function for the ideal $\langle m \rangle$, $\phi \langle m \rangle$, where

$$\phi_{\langle m \rangle} = \phi_{\langle p_1 \rangle} \dots \phi_{\langle p_r \rangle}$$

and

$$\phi_{\langle p_i \rangle} = N_{\langle p_i \rangle} - 1$$

$N_{\langle p_i \rangle}$ is the norm of the ideal $\langle p_i \rangle$ and is equal to the number of residue classes modulo $\langle p_i \rangle$ given by p_i . Hence

$$\phi_{\langle m \rangle} = (p_1 - 1) \dots (p_r - 1)$$

and

$$a^{\phi_{\langle m \rangle}} \equiv 1 \pmod{\langle m \rangle}$$

This gives the familiar RSA system over the integers looked at from the ideal point of view.

11.4 Polynomial Rings

If F is a field and x is an indeterminate, then again every ideal in $F[x]$ is a principal ideal. The proof is very much similar to the one given for the ring of integers Z (cf. Theorem 3). In this case, $m(x)$ is chosen to be a polynomial of least degree in a given ideal and the Euclidean division algorithm for polynomials is used. Hence a non-trivial ideal A of $F[x]$ is of the form $A = \langle f(x) \rangle$ where $f(x)$ is a non-zero monic polynomial of minimal degree in A .

The prime ideals in this ring are those which are generated by irreducible polynomials $f(x)$ over F . If $f(x)$ is composite, then let the unique factorization of $f(x)$ into irreducible polynomials $g_i(x)$ be

$$f(x) = g_1^{b_1}(x) \dots g_r^{b_r}(x)$$

where the degree of polynomial $g_i(x)$ is s_i .

Then one can view the decomposition of the ideal generated by $f(x)$ into ideals generated by $g_i^{b_i}(x)$ as

$$\langle f(x) \rangle = \langle g_1^{b_1}(x) \rangle \cdot \langle g_2^{b_2}(x) \rangle \dots \langle g_r^{b_r}(x) \rangle$$

From Theorem 2, we have

$$\bar{\phi}(\rho^b) = (N_\rho)^{b-1} (N_{\rho-1})$$

Here

$$N\langle g_i(x) \rangle = \text{number of elements in the residue class ring } F[x]/\langle g_i(x) \rangle$$

$$= \text{number of polynomials in } F[x] \text{ of degree less than } s_i$$

$$= |F|^{s_i}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}_{\langle g_i^{b_i}(x) \rangle} &= |F|^{s_i(b_i-1)} \left\{ |F|^{s_i} - 1 \right\} \\ &= |F|^{s_i b_i} - |F|^{s_i(b_i-1)} \end{aligned}$$

This expression is same as the one obtained earlier in Section 10.5.2 by considering elements in the field.

The order of the group formed by residue classes modulo $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is obtained using Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}_{\langle f(x) \rangle} &= \bar{\phi}_{\langle g_1^{b_1}(x) \rangle} \dots \bar{\phi}_{\langle g_r^{b_r}(x) \rangle} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \left\{ |F|^{s_i b_i} - |F|^{s_i(b_i-1)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

11.5 Matrix Rings

Let us now reconsider the matrix system discussed in Section 10.4 to see whether it is possible to improve it using some ideal theory principles.

The ring of $n \times n$ matrices over a field F , $M_n^*(F)$, has no non-trivial ideals. The only ideals are the zero ideal and the whole ring itself. Hence one cannot consider the ring $M_n^*(F)$ for our purpose. One can consider two possible alternatives.

(a) The first approach is to consider a subring, say the ring of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices (including the diagonal

elements) over F , $U_n(F)$. This ring has many ideals. For instance,

$$J = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ? \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \text{ is an ideal in } U_n(F)$$

? - denotes any arbitrary elements in F .

(b) The second approach is to consider matrices over a commutative ring R rather than a field. Then there is one to one correspondence between the two-sided ideals in R and the two-sided ideals in $M_n^*(R)$. This may give rise to a trapdoor coding system in $M_n^*(R)$. As will be seen later, the system obtained using this approach is same as that already discussed in Section 10.4.2.

11.5.1 Approach (a)

Consider the ring of 2×2 upper triangular matrices with arbitrary diagonal elements over a finite field, $R = U_2(F_p)$ where p is a prime. Let J be an ideal generated by an element M . Then J is the smallest ideal containing the element and by definition is equal to

$$J = \left\{ \sum_i r_i M r_i' \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{all finite combinations} \\ \text{as } r_i, r_i' \text{ vary over } R \end{array} \right\}$$

The element M must be chosen to be non-invertible otherwise it is possible to choose $r_i = M^{-1}$ and $r_i' = I$ (identity). This results in the ideal J containing $(M^{-1} M I) = I$. Hence the ideal must contain $r_i I$ for all $r_i \in R$. That is, $J = R$. This contradicts the assumption that J is a proper subset of R . Hence no element of the ideal must possess multiplicative inverse. Let us consider X to be a generator matrix in $U_2(F_p)$ where X is equal to

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The ideal J is

$$J = \left\{ \sum \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

where $a, b, c, u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, p prime.

That is,

$$J = \left\{ \sum \begin{pmatrix} au & av \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Let $x = au$ and $y = av$, $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, then

$$J = \left\{ \sum \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad (11.2)$$

It is seen that if $r \in R$ and $j \in J$, then

$$rj = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in J$$

$$jr = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} \in J$$

The number of elements in the ring $R = U_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is equal to p^3 as each of a , b and c has p possible choices. The order of the ideal J is p^2 . Hence the order of the quotient ring R/J is equal to p . Thus the ring R/J is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. From group theory, in general, the order of the ideal J is a factor of the order of the ring R . If the ring $R = U_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ where m is equal to product of primes is now considered, then R/J is isomorphic to the ring $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. If m is square free, R/J forms a trapdoor system.

Let X be a generator matrix of the form given below

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11.3)$$

If $\gcd(g, m) = 1$, then the ideal J becomes $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ which is same as the one given in (11.2). Hence assume that $\gcd(g, m) = \ell \neq 1$.

For instance, m could be equal to the product of two large primes p and q and some ℓ , that is, $m = \ell \cdot p \cdot q$. Then g could be equal to $\ell \cdot s$ where $\gcd(s, p) = 1$ and $\gcd(s, q) = 1$. The ideal J then consists of elements of the form given below

$$J = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} gx & gy \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

where $x, y \in T = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$

The residue classes of the quotient ring R/J are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \pmod{g} & b \pmod{g} \\ 0 & c \pmod{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 1

The number of elements in the quotient ring R/J is given by

$$\# R/J = ml^2$$

Proof

If two members of the ring R are congruent to each other modulo J , that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & c' \end{pmatrix} \pmod{J}$$

where $a, b, c, a', b', c' \in T = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$

then this implies that

$$a \equiv a' \pmod{g}$$

$$b \equiv b' \pmod{g}$$

$$c \equiv c'$$

The number of possible choices for the element c is equal to m .

Let k be equal to the number of possible choices for elements a or b .

Then k is equal to the number of residue classes mod gT which are distinct in T . In other words, k is equal to $\#T/gT$. Consider the mappings

$$Z \xrightarrow{\alpha} T \longrightarrow T/gT$$

and

$$Z \xrightarrow{\theta} T/gT$$

Then

$$T/gT \cong Z/\text{Kernel } \theta$$

$$Z/\text{Kernel } \theta = Z / \{x \in Z; \alpha(x) \in gT\}$$

$$= Z / \{x \in Z; x \equiv gy \pmod{m} \text{ is soluble for } y \in Z\}$$

$$= Z / \{x \in Z; x \equiv 0 \pmod{(\gcd(g, m))}\}$$

That is,

$$T/gT \cong Z/\ell Z \text{ where } \ell = \gcd(g, m)$$

$$\therefore \#T/gT = \ell$$

Hence the number of residue classes of the ring R/J is $m\ell^2$.

As the order does not depend on the factorization of the modulus m , there is no trapdoor as such yet. But let us now consider the invertible residue classes modulo the ideal J , that is, the diagonal elements a and c are chosen to be invertible in the ring T/gT and T respectively.

Proposition 2

The number of invertible residue classes modulo J is given by

$$\phi(\ell) \cdot \ell \cdot \phi(m)$$

Proof

The invertible residue classes of the ring R/J are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \pmod{g} & b \pmod{g} \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a, b, c \in T = Z/mZ$

and such that a is invertible \pmod{g} and c is invertible \pmod{m} .

The number of invertible residue classes mod m is given by the Euler totient function $\phi(m)$. Hence the number of possible choices for the element c is equal to $\phi(m)$. The element b can be arbitrarily chosen \pmod{g} and the number of possible choices is equal to ℓ from Proposition 1 above. Let k be equal to the number of possible choices for the element a . From above, it is known that $T/gT \cong Z/\ell Z$. Therefore, k is equal to the number of invertible elements in $Z/\ell Z$, that is, $\phi(\ell)$. Hence the number of invertible residue classes in $R/J = \phi(\ell) \cdot \ell \cdot \phi(m)$.

Thus in practice if m is equal to the product of a few large primes and l which is itself equal to the product of a few reasonable size prime integers, then the elements a and c ($\neq 0$) can be chosen arbitrarily provided they are relatively small compared to m and l .

The above arguments can be extended to the case where the ideal J is of the form

$$J = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} gx_1 & gx_2 & \dots & gx_n \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad (11.4)$$

The order of the quotient ring R/J , that is, the number of residue classes modulo J is now given by

$$l^n m^{n(n-1)/2}$$

The number of invertible residue classes modulo J is given by

$$\text{Ord.} = \phi(l) \{\phi(m)\}^{n-1} l^{n-1} m^{(n-2)(n-1)/2} \quad (11.5)$$

11.5.1.1 Choice of Generator Matrix

When deciding on a generator matrix X , the following points must be taken into account.

- (i) The smaller the generated ideal J , the larger the quotient ring R/J . That is, the smaller the order of the ideal J is, the greater the number of residue classes in R/J thus giving rise to a larger number of possible messages that can be used in the system.

- (ii) For the encryption and decryption procedures to be relatively easy, the generator matrix must have a simple form; hence the choice of the matrix X in (11.3). For instance, another simple generator matrix X is given by

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The ideal J is then given by

$$J = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$

Hence the residue classes of R modulo J are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \pmod{J}$$

That is,

$$R/J \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$$

This is equivalent to 2 scalar RSA prototype systems.

- (iii) More generally, one can use more than one generator matrix to generate the ideal J but this makes the whole system more complicated without increasing the security.

$$J = \left\{ \sum \begin{pmatrix} \text{arbitrary} \\ \text{element} \\ \text{in } R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \text{arbitrary} \\ \text{element} \\ \text{in } R \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Generator matrices

11.5.1.2 System Design

A factorization trapdoor system can therefore be constructed as follows:

The message space consists of $n \times n$ invertible upper triangular matrices (including the diagonal elements) mod (m, J) , where $m = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$ and the ideal J is given by (11.4). The encryption procedure raises the message matrix to the power e giving the cipher

matrix C as

$$C \equiv M^e \pmod{(m, J)}$$

The decryption procedure is given by

$$M \equiv C^d \pmod{(m, J)}$$

The coding exponents e and d can be determined using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{ord.}}$$

where ord. is given by (11.5).

The public encryption key is given by (e, m, n, J) and the secret decryption key is (d, m, n, J) .

As the order depends on the structure of m , the security of the system again lies in the difficulty of factorization of the modulus m . But note that if the size of l is made large then although the opponent needs to factorize l to be able to calculate $\phi(l)$ in (11.5), the factorization of m and hence the computation of $\phi(m)$ is made that much easier. This is because as J is made public, the opponent knows l , the $\text{gcd}(m, l)$ and hence he achieves partial factorization of $\frac{m}{l} = pq$. Larger the size of l smaller the value of $\frac{m}{l}$.

Thus it seems that the process of performing modulo an ideal as indicated above does not appear to increase the security of the trapdoor system but instead disguises further the basic trapdoor system.

11.5.2 Approach (b)

Let us first state an important result about two-sided ideals in complete matrix rings. For proof refer to [62].

If M is a two-sided ideal in the ring R , then the ring M_n^* of all matrices of order n over M is a two-sided ideal in the ring M_n^* of all matrices of order n over R .

In our case, $R = Z$ and assume $m = pq$ where p and q are distinct primes in Z . Then $\langle m \rangle$, $\langle p \rangle$ and $\langle q \rangle$ are ideals in Z and $M_n^*(mZ)$, $M_n^*(pZ)$ and $M_n^*(qZ)$ are all ideals of $M_n^*(Z)$ using the above

result. Further the ideal factorization of $\langle m \rangle$ in R is given by

$$\langle m \rangle = \langle p \rangle \cdot \langle q \rangle$$

Using the one-to-one correspondence between the two-sided ideals in R and in $M_n^*(R)$, gives

$$J_m = J_p \cdot J_q$$

where J_p , J_q and J_m denote the ideals formed by $M_n^*(pZ)$, $M_n^*(qZ)$ and $M_n^*(mZ)$ in the ring $M_n^*(Z)$.

Although a trapdoor system is possible in Z/mZ when m is square free, there is no corresponding system in the case of $M_n^*(R)$. This is due to the fact that the quotient ring given by $M_n^*(Z/mZ)$,

$$\frac{M_n^*(Z)}{M_n^*(mZ)} \cong M_n^*(Z/mZ) \cong M_n^*(Z/pZ) \oplus M_n^*(Z/qZ)$$

is not isomorphic to a direct sum of finite fields whereas the quotient ring $Z/\langle m \rangle$ is isomorphic to $Z/\langle p \rangle$ and $Z/\langle q \rangle$. As seen earlier in Section 10.4.2, $M_n^*(Z/pZ)$ is not a finite field as it contains nilpotent elements. Thus a corresponding trapdoor system is possible if and only if the nilpotent elements are eliminated. This has already been considered and it gave rise to the trapdoor system in matrix rings discussed in Section 10.4.2.

Note: $M_n^*(R)$ - Ring of all $n \times n$ matrices over R

$M_n(R)$ - Ring of non-singular $n \times n$ matrices over R

FACTORIZATION TRAPDOOR IN ALGEBRAIC NUMBER FIELDS

12.1 General

The ring of Gaussian integers is initially considered with a view to extending the factorization trapdoor concept to algebraic number fields other than rational integers \mathbb{Z} . Then the more general quadratic fields are briefly investigated.

12.2 Factorization Trapdoor System in Gaussian Integers

12.2.1 Ring of Gaussian Integers

Before considering the design of the factorization trapdoor system in this ring, it is useful to describe very briefly some of the properties of Gaussian integers. A detailed treatment of Gaussian integers can be found in [63, 64, 65].

Let $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and consider the set of complex numbers $Z[i]$ defined by $\{a+bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This set is closed under addition and subtraction. Moreover if $a+bi, c+di \in Z[i]$, then $(a+bi)(c+di) = ac+adi+bc i+bdi^2 = (ac-bd) + (ad+bc)i \in Z[i]$. Thus $Z[i]$ is closed under multiplication and is a ring.

The norm of an element, $\alpha = a+bi$, in $Z[i]$ is defined to be equal to $\alpha \cdot \bar{\alpha}$ where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the complex conjugate of α . That is, $N\alpha = (a+bi)(a-bi)$ and hence $N\alpha = a^2+b^2$. Further it is seen that $N(\alpha\beta) = (N\alpha) \cdot (N\beta)$ as $N(\alpha\beta) = (\alpha\beta) \cdot (\overline{\alpha\beta}) = (\alpha\bar{\alpha})(\beta\bar{\beta})$.

An integer in $Z[i]$, α , is called a unit if 1 is divisible by α . Hence if α is a unit both α and $1/\alpha$ are integers in $Z[i]$.

Lemma 1

The norm of a unit is 1 and any integer whose norm is 1 is a unit.

If α is a unit then $\alpha \mid 1$, that is, $1 = \alpha\beta$ and so $1 = N\alpha N\beta$. This means that $N\alpha \mid 1$ and hence $N\alpha = 1$. If $\alpha = a+bi$, then $1 = a^2+b^2 = (a+bi)(a-bi)$, that is, $(a+bi) \mid 1$. So $(a+bi)$ is a unit. The units in $Z[i]$ are $\pm 1, \pm i$ as the only solutions of $a^2+b^2=1$ are $a = \pm 1, b = 0$; $a = 0, b = \pm 1$.

A prime Π is an integer, not 0 or a unit, divisible only

by the numbers associated with itself or with 1. In $Z[i]$, a prime has no divisors except the eight trivial ones, namely, $1, \pi, -1, -\pi, i, i\pi, -i$ and $-i\pi$.

Lemma 2

An integer whose norm is a rational prime is also a prime. For suppose that $N\alpha = p$ and that $\alpha = \beta_1 \beta_2$ where $\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in Z[i]$. Then $p = N\alpha = N\beta_1 N\beta_2$. Hence either $N\beta_1 = 1$ or $N\beta_2 = 1$ and either β_1 or β_2 is a unit; and therefore α is a prime.

Lemma 3

If π is a prime in $Z[i]$, then it divides exactly one positive rational prime p .

$N\pi = \pi \bar{\pi}$ and so $\pi | N\pi$. Let the prime decomposition of $N\pi$ in Z be $N\pi = p_1 \dots p_r$ where p_i 's ($1 \leq i \leq r$) are distinct positive primes. Then $\pi | p_1 \dots p_r$. That is, π divides one of the primes p_i . It cannot divide two primes p_j and p_k . If so, then one can find two rational integers l_j and l_k using Euclid's algorithm such that $l_j p_j + l_k p_k = 1$. If $\pi | p_j$ and $\pi | p_k$ then $\pi | 1$. So π is a unit not a prime contrary to the hypothesis.

Lemma 4

Any integer, not zero or unit, is divisible by a prime and can be expressed as a product of primes.

If α is an integer, not a prime, then

$$\alpha = \beta_1 \beta_2, \quad N\beta_1 > 1, \quad N\beta_2 > 1, \quad N\alpha = N\beta_1 N\beta_2 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 < N\beta_1 < N\alpha$$

If β_1 is not a prime, then

$$\beta_1 = \beta_3 \beta_4, \quad N\beta_3 > 1, \quad N\beta_4 > 1, \quad N\beta_1 = N\beta_3 N\beta_4 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 < N\beta_3 < N\beta_1$$

This process can be continued so long as β_r is not a prime. Since $N\alpha, N\beta_1, N\beta_2 \dots$ form a decreasing sequence of positive rational integers, this must come to a prime β_r . Then $\alpha = \beta_2 \beta_1 = \beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 = \dots = \beta_2 \beta_3 \dots \beta_r$ and so $\beta_r | \alpha$. Therefore now it is assumed that α is divisible by a prime π_1 and $\alpha = \pi_1 \alpha_1$ and the above process can be repeated for α_1 .

In $Z[i]$, the representation of α as a product of primes is unique as in Z (except from trivial variations).

The unique factorization of integers in $Z[i]$ is equivalent to the principality of all ideals in it [63]. That is, $Z[i]$ is a principal ideal domain. The argument to show this is very much similar to the one used to prove all ideals are principal in the ring of integers Z . Here instead of choosing the least positive number, the element of least positive norm is used. The prime ideals in $Z[i]$ are therefore the ideals generated by primes in $Z[i]$.

12.2.2 Design of Trapdoor Coding System in $Z[i]$

As before, $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{\langle \gamma \rangle}$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Z[i]$, is defined to imply that $\alpha - \beta$ is in the principal ideal $\langle \gamma \rangle$, that is, $\alpha - \beta$ is divisible by γ .

Fermat's theorem in $Z[i]$ can be stated as follows:

If Π_1 and Π_2 are relatively prime then

$$\Pi_1^{\phi(\Pi_2)} \equiv 1 \pmod{\langle \Pi_2 \rangle} \quad (12.1)$$

where the Euler totient function $\phi(\Pi_2) = N\Pi_2 - 1$

Now if an ideal $\langle m \rangle$ generated by a Gaussian integer m is considered whose decomposition is

$$m = \Pi_1 \dots \Pi_r$$

where Π_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$ are distinct primes in $Z[i]$, then the number of invertible residue classes modulo $\langle m \rangle$ is given by $\phi(\langle m \rangle)$

$$\phi(\langle m \rangle) = \phi(\langle \Pi_1 \rangle) \cdot \phi(\langle \Pi_2 \rangle) \cdot \dots \cdot \phi(\langle \Pi_r \rangle) \quad (12.2)$$

In order to calculate the order $\phi(\langle m \rangle)$, one needs to compute $N\Pi_i$ for all i .

Using Lemma 3, let the Gaussian prime Π divide a rational prime p , ie, $\Pi \mid p$. Then $N\Pi \mid Np$. But $Np = p^2$. Therefore $N\Pi = p$ or $N\Pi = p^2$. That is, if $\Pi = a + bi$, then $a^2 + b^2 = p$ or p^2 .

Case 1 : $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

Since p is odd, one of a or b must be even, the other odd. Otherwise, the sum of their squares would be even. Let $a = 2x$ and $b = 2y + 1$. If $a^2 + b^2 = p$ then

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 4x^2 + (2y + 1)^2 \\ &= 4(x^2 + y^2 + y) + 1 \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{aligned}$$

whereas to begin with, the assumption was $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. So in this case, only $a^2 + b^2 = p^2$ is possible and $N\Pi = Np = p^2$. That is, the rational prime p stays prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Case 2 : $p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

$p = 2$ is the only prime which falls into this class and from cryptography point of view, this case is not interesting. ($N\Pi = 2$).

Case 3 : $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Here p is of the form $4k + 1$ where k is any rational integer. Then $p \mid n^2 + 1$ for some rational integer n . But $(n^2 + 1) = (n + i)(n - i)$ and as $\Pi \mid p$, Π divides $(n + i)(n - i)$. But p does not divide $(n + i)$ or $(n - i)$ for otherwise one of $n/p \pm 1/p$ would be a Gaussian integer; this cannot be possible as $1/p$ is not a rational integer. Hence Π and p are not associated and $N\Pi \neq Np$. So $a^2 + b^2 \neq p^2$ and hence only $a^2 + b^2 = p$ is possible, ie $N\Pi = p$.

The system designer first chooses primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r randomly for some $r \geq 2$ such that $p_j \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $p_j \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Then he computes the norm of each of the Gaussian primes Π_j using $N\Pi_j = p_j$ or p_j^2 . The order $\overline{\phi}_{\langle m \rangle}$ can then be calculated using

$$\overline{\phi}_{\langle m \rangle} = \prod_{j=1}^r (N\Pi_j - 1) \quad (12.3)$$

The coding exponents e and d required for encryption and decryption procedures can be determined using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\overline{\phi}_{\langle m \rangle}} \quad (12.4)$$

The public encryption key consists of (e, m) and the secret decryption key is (d, m) where $m \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. As the designer is required to make

m public, to obtain m, he needs to solve equations of the form

$$a_j^2 + b_j^2 = p_j \text{ or } p_j^2 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq r$$

and $\Pi_j = a_j + b_j i$.

Consider the problem of finding a and b in $a^2 + b^2 = p$ or p^2 given p (system designer knows p). The subscript j has been dropped for convenience.

Case 1 : $a^2 + b^2 = p^2$ where $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

This implies that

$$a^2 + b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad (12.5)$$

Suppose $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then

$$1 + \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

Letting $x = b/a$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + x^2 &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ \text{ie } x^2 &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \end{aligned} \quad (12.6)$$

For the congruence (12.6) to have a solution, -1 must be a quadratic residue modulo p (Section 12.3.2). That is, the Legendre Symbol $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)$ must be equal to 1.

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} = 1$$

As $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $p = 4k + 3$ for some rational integer k

$$\text{ie, } p-1 = 4k + 2$$

Therefore,

$$(-1)^{(p-1)/2} = (-1)^{2k+1} = -1$$

Thus when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, the congruence (12.5) cannot be solved for a and b if $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus 'a' must be equal to 0 (mod p). This implies that the only solutions of (12.5) that are possible are:

$$a = 0, b^2 = p^2; b = 0, a^2 = p^2$$

Case 2 : $a^2 + b^2 = p$ where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (12.7)

Method 1

Again assuming $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and letting $x = b/a$,

$$1 + x^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad (12.8)$$

For the above congruence to have a solution, -1 must be a quadratic residue modulo p , ie,

$$(-1)^{(p-1)/2} = 1$$

As $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $p - 1 = 4k$ for some rational integer k .

Therefore, $(-1)^{(p-1)/2} = 1$.

Hence there are solutions of (12.7) for which $a, b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. The solutions to the congruence (12.8) are given by

$$x = \pm \left[\left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)! \right] \pmod{p}$$

This can be seen as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \left[\frac{p-1}{2} \right]! \right\}^2 &\equiv (1.2 \dots \frac{p-1}{2}) (1.2 \dots \frac{p-1}{2}) \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv (1.2 \dots \frac{p-1}{2}) (-(p-1) \dots -(\frac{p+1}{2})) \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv (1.2 \dots \frac{p-1}{2}) (\frac{p+1}{2} \dots p-1) (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \\ \left\{ \left[\frac{p-1}{2} \right]! \right\}^2 &\equiv (p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \text{ using Wilson's theorem [38].} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\left\{ \left[\frac{p-1}{2} \right]! \right\}$ is a solution of (12.8).

As $b \equiv a x \pmod{p}$, ' a ' is allowed to vary in the range 0 to \sqrt{p} and the least positive residue $ax \pmod{p}$ is tested to see whether it is less than \sqrt{p} . If so, then this value can be used for b because then $0 < a^2 + b^2 < 2p$ and $a^2 + b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ imply that $a^2 + b^2 = p$.

Example

Using the above method to find a and b such that

$$a^2 + b^2 = p = 29 \quad (12.9)$$

Then

$$x = \pm \left(\frac{29-1}{2} \right) !$$

$$x \equiv 12 \pmod{29}$$

Considering $0 < a < \sqrt{29}$, ie, $0 < a \leq 5$, gives

$$b_1 \equiv 1.12 \equiv 12 \pmod{29}$$

$$b_2 \equiv 2.12 \equiv 24 \pmod{29}$$

$$b_3 \equiv 3.12 \equiv 7 \pmod{29}$$

$$b_4 \equiv 4.12 \equiv 19 \pmod{29}$$

$$b_5 \equiv 5.12 \equiv 2 \pmod{29}$$

Hence $b = b_5 = 2$ is the only one which is less than 5 and therefore $a = 5, b = 2$ would satisfy (12.9).

For large prime p , this method does not appear to be feasible as one needs to evaluate $\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!$ and test values of 'a' upto \sqrt{p} . This requires of the order of $\left(\frac{p+\sqrt{p}}{2}\right)$ multiplications \pmod{p} in the worst case.

Method 2

This method involves the use of Jacobsthal sum, $S(c)$, [66] in determining a and b in (12.7). $S(c)$ is given by

$$s(c) = \sum_{n=1}^p \left(\frac{n}{p} \right) \left(\frac{n^2 - c}{p} \right)$$

where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. (Note that $s(c) = 0$ if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$).

Considering

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c=1}^p s^2(c) &= \sum_{c=1}^p \sum_{m=1}^p \left(\frac{m}{p} \right) \left(\frac{m^2 - c}{p} \right) \sum_{n=1}^p \left(\frac{n}{p} \right) \left(\frac{n^2 - c}{p} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=1}^p \left(\frac{m}{p} \right) \left(\frac{n}{p} \right) \left\{ \sum_{c=1}^p \left(\frac{m^2 - c}{p} \right) \left(\frac{n^2 - c}{p} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the inner sum $\{ \}$.

if $m^2 \equiv n^2 \pmod{p}$, then it is equal to $\sum_{c=1}^p \left(\frac{m^2 - c}{p}\right)^2 = p-1$

if $m^2 \not\equiv n^2 \pmod{p}$, then it is equal to -1 .

$$\therefore \sum_{c=1}^p s^2(c) = (p-1) \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=1}^p \left(\frac{m}{p}\right) \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) - \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=1}^p \left(\frac{m}{p}\right) \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)$$

$$m^2 \equiv n^2 \qquad m^2 \not\equiv n^2$$

That is,

$$\sum_{c=1}^p s^2(c) = 2(p-1)(p-1) - \sum_{n=1}^p \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \left(0 - \frac{n}{p} - \left(-\frac{n}{p}\right)\right)$$

$$= 2(p-1)^2 + 2(p-1)$$

$$\sum_{c=1}^p s^2(c) = 2p(p-1)$$

But

$$\sum_{c=1}^p s^2(c) = \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) s^2(1) + \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) s^2(k)$$

where k is any quadratic non-residue [66].

$$\text{That is, } 2p(p-1) = \frac{p-1}{2} s^2(1) + \frac{p-1}{2} s^2(k)$$

$$p = \left(\frac{s(1)}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{s(k)}{2}\right)^2$$

Thus to calculate a and b in $a^2 + b^2 = p$, one finds $s(1)$ where

$$s(1) = \sum_{n=1}^p \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \left(\frac{n^2-1}{p}\right)$$

and then computes $p - \left(\frac{s(1)}{2}\right)^2$ giving

$$a^2 = \left(\frac{s(1)}{2}\right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad b^2 = p - \left(\frac{s(1)}{2}\right)^2$$

Considering the same example as before to find a and b in $a^2 + b^2 = p = 29$, using this method

$$s(1) = \sum_{n=1}^{29} \left(\frac{n}{29}\right) \left(\frac{n^2-1}{29}\right) = 10$$

$$\left(\frac{s(1)}{2}\right)^2 = 25 \text{ hence } a = 5 \text{ and } b = \sqrt{p - \left(\frac{s(1)}{2}\right)^2} = 2$$

Again this method may not seem to be attractive when p is large.

Method 3

Legendre's method [86] is based on the continued fraction expansion of \sqrt{p} . He showed that if p is of the form $4k + 1$, then the expansion of \sqrt{p} is of the form

$$\sqrt{p} = q_0 + \frac{1}{q_1 + \frac{1}{q_2 + \dots \frac{1}{q_2 + \frac{1}{q_1 + \frac{1}{2q_0 + \dots}}}}}$$

It is seen that there is a symmetrical part $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_2, q_1$ followed by $2q_0$ and there is no central term. Now let c be the particular complete quotient which begins at the middle of the period, that is,

$$c = c_m = q_m + \frac{1}{q_{m-1} + \dots \frac{1}{q_1 + \frac{1}{2q_0 + \frac{1}{q_1 + \dots}}}}$$

This is a purely periodic continued fraction whose period consists of $q_m, \dots, q_1, 2q_0, q_1, \dots, q_m$. Since this period is symmetrical, $\bar{c} = -\frac{1}{c}$, where \bar{c} denotes the conjugate of c . c is now expressible in the form $c = \frac{b + \sqrt{p}}{a}$ where a and b are integers. The equation $c\bar{c} = -1$ gives $\left(\frac{b + \sqrt{p}}{a}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{b - \sqrt{p}}{a}\right) = -1$ or $a^2 + b^2 = p$.

Again consider the example $p = 29$. The process for developing $\sqrt{29}$ in a continued fraction is

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{29} &= 5 + \frac{1}{c_1} \\ c_1 &= \frac{1}{4} (5 + \sqrt{29}) = 2 + \frac{1}{c_2} \\ c_2 &= \frac{1}{5} (3 + \sqrt{29}) = 1 + \frac{1}{c_3} \\ c_3 &= \frac{1}{5} (2 + \sqrt{29}) = 1 + \frac{1}{c_4} \\ c_4 &= \frac{1}{4} (3 + \sqrt{29}) = 2 + \frac{1}{c_5}\end{aligned}$$

$$c_5 = 5 + \sqrt{29}$$

The continued fraction is $5, \overline{2, 1, 1, 2, 10}$. The appropriate complete quotient to take is $c = c_3$ giving $a = 5$ and $b = 2$.

Method 4

The cryptographer can also design the system starting with primes Π_j in $Z[i]$ rather than primes p_j in Z . That is, he selects Gaussian integers Π_j , $1 \leq j \leq r$, arbitrarily by choosing say a_j to be an even integer and b_j to be an odd integer in $\Pi_j = a_j + b_j i$ so that $a_j^2 + b_j^2$ is always odd. Then he can test the norms $N\Pi_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$, to find whether they are primes or squares of primes in Z . The primality testing can be done using the probabilistic algorithm mentioned in Section 10.2. If $N\Pi_j$ is a prime or a square of a prime in Z , then Π_j must be a prime in $Z[i]$. If $N\Pi_j$ is not a prime or a prime square in Z , then he chooses another pair of a_j and b_j . As this procedure needs to be done only once by each user at the beginning, this could be a feasible approach especially when $r = 2$ as in the prototype RSA system.

Choice of primes

Although the designer can choose any primes of the form $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ or $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (except the special ones such as the Mersenne primes), now it is shown that certain combinations will result in the easy factorization of the composite Gaussian integer m . If m is considered to be a product of two Gaussian primes Π_1 and Π_2 where $\Pi_1 | p_1$ and $\Pi_2 | p_2$, then there are four possible combinations. They are:

- (i) $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$
- (ii) $p_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, p_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$
- (iii) $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, p_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$
- (iv) $p_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Case (i) results in $\Pi_1 = a_1 + b_1 i$ and $\Pi_2 = a_2 + b_2 i$ where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \neq 0$ in Z and $m = \Pi_1 \Pi_2 \in Z[i]$. The order of the group formed by the invertible residue classes modulo $\langle m \rangle$ is therefore equal to $\phi\langle m \rangle = (N\Pi_1 - 1)(N\Pi_2 - 1) = (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)$.

Case (ii) yields $\Pi_1 = a_1$ or $b_1 i$ and $\Pi_2 = a_2$ or $b_2 i$ as one of each pair (a, b) is equal to zero. Letting $m = a_1 a_2$, it is seen that m

is a rational integer and the order $\phi\langle m \rangle = (p_1^2 - 1)(p_2^2 - 1)$.

Case (iii) and (iv) will yield an m of the form $cd + cfi$. As m is made public, the opponent can easily spot the factor c and hence factorize m .

Hence it is seen from cryptographic considerations that, only the schemes where both p_1 and p_2 are chosen to be of same type (either $1 \pmod{4}$ or $3 \pmod{4}$) will provide secure systems. This idea extends to all primes p_j , $1 \leq j \leq r$, when m is a product of r Gaussian primes.

12.2.3 Security of the System in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

As in the case of the prototype RSA system over \mathbb{Z} , the publicly available information for the opponent consists of the encrypting exponent e and the composite modulus m . If the rational primes are chosen such that $p_j \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ for all j , then the modulus m can be made to be a rational integer and the norm $Nm = m^2$. If the primes are chosen such that $p_j \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ for all j , then m is of the form $c + di \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. In this case, the opponent can easily calculate the norm $Nm = c^2 + d^2$. Hence in either case, the security of the system essentially lies in the difficulty of factoring a large rational integer, the norm Nm . The problem of factoring Nm is similar to that of factoring the modulus $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the prototype RSA system. Thus the security of this system is same as that of its predecessor. Once the norm Nm is factorized into r primes q_j , then the order $\phi\langle m \rangle$ can be found using $\prod_{j=1}^r (N\pi_j - 1)$ where $N\pi_j = q_j$ or q_j^2 . Then the opponent can compute the secret decoding exponent d using (12.4). Note that the cryptanalyst does not need to know the Gaussian primes π_1, \dots, π_r but only needs to know their respective norms. In other words, the opponent will be working over \mathbb{Z} not over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and does not need to solve the equation $a^2 + b^2 = p$ for a and b .

12.2.4 Representation of Messages and System Operation

The messages are to be represented using the residue classes modulo the ideal generated by the modulus m . The number of distinct messages possible is equal to the number of incongruent residues mod $\langle m \rangle$ and is given by the norm of the ideal $\langle m \rangle$. In the case of a principal ideal domain $N\langle m \rangle = Nm$, the norm of the element m .

. In performing encryption or decryption using this system in

$Z[i]$, there is a problem with regard to the message representation. First consider the process of reduction $\text{mod } \langle m \rangle$ which is required in both encryption and decryption procedures. This can be carried out using the Euclidean algorithm as $Z[i]$ is a PID. Let $y = x^e$ where e is the encrypting exponent and consider the operation $y \text{ mod } \langle m \rangle$ where x, y and $m \in Z[i]$.

Using Euclid's algorithm, there exists two integers u and v in $Z[i]$ such that

$$y = um + v \quad \text{where } Nv < Nm$$

Consider

$$y/m = A + Bi \quad \text{where } A \text{ and } B \text{ are rational numbers.}$$

Choose rational integers s and t such that

$$|A-s| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad |B-t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

This can be done by choosing s and t as rational integers nearest to A and B respectively (see Figure 12.1). Now let $u = s+ti$ and $v = y - um$, then it is seen that $Nv < Nm$ as

$$\begin{aligned} |v| &= |y - um| = |y - (s+ti)m| \\ &= |m| \left| \frac{y}{m} - s - ti \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |v| &= |m| \left| (A-s) + (B-t)i \right| \\ &= |m| \left\{ (A-s)^2 + (B-t)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore |v| \leq |m| \left\{ \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < |m|$$

Since $Nv = |v|^2 < |m|^2 = Nm$, the inequality is established.




Fig 12.1

But the u and v are not uniquely determined. Thus if a message $M = x+iy$ is encrypted to form $g+hi \equiv (x+iy)^e \pmod{\langle m \rangle}$, the decrypted message, M' is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M' &\equiv (g+hi)^d \pmod{\langle m \rangle} \\ &\equiv k+li \pmod{\langle m \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

The decrypted message, $k+li \equiv x+iy \pmod{\langle m \rangle}$ but it is of 'different representation'. For instance, consider the example given below.

Let the modulus $m = 8+i \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$

The norm, $Nm = 65$

$$= N\pi_1 N\pi_2 = 5 \cdot 13$$

As $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\phi \langle m \rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \langle m \rangle &= 4 \cdot 12 \\ &= 48 \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $e = d = 7$ where $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{48}$, consider the message $M = 5+3i$. Using the Euclidean algorithm,

$$(5+3i)^7 \equiv -2-i \pmod{\langle m \rangle} \equiv g+hi$$

Thus

$$M' \equiv (-2-i)^7 \pmod{\langle m \rangle}$$

$$\text{ie, } M' \equiv (-2-i)^7 \equiv -2-6i \pmod{\langle m \rangle} \equiv k+li$$

But

$$(-2-6i) \equiv 5+3i \equiv 2i-3 \pmod{\langle m \rangle}$$

The receiver would not be able to differentiate say between $-2-6i$ or $5+3i$ or $2i-3$ although they are congruent to each other $\pmod{\langle m \rangle}$. Thus there is not a unique representation of messages unlike in the case of the RSA system over \mathbb{Z} where a message is uniquely represented by taking the least positive residue modulo m . In $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, one approach could be to use the norm of the modulus, Nm , to construct a standard set of representatives. But this would not work as two elements α and β can be congruent to each other and their norms $N\alpha$ and $N\beta$ be less than Nm . Thus some form of standard set of representatives is essential.

Case 1

First consider the case where the primes π_j which form m divide rational primes p_j of the form $p_j \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then the norm is a square free rational integer given by

$$Nm = \prod_{j=1}^r p_j \quad \text{where } m = \prod_{j=1}^r \pi_j$$

The residue class ring $Z[i]/\langle m \rangle$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of finite fields $Z[i]/\langle \pi_j \rangle$, ie,

$$Z[i]/\langle m \rangle \cong Z[i]/\langle \pi_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus Z[i]/\langle \pi_r \rangle$$

The field $Z[i]/\langle \pi_j \rangle$ contains $N\pi_j = p_j$ elements. Therefore one standard method of representing the messages mod $\langle m \rangle$ would be to use the integers in the ring Z/NmZ , that is, 0 to $Nm-1$. And every element of $Z[i]/\langle m \rangle$ is congruent to an element in Z/NmZ . This is same as the message space of the RSA system over the rationals.

For example if $p_1 = 13$ and $p_2 = 5$, $p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then $Nm = 65$ and $\phi \langle m \rangle = 48$. The message space is therefore equal to $\{0, 1, \dots, 64\}$. The encryption and decryption processes are carried out using $C \equiv M^e \pmod{Nm}$ and $M \equiv C^d \pmod{Nm}$ where $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi \langle m \rangle}$. The coding exponent e and Nm are made public.

Now consider the case where the message space still consists of the integers in Z/NmZ but now the encryption and decryption procedures are calculated modulo m where $m = a+bi \in Z[i]$. In this case, e , m and Nm are made public. Let the message be $M \in Z/NmZ$, then the encryption procedure results in

$$M^e \pmod{a+bi} \equiv g+hi = \text{cipher}$$

Decryption produces

$$(g+hi)^d \pmod{a+bi} \equiv k+li$$

That is, the recovered message M is equal to $k+li$

$$M \equiv k+li \pmod{a+bi} \tag{12.10}$$

Conjugating both sides of (12.10)

$$M \equiv k-li \pmod{a-bi} \tag{12.11}$$

Using Chinese Remainder Theorem, the original M can be formed where

$$M \equiv \gamma_1 (k-li) + \gamma_2 (k+li) \pmod{(a+bi)(a-bi)}$$

where $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 1$ and $M \in Z/NmZ$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in Z[i]$

A standard set of representatives of the ring $Z[i]/\langle m \rangle$ can also be obtained using elementary divisor theory [65].

The ideal $\langle m \rangle$ in $Z[i]$ is generated by the element $m = a+bi$

and it consists of the set of Gaussian integers

$$\langle m \rangle = \{(a+bi)\lambda\} \quad \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$

The ideal $\langle m \rangle$ can be regarded as a \mathbb{Z} -module generated by $[a+bi, ai-b]$ and the \mathbb{Z} -basis of the ideal $\langle m \rangle$ is therefore given by $(a+bi, ai-b)$. The integral basis of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is $(1, i)$ [65].

Hence one can associate a matrix A with the pair of bases as follows

$$\mathbb{Z}\text{-basis of ideal } \langle m \rangle = A (\mathbb{Z}\text{-basis of } \mathbb{Z}[i])$$

ie,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a+bi \\ ai-b \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$

Let

$$\underline{t} = \begin{pmatrix} a+bi \\ ai-b \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{s} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore

$$\underline{t} = A \underline{s} \tag{12.12}$$

\underline{s} and \underline{t} can be replaced by \underline{w} and \underline{v} where \underline{w} and \underline{v} are equal to \underline{s} and \underline{t} multiplied by some unimodular matrices respectively.

Let

$D = VAU$ where U and V are suitably chosen unimodular transformations such that $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2)$ and $d_1 \mid d_2$. That is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} = VAU$$

Multiplying \underline{s} by U^{-1} , gives

$$\underline{w} = U^{-1} \underline{s}$$

and hence

$$\underline{t} = AU \underline{w} \tag{12.13}$$

Multiplying (12.13) by V gives

$$V \underline{t} = VAU \underline{w}$$

ie $\underline{v} = D \underline{w}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The new basis of $Z[i]$ is (w_1, w_2) and the new basis of $\langle m \rangle$ is $(d_1 w_1, d_2 w_2)$.

Therefore, the residue classes mod $\langle m \rangle$ are represented as $x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2$ where x_i goes from 0 to $d_i - 1$ for $i=1, 2$.

Hence the system designer is required to reduce the matrix A to its diagonal form. The steps involved in this reduction algorithm of an $n \times n$ matrix A are now considered [67].

First Stage of Reduction

The aim is to reduce the $n \times n$ matrix A to an equivalent $n \times n$ matrix C of the special form

$$C = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccc} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & C^* & \\ 0 & & & \end{array} \right] \quad (12.14)$$

where d_1 divides each entry of C^* .

A finite sequence of elementary row and column operations is considered which when performed on A either yields a matrix of the form (12.14) or else leads to an $n \times n$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$ satisfying the condition

$$b_{11} < a_{11} \quad (12.15)$$

In the latter case, one goes back to the beginning and applies the sequence of operations again. Either the form (12.14) is achieved in which case, this stage ends or (12.15) is reached in which case the leading entry is reduced still further and the process continues. After a finite number of steps, the form (12.14) will be reached.

The sequence of operations is as follows:

If A is the zero matrix, then it is already of the form (12.14); otherwise, A has a non-zero entry and by suitable interchanges of rows and columns this can be moved to the leading position. Therefore assume $a_{11} \neq 0$ and consider the following three possibilities:

Case (i)

There is an entry a_{1j} in the first row such that $a_{11} \nmid a_{1j}$. By the properties of Euclidean domain,

$$a_{1j} = a_{11} q + r$$

where either $r = 0$ or $r < a_{11}$

Since $a_{11} \nmid a_{1j}$, one must have $r \neq 0$ and so $r < a_{11}$. Subtracting q times the first column from the j th column and then interchanging the first and the j th columns, the leading entry a_{11} is replaced by r and (12.15) is achieved.

Case (ii)

There is an entry a_{11} in the first column such that $a_{11} \nmid a_{i1}$. In this case, proceeding as in Case (i) but operating with rows instead of columns, (12.15) is reached.

Case (iii)

a_{11} divides every entry in the first row and first column. In this case, by subtracting suitable multiples of the first column from the other columns, one can replace all the entries in the first row other than a_{11} itself by zeroes. Similarly, subtracting multiples of the first row from the others, a matrix is obtained which is of the form,

$$D = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccc} a_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & D^* & \\ 0 & & & \end{array} \right]$$

If a_{11} divides every entry of D^* , (12.14) has been reached; if not there is an entry, say, d_{ij} such that $a_{11} \nmid d_{ij}$. In that case, by adding the i th row to the top row leads to case (i).

Repeated application of these procedures will result in the form (12.14) after a finite number of steps, thereby completing the first stage of reduction.

End of Reduction

By applying the above process to the submatrix C^* , one can reduce its size still further, leaving a trail of diagonal elements. Any elementary operation on C^* corresponds to an elementary operation on C which does not affect the first row and column. Also any elementary operation on C^* gives a new matrix whose entries are linear combinations of the old ones and hence the new entries will therefore still be divisible by d_1 . Thus $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_n$.

In particular in the case of a 2×2 matrix A , the unimodular transformations can be found as follows

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ and $b > a$ $a \nmid \gcd(b, c, d)$

It is first shown that the matrix A is equivalent to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } a' \mid \gcd(b', c', d')$$

Using induction on a : the case $a = 1$ is trivial; when $a > 1$ and $a \nmid b$, choosing q so that $0 < aq + b < a$

and consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aq+b & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

where the leading element is a positive integer less than a . If $a \mid b$ and $a \nmid c$, then choosing q' such that $0 < aq' + c < a$ and consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} q' & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aq'+c & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

where the leading element is once again a positive integer less than a . Finally if $a \mid \gcd(b, c)$ but $a \nmid d$, let $c = c_1 a$ so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -c_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & (1-c_1)b+d \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

and $a \nmid \{(1-c_1)b+d\}$ which reduces back to the case when $a \nmid b$. The inductive argument is now complete. Now $a' \mid \gcd(b', c', d')$. Letting $b' = a'b''$, $c' = a'c''$ and $d' = a'd''$ and considering

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -c'' & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a' & a'b'' \\ a'c'' & a'd'' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b'' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 \\ 0 & a'(d'' - b''c'') \end{pmatrix} \quad (12.16)$$

the desired result is obtained.

With $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & +b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$, (12.16) reduces to

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 \\ 0 & a'(1+b''^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

Further as $d_1 \mid d_2$ and $Nm = d_1 d_2$ where $Nm = \prod_{j=1}^r p_j$, the only allowed values for d_1 and d_2 are 1 and Nm respectively. So the messages can be represented as $x_2 w_2$ where x_2 goes from 0 to $Nm-1$. In this case, the elementary divisor d_2 , the encrypting exponent e and the matrix U (see 12.13) are made public. The decrypting exponent d is kept secret. The security lies in the difficulty of factoring $d_2 = Nm$. The encryption procedure is carried out as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } M &= x_2 w_2 \\ C &\equiv M^e \pmod{\langle m \rangle} \\ &\equiv (x_2 w_2)^e \pmod{\langle m \rangle} \\ &\equiv x_2^e w_2^e \pmod{\langle m \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$i^2 + 1 = 0$$

$C' \equiv y_2' w_2$ is obtained. Now reducing y_2' (mod d_2) the cipher C is obtained

$$C \equiv y_2 w_2 \pmod{\langle m \rangle}$$

A similar procedure can be carried out for decryption to recover the original message using the decrypting exponent d . An example using this method is now given below.

Let $\Pi_1 = 2+3i$ and $\Pi_2 = 1+2i$ where Π_1 and Π_2 are primes in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. The modulus $m = 7i-4$ and $Nm = 65$. $\phi \langle m \rangle = 48$. Let $e = d = 7$ where $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{48}$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 7 \\ -7 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the method given above to reduce A to diagonal matrix D ,

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -18 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 7 \\ -7 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -7 \\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 65 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 4-7i \\ 1-2i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -7 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -7 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -7 \\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12.17)$$

Let the message be $M = 4w_2$

The cipher is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C &\equiv M^7 \pmod{\langle 7i-4 \rangle} \\ &\equiv 4^7 w_2^7 \pmod{\langle 7i-4 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

Using (12.17), $w_2 = 1-2i$

$$\text{and } w_2^7 = 29-278i$$

Using (12.17),

$$29-278i = -220w_1 + 909w_2 \quad (12.18)$$

Now reducing (12.18) $\pmod{d_1}$ and $\pmod{d_2}$, the cipher is given by

$$C \equiv 61w_2 \pmod{\langle 7i-4 \rangle}$$

A similar process for decryption with decoding exponent $d = 7$ gives back the original message M .

Case 2

Now consider the case where the primes Π_j which form the modulus m divide the rational primes p_j of the form $p_j \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then the norm Nm is a non-square free rational integer given by

$$Nm = \prod_{j=1}^r p_j^2$$

In this case although $\mathbb{Z}[i]/\langle \Pi_j \rangle$ is a finite field of p_j^2 elements, one cannot represent the residue classes modulo $\langle \Pi_j \rangle$ using the integers $\mathbb{Z}/p_j^2\mathbb{Z}$ as the latter does not form a field. On the other hand, one can represent the messages in the form $x+iy$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. As mentioned in 12.2.1, the Nm can be made to equal m^2 by appropriately choosing m to be a rational integer. Therefore, one can represent the distinct residue classes $\pmod{\langle m \rangle}$ as $x+iy$ where $0 \leq x, y \leq |\sqrt{Nm}| - 1$, thus giving rise to Nm residue classes. Using the elementary divisor theory described above, this corresponds to the case where $d_1 = \prod_{j=1}^r p_j$ and $d_2 = \prod_{j=1}^r p_j$. Again these are the only allowed values for d_1 and d_2 as the following conditions must hold:

- (i) $d_1 \mid d_2$
- (ii) $d_1 \cdot d_2 = Nm$
- (iii) $\mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of finite fields, for $i = 1, 2$.

The divisors d_1 and d_2 and the exponent e are made public. The encryption and decryption procedures are very much simplified in this case. If a message M is raised to the power e then to perform $\text{mod } \langle m \rangle$, it is only necessary to reduce the coefficients by the corresponding elementary divisors. Let $M = x + iy$ be the message then, the cipher C is obtained by

$$\begin{aligned} C &\equiv M^e \text{ mod } \langle m \rangle \\ &\equiv (x + iy)^e \text{ mod } \langle m \rangle \\ &\equiv g(\text{mod } d_1) + h(\text{mod } d_2) i \end{aligned}$$

where $d_1 = d_2 = |\sqrt{Nm}|$

A similar procedure can be used for decryption.

12.3 Factorization Trapdoor System in Other Quadratic Fields

12.3.1 Quadratic Fields $R(\sqrt{D})$

Note that when $D = -1$, $R(\sqrt{D})$, where R is the set of rational numbers, includes the ring of Gaussian integers considered in the previous section. Initially some of the properties of the integers in $R(\sqrt{D})$ which are required in the design of a trapdoor system are briefly examined. A detailed treatment of quadratic fields can be found in [61, 63, 65].

A quadratic field is a field of degree 2 over the rationals. Such a field is of the form $R(\theta)$ where θ is a root of a quadratic polynomial which is irreducible over the rationals. Let θ satisfy an equation

$$x^2 + 2ax + b = 0 \quad \text{where } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Then $\theta = -a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - b}$. Removing from $a^2 - b$ all square factors so that $a^2 - b = s^2 D$ where D has no factor higher than the first power, then $R(\theta)$ is equivalent to $R(\sqrt{D})$. That is, every quadratic field is of the form $R(\sqrt{D})$ where D is a rational integer free of square factors.

The ring of integers of $R(\sqrt{D})$ for square free D depends on the arithmetic properties of D . It is shown in [61] that the integers in $R(\sqrt{D})$ fall into two categories, namely,

(i) if $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then the integers are of the form $x + y\sqrt{D}$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, ie, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$

- (ii) if $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then the integers are of the form $x+y \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}\right)$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, ie, $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}\right]$

Note that the Gaussian integers considered in the last section falls into the first category.

Using Lemma 1 (Section 12.2.1), it is seen that an integer in $R(\sqrt{D})$ is a unit if its norm is ± 1 . When $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the norm of α is $N\alpha = \alpha \bar{\alpha} = (a+b\sqrt{D})(a-b\sqrt{D}) = a^2 - Db^2$.

When $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the norm of α is given by

$$N\alpha = \left[a + \frac{b}{2}(1+\sqrt{D})\right] \left[a + \frac{b}{2}(1-\sqrt{D})\right] = \left(a + \frac{1}{2}b\right)^2 - \frac{D}{4}b^2.$$

Note that the norms are positive in complex quadratic fields (ie, D is negative) but not necessarily positive in real quadratic fields (ie, D is positive). Thus α is a unit if

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &a^2 - Db^2 = \pm 1 \\ \text{or} & \left(a + \frac{1}{2}b\right)^2 - \frac{D}{4}b^2 = \pm 1 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (12.19)$$

When $D < 0$, the equations (12.19) have only a finite number of solutions [63]. When $D = -1$, as seen in Section 12.2.1 the ring of Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ has four units namely $\pm 1, \pm i$. When $D = -3$, there are six solutions to the equation (12.19) namely $\pm 1, \pm w, \pm w^2$ where w is the cube root of unity, $w = (-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$. For all other complex fields, the only units are ± 1 . In the case of real fields, there exists an infinite number of solutions to the equation (12.19) and hence an infinite number of units [63]. These units however may be expressed in the form of $\pm \epsilon^n$ where n takes all positive and negative rational values. The quantity ϵ is called the fundamental unit.

Using the definition of a prime element given in Section 12.2.1 it is seen that the Lemmas 2, 3 and 4 are also applicable in $R(\sqrt{D})$. Although every integer in $R(\sqrt{D})$ can be expressed as a product of Primes, it does not necessarily imply that the factorization is unique like in \mathbb{Z} or $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Consider for instance the factorizations of the integer 6 in $R(\sqrt{10})$ expressed as

$$6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (4 + \sqrt{10})(4 - \sqrt{10})$$

or of the integer 21 in $R(\sqrt{5})$

$$21 = 3 \cdot 7 = (1 + 2\sqrt{-5})(1 - 2\sqrt{-5}) = (4 + \sqrt{-5})(4 - \sqrt{-5}) \quad (12.20)$$

Considering (12.20), we have the following situation: the integer 3, a Prime, divides $(1 + 2\sqrt{-5})(1 - 2\sqrt{-5})$ but fails to divide either factor in $R(\sqrt{-5})$. Such a situation does not arise for instance in Z or $Z[i]$. Hence it is seen that in $R(\sqrt{-5})$, Prime integers which are not associated can have a common factor which is not in $R(\sqrt{-5})$. It appears then that in such algebraic number fields the Primes ... are not necessarily the atoms from which all the integers are constructed.

It is in such cases the factorization of an ideal into a unique set of prime ideals (see Section 11.2.6) comes into use. The rings where the unique factorization of integers fails, correspond to non-principal ideal domains. The theory of non-principal ideal domains is considered to be beyond the scope of this thesis and hence the design of factorization trapdoor systems has been confined to principal ideal domains.

12.3.2 Design of Trapdoor Coding System: Complex Euclidean Quadratic Fields

The fields which possess the unique factorization of elements property obey Euclidean algorithm of one form or other. There are just five complex Euclidean fields namely when $D = -1, -2, -3, -7$ and -11 . (There are 4 other complex fields which have the property of unique factorization of elements but obey a slightly different form of Euclidean algorithm [38]). For these nine cases the ring $R(\sqrt{D})$ is a principal ideal domain. The prime ideals are therefore the ideals generated by the prime integers in $R(\sqrt{D})$. From the point of view of designing a factorization trapdoor system, the primes in $R(\sqrt{D})$ and the relationship between the primes in Z and the primes in $R(\sqrt{D})$ need to be considered. More exactly, it is necessary to know whether a rational prime splits in $R(\sqrt{D})$ and if so how does it split.

From Kummer's theorem [68], the decomposition of an ideal $\langle p \rangle$, where p is a prime in Z , into prime ideals in $R(\sqrt{D})$ is determined by the factorization of the polynomial $f(x) = x^2 - D$ in Z/pZ . Over Z/pZ , the factorizations of $f(x)$ are

$$x^2 - D = \begin{cases} x^2 & \text{if } p \mid D \text{ or } 4D \\ x^2 - D & \text{if } D \text{ is not a square (mod } p) \\ (x-a)(x+a) & \text{if } D \equiv a^2 \pmod{p}, a \in Z \end{cases}$$

The three cases therefore correspond to:

1. If a prime p in \mathbb{Z} divides the discriminant [68] of the field $R(\sqrt{D})$ (if $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, discriminant = D and if $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, discriminant = $4D$), then the ideal $\langle p \rangle$ is factorized into the square of a prime ideal in $R(\sqrt{D})$. That is, $\langle p \rangle = \rho^2$ where ρ denotes a prime ideal in $R(\sqrt{D})$, and $N\rho = p$. (12.21)
2. An odd prime p which does not divide the discriminant generates a prime ideal of degree 2 in $R(\sqrt{D})$ if $x^2 - D \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ does not have an integral solution. That is, p is irreducible in $R(\sqrt{D})$ and $\langle p \rangle = \rho$ and $N\rho = p^2$. (12.22)
3. On the other hand, if $x^2 - D \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (or $y^2 - 4D \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$) has a solution then $\langle p \rangle$ decomposes into two distinct conjugate prime ideals, $\langle p \rangle = \rho_1 \rho_2$ where $N\rho_1 = N\rho_2 = p$ (12.23)

(Note that the prime $p = 2$ is of no cryptographic significance)

As an example, consider the non-principal ideal domain $R(\sqrt{-5})$ whose discriminant is equal to $4D = -20$. 2 and 5 are the only prime factors of the discriminant and consequently are factorable into squares of prime ideals as $\langle 2 \rangle = \langle 2, 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle^2$ and $\langle 5 \rangle = \langle \sqrt{-5} \rangle^2$

The congruence $x^2 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has solution for $p = 3, 7, 23, \dots$

but cannot be solved for $p = 11, 13, 17, \dots$ Therefore

$$\langle 3 \rangle = \langle 3, 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle \langle 3, 1 - \sqrt{-5} \rangle$$

$$\langle 7 \rangle = \langle 7, 3 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle \langle 7, 3 - \sqrt{-5} \rangle \dots$$

while $\langle 11 \rangle, \langle 13 \rangle \dots$ are prime ideals.

The designer chooses primes p_1, \dots, p_r in \mathbb{Z} which give rise to prime ideals in $R(\sqrt{D})$. If the primes p_i are chosen so that $x^2 - D \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$ have integral solutions then letting

$$\langle p_i \rangle = \rho_{i1} \cdot \rho_{i2} \quad \text{where } \rho_{i1}, \rho_{i2} \text{ are prime ideals in } R(\sqrt{D})$$

the composite ideal $\langle m \rangle$ is equal to, say,

$$\langle m \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r \rho_{i1}$$

The number of incongruent residues with respect to the ideal $\langle m \rangle$ and relatively prime to $\langle m \rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \langle m \rangle &= \prod_{i=1}^r \phi(\rho_{i1}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r N \rho_{i1} - 1 \end{aligned} \quad (12.24)$$

And hence using (12.23),

$$\bar{\phi} \langle m \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i - 1) \quad (12.25)$$

If the primes p_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, are chosen such that $x^2 - D \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$ do not have an integral solution for all i , then the ideals $\langle p_i \rangle$ are irreducible in $R(\sqrt{D})$ and

$$\bar{\phi} \langle m \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i^2 - 1) \quad (12.26)$$

using (12.22).

The designer can decide whether $x^2 - D \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for a chosen prime p has solution or not using the law of quadratic reciprocity. In general if $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$, where $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, has a solution then 'a' is said to be a quadratic residue modulo n . Here $n=p$ and $a=D$. To determine whether D is a quadratic residue or not modulo p , the designer computes the Legendre Symbol $\left(\frac{D}{p}\right)$. If $\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = 1$ then D is a quadratic residue and if it is equal to -1 then D is a quadratic non-residue. Calculating the Legendre symbol is not much different from evaluating gcd of two numbers using Euclid's algorithm and can be done by repeated divisions in polynomial time. (Note that $|D|$ is of small value).

The Fermat theorem in this case is given by

$$\alpha^{\bar{\phi} \langle m \rangle} \equiv 1 \pmod{\langle m \rangle}$$

where α is an arbitrary integer in $R(\sqrt{D})$ relatively prime to $\langle m \rangle$.

The encryption and decryption exponents e and d can be calculated using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\bar{\phi} \langle m \rangle}$$

where $\bar{\phi} \langle m \rangle$ is given by (12.25) or (12.26)

The public encryption key is (e, m) and the secret decryption key is (d, m) . Having generated the rational primes p_i , calculated $\bar{\phi} \langle m \rangle$, e and d , the designer needs to obtain m to make it public. That is, given the primes p_1, \dots, p_r in \mathbb{Z} , he needs to calculate m where $m = \Pi_1 \dots \Pi_r$ and Π 's are primes in $R(\sqrt{D})$, and Π_i is of the form $\Pi_i = a_i + b_i \sqrt{D}$ if $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\Pi_i = a_i + b_i \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}\right)$ if $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. To obtain m , he needs to find Π_1, \dots, Π_r , that is, he needs to calculate a_i and b_i for all i , $1 \leq i \leq r$ by solving either

$$N\Pi_i = a_i^2 - b_i^2 D = p_i \text{ or } p_i^2, \text{ if } D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \quad (12.27)$$

or

$$N\Pi_i = a_i^2 + a_i b_i - \frac{D-1}{4} b_i^2 = p_i \text{ or } p_i^2, \text{ if } D \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \quad (12.28)$$

Note that (12.28) can be written as

$$A_i^2 - Db_i^2 = 4p_i \text{ or } 4p_i^2 \quad (12.29)$$

where $A_i = (2a_i + b_i)$

For solving (12.27) or (12.29), the method 4 of Section 12.2.2, which consists of arbitrarily choosing a_i and b_i to form integers Π_i and then checking whether their norms are primes or squares of primes, seems to be the most attractive one for the system designer.

Again it may be advisable for the designer to choose all the primes p_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, to be of the same type, that is, either they all decompose into distinct conjugate prime ideals in $R(\sqrt{D})$ or they are irreducible in $R(\sqrt{D})$. If one of a_i (A_i) or b_i is zero and the other is p_i ($2p_i$), then factorization of m would be made easy as seen in Section 12.2.2.

12.3.3 Security of The System in $R(\sqrt{D})$

The security of the system seems to be the same as that of the RSA system. The opponent needs to find the decrypting exponent d to break the system. One way of finding this is to obtain $\phi\langle m \rangle$. To calculate $\phi\langle m \rangle$, he needs to factorize Nm given the modulus m . If the designer had chosen the rational primes such that congruence $x^2 - D \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$ have a solution for all i , then the norm Nm is given by

$$Nm = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$$

On the other hand, if the primes have been chosen such that $x^2 - D \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$ have no solution for all i , then

$$Nm = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^2$$

As in the case of Gaussian integers, note that the cryptanalyst does not require to find the primes Π_i in $R(\sqrt{D})$ to break the system. Thus unlike the designer, he is not faced with the problem of solving (12.27) or (12.29).

12.3.4 Representation of Messages and System Operation

If the primes p_i are chosen such that $x^2 - D \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$ have solution for all i , then every integer of $R(\sqrt{D})/\langle m \rangle$ is congruent to an element in the range 0 to $N\langle m \rangle - 1$ as in Section 12.2.4. Thus the messages can be represented using integers in \mathbb{Z} in the range 0 to $N\langle m \rangle - 1$. As in Section 12.2.4, the encryption and decryption procedures

can be performed modulo Nm or m , where $Nm \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in R(\sqrt{D})$. The messages can also be represented in the form $x + y\sqrt{D}$ ($D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$) or $x + y\frac{(1+\sqrt{D})}{2}$ ($D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$), $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, using the elementary divisor method given in Section 12.2.4.

If $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then the integral basis of $R(\sqrt{D})$ is $(1, \sqrt{D})$ and the matrix A in Section 12.2.4 is equal to

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ bD & a \end{pmatrix}$$

If $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then the integral basis of $R(\sqrt{D})$ is $(1, \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2})$ and the matrix A is equal to

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \frac{b(D-1)}{4} & a+b \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the method given in Section 12.2.4, the matrix A can be reduced to diagonal form (d_1, d_2) and the modified basis of the ideal $\langle m \rangle$ is obtained as $(d_1 w_1, d_2 w_2)$. The residue classes mod $\langle m \rangle$ are therefore given by $x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2$ where $0 \leq x_1 < d_1$ and $0 \leq x_2 < d_2$. As Nm is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^r p_i$ in this case, the only allowed values for d_1 and d_2 are given by $d_1 = 1$ and $d_2 = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$. The operation modulo $\langle m \rangle$ required in encryption and decryption is performed in the same way as that given in Section 12.2.4.

If the primes p_i are chosen such that $x^2 - D \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$ have no solution for all i , then the norm is a non-square free rational integer and as in Section 12.2.4, one cannot represent the residue classes modulo $\langle \prod_{i=1}^r p_i \rangle$ using the integers $\mathbb{Z}/p_i^2 \mathbb{Z}$. This case corresponds to the situation when $d_1 = d_2 = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$ in the elementary divisor method. The messages are hence represented in the form $x_1 + x_2 \sqrt{D}$ for $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $x_1 + x_2 \frac{(1+\sqrt{D})}{2}$ for $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ where $0 \leq x_1, x_2 < \sqrt{Nm} = d_1 = d_2$. The reduction mod $\langle m \rangle$ is performed by simply reducing each of the components x_1 and x_2 mod \sqrt{Nm} .

Again, the elementary divisors d_1 and d_2 are made public together with the encrypting exponent, e .

12.3.5 Real Quadratic Fields

There are 16 real quadratic fields which obey the Euclid's algorithm with respect to the field norm and hence possess the unique factorization of integers property [65]. They occur when D is equal to

2,3,5,6,7,11,13,17,19,21,29,33,37,41,57 and 73. One major difference between the complex quadratic fields and real quadratic fields is that the latter has infinitely many units (Section 12.3.1). However this does not cause any serious problems provided we choose the message representation within the allowed standard set mod $\langle m \rangle$. This is done in any case, by either using the elementary divisor method or using the standard set of messages modulo Nm . Thus it seems that in this case, there are not any major changes to the trapdoor system described above for the Euclidean complex quadratic fields.

12.4 Discussion

The design of factorization trapdoor systems in some quadratic fields which are principal ideal domains has been considered. However majority of the quadratic fields are non-principal ideal domains and they do not possess the unique factorization of elements property. But the unique factorization of a non-zero ideal into prime ideals still applies in such fields. Factorization trapdoor system seems possible if the chosen ring modulo the ideal is isomorphic to a direct sum of finite fields. Choosing a square free ideal A , not necessarily a principal ideal, in $R(\sqrt{D})$ and let

$$\text{Then } A = \rho_1 \dots \rho_r \text{ where } \rho_i \text{ are prime ideals in } R(\sqrt{D})$$

$$R(\sqrt{D})/A \cong R(\sqrt{D})/\rho_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R(\sqrt{D})/\rho_r$$

where $R(\sqrt{D})/\rho_i$ is a finite field of $N\rho_i$ elements

The order of the group formed by residues relatively prime to A is given by

$$\phi(A) = \prod_{i=1}^r \phi(\rho_i) = \prod_{i=1}^r (N\rho_i - 1) \text{ using (11.1)}$$

The coding exponents e and d can be found using $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(A)}$.

From Lemma 3, every prime ideal divides a rational prime p which is unique [63]. If the rational primes p_i are chosen such that $x^2 - D \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$ have solution for all i , then the residue classes mod ρ_i can be represented using rational integers mod p_i . Hence the messages modulo A can be represented using integers 0 to $N(A)-1$. The elementary divisor method of Section 12.2.4 can also be employed to represent the messages. If the primes p_i are chosen such that $x^2 - D \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$ have no solution

for all i , then both elementary divisors d_1 and d_2 are equal to $\sqrt{N(A)}$.

Let us conclude this chapter by considering a small example which shows some of the calculations involved in the design of a trapdoor system in $R(\sqrt{-5})$, a non-principal ideal domain.

Choosing rational primes $p_1=3$ and $p_2=7$, their decomposition in $R(\sqrt{-5})$ is given by

$$\langle 3 \rangle = (3, 1+\sqrt{-5}) (3, 1-\sqrt{-5}) = \rho_{11} \rho_{12}$$

$$\langle 7 \rangle = (7, 3+\sqrt{-5}) (7, 3-\sqrt{-5}) = \rho_{21} \rho_{22}$$

Let

$$A = \rho_1 \rho_2 = (3, 1+\sqrt{-5}) (7, 3-\sqrt{-5})$$

Then

$$A = (21, 7+7\sqrt{-5}, 9-3\sqrt{-5}, 8+2\sqrt{-5})$$

Any ideal can be represented using a two-element basis over the ring [65]. Using standard rules for transforming the ideal basis [65],

$$A = (21, 4+\sqrt{-5})$$

$$N(A) = 21$$

The integral basis of $R(\sqrt{-5})$ is $(1, \sqrt{-5})$ as $-5 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Representing the ideal A as a \mathbb{Z} -module

$$[21, 21\theta, 4 + \theta, -5 + 4\theta] \quad \text{where } \theta = \sqrt{-5}$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} 21 \\ 21\theta \\ 4+\theta \\ -5+4\theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 21 & 0 \\ 0 & 21 \\ 4 & 1 \\ -5 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Let

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 21 & 0 \\ 0 & 21 \\ 4 & 1 \\ -5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the algorithm given in Section 12.2.4, this matrix is reduced to a diagonal form (d_1, d_2) where $d_1 | d_2$.

$$VBU = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 21 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -5 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and } U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now the messages can be represented as

$$M = x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2 \quad 1 \leq x_i < d_i \quad i=1,2 \quad (12.30)$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

In this case, as $d_1 = 1$, $M = x_2 w_2$, $1 \leq x_2 < d_2$. However if the rational primes are chosen such that they stay as primes in the higher field $R(\sqrt{D})$, then $d_1 = d_2 = \sqrt{N(A)}$ and the messages can be represented using (12.30). $\phi(A) = (Np_1 - 1)(Np_2 - 1) = 12$. One set of coding exponents e and d is $e = 5$, $d = 5$. The messages can be encrypted using a similar procedure to that given in Section 12.2.4 for Gaussian integers, except in this case, the recursive equation is $f(\theta) = \theta^2 + 5 = 0$ instead of $i^2 + 1 = 0$. The elementary divisors d_1 , d_2 , the encrypting exponent e and the matrix U are made public and the decrypting exponent d is kept secret.

CONVENTIONAL CRYPTOSYSTEM WITH PUBLIC KEY DISTRIBUTION

13.1 General

This chapter focusses on the concept of public key distribution (PKD) mentioned in Section 9.6, whereby the 'public key idea' is solely used to transfer a key between two users over an insecure channel. In this case, there is no message as such which gets encrypted at the sending end and decrypted at the receiving end. In such a system, two users who wish to exchange a key communicate back and forth until they arrive at a key in common. The opponent eavesdropping on this exchange must therefore find it computationally infeasible to derive the key from the information overheard. This type of arrangement is used in conjunction with the conventional cryptographic DES interface unit to form a DES/PKD hybrid system. An implementation of such a hybrid system is discussed in Section 13.5.

Diffie and Hellman [35] proposed such a key distribution system based on exponentiation over finite field. This technique briefly described in Section 9.5.3 makes use of the apparent difficulty of computing logarithms over a finite field $GF(q)$ where q is a very large prime number. Each user generates an independent random number x_i chosen uniformly from the set of integers $\{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$, and computes $y_i = a^{x_i} \pmod{q}$ where 'a' is a primitive element of $GF(q)$. The number y_i is made public and the number x_i is kept secret. When users i and j wish to communicate privately, they can use the common key K_{ij} , given by $K_{ij} \equiv a^{x_i x_j} \equiv (y_i)^{x_j} \equiv (y_j)^{x_i} \pmod{q}$.

For the system to be secure, the key K_{ij} must be difficult to compute for anyone who knows y_i and y_j but does not know either x_i or x_j . In order to ensure that this computation is difficult, it is necessary that logarithms over $GF(q)$ be difficult to compute. Otherwise an opponent could compute x_i from y_i and impersonate user i . Some currently known algorithms for calculating the logarithms over finite fields are now briefly looked at.

13.2 Logarithms Over Finite Fields

Knuth's algorithm [45] to compute logarithm over $GF(q)$

requires $2 \lceil q^{\frac{1}{2}} \rceil$ multiplications (mod q) in addition to other operations of comparable complexity. This algorithm requires $2 \lceil q^{\frac{1}{2}} \rceil$ words of memory, each $\lceil \log_2 q \rceil$ bits long. This algorithm can be generalized to allow a time-memory tradeoff in which time is almost proportional to q^r and memory to q^{1-r} for any $0 \leq r \leq 1$. Knuth's algorithm corresponds to the case where $r = \frac{1}{2}$. Allowing arbitrary values of r enables the algorithm to be adjusted to particular time-memory requirements. The algorithm to compute x from $y \equiv a^x \pmod{q}$ works as follows:

Let $m = \lceil q^r \rceil$

Then there exist integers c and d such that

$$x = cm + d \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \leq c < \lceil q/m \rceil \doteq q^{1-r}$$

$$\text{and} \quad 0 \leq d < m \doteq q^r$$

Substituting for x in a^x , gives

$$y \equiv a^{cm+d} \pmod{q}$$

$$\text{ie, } a^d \equiv y a^{-cm} \pmod{q}$$

In order to determine c and d , the values of $a^d \pmod{q}$ are precomputed for $d=0,1,\dots,m-1$ in $O(q^r)$ operations and the results stored in a table in $O(q^r \log_2 q^r)$ operations. Then $y, ya^{-m}, ya^{-2m}, \dots \pmod{q}$ are each computed and compared with the sorted table of $\{a^d\}$ until a match is found. Each value of c tried requires 1 multiplication (mod q) and $\log_2 q^r$ comparisons, thus giving a total of $(1 + \log_2 q^r)$ operations. There are $O(q^{1-r})$ values of c to be tried. When $r=1$, this algorithm is a look up table and when $r=0$, the algorithm reduces to an exhaustive search. Neglecting the logarithmic factors it is seen that the time-memory product is constant (since $q^r \cdot q^{1-r} = q$) as the algorithm ranges between the extremes of a look up table and an exhaustive search.

Pohlig and Hellman [69] proposed an improved algorithm to compute logarithms over $GF(q)$ when the prime q is chosen such that $q-1$ has only small prime factors. On the other hand, it has long been known that a disproportionately large portion of numbers are entirely composed of small prime factors and it is precisely this fact on which Adleman based his very recent discrete logarithm algorithm [70]. Assuming a microsecond per operation machine, this new algorithm could be expected to compromise a system based on a 200-bit prime q in 2.6 days rather than the 3×10^{16} years using the best previously published method due to Shanks [45]. For a large enough prime q , however, Adleman's algorithm is also infeasible. A sketch of this subexponential Adleman's solution to the logarithm problem is now given following [6].

Problem: Given a , y and q (a prime) find x that satisfies $y \equiv a^x \pmod{q}$ (where ' a ' is a primitive element in $GF(q)$ in the PKD system).

The algorithm requires the following preliminary definition: a number α is said to be 'smooth' with respect to a bound BD , if the factorization of α into primes, $\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{e_i}$ is such that all p_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, satisfy $p_i \leq BD$.

Step 1 Find by random sampling (and checking) a positive integer R such that $B \equiv y^R \pmod{q}$, $1 \leq B \leq q-1$ and B is smooth with respect to the bound $BD(q) = e(\ln q \ln \ln q)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\gcd(R, q-1) = 1$.

Step 2 Let $q_1 \dots q_m$ be all the primes $\leq BD(q)$. Find by random sampling (and checking) positive integers R_i for $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that $A_i \equiv a^{R_i} \pmod{q}$ where $1 \leq A_i \leq q-1$ and A_i is smooth with respect to $BD(q)$ and the vectors $\vec{A}_i = (e_{i1}, \dots, e_{im})$, where $A_i = \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{e_{ij}}$, span the m -dimensional module over $Z/(q-1)Z$, the ring of integers modulo $(q-1)$.

Step 3 By Gaussian elimination express $\vec{B} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, where $B = \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{f_j}$, as a linear combination of the \vec{A}_i , namely $\vec{B} = n_1 \vec{A}_1 + n_2 \vec{A}_2 + \dots + n_m \vec{A}_m \pmod{(q-1)}$ where $0 \leq n_i \leq q-2$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Now

$$B = \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{f_j}$$

and

$$\ln B = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j \ln q_j$$

$$\ln B = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^m n_i e_{ij} + k_j(q-1) \right) \ln q_j \quad (13.1)$$

as

$$f_j \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m n_i e_{ij} \pmod{(q-1)}$$

Exponentiating (13.1) gives,

$$B \equiv \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{e_{ij}} \right)^{n_i} \pmod{q}$$

That is,

$$B \equiv \prod_{i=1}^m A_i^{n_i} \pmod{q}$$

Where A_i can be replaced by a^{R_i} .

Step 4 Calculate $R^{-1} \pmod{q-1}$. Then $y = \prod_{i=1}^m a^{n_i R_i R^{-1}} \pmod{q}$

That is, $y \equiv a^{R^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m n_i R_i \right)} \pmod{q}$. That is, $x \equiv R^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m n_i R_i \pmod{q}$

Now briefly consider the execution time of this algorithm.

Let $\psi(x,y)/x = \text{Prob}(z \text{ is smooth with respect to } y/z \leq x)$. Using Erdos's result [6], $\psi(x,y)/x = e^{-(\ln x/\ln y)(\ln \ln x - 1)}$. Thus the expected number of tries needed to obtain a smooth number is approximately $e^{(\ln x/\ln y)(\ln \ln x - 1)}$. This search must be carried out $\delta(y)$ times where $\delta(y)$ is the number of primes less than or equal to y and $\delta(y) \doteq y/\ln y = e^{\ln y - \ln \ln y}$. Thus the total computational effort is approximately $e^{(\ln x/\ln y)(\ln \ln x - 1) + \ln y - \ln \ln y}$ which is approximately $e^{c/d+d}$ with $c = \ln x \ln \ln x$ and $d = \ln y$. But $e^{c/d+d}$ ($c > 0$) is maximized when $d = c^{1/2}$, yielding a computational effort of order $e^{2(\ln x \ln \ln x)^{1/2}}$. A sharper analysis [6] results in an upper bound for the logarithm problem of $e^{(\ln q \ln \ln q)^{1/2}}$ thus removing the factor 2 from the exponent.

System designer's avoidance of q such that $q-1$ has only small prime factors cannot be accomplished by first choosing a prime q such that $e^{(\ln q \ln \ln q)^{1/2}}$ is prohibitively large from the cryptanalyst point of view and then determining the prime power factorization of $q-1$. This is because the most efficient known factorization algorithm due to Schroepell (unpublished) also makes use of the concept of smoothness. It has an expected running time for factoring $q-1$ of $e^{(\ln(q-1) \ln \ln(q-1))^{1/2}}$. One way to overcome this problem is to generate a large random prime number u and let q to be the first prime in the sequence $iu+1$ for $i=2,4,6\dots$ as mentioned in Section 10.2.

13.3 Public Key Distribution in $GF(2^n)$

While it is possible to implement the exponentiation public key distribution system as above, some implementation difficulties can be overcome by considering the exponentiation system in the extension fields $GF(2^n)$.

First consider the exponentiation over $GF(q)$ from a system design point of view. Initially one needs a suitable set of routines to generate and test for large prime numbers. The tests mentioned in Section 10.2 can be used for this purpose. Further it is required that the routine must generate a prime q such that $q-1$ has no small factors. Blakely [71] refers to such primes of the form $q=2p+1$ where p is a prime as 'safe' primes. Then one must choose a primitive element 'a' in $GF(q)$. The number of primitive elements (mod q) is given by the Euler totient function $\phi(q-1)$ and hence the probability that an arbitrarily chosen element 'a' is primitive is given by $\phi(q-1)/q-1$. If q is of the form $4p+1$ where p is a prime then $2^n \pmod{q}$ is a primitive element (mod q) for any n relatively prime to $q-1$ and hence the problem

of determining a primitive element is simplified. Otherwise finding a primitive root of an arbitrary prime q may be more cumbersome requiring the knowledge of the factors of $q-1$. In addition, multiple precision arithmetic is required which is cumbersome and slows down the response time.

To overcome some of these problems associated with the $GF(q)$ implementation, Berkovits [72] proposed to perform the exponentiation in the extension field $GF(2^n)$, instead of $GF(q)$. As this is an extension field of $GF(2)$ all operations are based on modulo 2 arithmetic which is easily implementable using digital logic systems. Addition and subtraction are performed with exclusive-or operation alone, while operations in $GF(q)$ require carry and borrow propagation. Further advantages arise from the choice of n to be a prime so that 2^n-1 is a Mersenne prime. Since there are no subgroups within the multiplicative group of such a field, the logarithmic attack reduces to an exhaustive search. Furthermore, since the order of the multiplicative group is a prime, every element except one is primitive. Thus the selection of 'a' becomes arbitrary. Finally, since all the resulting y 's ($y = a^x$ in $GF(2^p)$) are also primitive, the number of possible keys is maximized.

As seen in Section 10.5, the elements of $GF(p^n)$ can be represented using polynomials of degree less than n whose coefficients are in $GF(p)$. In this system $p=2$ and hence the coefficients are all either 0 or 1. Using the earlier notation, the field $Z/2Z[x]/f(x)$, where $f(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree n over $Z/2Z$, is isomorphic to $GF(2^n)$. Multiplication of two elements in $GF(2^n)$ are programmed as multiplication of two polynomials and the terms in the product with exponent n or higher are reduced modulo the generating irreducible polynomial $f(x)$. Let $f(x)$ be a monic polynomial and is equal to

$$f(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i x^i \quad \text{where } b_i \in \{0,1\}$$

Then

$$x^n \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i x^i \pmod{f(x)}$$

Then the reduction process of a polynomial $P(x)$ modulo $f(x)$ is performed as follows: (cf Section 10.5)

Let

$$P(x) \equiv Q(x) + R(x) x^n$$

where the degree of $Q(x)$ is less than n .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(x) &\equiv Q(x) + R(x) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i x^i \\
 &\equiv Q(x) + \sum_{b_i=1} R(x) x^i \pmod{f(x)}
 \end{aligned}$$

This result may still have terms with exponents equal to n or greater and the process is repeated. The reduction process continues until the result is of degree less than n .

As 2^n-1 is prime, any number 'a' less than 2^n-1 (other than 1) can be used as the base (primitive element in $GF(2^n)$) of the PKD system. The base 'a' can be represented as a vector whose value as a binary number is equal to 'a'. That is, let

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i 2^i \quad \text{where } a_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Let the secret keys of users A and B be X_A and X_B which are integers less than 2^n-1 (except 1). Then,

$$y_A(x) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \right)^{X_A} \pmod{f(x)}$$

where $y_A(x)$ is a polynomial of degree less than n with coefficients over $GF(2)$. $y_A(x)$ can be represented as an integer Y_A in the range $0 < Y_A < 2^n-1$ by calculating the value of the polynomial $y_A(x)$ in binary. Similarly the user B calculates Y_B . Thus the public key and secret key pairs of users A and B are given by (Y_A, X_A) and (Y_B, X_B) respectively. The common key K_{AB} is derived by users A and B using

$$K_{AB} \equiv (y_A(x))^{X_B} \equiv (y_B(x))^{X_A} \pmod{f(x)}$$

The choice of the extension field $GF(2^n)$ depends on the required difficulty of computing logarithms over the field. The particular choice of the Mersenne prime $2^{127}-1$, that is, $n=127$ is very attractive from implementation point of view. In $GF(2^{127})$, manipulation of 127-bit blocks are conveniently performed in most computers which have 8, 16, 32 or 64-bit architectures. A further attraction is that there exists a particularly simple irreducible polynomial, a trinomial, over $GF(2)$ namely $f(x) = x^{127} + x + 1$ [73] which can be used to generate all the elements of $GF(2^{127})$. But with the advent of the subexponential algorithm for computing logarithm over finite fields by Adleman, it is necessary to work in higher extension fields to offer a similar amount of work factor as the DES to break the system. The

next Mersenne prime occurs when $n=521$ and $2^{521}-1$ is a prime. Thus one can work in $GF(2^{521})$ to overcome the attack using Adleman's subexponential logarithm algorithm. In this field, the corresponding irreducible trinomial over $GF(2)$ is given by $f(x) = x^{521} + x^{32} + 1$ [73]. Tore Herlestam [74] has proposed a heuristic method for computing logarithms over $GF(2^p)$ where p is a prime. When 2^p-1 is a Mersenne prime less than or equal to $2^{31}-1$, the method is reported to work in very short running times on a general purpose computer [74]. Although the numerical results obtained so far and the complexity of the problem does not allow to assess the security of the corresponding public key distribution systems with $p=127, 521$ and larger, this may induce doubts that such systems could be considered secure.

Even so, this system, referred to as the Mitre system [75], is probably the most practical of the public key algorithms that have been proposed so far. However there is another attack called the short cycling attack which can be used with any public key system discussed so far. This attack may enable 'backdoor' penetrations, for example, in the Mitre system, a penetrator could use his knowledge of the system parameters namely the system base 'a' and the modulus polynomial $f(x)$ to superencipher intercepted cipher until a cycle occurs. The effectiveness of such an attack on the Mitre system is now considered. This attack is of the same type as the one used by Simmons and Norris [76] against the RSA system.

13.4 Short Cycling Attack

Suppose g is a function of a set S into itself. Then given an x in S , the sequence defined by $x_0=x$ and $x_i=g(x_{i-1})$ is called the path of the element x under the action of g . If S is a finite set, then the path of each element must eventually repeat itself. When g is a one to one map, then repetition begins with $x_k=x_0=x$ for some minimal k . Under these circumstances, the path of x cycles around the same k elements. This circular path is called the orbit of x under g . The orbit of any element not in the orbit of x is completely disjoint from the orbit of x . Thus the set S is partitioned into disjoint orbits and hence the sum of the numbers of elements in the distinct orbits is the cardinality of S which is equal to the size of the largest orbit possible.

Now consider the cycling in the PKD system based on exponentiation in $GF(2^n)$. Let

$P = \{x: x \text{ an integer between } 1 \text{ and } 2^n - 1\}$
 and $C = \{y: y \text{ a non-zero element of } GF(2^n)\}$

Let P denote the set of plaintexts (secret keys) and C the set of ciphertexts (public keys) under the encrypting function E . Let us define another function DEC from C to P as follows: If y is an element of C , it is a polynomial of degree less than n . Writing y as the n -tuple of its coefficients and evaluating that n -tuple as the binary expansion of an integer, the result $DEC(y)$ is obtained. Since both E and DEC are one to one functions, their composition F is a one to one map of the finite set P onto itself. The set P is partitioned into disjoint orbits under the F -map. These orbits are the cycles. A schematic diagram of the mappings is shown in Figure 13.1.




Fig 13.1

The threat of short cycling arises as follows:

Let

$$y_0 \equiv a^{x_0} \pmod{f(x)}$$

Then

$$x_1 \equiv DEC(y_0)$$

$$y_1 \equiv a^{x_1} \pmod{f(x)}$$

$$x_2 \equiv DEC(y_1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_i \equiv a^{x_i} \pmod{f(x)}$$

$$x_{i+1} \equiv \text{DEC}(y_i)$$

Hence at some point r , as the set is finite

$$y_r \equiv a^{x_r} \pmod{f(x)}$$

$$x_{r+1} \equiv \text{DEC}(y_r)$$

$$\equiv x_0$$

and

$$y_{r+1} \equiv a^{x_0} \pmod{f(x)}$$

$$x_1 \equiv \text{DEC}(y_{r+1})$$

When this point is reached, the opponent realizes that the penultimate number of the sequence gives the original secret key x_0 .

This type of short cycling analysis has been carried out in small extension fields using irreducible polynomials of degrees 3 and 7. The primitive polynomials used are $f(x) = x^3+x+1$ in $\text{GF}(2^3)$ and $f(x) = x^7+x+1$ in $\text{GF}(2^7)$ respectively. The system base 'a' is allowed to vary from 2 to 7 and 2 to 127 respectively. Then the cycle lengths are determined for various values of the secret exponent x , using the program CYCLE.FTN given in Appendix 15. The cycle lengths obtained in $\text{GF}(2^7)$ for several values of x are given in Appendix 17 (Section A17.1). The complete set of results shows that in the case of $\text{GF}(2^7)$ with $f(x) = x^7+x+1$, the base $a=38$ (evaluated using $x=2$ in x^5+x^2+x , an element of $\text{GF}(2^7)$) gives the maximum cycle length of 127. [In the case of $\text{GF}(2^3)$ with $f(x)=x^3+x+1$, $a=5$ (x^2+1 in $\text{GF}(2^3)$) gave the maximum cycle length of 7]. For all the other values of the base, the cycle lengths are less than 127. This can be explained by the reasoning that the choice of the system base 'a' partitions the set P into disjoint orbits; in the case of $a=38$ with the primitive polynomial x^7+x+1 , this has created a partition consisting only of the entire set P . Thus every element of P is in the same orbit and hence every value x gives the maximum cycle length with $a=38$. The other choices of 'a' partitioned P into several disjoint orbits with different cycle lengths. Two different exponent values of x having the same cycle length (for instance $a=9$, $x_1=15$, $x_2=32$, cycle length =116) may be due to two or more orbits having the same cardinality or it may be that x_1 and x_2 lie in the same orbit.

These results may indicate that certain bases and certain generating polynomials are superior to others thus giving rise to maximum cycle lengths irrespective of the exponent x . If so, the system parameters should not be chosen at random, for instance, the system base 'a' should not be chosen randomly among all primitive

elements. To determine whether an optimum set of parameters is possible, further analysis is carried out in the extension field $GF(2^7)$.

Considering the mappings shown in Figure 13.1, it is seen that an opponent is not restricted to choosing the function DEC to get back from C to P. Any one to one map of C to P will serve his purpose and the system designer cannot guard against all possible choices of the opponent. Consider for instance, the function $G(y)$ where

$$G(y) = \text{DEC}(b \cdot y)$$

Let b take all non-zero values in the set C. That is, b can be any one of the 127 polynomials of degree less than 7 in $GF(2^7)$. Consider the following algorithm.

1. Choose a system base 'a'.
2. Choose a particular value for 'b' in $G(y) = \text{DEC}(b \cdot y)$
3. Vary the exponent values x (secret keys in PKD) from 2 to 127 and in each case, calculate the corresponding cycle length.
4. Do steps 2 and 3 for 127 values of 'b'.

This algorithm has been implemented using the program RANDCYCLE.FTN given in Appendix 16. Several values for the system base 'a' have been tried; only the results for $a=38$ are given in Appendix 17, Section A17.2. The results show the expected cycle lengths obtained as the secret exponent x varies from 1 to 127 for different values of the polynomial b (evaluated as a binary vector). From the results, it is seen that by varying b in $G(y) = \text{DEC}(b \cdot y)$ the expected cycle length can be changed for a fixed base 'a'. Hence the opponent can obtain a shorter cycle length than the maximum, by appropriately choosing the value of b in $G(y)$ for any system base 'a'. Section A17.2 shows that with $a=38$, even though the expected cycle length is equal to the maximum 127, when $b=1$, with $b=2$, the expected cycle length $\hat{=}$ 38.87, with $b=13$, expected cycle length $\hat{=}$ 27.18, with $b=125$, expected cycle length $\hat{=}$ 32.64 and so on. Hence even if the system designer had chosen the 'best' system base, $a=38$, in his PKD system in $GF(2^7)$, if the opponent chooses $b=13$ in his $G(y) = \text{DEC}(b \cdot y)$ then he only needs to superencipher on average 28 times before obtaining the secret exponent x . The system designer has no control over the opponent choice of 'b'. Thus it seems that there is no best choice for the system base 'a'.

Section A17.3 of Appendix 17 gives the average expected cycle lengths for several values of the system base 'a'. That is, having

selected a system base 'a', the expected cycle lengths are calculated for the 127 non-zero specialized values of the polynomial b. Then the average of these expected cycle lengths is determined. From the results it seems that the average expected cycle length for a chosen system base is around 63.5. Recalling that in the algorithm given above, one has considered only 127 functions of a specialized form for the polynomial 'b', it appears that the average expected cycle length will approach 63.5 if one has averaged over all the 127! possible functions from the set C to the set P. Dr R Odoni has in fact explained using a heuristic argument that the cycle length will be $n/2$ when all the $n!$ functions are taken into account.

Thus once the opponent chooses the function from C to P, that is, the value of b in $G(y)$, then he has actually chosen the order in which he will try elements of P to search for the one that encrypts into cipher y. That is, he has effectively decided on the elements

$$\begin{aligned} G(y_1) &= \text{DEC}(b \cdot y_1) \\ G(y_2) &= \text{DEC}(b \cdot y_2) \\ &\vdots \\ G(y_n) &= \text{DEC}(b \cdot y_n) \end{aligned}$$

The opponent tries each of the elements in the above sequence in turn in the equation $a^{G(y_i)} = y_{i+1}$ to find the one which matches with the given y_1 . For different values of b, the order of the sequence of elements changes and hence the number of elements to be searched to find the match with y_1 changes. The average number of such elements to be tried is about 63.5. This implies that for a randomly chosen system base, the expected cycle length of an arbitrary cycle is about half the number of non-zero field elements. (That is, $(2^{127}-1)/2$ and $(2^{521}-1)/2$ for $GF(2^{127})$ and $GF(2^{521})$ respectively). Thus although the cycling attack will eventually find a solution, the work required appears to be equivalent to a "random" exhaustive key search and hence confirms [77].

In the next section, the implementation of this PKD system in conjunction with the conventional DES system using Apple micro-computers is considered.

13.5 DES/PKD Hybrid System

A hybrid DES/PKD demonstration system has been developed

using the DES interface unit (Chapter 4) and the exponentiation system over $GF(2^{127})$. The system has been experimented using two Apple microcomputers forming a link. Each Apple microcomputer is assumed to be shared by n users (here $n = 5$). Each of these n users can compose and send a message to any other user at the other end in a secure way. This system is primarily intended as a testbed for investigating the problems of developing an application system incorporating DES/PKD techniques on a microprocessor based system.

The DES interface card is used to encrypt messages under one of the three modes namely the ECB, CFB or CBC (Chapter 5). The PKD function performs the basic $GF(2^{127})^*$ exponentiation system using $f(x) = x^{127} + x + 1$ as the modulus irreducible polynomial. This is essentially used to transfer the session keys securely between the two Apple microcomputers over a public telephone network. With 6502 microprocessor running at 1 MHz, an average time of 4 seconds with a worst case of 6 seconds is required to perform the exponentiation in $GF(2^{127})$. The PKD program size is approximately 400 bytes and the listing of the program is given in Appendix 18 (see Section 13.6).

During system operation, the user can communicate with an user at the other end under DES or DES/PKD modes or generate a new secret/public key pair for the PKD system. The system base 'a' and the modulus polynomial $f(x)$ are input once to the program and they are assumed to be fixed. The public key generated is stored in some preallocated memory location depending upon the user ID, n . That is, in this simple system, each terminal stores its own list of public keys. This is sometimes referred to as the local Public Key File (PKF) mode.

A secure connection is established between users i and j through a simple connection protocol sequence. This sequence allows to establish a DES session key and initialization vector

 *As mentioned in Section 13.2, this can be changed to $GF(2^{521})$ with $f(x) = x^{521} + x^{32} + 1$ to overcome Adleman's algorithm.

required for secure communication between the users i and j . It also enables each user to authenticate the other's identity. A new session key and a new initialization vector, derived using the common key K_{ij} , are established for each session between i and j . This sequence is used with the local PKF mode mentioned above.

When user i wishes to establish a secure connection with user j , i sends his user ID(I) along with the ID of user j (J) to the other end. That is,

$$i \rightarrow j : I, J$$

The user j is informed at the receiving end that a communication has been requested by user i and the system asks the user j to input his secret key via the keyboard of the terminal. As soon as user j has entered his 16 character (127-bit) secret key, the system requests user i at the sending end to input his secret key. At this point, users i and j fetch the other user's locally stored public key value from their memories and compute the common key K_{ij} using their own secret keys independently at their respective ends. Then the sending end generates a pseudo-random number, R , and encrypts this number under the ECB mode of DES using the first 64 bits of K_{ij} as the DES key. (K_{ij1}). This cipher is then transmitted to the receiving end. That is,

$$i \rightarrow j : (R)_{K_{ij1}}$$

The receiving end decrypts the cipher using K_{ji1} as the DES key in ECB mode to obtain the pseudo-random number R . Then he modifies the number R by adding 1 to it and encrypts $(R+1)$ under the ECB mode of DES with K_{ji2} and transmits to user i .

That is,

$$j \rightarrow i : (R+1)_{K_{ji2}}$$

This operation is done by user j to prove his identity to user i which requires the knowledge of the common key $K_{ij} = K_{ji}$. The user i at the sending end decrypts the information and tests to see if the message is equal to $R+1$. If this is the case, then the user at the receiving end

must have computed the key $K_{ji} = K_{ij}$ and hence it must be user j as he is the only one who knows the user j 's secret key. Hence user i can start a conversation with user j at the other end. If they do not match, then the user at the receiving end is informed that the conversation cannot begin. This may either be due to some error during the communication or more seriously due to the false identity of user j at the receiving end.

In this simple procedure, the dynamically generated pseudo-random number is used as the session key to be used in the DES algorithm for encrypting data. Note that the number R is never actually transferred over the link between users i and j in its plain form. If the DES algorithm is used in its ECB mode for encrypting data messages no more initialization procedure is required. The users i and j can communicate with each other in a secure manner using the DES system with R as the secret DES key. On the other hand, if the DES is used in either CFB or CBC modes, then the initialization vector needs to be transferred from the sender to the receiver. This is done using the normal procedure explained in Section 5.3.2, by generating another pseudo-random number, encrypting it under the ECB mode using the session key and transmitting it to the receiver.

To authenticate the identity of user i and establish an initialization vector for transfers from j to i , user j generates the pseudo-random number which he then sends to i in encrypted form. Establishment of authentication of user i proceeds in a similar fashion as described above by the modification of the random number by user i . Note that user authentication in such a public key distribution system is based on the possession of the secret key which the user employs to compute the common key for any other user. It is the calculation of the common key that results in user authentication and DES protection.

A set of 10 pairs of public/secret keys used in this demonstration system is given in Figure 13.2. The public keys although they do not have to be kept secret, they still have to be authentic. Otherwise an imposter could manufacture his own public key, claim it belonged to another user and then employ it to impersonate him. Thus public keys have to be public in the broadest sense - not only non-secret, but guaranteed, 'accessible to or shared by all users of the network' [78]. Three possible methods by which the public keys can be distributed in this hybrid DES/PKD system are considered [75].

System Base $a = 1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8\ 1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8$

System Polynomial, $f(x) : x^{127} + x + 1$

User No.	Secret key (Bytes)	Public key (Hexadecimal code)
1	ASDFGHJKLMNBVCXZ	FEDB15A17502A34E13C716788630CD41
2	8765432187654321	9F6AC7C3DD227686F397409CFA53DE96
3	QWERTYUIOPLKJHGF	C82C8F4781B7CC85DEDB99F707DED4D0
4	0PL,MK098IJNBHU7	9052E6C0E3DCAE0326F82DE99FC9EA09
5	1QAZXSW23EDCVFR4	2280521679EBCE31F29227A3194BA2F2
6	5TGB6YHN7UJM8ZA1	18EDF70F67CF4F21158EE37BE2F80725
7	!"#\$%&'()*0*=@+<>	91314E65CF6D5231D258A8BA06D3E3A0
8	1:2"3#4\$5%6&7'8(1287266652187B150338581F6E3B830C
9	AZSXDCVFGVBHJMKL	6190508519F905A1C0AD20A7FF92EBCA
10	W28DF6&N^MTG!RAM	4877538FFE03934F7B8DE10924C0FE94

Fig. 13.2 - Public & Secret Key Pairs in $GF(2^{127})$

13.5.1 Central Public Key File

In this approach, as in Section 9.6, the existence of a Public Key Distribution Centre (PKDC) is envisaged which controls the formation of user connections. The connection protocol sequence may be described as follows. Each user registers his public key with the PKDC and each user knows the public key of the Centre. When a user i wishes to communicate with user j , he first enters his secret key on the terminal. This key can then be combined with the PKDC's public key, which is assumed to be locally stored on the terminal, to form a common key between the user and the PKDC. Then the user can connect with the PKDC, using the common key in his DES based system, to transmit an encrypted request to the PKDC for user j 's public key (or the entire list of public keys). The PKDC decrypts the request and encrypts and transmits the desired public key(s) to the user i . Hence the connection to the PKDC is authentic and private so that an opponent cannot modify the public keys transmitted or impersonate the PKDC without detection. This approach insures that the PKDC controls the access of users to the system and centralizes the dissemination of public keys. Note that a connection to the PKDC is required to obtain

a list of public keys but once the user has stored the public keys, the PKDC is no longer needed unless the user wishes to update his public key list.

13.5.2 Local Public Key File

This method has been used in the simple demonstration system described earlier and is very attractive when only a small number of users are involved. Each user possesses an authentic list of public keys. The distribution of this local directory can be accomplished manually through hardcopy or electronic storage media such as programmable memory PROM. Precautions must be taken to protect the public keys from modification or substitution but not from privacy.

13.5.3 No Public Key File

The third approach assumes a more benign environment in which the opponent is content to passively eavesdrop. If this applies, keys do not need to be stored. To establish a connection, each user generates a new secret key, computes a new public key, exchanges it and then calculates the secret session key. An active opponent however can interpose between the two users, can mirror each half of the scenario and establish an imposter connection with each. This method is mentioned here for completeness sake and it is recommended that it should be avoided in practice.

Thus this arrangement shows that the implementation of the PKD algorithm and its use in a hybrid system using the DES is entirely feasible. The value of combining the protection provided by the conventional cryptosystem with the user authentication attributes of a public key system is most advantageous. The integration of these two methods gives the designer of secure systems flexibility in these areas:

1. The distribution and management of keys; while most conventional cryptosystems require centralized key management and connection establishment, integration of public keys systems with conventional cryptosystems promises centralized control of key management functions with distributed connection establishment.
2. Decentralized user authentication; since a user's identity can be confirmed using public parameters and a single secret parameter known only to the user.
3. Document or file protection; the conventional cryptosystem.

can be used to protect against information release by encryption using document or file key while access to the file key is restricted by the public key system.

13.6 Exponentiation in $GF(2^n)$

In this section two different methods of performing exponentiation in $GF(2^n)$ are considered. These indicate that fast exponentiation in $GF(2^n)$ is possible using dedicated hardware and make the DES/PKD hybrid system described above a practical way of providing both security and authentication.

Both the methods, use the well known 'square and multiply' technique to perform exponentiation in $GF(2^n)$. This technique has been used throughout Chapters 10, 11 and 12 and the flowchart is given in Figure 10.2. But in this case, the operations are performed modulo 2 which makes the implementation easy and reduces the running time of the algorithm. The two methods differ in the way they perform multiplication and squaring in $GF(2^n)$.

13.6.1 Method 1

13.6.1.1 Squaring

Squaring operation in Galois field can be performed very efficiently if the irreducible generator polynomial $f(x)$ is fixed as shown below.

Let the irreducible polynomial be a trinomial, $f(x) = x^n + x + 1$. (In the demonstration hybrid DES/PKD system described in Section 13.5, $f(x) = x^{127} + x + 1$). Let 'a' represent an element of the field $GF(2^n)$ (for example, the system base) and representing 'a' as a binary polynomial

$$a = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} \quad a_i \in GF(2)$$

As $GF(2^n)$ is a field with characteristic 2, the property that

$$(a+b)^2 = a^2 + b^2$$

holds true for the operations in the field. Thus the representation of a^2 is given by

$$a^2 = a_0 + a_1x^2 + a_2x^4 + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{2(n-1)}$$

Now reducing the powers greater than n using the recursive function $x^{n+j} = x^{j+1} + x^j$ for $j \geq 0$, gives

$$a^2 = a_0 + a_{n/2}x + (a_1 + a_{n/2})x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-2} + (a_{n/2} + a_{n-1})x^{n-1}$$

where $n/2$ denotes $\lceil n/2 \rceil$, the least integer greater than $n/2$.

As the characteristic of the field is 2, one can represent a^2 using exclusive-or operator \oplus


$$a^2 = a_0 + a_{n/2} x + (a_1 \oplus a_{n/2}) x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-2} + (a_{n/2} \oplus a_{n-1}) x^{n-1}.$$

This expression can be rewritten as

$$a^2 = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^g \{a_{i+g} x^{2i-1} + (a_i \oplus a_{i+g}) x^{2i}\} \quad (13.2)$$

where $g = n/2 = 1$.

Implementation of the equation (13.2) from the hardware point of view gives



where

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})^2$$

Thus squaring can be very efficiently accomplished using exclusive-or gates alone. Such an implementation in $GF(2^7)$ using 7-bit vector is shown in Figure 13.3.




Fig 13.3-Squaring in $GF(2^7)$

13.6.1.2 Multiplication

The multiplication in $GF(2^n)$ can be performed using the standard canonical basis representation of elements. That is, the elements of $GF(2^n)$ are expressed in terms of a canonical basis for $GF(2^n)$ over $GF(2)$ and the multiplication rule is derived as follows:

Suppose that U and V in $GF(2^n)$ have the representation $(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ and $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ respectively in terms of the canonical basis $(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$ where α is a root of an irreducible polynomial of degree n over $GF(2)$. This means that

$$U = [u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$V = [v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = [1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}] \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence $Z = U \cdot V$

$$= [u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} [1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}] \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Z = [u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & \alpha^{n-1} \\ \alpha & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha^{n-1} & \dots & \alpha^{2n-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (13.3)$$

Now expanding the $n \times n$ matrix in (13.3) as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & \alpha^{n-1} \\ \alpha & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha^{n-1} & \dots & \alpha^{2n-2} \end{bmatrix} = M_0 + M_1 \alpha + M_2 \alpha^2 + \dots + M_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}$$

where M_k is the $GF(2)$ matrix whose entry in row i and column j is the coefficient of α^k when α^{i+j-2} is expanded in the canonical basis $(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$. Hence if (z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}) is the representation of Z we have

$$z_0 + z_1 \alpha + \dots + z_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1} = \underline{u}^t M_0 \underline{v} + \underline{u}^t M_1 \underline{v} \alpha + \dots + \underline{u}^t M_{n-1} \underline{v} \alpha^{n-1}$$

where

$$\underline{u} = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \text{ and } \underline{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}).$$

It now follows from the uniqueness of the representation in terms of a fixed basis that

$$z_k = \underline{u}^t M_k \underline{v} \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (13.4)$$

The right hand side of (13.4) is sometimes referred to as the bilinear form in the vectors \underline{u} and \underline{v} .

To avoid the need to store the matrices M_k in the calculation of the product of two elements, the algorithm given by Berlekamp in [56] has been used.

Let the multiplicand be U and the multiplier be V and assume

that they are stored in two n -bit registers U and V respectively. Let the partial product register be called Z . To perform multiplication, the Z register is initially set to zero. Depending on the lowest bit of the multiplicand v_0 , U is either added or not added into Z (modulo 2 addition). If $v_0 = 1$, then U is added into Z ; if $v_0 = 0$, Z is left unchanged. The V register is then shifted right, the U register is multiplied by α and the process is repeated. Multiplication by α is done according to the recursive equation $\alpha^n = \alpha + 1$. At the m th step, U contains α^m times the original multiplicand, v_0 contains v_m , the m th bit of the original multiplier and Z contains $\sum_{i=0}^m v_i (U \alpha^i)$. After n such steps, the multiplication is complete. Z contains the product of the original U and V registers. The V register has been cycled completely around to its original position but the U register now contains $U \alpha^{n-1}$. A schematic diagram using feedback shift registers is shown in Figure 13.4 for the field $GF(2^7)$ with $f(x) = x^7 + x + 1$ as the generating irreducible polynomial.




Fig 13.4 - Multiplication in $GF(2^7)$

This method of multiplying and squaring elements in $GF(2^n)$ has been used in the software implementation of the PKD algorithm in the hybrid system. With dedicated hardware, the exponentiation algorithm would take approximately 16256 ($=127 \times 128$) clock cycles in the worst case. Hence using 1MHz clock, this gives a worst running time of less than 20 milliseconds compared to 6 seconds when carried out in software using 6502 machine code programming.

13.6.2 Method 2

Here exponentiation is performed in $GF(2^n)$ using a novel

technique based on normal basis representation of elements [79]. This section contains some of the notes communicated by Prof J Massey, ETH, Zurich, in private correspondence. The method has been used to design a hardware exponentiation system in $GF(2^7)$.

13.6.2.1 Multiplication

The multiplication rule given in method 1 can be used with any basis not only with the canonical basis.

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be any basis for $GF(2^n)$ over $GF(2)$ and let $\underline{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$, $\underline{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ and $\underline{Z} = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be the representations of U , V and Z in terms of this basis. It follows that if $Z = UV$, then

$$\underline{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \dots & u_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^2 & \dots & \alpha_1 \alpha_n \\ \alpha_2 \alpha_1 & & \cdot \\ \vdots & & \cdot \\ \alpha_n \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_n^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (13.5)$$

The matrix in (13.5) can be expanded as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^2 & \dots & \alpha_1 \alpha_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_n \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_n^2 \end{bmatrix} = M_1 \alpha_1 + M_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + M_n \alpha_n$$

where M_k is the $GF(2)$ matrix whose entry in row i and column j is the coefficient of α_k when $\alpha_i \alpha_j$ is expanded in the basis $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$.

It follows that

$$z_k = \underline{u}^t M_k \underline{v} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

In particular let us now consider a special basis called the normal basis.

Suppose that E is an extension of the field F and E is a vector space of dimension n over F . Then $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ is said to be a normal basis for E over F if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are a basis for E over F and are also roots of the same irreducible polynomial in $F[x]$. For $F = GF(2)$ and $E = GF(2^n)$, this is equivalent to saying that for some element α of $GF(2^m)$, $\alpha_i = \alpha^{2^{i-1}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are linearly independent over $GF(2)$. Now letting $[u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]$ be the representation of $U \in GF(2^n)$ in terms of the normal basis $\alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{2^{n-1}}$, then

$$U = u_0 \alpha + u_1 \alpha^2 + \dots + u_{n-2} \alpha^{2^{n-2}} + u_{n-1} \alpha^{2^{n-1}}$$

so that

$$U^2 = u_0 \alpha^2 + u_1 \alpha^4 + \dots + u_{n-2} \alpha^{2^{n-1}} + u_{n-1} \alpha$$

where the identity $u^2 \equiv u$ for all $u \in GF(2)$ and the fact that cross-term products vanish when raising to the power 2 in $GF(2)$ have been used. Thus it is seen that squaring an element U in $GF(2^n)$ merely consists of a right cyclic shift of its representation in terms of a normal basis for $GF(2^n)$ over $GF(2)$. In a general extension field $GF(q^n)$ where q is a prime, raising to the q th power an element of $GF(q^n)$ corresponds to a right cyclical shift of its representation in terms of a normal basis for $GF(q^n)$ over $GF(q)$. Thus the implementation of squaring in 'multiply and square' technique exponentiation is made very simple using normal basis.

Now letting $\underline{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_{n-1})$, $\underline{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ and $\underline{z} = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ be the normal basis representation of U , V and Z respectively and $Z = U.V$, then

$$z_{n-1} = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})^t M (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) \quad (13.6)$$

where M is the $GF(2)$ matrix whose entry in row i and column j is the coefficient of $\alpha^{2^{i-1}}$ when $\alpha^{2^{i-1}} \alpha^{2^{j-1}} = \alpha^{2^{i+j-2}}$ is expanded in the normal basis $\alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{2^{n-1}}$. But $Z^2 = U^2 V^2$ and the elements U^2, V^2 and Z^2 have the normal basis representations $(u_{n-1}, u_0, \dots, u_{n-2})$, $(v_{n-1}, v_0, \dots, v_{n-2})$ and $(z_{n-1}, z_0, \dots, z_{n-2})$ respectively. Thus it follows that for the same matrix M as in (13.6)

$$z_{n-2} = (u_{n-1}, u_0, \dots, u_{n-2})^t M (v_{n-1}, v_0, \dots, v_{n-2})$$

and in general that, for this same $GF(2)$ matrix M

$$z_k = (u_{k+1}, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_0, \dots, u_k)^t M (v_{k+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_0, \dots, v_k)$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Thus when a normal basis representation of $GF(2^n)$ over $GF(2)$ is employed, each digit in the product is given by the same bilinear form with appropriate cyclic shifting of the representations of the factors. This property is the key to construction of simple multipliers for finite fields.

In the PKD system, it is necessary to implement the equation (13.6) to perform multiplication in $GF(2^n)$. The expression (13.6) can be written as

$$z_{n-1} = u_{n-2} v_{n-2} + (u_0, \dots, u_{n-1})^t \Lambda (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) \quad (13.7)$$

where the binary matrix Λ is symmetric with an all zero main diagonal.

This can be seen as follows:

Writing m_{ij} for the entries in M ,

$$z_{n-1} = \sum_i \sum_j u_i v_j m_{ij}$$

Suppose $u_k = v_k = 1$ and $u_s = v_s = 0$ for $s \neq k$. Then $z_{n-1} = m_{kk}$. But for this case $U = V = \alpha^{2^k}$ which implies that $Z = UV = \alpha^{2^{k+1}}$. Thus $z_{n-1} = 1$ if and only if $k = n-2$. Hence $m_{kk} = 1$ if and only if $k = n-2$, so that the next to last entry on the main diagonal of M is the only one which is non-zero.

Because of its symmetry and zero diagonal, the $n \times n$ matrix Λ can be written as

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ \vdots & T & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \\ & T^t & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where T is the binary upper triangular $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix.

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} t_{01} & t_{02} & \dots & t_{0,n-1} \\ 0 & t_{12} & & t_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & t_{n-2,n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} z_{n-1} = u_{n-2} v_{n-2} &+ (u_0, \dots, u_{n-2})^t T (v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) \\ &+ [T(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})]^t (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}) \end{aligned} \quad (13.8)$$

Using (13.8) one particular implementation of the multiplication function is shown in Figure 13.5. The boxes labelled T contain Exclusive-or gates only. Each component of the output is that sum of input bits corresponding to the locations of the '1's in the corresponding row of the matrix T . The outputs of this box are then And-ed with the appropriate components of the other factor. The outputs of these $n-1$ And gates are then summed by a tree of $n-2$ Exclusive-or gates. The outputs of the two Exclusive-or trees are summed and added to the term $u_{n-2} v_{n-2}$. Alternatively, the two Exclusive-or trees and the Exclusive-or gate that combines their outputs can be replaced by a single Exclusive-or tree with $2n-2$ gates having $2n-1$ inputs. The circuit shown in Figure 13.5 computes z_{n-1} . If U and V are shifted as shown in the diagram, the same circuit will compute $z_{n-2}, z_{n-3}, \dots, z_1, z_0$ during the




Fig. 13.5 - Multiplier Configuration using T-matrix

next $n-1$ subsequent shifts.

A different implementation of the multiplication function is based on the alternating property of Λ .

Let B denote any $n \times n$ matrix in any field F and let \underline{u} and \underline{v} be any vectors in F^n . Then the bilinear form $\underline{u}^t B \underline{v}$ defined by B is said to be alternating if it vanishes whenever $\underline{u} = \underline{v}$, that is, if $\underline{u}^t B \underline{u} = 0$ for all \underline{u} in F^n . Two $n \times n$ matrices over F , B and D , are said to be congruent if there is an invertible matrix P such that

$$B = P^t D P$$

Then

$$\underline{u}^t B \underline{v} = (P\underline{u})^t D (P\underline{v})$$

Thus the bilinear form $\underline{u}^t B \underline{v}$ can be evaluated using the matrix D if the basis is changed by the transformation, P^{-1} . When D has only a small number of non-zero entries, the evaluation of $(P\underline{u})^t D (P\underline{v})$ requires only a small number of multiplications and additions beyond those needed to form $P\underline{u}$ and $P\underline{v}$.

In [48], a binary matrix B which is symmetric and has an all zero main diagonal is shown to be alternating. Thus the matrix Λ which defines the normal basis multiplier is alternating. The rank of the matrix Λ is n when n is even and is $n-1$ when n is odd. The matrix Λ can be reduced to a diagonal matrix D using the elementary divisor method given in Section 12.2.4. Thus for the $n \times n$ matrix Λ , there exists elementary matrices E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m (for some m) such that

$$E_m \dots E_1 \Lambda E_1^t \dots E_m^t = D$$

where D is a diagonal matrix with n non-zero entries when n is even or $n-1$ non-zero entries when n is odd. Thus

$$P^t = E_m \dots E_1$$

The number of '1's present in the P -matrix is indicative of the number of Exclusive-or gates required to implement this matrix. Hence using this P -box, one can implement the multiplier function as

$$z_{n-1} = u_{n-2} v_{n-2} + (P\underline{u})^t D (P\underline{v})$$

This configuration is shown in Figure 13.6. The connections in the P -box are determined by the P -matrix and the connections to the And gates are determined by the D -matrix. Subsequent shifts of \underline{u} and \underline{v} vectors will produce z_{n-2}, \dots, z_0 .

As a final method of implementing the multiplier function $z_{n-1} = \underline{u}^t M \underline{v}$, the 'brute-force' approach is considered. This approach consists of first forming the vector $M\underline{v}$ and then And-ing




Fig. 13.6 - Multiplier Configuration using P matrix

each of its components with the corresponding component of u , then finally summing the outputs of the n And gates. This approach requires n And gates and $n-1$ Exclusive-or gates in addition to the Exclusive-or gates needed to form M_y . Compared to the previous two methods, this one lacks the 'modularity' - several sub-circuits of the same kind.

13.7 Hardware Design of An Exponentiator in $GF(2^7)$

A hardware exponentiation system in $GF(2^7)$ has been designed using the second method which involves the normal basis representation. To begin with, a program is written to search for a generator of a normal basis in $GF(2^7)$. This consists of finding seven conjugates in $GF(2^7)$ which are linearly independent over $GF(2)$. A complete listing of the program is given in Appendix 19. The steps involved in this algorithm are given as follows:

1. Choose an element $\alpha \in GF(2^n)$
2. Compute $\alpha_i = \alpha^{2^{i-1}}$ for $i=1, \dots, n$ in $GF(2^n)$ using the irreducible polynomial $f(x) = x^n + x + 1$.
3. Form a $n \times n$ matrix using the n -bit vectors $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$.
4. Calculate the determinant of the matrix over $GF(2)$.
5. If determinant $\equiv 0$ in $GF(2)$, Go to step 1.
6. If determinant $\not\equiv 0$ in $GF(2)$, then α generates a normal basis.

In this case $n=7$. Initially, it is decided to look at the elements α of the form $\alpha = x^a + 1$ for $1 \leq a \leq n-1$. One such generator α is found to be $\alpha_1 = x^3 + 1$. Then the powers $\alpha_i = \alpha^{2^{i-1}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ modulo $(x^7 + x + 1)$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= x^3 + 1, \alpha_2 = x^6 + 1, \alpha_3 = x^6 + x^5 + 1, \alpha_4 = x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + 1, \\ \alpha_5 &= x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1, \alpha_6 = x^6 + x^3 + x + 1 \text{ and } \alpha_7 = x^5 + x^2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The symmetric matrix of the bilinear form is then given by

x^6+1	x^6+x^2+1	x^6+x^5+x+1	$x^5+x^4+x^3$	x^6+x	$x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1$	x^3+x+1
*	x^6+x^5+1	$x^6+x^5+x^4+1$	$x^6+x^5+x^4+x^3+x^2+1$	$x^6+x^4+x^3+x^2+x$	$x^6+x^5+x^2$	x^6+x^4+x+1
*	*	$x^6+x^5+x^4+x^3+1$	$x^6+x^5+x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1$	$x^5+x^3+x^2+x+1$	x^5+x^4+x	$x^6+x^5+x^3$
*	*	*	$x^5+x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1$	x^5+x^3+x+1	$x^6+x^3+x^2+1$	x^4+x^3+x
*	*	*	*	x^6+x^3+x+1	$x^6+x^4+x^3+x^2+1$	x^5+x^4+1
*	*	*	*	*	x^5+x^2+1	$x^5+x^4+x^2+x+1$
*	*	*	*	*	*	x^3+1

* - indicates symmetry

Rewriting the above matrix in terms of the normal basis, gives

a_2	$a_4+a_5+a_6+a_1+a_2$	$a_5+a_7+a_4$	a_4+a_2	a_1+a_6	$a_2+a_3+a_5$	$a_1+a_3+a_4+a_5+a_7$
*	a_3	$a_2+a_3+a_5+a_6+a_7$	$a_1+a_6+a_5$	a_5+a_3	a_2+a_7	$a_3+a_4+a_6$
*	*	a_4	$a_1+a_3+a_4+a_6+a_7$	$a_2+a_6+a_7$	a_4+a_6	a_1+a_3
*	*	*	a_5	$a_1+a_2+a_4+a_5+a_7$	$a_1+a_3+a_7$	a_5+a_7
*	*	*	*	a_6	$a_1+a_2+a_3+a_5+a_6$	$a_1+a_2+a_4$
*	*	*	*	*	a_7	$a_2+a_6+a_3+a_4+a_7$
*	*	*	*	*	*	a_1

* - indicates symmetry

Expanding the above matrix in terms of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_7 , yields

$$M = M_1 a_1 + M_2 a_2 + M_3 a_3 + M_4 a_4 + M_5 a_5 + M_6 a_6 + M_7 a_7$$

where

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$


and

M_2 is obtained by rotating the rows of M_1 downward by 1 position, and then rotating the columns right by 1 position. Similar operations on M_2 yield M_3 and so on. In the implementation of the multiplier function

$M = M_7$ is used. The T-matrix is therefore given by


$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The T-box can therefore be realized as



Note that one of the six outputs is not used and is identically equal to 0. The And gate is therefore unnecessary that is fed by this 0 output. Thus the complete circuit requires 2 T-boxes with 5 Exclusive-or gates each, 2 sets of 5 And gates operating on their outputs, 2 Exclusive-or trees with 4 Exclusive-or gates each and 2 additional Exclusive-or gates and 1 And gate for producing the final output. The total gate count for the multiplier function is equal to 20 Exclusive-or gates and 11 And gates.

Using the brute force method the multiplier function can be implemented as follows:



The number of gates required using this approach is equal to 18 Exclusive-or gates and 7 And gates. It appears to give the minimum number of gates of the three realizations; but the realization using the T-matrix or the P-matrix is preferable for large extension fields because then the circuit is composed of two identical subcircuits, that is, a modular design is achieved.

The circuit diagram of the designed complete exponentiation system in $GF(2^7)$ is given in Appendix 20. Here the multiplier function has been implemented using the 'brute-force' approach.

As the number of '1's present in the M-matrix give an indication of the number of Exclusive-or gates required to implement the multiplier function, to minimise the number of gates, one needs to reduce the number of '1's in the M-matrix to a minimum. In an effort to obtain such an optimum M-matrix, various normal basis generators α with four different irreducible polynomials are tried in $GF(2^7)$ and the number of '1's in their corresponding M-matrices are calculated. The results are given in Figure 13.7. From the results it is seen that

- the difference between the minimum and the maximum number of '1's for the tried cases is not large, that is, the variance does not appear to be high.

- The average number of '1's in the M-matrix is approximately equal to 23 which is roughly equal to $n^2/2 = \frac{49}{2} \doteq 24$.

This may imply that for a randomly chosen normal basis generator and an irreducible polynomial, the number of '1's is approximately equal to half the entries in the M-matrix. It appears that the random choice of normal basis generators and different irreducible polynomials does not seem to yield any substantial reduction in the number of '1's in the M-matrix. The above claim should be read with caution as this is based on a small number of trials in a small extension field $GF(2^7)$.

13.8 Normal Basis Generators in $GF(2^{127})$

From cryptography point of view, one is interested in large extension fields namely $GF(2^{127})$ or $GF(2^{521})$. The next step is therefore to determine the generators of normal basis in these extension fields which can then be used in the PKD exponentiation system.

Albert [48] proved that if F is a subfield of E and E is normal over F , then E has a normal basis over F . Thus, $GF(2^n)$ has a normal basis over $GF(2)$ for all n . Although the theorem by Albert establishes the existence of a normal basis for any field $GF(2^n)$, it does not give any help to determine the generators of normal basis in practice. As no systematic method for finding these generators was apparently evident, it is decided to resort to the trial and error procedure using the algorithm given in Section 13.7. However, let us first consider the probability of finding a normal basis using this random search procedure.

Irreducible polynomial of degree 7 over GF(2)	Normal Basis Generator	No. of '1's in the M-matrix	
X^7+X+1	X^3+1	21	(1) [†]
X^7+x+1	X^5+1	27	(2)
X^7+X+1	X^3+1	25	(3)
X^7+X+1	X^5+X+1	27	(4)
X^7+X+1	X^6+X+1	19	(5)
X^7+X+1	X^6+X^2+1	25	(6)
$X^7+X^6+X^5+X^6+X^2+X+1$	X^4+X+1	21	(7)
X^7+X+1	X^6+1	21	(1)
X^7+X+1	X^5X^2+1	21	(1)
X^7+X+1	X^3+X^2+1	21	(7)
$X^7+X^6+X^5+X^4+X^2+X+1$	X^2+X+1	19	(5)
	X^6+X+1	27	(4)
	X	27	(2)
	X^2	27	(2)
	X^4	27	(2)
	X^3	19	(5)
	X^6	19	(5)
	X^5	27	(4)
	$X+1$	27	(2)
	X^2+1	27	(2)
$X^7+X^3+X^2+X+1$	X^3+1	25	(3)
	X^4+1	27	(2)
	X^6+1	25	(3)
	X^2+X+1	19	(5)
	X^3+X+1	25	(3)
	X^4+X+1	21	(7)
	X^6+X+1	19	(5)

† Same numbers in brackets indicate same M-matrix

Fig. 13.7 - Table showing some normal basis generators in GF(2⁷) and the number of '1's in the corresponding M-matrices

From [56, Theorem 11.39], the number of elements in $GF(q^m)$ which have m linearly independent conjugates over $GF(q)$ is given by

$$q^m \prod_k (1 - q^{-d_k})$$

where the d_k are the degrees of the distinct irreducible factors of $x^m - 1$ over $GF(q)$.

For the extension field $GF(2^{127})$

$$x^{127} - 1 = \prod_{d|127} Q^{(d)}(x)$$

where $Q^{(d)}(x)$ denotes the cyclotomic polynomial.

That is,

$x^{127} - 1 = Q^{(1)}(x) Q^{(127)}(x)$
 $Q^{(1)}(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree 1. The degrees of the irreducible factors of $Q^{(127)}(x)$ are determined using the following result [56] :

Since every element of order n has the same number of conjugates with respect to $GF(2)$, every irreducible factor of the cyclotomic polynomial $Q^{(n)}(x)$ has the same degree over $GF(2)$. This degree is the multiplicative order of 2 modulo n .

For the case $n = 127$, the multiplicative order of 2 modulo 127 is 7 as $2^7 \equiv 1 \pmod{127}$. Thus every irreducible factor of $Q^{(127)}(x)$ has degree 7 over $GF(2)$. Hence the probability of finding a normal basis in $GF(2^{127}) = (1 - \frac{1}{2})(1 - \frac{1}{2^7})^{18} \doteq 0.434$.

The program FINDNORBAS.F77 in Appendix 19 is used to determine a normal basis generator in $GF(2^{127})$ using the random search algorithm. Initially it is again decided to consider the elements of the form $\alpha = x^a + 1$ for $1 \leq a \leq 126$. The operations are performed modulo the irreducible polynomial $x^{127} + x + 1$. The first such generator of normal basis found in $GF(2^{127})$ is $\alpha = x^{119} + 1$. In $GF(2^{521})$, with irreducible polynomial $x^{521} + x^{32} + 1$, the first generator of the form $x^a + 1$ is found to be $\alpha = x + 1$.

The M-matrix and the T-matrix required for the implementation of the multiplier function are also determined, using programs M-MATRIX.F77 and T-MATRIX.F77. The listing of these two programs are given in Appendices 21 and 22. The number of Exclusive-or gates needed to implement the multiplier function using the T-matrix approach is calculated using the program EXORNO.F77 (Appendix 23). Without employing any optimization techniques, the T-matrix required 3794 Exclusive-or gates. Thus a rough estimate of the total number of

Exclusive-or and And gates required to implement the multiplier and hence the basic exponentiation function in $GF(2^{127})$ is about $2 \times 3794 + 2(125) + 2 = 7840$ Exclusive-or gates and $2(126) + 1 = 253$ And gates. This can be conveniently manufactured using very large scale integration (VLSI) techniques.

13.9 Extension of Diffie-Hellman System to Matrix Rings

This chapter on public key distribution system is concluded by presenting an extension of the Diffie-Hellman system to matrix rings.

As the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices over a finite field contains nilpotent elements when $n > 1$ (Section 10.4), again the group formed by only the non-singular matrices of order n , M_n , is considered. In particular, the group of non-singular matrices over Z/pZ where p is a prime is considered. To form a public key distribution system, it is required to choose an element $A \in M_n(Z/pZ)$ where p is a very large prime such that

$$A^r \equiv I \pmod{p}$$

where r is the order of A , the base matrix.

The base matrix A , the prime p and the order r are to be made public. Each user chooses a secret random number x_i less than r and generates a public matrix C_i where

$$C_i \equiv A^{x_i} \pmod{p}$$

Two users can arrive at the common key in the same way as in the Diffie-Hellman system. For instance, if user 1 wishes to initiate an interchange of secret information with user 2, he extracts the public matrix C_2 of user 2 and computes $C_2^{x_1} \pmod{p}$. Similarly user 2 computes $C_1^{x_2} \pmod{p}$ and the process yields the common key K where

$$K = K_{12} = K_{21} \equiv C_1^{x_2} \pmod{p} \equiv C_2^{x_1} \pmod{p} \equiv A^{x_1 x_2} \pmod{p}$$

With the Diffie-Hellman system operated in Z , the maximum number of secret keys possible is limited to $p-1$ whereas with this extended system it depends on the order of the base matrix, r . The larger the value of r , greater the number of users that the system can support. Again the security of this system is dependent on the difficulty of computing logarithms modulo p .

13.9.1 Design of Base Matrix

The system designer needs to construct a base matrix A in M_n

$(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and determine its order r . One method of construction of A with a given order is outlined below.

Consider an irreducible polynomial $f(x)$ of degree m for which λ is a root where $\lambda \in F_q$ and $q = p^m$.

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_{m-1} x^{m-1} \quad a_i \in F_p$$

Regarding F_q as a m -dimensional vector space over F_p with basis $(1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{m-1})$, let T represent the following linear transformation on F_q .

$$T : x \mapsto \lambda x.$$

Under T , then $1 \mapsto \lambda, \lambda \mapsto \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^m \mapsto -a_{m-1} \lambda^{m-1} - \dots - a_0$.

Hence the matrix representation of the linear transformation T relative to the basis $(1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{m-1})$ is given by the companion matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -a_{m-1} & -a_{m-2} & -a_{m-3} & \dots & -a_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Linear independence of $I, T, T^2, \dots, T^{m-1}$ implies that $I, B, B^2, \dots, B^{m-1}$ are linearly independent. Since $f(x) = 0$, we have $f(B) = 0$. But $f(x)$ has degree m and so the linear independence implies that $f(x)$ is the minimum function of B .

Hence the order of the matrix B is equal to $p^m - 1$ and

$$B^{p^m - 1} \equiv I \pmod{p}$$

Thus the system designer can choose irreducible polynomials of degrees m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (see Section 10.5.2.1) and form the composite matrix B as shown below:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & & & \\ & B_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & B_s \end{bmatrix}$$

where the order of B_i is equal to $p^{m_i} - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. The order of the matrix B is then given by the expression

$$\text{lcm} \{ (p^{m_1} - 1), (p^{m_2} - 1), \dots, (p^{m_s} - 1) \}$$

The matrix A to be used in the public key distribution can then be obtained by conjugating B with an arbitrary non-singular matrix Y belonging to $M_n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. That is,

$$A = Y B Y^{-1}$$

The order of A is the same as that of B and they are of dimension n where n is given by:

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i$$

This above method has been implemented on the Prime computer system and the program used is given in Appendix 25. A small example of such a public key distribution system is considered below:

13.9.2 Example

Let $p = 5$

Let $f_1(x) = x^2 + x + 1$ where $f_1(x)$ is irreducible over $Z/5Z$.

The matrix B_1 is therefore given by

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{5}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{5^2-1} \equiv I \pmod{5}$$

Let $f_2(x) = x^3 + 3x^2 + x + 2$ which is irreducible over $Z/5Z$.

Hence the matrix B_2 is given by

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{5}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{5^3-1} \equiv I \pmod{5}$$

Now it is necessary to choose Y and Y^{-1} such that

$$Y \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} Y^{-1} = A \text{ where } A \in M_n(Z/5Z)$$

Let Y be an arbitrary 5×5 non-singular matrix given below:

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The determinant of Y is calculated using program DETMOD.F77 (Appendix 12) and is equal to 4 (mod 5), hence Y is non-singular. The inverse of Y is calculated using program INVMOD.F77 (Appendix 24) and is given by

$$Y^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and hence

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The order of A is equal to $\text{lcm} \{ (5^2-1)(5^3-1) \} = 744$ and $A^{744} \equiv I \pmod{5}$, verified using program MATEXP.FIN (Appendix 13). Hence the key space is $2 \leq x \leq 743$ where $A^x \equiv C \pmod{5}$ compared to the key space $2 \leq x \leq 4$ in the Diffie-Hellman system with $p = 5$.

13.9.3 Use of Upper Triangular Matrices Over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$

On the other hand, the ring of upper triangular matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (p prime) can also be used. If the base matrix A is chosen from this ring, then the maximum order of such a matrix is equal to $p(p-1)$ which can be obtained by having non-zero elements along the main super-diagonal. This can be shown as follows.

Partitioning A into a diagonal matrix D and an upper triangular nilpotent matrix U, that is, $A = U + D$, then it is seen that D, U, U.D and U^2 are also upper triangular nilpotent matrices. In Section 10.4.4, it is shown that

$$(D + U)^{\phi(p)} \equiv (I + U_{\phi}) \pmod{p} \text{ where } \phi \text{ is the Euler totient function}$$

and U_{ϕ} is some upper triangular nilpotent matrix.

$$\text{and } (I + U_{\phi})^{p^t} \equiv I \text{ for some } t$$

If p is assumed to be greater than $n-1$ (which is valid in a PKD system as the prime p is very large); then $t = 1$. Thus the order of A is $p\phi(p) = p(p-1)$. Hence in this case the key space for x is $2 \leq x \leq p(p-1)$.

PERMUTATION POLYNOMIALS IN THE DESIGN OF PUBLIC KEY SYSTEMS

14.1 General

The fact that permutation polynomials can be used in the construction of cryptographic systems of a general mathematical nature should not be surprising since they determine the permutation of elements of the set, which is the essential basis of a cipher system. The RSA permutation polynomials and some others which may be used in the design of public key systems are investigated.

A polynomial $f(x)$ with coefficients in a finite field F_q is called a permutation polynomial if the numbers $f(a)$ where $a \in F_q$ are a permutation of the a 's. An equivalent statement is that the equation

$$f(x) = a$$

is solvable in F_q for every 'a' in F_q and that the equation has a unique solution in F_q for each $a \in F_q$ [80].

14.2 Polynomial $y \equiv x^n \pmod{m}$

First consider the 'famous' power polynomial $y = x^n$ which has been used in the RSA public key cryptosystem and the Diffie-Hellman public key distribution system.

Lemma 1

The polynomial

$$y \equiv x^n \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \text{ where } p \text{ is a prime}$$

represents a permutation if and only if n is prime to $p-1$.

Let d be the greatest common divisor of n and $p-1$. Then letting $y \equiv x^n \pmod{p}$ and raising y to the power $(p-1)/d$, gives,

$$(x^{n/d})^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\text{ie } y^{(p-1)/d} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

The above congruence has $(p-1)/d$ roots in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and each root is a n th power in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. If $d = 1$, that is, n is relatively prime to $p-1$, then there exists only one n th root of each element in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and hence $y \equiv x^n$ is a permutation modulo p .

Now the above argument can be extended to the case where $y = x^n$ is a permutation in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ where $m = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$ and the p_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, are distinct primes and n is a positive integer such that $\gcd(n, (p_i-1)) = 1$.

$$(p_2-1)\dots(p_r-1)=1.$$

To prove that $y \equiv x^n \pmod{m}$ is a permutation one needs to show that $x^n \equiv y^n \pmod{m}$ implies that $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$. Following [81], the proof is considered in three steps.

- (i) x and y are relatively prime to m .
- (ii) $x = ap_i$ and $y = bp_i$ for $1 \leq a, b \leq m/p_i$
- (iii) $x = ap_i$ and $y = bp_j$ for $i \neq j$, $1 \leq a \leq m/p_i$, $1 \leq b \leq m/p_j$

(i) The proof is by induction on r . From Lemma 1, if $r=1$, then $y \equiv x^n$ is a permutation as $\gcd(n, p_1-1) = 1$. Assume it holds for $r \leq k-1$. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_{\phi(m)}\}$ be a complete reduced residue system mod m , that is, $\gcd(a_i, m) = 1$. If $a_i^n \equiv a_j^n \pmod{m}$, then $(a_i/a_j)^n \equiv x^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ and hence $x^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. The $\gcd(n, p_i-1) = 1$ and Lemma 1 imply that $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$. But then $x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Thus $\{a_1^n, \dots, a_{\phi(m)}^n\}$ are distinct mod m .

(ii) Now suppose $a^n p_i^n \equiv b^n p_i^n \pmod{m}$ where $1 \leq a, b \leq m/p_i$. Then $a^n p_i^{n-1} \equiv b^n p_i^{n-1} \pmod{m/p_i}$ and so $a^n \equiv b^n \pmod{m/p_i}$ since p_i is invertible mod m/p_i . By induction hypothesis, $a = b$. Consequently, $\{a^n p_i^n\}$, $1 \leq a \leq m/p_i$ are distinct mod m . Moreover for any i , the sets $\{a^n p_i^n\}$, $1 \leq a \leq m/p_i$ and $\{a_1^n, \dots, a_{\phi(m)}^n\}$ are distinct since $a_j^n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$, $1 \leq j \leq \phi(m)$.

(iii) If $a^n p_i^n \equiv b^n p_j^n \pmod{m}$ where $1 \leq a \leq m/p_i$, $1 \leq b \leq m/p_j$, $i \neq j$, then $p_i | b$, $p_j | a$. Let $a = p_j \bar{a}$ and $b = p_i \bar{b}$, then

$$\bar{a}^n (p_i p_j)^{n-1} \equiv \bar{b}^n (p_i p_j)^{n-1} \pmod{\frac{m}{p_i p_j}}$$

and

$$p_i p_j \text{ invertible mod } \frac{m}{p_i p_j} \text{ implies that } \bar{a}^n \equiv \bar{b}^n \pmod{\frac{m}{p_i p_j}}$$

By the induction hypothesis and by $1 \leq \bar{a}, \bar{b} \leq m/p_i p_j$, $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$ and so $ap_i = bp_j$. The only intersection of the sets $\{ap_k^n\}$ $1 \leq a \leq m/p_k$, $k = i, j$ have then, is at the common multiples of $p_i p_j$.

Suppose now that $x^n \equiv y^n \pmod{m}$ with $x \not\equiv y \pmod{m}$. From the first case, neither x nor y can be relatively prime to m . But then $x = ap_i$, $y = bp_j$, for some i, j with $1 \leq i, j \leq r$. Again by the above, this implies $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$. Thus $\{x^n\}$ $1 \leq x \leq m$ are distinct mod m . This completes the induction.

Conversely, if the proposition is not true, then there is at least one i such that $\gcd(n, p_i-1) \neq 1$ and hence x^n does not yield a permutation mod p_i . If $x \not\equiv y \pmod{p_i}$ and $x^n \equiv y^n \pmod{p_i}$, then

for $z = m/p_i$, $xz \not\equiv yz \pmod{m}$ and $(xz)^n \equiv (yz)^n \pmod{m}$. So x^n is not a permutation \pmod{m} .

The above paragraphs thus show why in the RSA system which uses the polynomials x^e and $x^d \pmod{m}$, one needs to choose the coding exponents e and d relatively prime to $(p_1-1)(p_2-1)$ where, $m = p_1 p_2$.

14.3 Polynomial $y \equiv ax + b \pmod{m}$

Consider the linear permutation polynomial $f(x)$ given by

$$f(x) \equiv ax + b \pmod{m} \quad (14.1)$$

where a and b are elements in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ and m is a square free integer. Assume that the message is x , $1 \leq x < m$ and the encryption procedure consists of evaluating $f(x)$. The decryption procedure is given by the inverse polynomial, $f^{-1}(x)$. Rewriting (14.1) as

$$y \equiv ax + b \pmod{m}$$

$$\text{ie, } ax \equiv y - b \pmod{m} \quad (14.2)$$

Letting $y - b = y'$, gives

$$ax \equiv y' \pmod{m} \quad (14.3)$$

The congruence (14.3) has as solution

$$x \equiv y' a^{\phi(m)-1} \pmod{m}$$

where $\phi(m)$ is the Euler totient function. But the opponent does not actually need to calculate $\phi(m)$ to find x given y . The congruence (14.3) can be easily solved using Euclid's algorithm without the knowledge of $\phi(m)$ as follows.

One can find a^{-1} using Euclid's algorithm where

$$a a^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

$$\text{Hence } x \equiv y' a^{-1} \pmod{m}$$

Thus as expected, the linear congruence (14.1), does not provide a secure public key system since the opponent can easily recover the message x without factoring the modulus m to its prime factors. On the other hand, this polynomial can be used to form a conventional cryptosystem where the parameters a , b and m are kept secret. Further the parameters a and b can be varied in some prearranged manner resulting in a variable substitution such as

$$a_i = g_1(a_{i-1}, \dots, a_1)$$

and

$$b_i = g_2(b_{i-1}, \dots, b_1)$$

14.4 Linear Fractional Substitution

Now consider the linear fractional substitution function

$$f(x) \equiv \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} \pmod{p}$$

From Section 10.4.5, the above function permutes the elements in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ if $ad - bc \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. In Section 10.4.5, the elements a, b, c and d were used to form the message. Here the element x is considered to be the message. For designing a public key system which is to be based on the difficulty of factoring a large integer, consider

$$y \equiv \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} \pmod{m}$$

where say $m = p_1 p_2$ and p_1, p_2 are distinct primes. y is the cipher. A symbol ∞ is adjoined to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ where $\infty = 1/0$, $0 = 1/\infty$ and for $x \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, $\infty + x = \infty$, $\infty x = \infty$ for $x \not\equiv 0$. The legitimate receiver can decrypt the cipher by finding the inverse operations modulo p_1 and modulo p_2 separately and then use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to obtain the message $x \pmod{p_1 p_2}$. Actually this system has no security at all because the cryptanalyst can also find the message very easily with the knowledge of the parameters a, b, c, d and m . That is, he does not need to factorize m into its prime factors. This is because the inverse of the matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \pmod{m}$ can be found even without the knowledge of the prime factors of m . This can be done using a process similar to the Gauss-Jordan elimination process over the real numbers except in this case, the elementary operations are chosen to ensure that the determinant of the resulting matrix is relatively prime to m (assuming determinant of $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is relatively prime to m). This type of algorithm would require a similar number of operations as its counterpart over the reals, that is, something like $O(n^3)$ operations for a $n \times n$ matrix [45]. Thus the opponent can find the A, B, C, D such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \pmod{m}$$

If the greatest common divisor of cipher $y \pmod{m}$ and m is greater than 1, then this gives one of the prime factors of m . The probability of this occurring must be small for large m , as the factorization of a large m is known to be hard. Therefore neglecting this case and considering $\gcd(y, m) = 1$, the opponent can choose two elements w and $z \pmod{m}$ such that

$$zy \equiv w \pmod{m}$$

Once such a pair (w, z) is found, he can easily solve for the message x as

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$x \equiv Aw + Bz \pmod{m} \quad (14.4)$$

Thus the message x can be recovered without having to factorize m . An example illustrating the above method is given below:

Let $m = p_1 p_2 = 3 \cdot 7 = 21$.

Let

$$y \equiv \frac{3x + 5}{x + 2} \pmod{21} \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 1 \pmod{21}$$

Let the message to be encrypted is, $x = 6$. Then the cipher y is given by

$$y \equiv \frac{3 \cdot 6 + 5}{6 + 2} \equiv 16 \pmod{21}$$

Using the modified Gauss-Jordan method, the opponent calculates the inverse to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 16 \\ 20 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{21}$$

Choosing $w \equiv 2 \pmod{21}$, gives

$$16z \equiv 2 \pmod{21}$$

Using Euclid's algorithm, $z \equiv 8 \pmod{21}$. Now using equation (14.4), x is calculated to be

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv 2 \cdot 2 + 16 \cdot 8 \pmod{21} \\ &\equiv 6 \pmod{21} \end{aligned}$$

Again such a system can be used in the design of a conventional symmetric cryptosystem. One can vary the parameters a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i such that $a_i d_i - b_i c_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, by some prearranged manner. For instance, one can initially select two matrices M_1 and M_2 such that $\det(M_1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ and $\det(M_2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ and $M_{i+2} = M_{i+1} M_i$, where $M_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}$, for subsequent i 's.

14.5 Rédei Rational Functions

Now consider a general rational function $f(x) = \frac{g(x)}{h(x)}$, a quotient of polynomials over Z where $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are relatively prime in $Z[x]$. Then $f(x)$ is a permutation function modulo m if $h(a) \pmod{m}$ is a prime residue class \pmod{m} for any $a \in Z$ and the mapping $\theta: Z/mZ \rightarrow Z/mZ$, $\theta(a) \equiv h(a)^{-1} g(a)$ is a permutation [80]. If $m = p_1 p_2$, then $f(x)$ is a permutation function \pmod{m} if and only if it is a permutation function $\pmod{p_1}$ and $\pmod{p_2}$. Again a symbol ∞ is adjoined to Z/mZ

where $\infty = 1/0$ and $0 = 1/\infty$ and for $a \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, $\infty + a = \infty$, $a\infty = \infty$ for $a \neq 0$. If the quantities $f(a)$ are distinct for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$, then $f(x)$ is a permutation function over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Rédei [82] considered certain particular functions $f_n(x)$ which could be used in the design of public key cryptosystems as shown below:

Let α be a residue modulo p (p , a prime $\neq 2$) such that $(\frac{\alpha}{p}) = -1$ (ie, α is a fixed non-square). Rédei then proved that the function $f_n(x)$ given by

$$\left(\frac{x + \sqrt{\alpha}}{x - \sqrt{\alpha}} \right)^n = \frac{f_n(x) + \sqrt{\alpha}}{f_n(x) - \sqrt{\alpha}}$$

ie ,

$$f_n(x) = \sqrt{\alpha} \frac{(x + \sqrt{\alpha})^n + (x - \sqrt{\alpha})^n}{(x + \sqrt{\alpha})^n - (x - \sqrt{\alpha})^n}$$

is a permutation (mod p) if n is odd and $\gcd(n, p+1) = 1$ and $p \nmid n$.

Further he showed that

$$f_{ed}(x) = f_e(f_d(x)) \quad \text{over } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

as

$$\frac{f_{ed}(x) + \sqrt{\alpha}}{f_{ed}(x) - \sqrt{\alpha}} = \frac{f_e(f_d(x)) + \sqrt{\alpha}}{f_e(f_d(x)) - \sqrt{\alpha}}$$

Thus f_d is the inverse permutation of f_e if d is chosen such that

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{p+1}$$

and

$$f_e(f_d(x)) = f_d(f_e(x)) = x$$

The proof can be found in [82].

One can use such a function f in the design of public key systems as follows.

If the ring $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is considered where say $m = p_1 p_2$ (p_1, p_2 are primes $\neq 2$) then the encrypting exponent e can be chosen such that $\gcd(e, p_1+1) = 1$, $\gcd(e, p_2+1) = 1$ and $e \nmid p_1$ and $e \nmid p_2$, then $f_e(x)$ is a permutation function over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. This is a consequence of the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Now one can determine the decoding exponent d such that

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p_1+1)(p_2+1)} \quad (14.5)$$

The encryption procedure transforms the message $x \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ using the function $y = f_e(x)$ and the decryption procedure recovers the message by evaluating $f_d(y)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. The parameter α in the function f is chosen such that $(\frac{\alpha}{p_1}) = -1$ and $(\frac{\alpha}{p_2}) = -1$. The public key is therefore equal to (e, f_e, m, α) and the secret key is (d, f_d, m, α) .

From (14.5), it is seen that to calculate the decrypting exponent d , the opponent needs to factorize m into its prime factors. Thus this function seems to be suitable for a public key cryptosystem whose security again depends on the difficulty of factorizing a large integer m .

14.6 Dickson Polynomial Based Public Key System

Let us now consider a special class of polynomials called the Dickson polynomials [53]. As personally suggested by Prof S D Cohen of Glasgow University, such Dickson polynomials are used in the design of public key cryptosystems.

For $\alpha \in F_p$ and any odd positive integer k , the Dickson polynomial $g_k(x, \alpha)$ is given by

$$g_k(x, \alpha) = \sum_{r=0}^{(k-1)/2} \frac{k}{k-r} \binom{k-r}{r} (-\alpha)^r x^{k-2r} = \left(\frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha}}{2} \right)^k + \left(\frac{x - \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha}}{2} \right)^k$$

For $\alpha = 0$, $g_k(x, 0)$ is equal to the familiar power function x^k used by the RSA system.

From [53], it is known that if $\gcd(k, p^2 - 1) = 1$, then $g_k(x, \alpha)$ is a permutation polynomial in F_p . Further

$$g_{ed}(x, \alpha) = g_e(g_d(x, \alpha), \alpha^d) = g_d(g_e(x, \alpha), \alpha^e)$$

Thus the inverse permutation of $g_e(x, \alpha)$, with $\gcd(e, p^2 - 1) = 1$ can be found using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p^2 - 1)}$$

and

$$g_e^{-1}(x, \alpha) = g_d(x, \alpha^e) \quad \text{over } Z/pZ$$

Now consider the polynomial $g_e(x, \alpha)$ over Z/mZ where say $m = p_1 p_2$, a product of distinct primes. Then $g_e(x, \alpha)$ represents a permutation if and only if

$$\gcd(e, (p_1^2 - 1)(p_2^2 - 1)) = 1$$

Thus one can design a public key system using the Dickson polynomial $g_k(x, \alpha)$ as follows:

1. Choose large random primes p_1 and p_2 and let $m = p_1 p_2$.
2. Choose the encryption exponent e such that $\gcd(e, (p_1^2 - 1)(p_2^2 - 1)) = 1$.
3. Calculate the decrypting exponent d such that

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p_1^2 - 1)(p_2^2 - 1)} \quad (14.6)$$

4. Choose an arbitrary element α in Z/mZ .

The encryption procedure then consists of transforming the message $x \in Z/mZ$ using $g_e(x, \alpha)$ and the decryption procedure recovers the message using $g_d(x, \alpha^e)$. The public key is therefore given by $(e, m, g_e(x, \alpha), \alpha)$ and the secret key consists of $(d, m, g_d(x, \alpha^e), \alpha)$. The security of this system again lies in the difficulty of factoring the modulus m to its prime factors to be able to calculate d using (14.6).

Thus Dickson polynomials can be used to design secure public key systems like the RSA system. It has been simulated on the Prime Computer system using the program DPOLY.F77 given in Appendix 26. An example showing the various parameters is given below.

Example

Let $p_1 = 5$, $p_2 = 7$ and $m = p_1 p_2 = 35$. Let $\alpha = 1$

Choosing the coding exponents e and d such that

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(5^2-1)(7^2-1)}$$

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{1152}$$

Therefore $e = 11$ and $d = 419$ are suitable.

Thus the encryption Dickson polynomial function is given by $g_{11}(x, 1)$:

polynomial degree = 11

polynomial coefficients =

$$\begin{matrix} x^{11} & & & & & & & & & & x^0 \\ (1 & 0 & 24 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 28 & 0 & 20 & 0 & 24 & 0) \end{matrix}$$

The decryption Dickson polynomial function is given by $g_{419}(x, 1)$:

polynomial degree = 419

polynomial coefficients =

$$\begin{matrix} x^{419} \\ (1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 14 & 0 \\ 28 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 26 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 31 & 0 \\ 25 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 0 \\ 21 & 0 & 21 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 20 & 0 & 15 & 0 & 15 & 0 \\ 30 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 0 \\ 20 & 0 & 20 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 30 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 0 \\ 21 & 0 & 21 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 21 & 0 & 21 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 0 \\ 28 & 0 & 28 & 0 & 21 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 21 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{matrix}$$

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
28	0	28	0	21	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	34	0	8	0	15	0	16	0
12	0	7	0	14	0	30	0	34	0
20	0	5	0	30	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	15	0
30	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	20	0
5	0	5	0	10	0	25	0	0	0
0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	3	0	31	0	20	0	22	0
14	0	14	0	13	0	25	0	18	0
15	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
28	0	28	0	11	0	25	0	15	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	20	0
20	0	5	0	30	0	0	0	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0
14	0	14	0	28	0	0	0	25	0
24	0	24	0	13	0	15	0	21	0
7	0	27	0	4	0	25	0	29	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0
7	0	22	0	29	0	30	0	5	0
21	0	21	0	27	0	5	0	19	0
3	0	18	0	21	0	0	0	1	0)
									x ⁰

Message $x \equiv 10 \pmod{35}$

Encryption : cipher $y = g_{11}(x,1) \equiv 25 \pmod{35}$

Decryption : $g_{419}(y,1) \equiv 10 \pmod{35} = \text{Message}$

14.7 Discussion

Some permutation polynomials for which the inverse permutations are easy to construct have been considered. The linear polynomial $ax+b$ and the linear fractional substitution function $(ax+b)/(cx+d)$ are

found to be insecure when used as public key systems. The R edei function and the Dickson polynomials seem to offer a similar level of security as the prototype RSA system. In general, it is not easy to find the inverse permutation for an arbitrary permutation polynomial. One way of finding the inverse permutation of a polynomial $g(x)$ in Z/pZ consists of raising the function $g(x)$ successively to powers $2, 3, 4, \dots$ and combining an appropriate set of them to give the inverse function. Using this method, both the system designer and the cryptanalyst have the same work factor. On the other hand, if a permutation polynomial $g(x)$ can be found whose inverses over Z/p_iZ for $i=1, \dots, r$ are easy to calculate but whose inverse over Z/mZ where $m = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$ is difficult to calculate without knowing the prime factors p_i , then one can design a public key system based on the factorization trapdoor as follows:

1. Choose large primes p_1, \dots, p_r and let $m = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$.
2. The permutation polynomial $g(x)$ is made public along with m .
3. The encryption procedure consists of transforming a message $x_0 \in Z/mZ \rightarrow g(x_0) \in Z/mZ$.
4. The decryption procedure finds $g^{-1}(x_0)$ in Z/p_iZ for $i=1, \dots, r$ and then uses the Chinese Remainder Theorem to recover the message x_0 in Z/mZ .

Note that the decryption procedure should require the knowledge of prime factors of m to be able to provide security.

Public key systems can also be designed using permutation polynomials based on the law of composition. One can combine the permutation polynomials, for which inverses can be found if some 'extra' information is known, under the law of composition to construct public key systems which can be more secure than the individual polynomial based systems. Consider for instance, the encryption procedure given by the composite permutation function g where

$$g = y_1 \circ y_2 \circ y_3 \circ \dots \circ y_n$$

and

$$y_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, \text{ are permutation functions.}$$

(\circ - denotes composition)

The decryption procedure is then obtained by the composition of the inverses of the composition factors of g in the opposite order given by

$$h = y_n^{-1} \circ y_{n-1}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ y_1^{-1}$$

Hence $g \circ h = h \circ g = \text{Identity, } I$.

The system designer can easily obtain the inverse composite permutation function h as he knows the factors of g . But the opponent only knows g

and its inverse is very difficult to calculate since it is very difficult to find the decomposition of g with respect to composition.

As an illustration of this scheme, consider the following simple example given below:

Let $y_1 \equiv x^{e_1} \pmod{m}$ where $m = p_1 p_2$ and $e_1 d_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(m)}$

Let $y_2 \equiv a_1 y_1 + b_1 \pmod{m}$ where $\gcd(a_1, m) = 1$ and $b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$

Let $y_3 \equiv y_2^{e_2} \pmod{m}$ where $e_2 d_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(m)}$

Let $y_4 \equiv a_2 y_3 + b_2 \pmod{m}$ where $\gcd(a_2, m) = 1$ and $b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$

Then the composite permutation g is given by

$$g(x) = a_2(a_1 x^{e_1} + b_1)^{e_2} + b_2$$

Letting $e_1 = 3$ and $e_2 = 5$, gives

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{15} c_i x^i \pmod{m} \text{ where } c_i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}.$$

The system designer would make the function $g(x)$ and m public and keep the prime factors p_1 and p_2 and the factors y_1, y_2, y_3 and y_4 of the composition secret. If someone only knows $g(x)$ and m , then it is very difficult to find the inverse permutation. As seen earlier, one way is to try g, g^2, \dots until the inverse permutation can be constructed by trial and error procedure. On the other hand, the legal receiver can find the inverse permutation very easily by calculating y_4^{-1} , followed by y_3^{-1} , followed by y_2^{-1} , followed by y_1^{-1} to recover the message.

The complexity of the composite system can be dramatically increased using such an approach and there are numerous ways of constructing such composite systems. For instance, one could combine the RSA system and the knapsack system. The message can be encrypted using the RSA system or one of its extensions and the encrypted message can be interpreted as a string of numbers in say the binary system, which can be encrypted using knapsack system. This gives rise to a number of possibilities to increase the security.

CHAINING TECHNIQUES AND BROADCASTING WITH PUBLIC KEY SYSTEMS

15.1 General

In the following section, some of the chaining techniques are applied to the generalized RSA matrix system discussed in Section 10.4. It may be recalled that some of these techniques have been used with the symmetric DES system (see Chapter 5). Such techniques can also be applied to the RSA polynomial system described in Section 10.5. By these techniques, the undesirable effects of redundancy and structure present in the plaintext data are eliminated.

Finally, a precaution which should be taken when using the RSA system or any one of its extensions in a broadcasting situation is mentioned, where a single message is encrypted under several public keys to be sent to several users.

15.2 Chaining Techniques

Let E and D denote the encryption and decryption of a message M under the generalized RSA system. Initially, consider the inter-symbol dependence in an individual block using the RSA matrix system.

First consider the messages to be non-singular matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, where $m = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{a_i}$, under the normal encryption mode $C \equiv M^e \pmod{m}$. If there is a single error in cipher matrix C then the recovered plain matrix will be completely in error. An example illustrating this is shown in Figure 15.1. This indicates that there is a strong intersymbol dependence within an individual ciphertext block.

On the other hand, with upper triangular matrix messages (including the diagonal elements), this is not the case. Consider a message M , a 3×3 matrix over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, given by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } \gcd(a_{ii}, m) = 1, 1 \leq i \leq 3$$

and the corresponding cipher matrix C

$$C \equiv M^e \pmod{m}$$

$$\text{Let } m = 5 \cdot 3^2 = 45$$

$$\text{Let } e = d = 5$$

$$M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ m_3 & m_4 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 13 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{45}$$

$$C \equiv M^5 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ c_3 & c_4 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 29 & 7 \\ 12 & 13 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{45}$$

An error in c_1 affects decryption of all elements m_1, m_2, m_3 and m_4 .

Denoting this as

$$(c \rightarrow c_1^*) \longrightarrow (m_1^*, m_2^*, m_3^*, m_4^*)$$

$$(29 \rightarrow 30) \longrightarrow (39, 40, 30, 19)$$

Similarly,

$$(c_2 \rightarrow c_2^*) \longrightarrow (m_1^*, m_2^*, m_3^*, m_4^*)$$

$$(7 \rightarrow 8) \longrightarrow (11, 5, 30, 1)$$

$$(c_3 \rightarrow c_3^*) \longrightarrow (m_1^*, m_2^*, m_3^*, m_4^*)$$

$$(12 \rightarrow 13) \longrightarrow (11, 10, 25, 1)$$

$$(c_4 \rightarrow c_4^*) \longrightarrow (m_1^*, m_2^*, m_3^*, m_4^*)$$

$$(13 \rightarrow 14) \longrightarrow (29, 2, 42, 44)$$

Fig 15.1 - Intersymbol Dependence in Non-singular
Matrix Message Space.

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ 0 & c_{22} & c_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & c_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

If there is an error in c_{11} , denoted by c_{11}^* , then after decryption it is found that

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{11}^* & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ 0 & c_{22} & c_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & c_{33} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{D} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^* & a_{12}^* & a_{13}^* \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} = M^*$$

It is seen that only the elements a_{11} , a_{12} and a_{13} are affected due to an error in c_{11} . That is, there is not a strong intersymbol dependence between the elements as in the case of the non-singular matrix messages. More generally, it is possible to work out which elements are being affected by errors. Considering again 3x3 matrices, let the message be

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & d & e \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} M^{n+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & d & e \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix}^{n+1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n & c_n \\ 0 & d_n & e_n \\ 0 & 0 & f_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & d & e \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$a_{n+1} = a^{n+1} \quad (15.1)$$

$$d_{n+1} = d^{n+1} \quad (15.2)$$

$$f_{n+1} = f^{n+1} \quad (15.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n+1} &= a b_n + b d^n \\ e_{n+1} &= d e_n + e f^n \\ c_{n+1} &= a c_n + b e_n + e f^n \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$b_n = \frac{b}{a-d} (a^n - d^n)$$

$$e_n = \frac{e}{d-f} (d^n - f^n)$$

$$c_n = \frac{be}{d-f} \frac{(a^n - d^n)}{(a-d)} + \left(c - \frac{be}{d-f} \right) \left(\frac{a^n - f^n}{a-f} \right)$$

Now if there is an error in 'a', that is, a^* , this results in error in a_n , b_n and c_n . Denoting this as,

$$a^* \longrightarrow a_n^*, b_n^*, c_n^*$$

$$\text{then } b^* \longrightarrow b_n^*, c_n^*$$

$$c^* \longrightarrow c_n^*$$

$$d^* \longrightarrow d_n^*, b_n^*, e_n^*, c_n^*$$

$$e^* \longrightarrow e_n^*, c_n^*$$

$$f^* \longrightarrow f_n^*, e_n^*, c_n^*$$

Thus from the point of view of intersymbol dependence within a block, it is preferable to use the set of non-singular matrices as messages.

Now consider some chaining techniques using this RSA matrix system. Suppose an user A wishes to communicate to an user B a total of r messages M_1, \dots, M_r . Let the corresponding ciphers be C_1, \dots, C_r .

One way of chaining would be to modify the first cipher C_1 using some function f_1 before transmitting to user B. The function f_1 can be communicated to user B under some secure means. For the subsequent ciphers C_2, \dots, C_r , both users can derive the functions f_2, \dots, f_r using some publicly known function g ,


$$f_i = g(f_{i-1}, \dots, f_1, M_{i-1}, \dots, M_1, C_{i-1}, \dots, C_1), i > 1$$

One could also transmit the function f_1 to begin with using a public key distribution system such as the extended matrix version of the Diffie-Hellman public key distribution system discussed in Chapter 13.

Now consider the case where the function f_1 is transmitted to the user B via the first message M_1 . Two such possible methods are looked at.

15.2.1 Method 1

The operation of this method follows the pattern given below:




In this method, the previous cipher matrix is simply added with the next message matrix before encryption. Here a simple modulo m addition has been suggested. More generally, one could use a publicly known function g to form $g(M_i, C_{i-1})$. With this method, an error in C_i will cause an error in the decryption of M_i and M_{i+1} and then the system will synchronize. That is,

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 M_i + C_{i-1} \xrightarrow{E} C_i & C_i^* \xrightarrow{D} M_i^* + C_{i-1}^* \xrightarrow[-C_{i-1}^*]{-C_{i-1}} M_i^{**} \\
 M_{i+1} + C_i \xrightarrow{E} C_{i+1} & C_{i+1} \xrightarrow{D} M_{i+1} + C_i \xrightarrow[-C_i]{-C_i^*} M_{i+1}^*
 \end{array}$$

This system has the same error characteristic as the stream cipher feedback (CFB) or the cipher block chaining (CBC) modes of DES. Note that this method does not provide authentication as anyone can encrypt a message.

15.2.2 Method 2

The operation of this method follows the pattern given below:



In this method, the previous cipher matrix as well as the previous message matrix are added modulo m to the next message matrix prior to encryption. More generally, again a publicly known function g can be used instead of modulo m addition where the arguments of g are M_i , M_{i-1} and C_{i-1} . With this method, an error in C_i , will cause error in the decryption of all subsequent message matrices.

<u>User A</u>	<u>User B</u>
$M_{i-1} + C_{i-1} + M_i \xrightarrow{E} C_i$	$C_i^* \xrightarrow{D} M_i^* + M_{i-1}^* + C_{i-1}^* \xrightarrow{-C_{i-1}^* \quad -M_{i-1}^*} M_i^{**}$
$M_i + C_i + M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{E} C_{i+1}$	$C_{i+1} \xrightarrow{D} M_i + C_i + M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{-C_i^* \quad -M_i^{**}} M_{i+1}^*$
\cdot	\cdot
\cdot	\cdot
\cdot	\cdot

This system has the same error characteristic as the CBCP or the CFBV modes of DES discussed in Chapter 5. It possesses the error propagation property.

Note that in both these methods, the first message-cipher pair (M_1, C_1) is essentially used to set up some form of 'initialization vector' (Section 2.3.2) and it is a direct block encryption.

15.3 Broadcasting of Messages

In many applications, it is necessary to transmit the same set of messages to a group of users in the network. Simmons has shown that [83] in such broadcasting situations, special precautions should be taken to avoid the message being recovered by a cryptanalyst without having to 'break' the underlying cryptosystem. His argument given below applies to the prototype RSA system (over Z) or any of its extensions proposed earlier. Thus the messages M and ciphers C in the argument can be rational integers, polynomials, matrices or algebraic integers.

Let the modulus of the system be $m (=p_1 p_2)$ and two public encryption exponents be e_1 and e_2 . Let a message M be encrypted with each of these exponents to form C_1 and C_2 . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &\equiv M^{e_1} \pmod{m} \\ C_2 &\equiv M^{e_2} \pmod{m} \end{aligned} \quad \text{where } M, C_1, C_2 \in Z/mZ$$

Now if it is assumed that $\gcd(e_1, e_2) = 1$, then there exists a and b such that

$$ae_1 + be_2 = 1$$

The values of a and b can be found using the Euclid's algorithm. One of the coefficients is positive and the other is negative. If a is

negative, then using Euclid's algorithm, the multiplicative inverse of $C_1 \pmod{m}$ is given by

$$C_1 C_1^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

If $\gcd(C_1, m) = 1$, then C_1^{-1} exists and

$$\begin{aligned} (C_1^{-1})^a (C_2)^b &= (M^{e_1})^{-a} (M^{e_2})^b \\ &\equiv M^{-ae_1 + be_2} \pmod{m} \\ &\equiv M \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the message can be recovered even without factoring m into p_1 and p_2 . The only information needed are the integer values of m , e_1 , e_2 , C_1 and C_2 all of which are assumed to be publicly available. Thus precautions must be taken to avoid such situations.

An example illustrating such a situation is given below:

Let $m = 13 \cdot 23 = 299$

Let $e_1 = 5$ $d_1 = 53$

Let $e_2 = 7$ $d_2 = 151$

Let the message $M = 4$. Then,

$$C_1 \equiv (4)^5 \equiv 127 \pmod{299}$$

$$C_2 \equiv (4)^7 \equiv 238 \pmod{299}$$

Now using Euclid's algorithm

$$5a + 7b = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow a = 3, b = -2$$

As b is negative, we form

$$C_2 C_2^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{299}$$

$$(238) C_2^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{299}$$

Using Euclid's algorithm $C_2^{-1} \equiv 49 \pmod{299}$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (49)^2 (127)^3 &= 4918167583 \\ &\equiv 4 \pmod{299} \\ &\equiv M \end{aligned}$$

CONCLUSIONS

Some cryptographic techniques for secure data communication over an insecure channel have been investigated in this thesis. The first part has been primarily concerned with conventional cryptosystems, in particular, the Data Encryption Standard (DES) whereas the second part focussed on public key cryptosystems. The main results and conclusions are summarized below [87-90].

Part 1

A software implementation of the DES algorithm has been carried out using an Apple microcomputer which allowed a study of some of the properties of the DES such as the complementary property and the avalanche effect. It has been found however that such a software implementation is too slow for many real time applications and since it is necessary to store the secret key within the computer system, there is a possibility of its recovery by an unauthorized user. These problems can be overcome by a hardware LSI implementation of the DES and this has been used in the design and construction of a microprocessor based data encryption interface unit.

The use of the interface in a point to point communication system allowed secure data transfer between Apple microcomputers in either plain or encrypted format. The interface has been satisfactorily tested over the public switched telephone network with data rates up to 1200 bits per second. If required, the security can be increased by performing multiple encryption with independent keys or using chaining techniques. Several chaining techniques have been investigated using the developed system namely Cipher Block Chaining (CBC), Stream Cipher Feedback (CFB), Cipher Block Chaining with Plaintext Feedback (CBCP) and stream Cipher Feedback with Vector Feedback (CFBV). Each of these schemes gave rise to a cryptographic system with different error characteristics, speed of operation and level of security and hence is suitable for different applications. The error propagation property of CBCP and CFBV schemes made them particularly suitable for message authentication purposes but unsuitable for use in

communication links prone to noise. The self synchronizing property of CFB and CBC made them more useful for links prone to small amounts of noise. The four chaining modes are found to be less susceptible to attacks of replay, insertion, deletion and code book analysis in comparison to the standard Electronic Code Book (ECB) mode. Chaining also helped to eliminate the undesirable effects of data redundancy and structure. A statistical analysis of the randomness of the output sequences produced under these different chaining modes confirmed the good pseudo-random generator property of the DES.

The use of the developed encryption interface has been extended to provide off-line file security using the Apple disk system. A self synchronizing mode is found to be more suitable for file encryption as in this case, recovery from an error must be effected with ciphertext alone. If a ciphering procedure with error propagation property is used for file security, subsequent inability to read a portion of the ciphertext because of damage to the physical medium or the recorded bits, may prevent all the following ciphertext being deciphered. With communication security, it is possible to recover from an error by retransmitting the original message.

Further the developed system can be used on the Prestel public network allowing storage and retrieval of completely and partly encrypted frames of information on the Prestel database. A 6-bit cipher feedback technique has been found to be suitable for such an application. This technique prevented the occurrence of control characters in the ciphertext which are not acceptable to the Prestel control unit.

The use of such a DES based encryption system in a communication network requires the keys be distributed to the users over a separate secure channel. Several methods of key distribution using Key Centres and public key systems have been discussed.

Part 2

A generalization of the RSA system in the ring of matrices over Z/mZ , where m is a composite integer, is proposed. It is shown that a factorization of the modulus m is needed to compute the exponent of the group formed by either non-singular matrix messages or

upper triangular matrices with invertible diagonal elements over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, thus offering a similar level of security as the prototype RSA system. This system allows the use of a non-square free modulus which is not possible with the RSA system over the integers. This scheme is as suitable for both privacy and authentication as its predecessor. The use of chaining techniques in this generalized system to overcome the difficulties of data redundancy has also been demonstrated.

An extension of the RSA system to polynomial rings has been considered. It is found that the difficulty of factorization of a polynomial into its irreducible factors over a finite field does not in itself provide a secure public key cryptosystem. However, if the difficulty of factorizing an integer is compounded with the difficulty of factorizing a polynomial, then a secure RSA type system in the ring of polynomials is seen to be possible. For cryptographic application, both the modulus polynomial and the modulus integer need to be square free to enable proper decryption.

The design of public key systems in some quadratic algebraic number fields using the factorization trapdoor concept has been presented. The security of such systems is found to be dependent on the difficulty of factorizing the norm of the modulus. Thus a similar level of security as the prototype RSA system can be achieved if the norm is made to be sufficiently large. One method of message representation in such systems involves the use of the elementary divisor theory to choose a standard set of representatives.

The investigation of such extensions indicate that rings other than the ring of rational integers, can be used to construct public key systems with the factorization trapdoor property. From a practical point of view, it seems that the complexity of such systems may favour the implementation of the factorization trapdoor in the ring of rational integers.

The Diffie-Hellman public key distribution has been implemented in the Galois extension field $\text{GF}(2^n)$ with $n = 127$, where the computations required for exponentiation can be easily performed using digital logic. To withstand the most recently published Adleman's subexponential algorithm to compute logarithms, it is necessary to work in a higher extension field of $\text{GF}(2^{521})$ to maintain a work factor equivalent to that of DES key exhaustion.

Short cycling attacks consisting of repeated encipherings have been carried out against the exponentiation system in $GF(2^n)$ with $n = 3$ and 7 . It is found that if the primitive element is chosen at random, then the expected cycle length of an arbitrary cycle is very close to half the number of non-zero elements in the field. Thus this type of attack appears to be very much analogous to a random search procedure.

The exponentiation system in $GF(2^{127})$ has been used in conjunction with the DES encryption to form a hybrid system which combines the protection provided by the conventional cryptosystem with the user authentication attributes of a public key system. Such a hybrid arrangement is found to be feasible in practice.

A dedicated hardware exponentiation system in $GF(2^7)$ has been designed and constructed. The use of normal basis representation in the design allows a modular construction which is very useful in large scale integrated circuit design.

An extension of the Diffie-Hellman public key distribution system to matrix rings is proposed. Using rings of non-singular matrices over Z/pZ (p prime) and upper triangular matrices with invertible elements along the diagonal over Z/pZ , it is shown that the number of possible secret keys is much greater for a given prime p compared to the original system.

The role of permutation polynomials in the design of public key systems has been investigated and it is shown that the class of Dickson permutation polynomials and certain Rédei rational functions can be used to construct public systems with a similar level of security as the prototype RSA system. Further, a method of designing public key systems using permutation polynomials under the law of composition has been presented. The complexity of such composite systems can be dramatically increased to provide high security.

REFERENCES

1. Kahn, D., The Codebreakers, MacMillan, New York 1972.
2. Diffie, W. and Hellman, M. E., 'Privacy and Authentication: An introduction to cryptography', Proc. IEEE, Vol.67, No.3, 1979.
3. Meyer, C. and Matyas, S., Cryptography: A new dimension in Computer Data Security, John Wiley, 1982
4. Denning, D. E. R., Cryptography and Data Security, Addison-Wesley, 1982.
5. Simmons, G. J., 'Symmetric and Asymmetric Encryption', Computing Surveys, Vol.11, No.4, 1979.
6. Konheim, A. G., Cryptography: A Primer, John Wiley, 1981.
7. Shannon, C., 'Communication Theory of Secrecy Systems', Bell System Tech.Journal, Vol.28, 1949, pp 656-715.
8. Garey, M. R. and Johnson, D. S., Computers and Intractability, A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness, W. H. Freeman and Co., 1979.
9. Brassard, G., 'A note on the complexity of cryptography', IEEE Trans. on Information Theory, Vol.IT-25, No.2, 1979.
10. Shamir, A., 'A polynomial Time Algorithm for breaking the basic Merkle-Hellman Cryptosystem', Proc. 23rd Annual Symp. Found. Computers Sci, 1982, pp 145-152.
11. Data Encryption Standard (DES), FIPS publication 46, NBS, US Dept. of Commerce, 1977.
12. Rivest, R. L., Shamir, A. and Adleman, L., 'A method for obtaining Digital Signatures and Public Key Cryptosystems', Commun. ACM, Vol.21, No.2, 1978, pp 120-126.

13. Merkle, R. C. and Hellman, M. E., 'Hiding Information and Signatures in Trapdoor Knapsacks', Vol.II-24, No.5, 1978.
14. Beker, H. and Piper, F. C., Cipher Systems: The Protection of Communications, Northwood Pub., 1982.
15. Rivest, R., 'The Impact of Technology on Cryptography', Proc. IEEE Int. Comm. Conf., Toronto, 1978.
16. Vernam, G., 'Cipher Printing Telegraph Systems for Secret Wire and Radio Telegraphic Communications', Journal AIEE, Vol.45, 1926, pp 109-115.
17. Smith, J. L., 'The Design of Lucifer, a Cryptographic Device for Data Communications', IBM, Watson Research Centre, Yorktown Heights, New York, 1971.
18. Meyer, C. H., 'Ciphertext/Plaintext and Ciphertext/Key Dependence vs. number of rounds for the DES', AFIPS Conference Proc., 47, 1978, pp 1119-1126.
19. Hellman, M. E., Merkle, R., Schroepel, R., Washington, L., Diffie, W., Pohlig, S. and Schweitzer, P., 'Results of an initial attempt to cryptanalyze the NBS Data Encryption Standard', Elec. Engg. Dept., Stanford University, CA, SEL 76-042, 1976.
20. Branstad, D. K., Gait, J. and Katzke, S., 'Report of the Workshop on Cryptography in support of Computer Security', NBS, USA, IR 77-291, 1976.
21. NBS, 'Report of the 1976 Workshop on Estimation of Significant Advances in Computer Technology', NBS, USA, 1976.
22. Diffie, W. and Hellman, M. E., 'A Critique of the proposed Data Encryption Standard', Comm. ACM, Vol.19, No.3, 1976, pp 164-165.

23. Hellman, M. E., 'A cryptanalytic Time-Memory Trade off', IEEE Trans. on Information Theory, Vol.IT-26, No.4, 1980, pp 401-406.
24. LEXAR Corporation, 'An Evaluation of the NBS Data Encryption Standard', Los Angeles, USA, 1976.
25. Bichl, I., Biermeier, J., Gollmann, D. and Pichler, F., 'Cryptanalysis of the Data Encryption Standard by Formal Coding', Proc. IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, USA, 1981.
26. DES modes of operation FIPS Pub. 81, NBS, USA, 1980.
27. Buckley, D. C., 'Hershey Characters', Plymouth Polytechnic Computer Centre Internal Handout No.U5. 8-14, 1983.
28. Chatfield, C., Statistics for Technology, Chapman and Hall, 1978.
29. Good, I. J., 'On the Serial Test for random sequences', Ann. Math. Statist., 1957, pp 262-264.
30. Gibbons, J. D., Non-Parametric Statistical Inference, McGraw Hill, New York, 1971.
31. Prestel Viewdata System Manuals, British Telecom, 1979.
32. Price, W. L. and Davies, D. W., 'Issues in the design of a Key Distribution Centre', NPL Report, DNACS 43/81, 1981.
33. Denning, D. E. and Sacco, G. M., 'Timestamps in Key Distribution Protocols', Comm. ACM, Vol.24, No.8, 1981, pp 533-536.
34. Denning, D. E. and Schneider, F. B., 'Master keys for group sharing', Information Processing letters, Vol.12, No.1, 1981, pp 23-25.

35. Diffie, W. and Hellman, M. E., 'New Directions in cryptography', IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, Vol. IT-22, 1976, pp 644-654.
36. Keyes, R. W., 'Physical limits in digital electronics', Proc. IEEE, Vol.63, 1975, pp 740-767.
37. Landauer, R. W., 'Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process', IBM Journal of Research and Development, Vol.5, 1961, pp 183-191.
38. Hardy, G. H. and Wright, E. M., An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Fifth edition, Oxford, 1983.
39. Ayoub, F. N., 'Some aspects of the design of secure encryption algorithms', Council for National Academic Awards, Hatfield Polytechnic, Thesis, March 1983.
40. Miller, G. L., 'Riemann's Hypothesis and Tests for Primality', Proc. of the Seventh Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, pp 234-239.
41. Ingemarsson, I., Tang, D. T. and Wong, C. K., 'A Conference Key Distribution System', IEEE Trans. on Information Theory, Vol.IT-28, No.5, 1982, pp 714-720.
42. Rabin, M. O., 'Probabilistic algorithms', in Symposium on New Directions and Recent Results in Algorithms and Complexity' Academic Press, Ed. J. F. Traub, 1976.
43. Bond, D. J. 'Practical Primality Testing', International Conference on Secure Communication Systems, IEE, London, 1984.
44. Solovay, R. and Strassen, V., 'A Fast Monte-Carlo test for Primality', SIAM Journal of Computing, Vol.6, 1977, pp 84-85.
45. Knuth, D. E., The Art of Computer Programming, Vol.2: Seminumerical Algorithms, 2nd Edition, Addison-Wesley, 1981.

46. Smith, D. E. and Palmer, J. T., 'Universal fixed messages and the RSA Cryptosystem', *Mathematika*, Vol.26, 1979, pp 44-52.
47. Blakely, G. R. and Borosh, I., 'RSA public key cryptosystems do not always conceal messages', *Computers and Maths with Appls.*, Vol.5, pp 169-178.
48. Albert, A. A., Fundamental Concepts of Higher Algebra, The University of Chicago Press, 1956.
49. Burton, D. M., Rings and Ideals Addison-Wesley Pub., 1968.
50. Van der Waerden, B. L., *Modern Algebra*, Vol.2, 1949.
51. MacWilliams, J., 'Orthogonal matrices over finite fields', *American Mathematical Monthly*, Feb 1969.
52. Turnbull, H. W. and Aitken, A. C., An Introduction to the Theory of Canonical Matrices, Blackie and Son Pub., 1932.
53. Dickson, L. E., Linear Groups with an Exposition of The Galois Field Theory, Dover Pub., 1958.
54. Postnikov, M. M., Foundations of Galois Theory, Translated by A. Swinfen and P. J. Hilton, Pergamon Press, International Series of Monographs on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol.29, 1962.
55. Kravitz, D. W. and Reed, I. S., 'Extension of RSA Cryptosystem: A Galois Approach', *IEE Electronic letters*, Vol.18, No.6, 1982, pp 255-256.
56. Berlekamp, E. R., Algebraic Coding Theory, McGraw Hill, New York, 1968.
57. Berlekamp, E. R., 'Factoring polynomials over large finite fields', *Mathematics of Computation*, Vol.24, No.111, 1970, pp 713-735.

58. Rabin, M. O., 'Probabilistic Algorithms in Finite Fields', Siam Journal of Computing, Vol.9, No.2, 1980, pp 273-280.
59. Gait, J., 'Short cycling in the Kravitz-Reed Public Key Encryption System', Electronic letters, Vol.18, No.16, 1982, pp 706-707.
60. Northcott, D. G., Ideal Theory, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1953.
61. Hancock, H., Foundations of the Theory of Algebraic Numbers, Vol.1, Dover 1931.
62. McCoy, N. H., Theory of Rings, MacMillan Co., New York, 1964.
63. Pollard, H., The Theory of Algebraic Numbers, The Carus Mathematical Monographs, No.9, Pub. by Mathematical Assoc. of America, John Wiley, 1950.
64. Rosen, M. and Ireland, K., A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
65. Cohn, H., A Second Course in Number Theory, John Wiley, 1962.
66. Andrews, G. E., Number Theory, W. B. Saunders Co., 1971.
67. Hartley, B. and Hawkes, T. O., Rings, Modules and Linear Algebra, Chapman and Hall, 1980 (Reprint).
68. Weyl, H., Algebraic Theory of Numbers, Princeton University Press, 1940.
69. Pohlig, S. C. and Hellman, M. E., 'An Improved Algorithm for Computing Logarithms over $GF(p)$ and its Cryptographic Significance', IEEE Trans. On Information Theory, Vol. IT-24, No.1, 1978, pp 106-110.

70. Adleman, L., 'A Subexponential Algorithm for the Discrete Logarithm Problem with Applications to Cryptography', MIT, Dept. of Maths. and Lab. of Computer Science Report, 1980.
71. Blakely, B. and Blakley, G. R., 'Security of Number Theoretic Public Key Cryptosystems against Random Attack I', Cryptologia, Vol.2, No.4, 1978, pp 305-321.
72. Berkovits, S., Kowalchuk, J., and Schanning, B., 'Implementing Public Key Scheme', IEEE Communications Magazine, Vol.17, No.3, 1979, pp 2-3.
73. Zierler, N., 'Primitive Trinomials Whose Degree is a Mersenne Exponent', Information and Control, Vol.15, 1969, pp 67-69.
74. Herlestam, T., and Johanneson, R., 'On Computing Logarithms Over $GF(2^P)$ ', BIT, Vol.21, 1981, pp 326-334.
75. Schanning, B. P., 'Data Encryption with Public Key Distribution, IEEE Conference EASCON, 1979.
76. Simmons, G. and Norris, M. J., 'Preliminary Comments on the MIT Public Key Cryptosystem', Cryptologia, Vol.1, 1977, pp 406-414.
77. Berkovits, S., 'Cycling is just a Random Search', IEEE Communication Magazine Vol.17, Nov. 1979, pp 2-3.
78. Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary, G & C Merriam Co., 1975.
79. Massey, J. L. and Omwia, J. K., 'A new multiplication method for $GF(2^m)$ and its application in Public Key Cryptography', Presented at Eurocrypt '83, Udine, Italy, March 1983.
80. Carlitz, L., 'Some theorems on permutation polynomials', Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.68, 1962, pp 120-122.

81. Cordes, C. M., 'Permutations mod m in the form x^n ',
American Mathematical Monthly, Jan. 1976.
82. Rédei, L., 'Über eindeutig umkehrbare Polynome in endlichen
Körpern', Acta. Sci. Math., Vol.11, 1946-48, pp 85-92.
83. Simmons, G. J., 'A Weak Privacy Protocol Using the RSA
Cryptoalgorithm', Vol.7, No.2, 1983, pp 180-182.
84. Diffie, W., 'Cryptographic Technology: Fifteen Years
Forecast', BNR Inc., Mountain View, California, USA, 1981.
85. Rédei, L., Algebra, Volume 1, International Series of
Monographs in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Pergamon Press,
1967.
86. Davenport, H., The Higher Arithmetic, An Introduction to
The Theory of Numbers, Hutchinson and Co. Pub. Ltd., 1968.
87. Sanders, P. W. and Varadharajan, V., 'Secure Communications
between Microcomputer Systems', Computer Communications,
Vol.6, No.5, Oct. 1983.
88. Odoni, R. W. K., Varadharajan, V. and Sanders, P. W.,
'Public Key Distribution in Matrix Rings', IEE Electronic
Letters, Vol.20, No.9, Apr. 1984.
89. Varadharajan, V. and Odoni, R. W. K., 'Extension of RSA
System to Matrix Rings', submitted to Cryptologia for
Publication.
90. Sanders, P. W. and Varadharajan, V. 'DES can keep your data
safe', Apple User (Windfall), Vol.2, No.12, June 1983.

.....

A P P E N D I C E S

Appendix 1

Data Encryption Standard : A Software Design

A description of the Data Encryption Standard program together with a partial listing is given in this Appendix.

The program is written as a subroutine which can be called at hexadecimal address 1E00. In order to use the routine, three things must be supplied: mode, plaintext and key. The user selects the encryption or decryption mode by inputting E or D. In the case of encryption, the input plaintext can be provided either from the keyboard or from a file stored in memory whereas in the case of decryption, the input ciphertext is expected to be present in the memory. The program is almost the same for both encryption and decryption. In the case of decryption, the left shifts are replaced by the right shifts and the shift schedule operation is carried out in the reverse order to that of encryption. Hence only the encryption program is considered here.

A 1.1 Main Program : Encryption

```
RND CNT      :   Iteration count
1E00          :   JSR   (INIT - 1)
              :   JSR   (E1)
              :   JSR   (E2)
              :   LDA @ 00
              :   STA  RND  CNT
L3            :   LDA  RND  CNT
              :   CMP @ 10
              :   BEQ  L1
              :   CMP @ 08
              :   BEQ  L2
              :   CMP @ 0F
              :   BEQ  L2
              :   CMP @ 00
              :   BEQ  L2
              :   CMP @ 01
              :   BEQ  L2
```

@ : Hexadecimal data

```

L2      JSR      (LEFT SHIFT)
        JSR      (LEFT SHIFT)
        JSR      (PC - 2)
        JSR      (E4)
        INC      RND CNT
        JSR      (INIT - 2)
        JMP      L3

L1      JSR      L5
        HALT

```

A 1.2 Subroutines : Encryption

1. INIT.1, INIT.2 : The subroutine INIT-1 initializes the key register, the data register and all the temporary registers to Zero whereas the routine INIT.2 only clears the temporary registers.
2. E1 : This subroutine takes 64 bits of key as input block and carries out the permutation PC-1. The output is stored in memory locations 9000 to 9007 (Key Register).
3. E2 : This subroutine takes 64 bits of data as input block and carries out the Initial Permutation (IP). The result is stored in memory locations 7000 to 7007. (Data Register).
4. LEFT SHIFT : The input to this routine is found in locations 9000 to 9007. It performs a single circular left shift on locations 9000 to 9003 and on 9004 to 9007. The valid output bits in locations 9000 to 9007 are the seven most significant bits, i.e.,

9000 to 9007 :

V	A	L	I	D	
---	---	---	---	---	--

←7→

5. PC-2 : This routine performs the permutation PC-2 on the memory locations 9000 to 9007. (Only the seven most significant bits are used). The result is stored in 4000 to 4007 where the six middle bits are the valid bits i.e.,

4000 to 4007 :

	V	A	L	I	D	
--	---	---	---	---	---	--

←6→

6. E4 : This routine carried out a number of tasks. First, the right half of the original data containing 32 bits into 48 bits using permutation E. Input to this operation is found in locations 7004 to 7007 and the output is stored in 3500 to 3507. In each location, the valid bits are the six least significant ones, i.e.,

The next part of the routine combines this expanded version with the key bits after PC-2 (in 4000 to 4007) using exclusive-or operation. The result is stored in locations 4500 to 4507. In each location, the valid bits are the six least significant ones, i.e.,

Then the routine used the 6-bit blocks in 4500 to 4507 as input to the S-boxes and yields a 4-bit blocks as outputs. The first and sixth bits in each location are combined to represent a number, i , in the range 0 to 3 in base 2. The middle four bits are used to represent a number, j , in the range 0 to 15 in base 2. The output is the entry (i, j) in the corresponding S-box. These S-box outputs are stored in locations 4600 to 4607. Then, the Permutation P is carried out using the values in 4600 to 4607 as its input. The result is stored in 5700 to 5703 where all 8 bits in each location are valid. They are exclusive-ored with the left half of the original data in 7000 to 7003 to form the right half of the next iteration. Finally the right half of the original data is transferred to the left half.

A 1.3 - Use of the DES Program

* 1E00 G

* ENTER ENCRYPT (E) or DECRYPT (D)

E

* ENTER KEY PLEASE

* ENTER DATA BLOCK PLEASE

* ENCRYPTED DATA BLOCK IS FOUND IN (6100 - 6107)

- - - - -

* 1E00 G

* ENTER ENCRYPT (E) or DECRYPT (D)

D


* DECRYPTED DATA BLOCK IS FOUND IN (3800 - 3807)


- - - - -


Appendix 2


Point-to-point Communication: Block Encryption Program (ECB)


A 2.1 Basic Flowchart

















A 2.2 Main Program

		Comments
30AE	:	LDA C051 Set Text Mode
		STA COA8 Reset I/O Controller WD 2123
		LDX @ 00
		LDY @ 00
		LDA @ 00
		STA 1B Counter: No. of CNTRL-G within a block.
		STA F9 Counter: No. of CNTRL-J within a block.
		STA 06 Initialize memory location (06) to be used in determining end of a line of display on the VDU.
		LDA @ 01
		STA 08 Counter: No. of characters in a line.
		LDA @ 10
		STA 07 Counter used in delay routine to avoid noise spikes created during relay change- over in the modem.
		LDA COA6
		AND @ 01 Check DSR = 1 ?
		BEQ L1
		JMP NOLINK Display No Link Error Message.
L1		LDA @ 6E Set MODE Register of I/O Controller
		STA COAD WD 2123.
		LDA @ 12 Set COMMAND Register of I/O Controller WD 2123.

	STA	COAD	
	LDA	@ 14	Set SELCLK = 1
	STA	COA4	
	JSR	BAUD	Subroutine to set appropriate Baud Rate.
	JSR	PLAENC	Subroutine displaying the message 'Plain or Encrypt'.
	JSR	FD6A	Subroutine to input data into the 6502 Apple System from keyboard.
	LDA	0200	
	CMP	@ D0	
	BNE	L2	Encryption format requested.
	JSR	DATA	Display Message - 'Enter Data Please'.
	JSR	R7	
	JMP	PLAIN	Jump to plain data communication routines.
L2	JSR	DSD	Subroutine which handles the secret key. Fetches key from keyboard, checks for correct parity and stores in KEY Register in Security Device WD 2001.
	JSR	DATA	
	JSR	R7	
L4	LDA	COA6	Check keyboard and the communication link for the presence of valid data in an alternating manner.
	AND	@ 03	
	NOP		
	BNE	L3	
	JMP	RX	
L3	LDA	C000	
	BPL	L4	

	LDA @ 33	Set COMMAND Register of I/O Controller
	STA COAD	WD 2123 to Transmit Mode.
	LDA @ 06	Set Encryption Mode in Security Device
	STA COA1	WD 2001.
	JSR FD6A	Data Input Subroutine.
	CPX @ 00	
	BEQ L5	
	JMP L6	
L5	LDA @ FF	
	JSR FCA8	
	JSR END	Subroutine to encrypt and send a block consisting of a Return character and seven random characters.
	JSR SWITCH	Switch the I/O device to Receive Mode.
	JMP L4	
L6	TXA	
	LDY @ 00	
	STA 1D	
L10	LDX @ 00	
L9	LDA @ 00	
	CMP 1D	Check end of message.
	BEQ L7	
	CPX 08	Check completion of a block of 64 bits.
	BEQ L8	
	JSR DIR	Check Data Input Request.
	LDA 0200,y	
	JSR TEST	Test for CNTRL-G or CNTRL-J character.
	DEC 1D	
	INY	
	INX	
	JMP L9	
L8	JSR READSEND	Subroutine to send an encrypted block.
	JSR DELAY LINE	Subroutine to provide delay for some special characters.
	JMP L10	

L7	CPX @ 08	
	BEQ L11	
	JMP L12	
L11	JSR READSEND	
	JSR DELAYLINE	
	LDX @ 00	
L12	JSR END	
	JSR DELAYLINE	
	JSR SWITCH	
	JMP L4	
RX	LDA @ 02	} Check DCD repeatedly to avoid noise spikes.
	JSR FCA8	
	DEC 07	
	LDA 07	
	CMP @ 00	
	BEQ Z1	
	JMP L4	
Z1	LDA @ 10	
	STA 07	
	LDA COAA	
	LDA @ 16	Set Receive Mode in the I/O Controller.
	STA COAD	
	LDA @ 0E	Set Security Device to Decryption Mode.
	STA COA1	
L18	LDA @ 00	Counter: To determine whether 8 bytes have been received.
	STA FC	
L17	LDA COAB	
	JSR DELAY	Test TrRDY of I/O Controller.
	AND @ 02	
	BEQ L17	
	JSR DIR	
	INC FC	

```

        LDA    COAB
        AND    @ 10
        BNE    L23
        LDA    COAB
        AND    @ 08
        BNE    L23
        LDA    COAB
        AND    20
        BNE    L23
        JMP    B1
L23     JSR     ERR
        JMP    L4

```

Check for parity,
framing and overrun errors.

```

B1      LDA    COAA
        STA    COA0

```

Input the received cipher block
to the Security Device.

```

        LDA    FC
        CMP    @ 08
        BNE    L17
        JSR    READPRINT

```

Decrypt the cipher and display the
message on the VDU screen.
If end of message, discard any random
bytes in the last block.

```

        CMP    @ 8D
        BNE    L18
        LDX    @ 00
L21     CPX    @ 08
        BEQ    L19
        LDA    9500, x
        CMP    @ 87
        BEQ    L20
        CMP    @ 8A
        BEQ    L20
        INx
        JMP    L21

```

```

L19   JSR   END1
      JMP   L4

L20   LDA   @ FF
      JSR   FCA8
      JMP   L19

```

A 2.3 - Subroutines

Some of the important subroutines called by the main program are now briefly described.

A 2.3.1 READSEND

This subroutine first checks the TxRDy and the CTS status bits to see if they are in the correct state. Then it checks the DOR status bit and reads data bytes from the Security Device one by one until a whole block is formed. Then the block is sent down the line.

```

      LDX @ 00

L25   CPX @ 08
      BEQ  L22

L23   LDA COAB
      BPL L23
      LDA COAB
      JSR DELAY
      AND @ 01
      BEQ L23
      INX
      LDA COA2
      STA COAC
      JMP L25

L22   RTS

```

A 2.3.2 READPRINT

This subroutine initially checks the DOR status bit of the Security Device and then reads the data bytes. The decrypted data block is stored in 9500 to 9507 for later use and is displayed on the VDU screen.

```

      LDX @ 00

L27   CPX @ 08
      BEQ L24

```

```

L26    LDA    COA3
      BPL     L26
      INX
      LDA    COA2
      STA    9500,x
      JSR    FDF0
      JMP     L27

```

```

L24    RTS.

```

A 2.3.3 - END

This subroutine is concerned with the last block of the message in the Transmission Mode. First it checks whether the number of characters present in the last block which is not completely full is equal to seven. If so, it adds the Return character at the end and encrypts this block and sends the cipher over the link using READSEND. If however the block contains less than seven characters, it fills the block with a Return and random characters, encrypts the block and transmits the cipher over the link. In both cases, the subroutine SUB1 is used to increment the appropriate CNTRL-G, CNTRL-J character counters. The routine SUB1 is part of the TEST subroutine described in Section A 2.3.4.

```

      JSR    DIR
      LDA @ 8D
      STA COAO
      JSR SUB1
      INX
M12    CPX @ 08
      BEQ    M14
      LDA 02F8,x
      STA COAO
      JSR SUB1
      INX
      JMP    M12
M14    JSR    READSEND
      RTS.

```

A 2.3.4 - TEST

This subroutine checks whether the input character is a CNTRL-G or a CNTRL-J or any other control character and increments the appropriate counters accordingly.

```
      STA    COAO
      CMP    @ 8A
      BEQ    R11
      CMP    @ 87
      BEQ    R12
      CMP    @ A0
      BCS    R13
      RTS

R11     INC    F9
      RTS

R12     INC    1B
      RTS

R13     JSR    SUB1
      RTS

SUB1     INC    08
      LDA     08
      CMP    @ 29
      BEQ    R14
      RTS

R14     LDA    @ FF
      STA     06
      LDA    @ 01
      STA     08
      RTS
```

A 2.3.5 - DELAYLINE

This subroutine provides the necessary delay required after sending a CNTRL-G, CNTRL-J or a linefeed (end of line) character.

```
      LDA     06
      CMP    @ FF
      BEQ    R16
      JMP    R17
```



```

R16      LDA @ FO
          JSR FCA8
          LDA @ 00
          STA 06

R17      LDA 1B
          CMP @ 00
          BEQ R18
          LDA @ FF
          JSR FCA8
          DEC 1B
          JMP R17

R18      LDA F9
          CMP @ 00
          BEQ R19
          LDA @ 80
          JSR FCA8
          DEC F9
          JMP R18

R19      RTS.

```

A 2.3.6 - BAUD

This subroutine takes a number between 0 to 8 from the keyboard as its input and sets the Baud Rate in the I/O controller accordingly in the range 50 to 1200 bits per second (bauds).

```

          LDA 0200
          AND @ OF
          STA COAE
          ASL
          CMP @ 06
          BCS R20
          CLC
          ADC @ 04
R20      STA 09
          SEC
          LDA @ 11
          SBC 09
          STA 09
          RTS.

```

A 2.3.7 - ERR

This subroutine prints an ERROR MESSAGE and helps the system to resynchronize after an error has occurred. This is done by resetting DIR and DOR status flags and initializing the character counter (08) and the memory location (06) to zero.

```
          JSR  FF2D
R21       LDA  COA3
          AND  @ 40
          BNE  R23
R22       LDA  COA3
          BPL  R22
          LDA  COA2
          JMP  R22
R23       STA  COA0
          JMP  R21
R24       LDA  @ 01
          STA  08
          LDA  @ 00
          STA  06
          LDX  @ 08
R25       CPX  @ 00
          BEQ  R26
          LDA  COA2
          DEX
          JMP  R25
R26       RTS.
```

A 2.3.8 - PLAIN

This subroutine allows plain data communication over the link.

```
L29       LDA  COA6
          AND  @ 03
          BNE  L28
          JMP  RX1
```

L28	LDA C000
	BPL L29
	LDA @ 33
	STA COAD
	JSR FD6A
	CPX @ 00
	BEQ L30
L32	INX
	JMP L31
L30	LDA @ FF
	JSR FCA8
	JMP L32
L31	TXA
	STA ID
	LDY @ 00
L33	CPX @ 00
	BEQ L34
L35	LDA COAB
	BPL L35
	LDA COAB
	JSR DELAY
	AND @ 01
	BEQ L35
	LDA 0200,Y
	STA COAC
	JSR TEST
	JSR DELAYLINE
	INY
	DEX
	JMP L33
L34	JSR SWITCH
	JMP L29
RX1	LDA @ 02
	JSR FCA8
	DEC 07
	LDA 07
	CMP @ 00
	BEQ Z3
	JMP L29

Z3	LDA @ 10
	STA 07
	LDA COAA
	LDA @ 16
	STA COAD
	LDA @ 00
	STA 6500
L40	LDA COAB
	AND @ 02
	BEQ L40
	LDA COAB
	AND @ 20
	BNE L23
	LDA COAB
	AND @ 10
	BNE L23
	LDA COAB
	AND @ 08
	BNE L23
	JSR FF2D
	JMP L29
L23	LDA COAA
	STA 6501
	JSR FDF0
	LDA 6501
	CMP @ 8D
	BEQ L41
	LDA 6501
	STA 6500
	JMP L40
L41	LDA @ 12
	STA COAD
	LDA @ FF
	JSR FCA8
	LDA 6500
	CMP @ 87
	BEQ L42
	CMP @ 8A
	BEQ L42
	JMP L29

```

L42      LDA @ FO
          JSR FCA8
          JMP L29

```

A 2.3.9 - DSD

This subroutine initializes the Data Security Device, WD 2001, and enters the DES secret key into the KEY Register of the device after checking/correcting its parity. This routine also generates a 64-bit pseudo-random number.

```

          LDA 0200
          CMP @ C5
          BEQ S1
          LDA 0200
          CMP @ C4
          BEQ S2
          JMP FF2D

S2        LDA @ 01
          STA FC
          LDA @ 0E
          JMP S3

S1        LDA @ 00
          STA FC
          LDA @ 06

S3        STA COA1
          LDA COA3
          AND @ 10
          BNE S4
          JMP RESET

S4        JSR ENTER KEY PLEASE      Display 'Enter Key Please'.
          LDA @ 00
          STA FA
          LDA @ F8
          STA 1D
          LDA @ 02
          STA 1E

S6        JSR FDOC
          JSR FDF0
          JSR PARITYCHECK      Parity check subroutine called.

```

	LDA	FB
	STA	COA0
	LDA	COA3
	AND @	20
	BEQ	S5
	JMP	FF2D
S5	LDY @	00
	LDA	4E
	STA	(1D),Y
	INC	1D
	INC	FA
	LDA	FA
	CMP @	08
	BNE	S6
	LDY @	08
S8	CPY @	00
	BEQ	S7
	LDA @	88
	JSR	FDF0
	DEY	
	JMP	S8
S7	JSR	FD8B
	RTS	
PARITYCHECK:	STA	FB
	LDX @	00
	LDY @	00
S10	ROL	
	BCC	S9
	INY	
S9	INX	
	CPX @	08
	BNE	S10
	TYA	
	AND @	01
	ROR	
	BCS	S11
	LDA	FB
	CLC	
	ROL	
	STA	FB
S11	RTS	

Extended Character Set

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\	~	-	'	.	/	0	1</
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----

Appendix 4

Graphics_Display_Program_ (RESTRY._F77)

```
C x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
C This program prints the plaintext and ciphertext examples
C using Hershey character set. The file VVV contains the 96
C possible ASCII characters (32 out of 128 are control
C characters and hence not printed). The program used GINO
C library subroutines for drawing characters and it also
C invokes special Hershey subroutines.
C x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
```

INTEGER TABLE (256), N, TOTAL1 (20)

```
C Select the graphics printer as the output device.
      CALL CC906

C Select a new paper for a new set of axis
      CALL PICCLE

C Set the window size, horizontal and vertical axes scale.
      CALL UNITS (2.94)
      CALL WINDOW (2)
      CALL CHASIZ (1.0, 1.0).

C Read the character set from file VVV.
      OPEN (7, FILE = 'VVV')
      READ (7,*) (TABLE (I), I=1,256)
      CLOSE (7).

C Input the example number and mode of encryption number.
      K = 0
      READ (1,*) IP1, IP2
      IPP1 = 1200 + IP1
      IPP2 = 1200 + IP2
      N = 0
      Y = 61.2

C Draw the characters using GINO and HERSHEY subroutines.
      CALL MOVT02 (1.0, Y)
      CALL HERCEN (IPP1)
      CALL MOVBY2 (1.0, 0.0)
      CALL HERCEN (IPP2)
      Y = Y-1.6
```



```

CALL MOVTO2 (1.0,Y)
40      CALL CHOSE (TOTAL1, L,IK)
      1F (IK .EQ. 1) Go to 50
      DO 101 JJ = 1, L
      TOTAL1 (JJ) = TOTAL1(JJ) + 1
101     CONTINUE
      II = 1
      L1 = L + 1
30      1F (II.EQ.L1) Go to 10
      1F (N.EQ.40) Go to 20
      NO = TOTAL1 (II)
      NOO = TABLE (NO)
      1F (NOO.EQ.0) NOO = 161
      CALL HERCEN (NOO)
      CALL MOVBY2 (2.1,0.0)
      N = N + 1
      II = II + 1
      Go to 30
10      Go to 40
20      Y = Y - 1.6
      IF (Y. EQ.0) Go to 50
      CALL MOVTO2 (1.0, Y)
      N = 0
      Go to 30
50      CALL DEVEND
      STOP
      END

```

c The subroutine CHOSE transforms a character into its
c corresponding Hershey character. Hence this allows a
c possible representation of all 256 codes using the
c extended character set (Appendix 3). It calls another
c subroutine CONV2.

```

SUBROUTINE CHOSE (TOTAL1, L, IK)
CHARACTER * 1 A(38)
INTEGER I, NUM1, NUM2, NUM, TOTAL, TOTAL1 (20),
*          LENGT, L
READ (5,1) (A(I), I = 1, 38)
1      FORMAT (38 A1)

```

```


      IK = 0
      I  = 1
300   IF (A(I). EQ. ' ' ) Go To 200
      I = I + 1
      Go to 300
200   LENGT = I
      IF (LENGT.Lt.5) Go To 211
      J = 6
      L = 0
201   L = L + 1
      CALL CONV2 (A(J), NUM1)
      J = J + 1
      CALL CONV2 (A(J), NUM2)
      TOTAL = 16 * NUM1 + NUM2
      TOTAL1 (L) = TOTAL
      J = J + 1
      IF (J.NE. LENGT) Go to 201
      RETURN
211   IK = 1
      RETURN
      END
      SUBROUTINE CONV2 (CHAR, NUM)
      CHARACTER * 1 CHAR
      INTEGER NUM
      IF (CHAR. EQ. 'O') NUM = 0
      IF (CHAR. EQ. 'A') NUM = 10
      IF (CHAR. EQ. 'B') NUM = 11
      IF (CHAR. EQ. 'C') NUM = 12
      IF (CHAR. EQ. 'D') NUM = 13
      IF (CHAR. EQ. 'E') NUM = 14
      IF (CHAR. EQ. 'F') NUM = 15
      RETURN
      END


```


Appendix 5


Point to Point Communication : Cipher Block Chaining Program (CBC)


A 5.1 - Basic Flowchart

















A 5.2 - Program Listing

A 5.2.1 - Main Program

Most comments are similar to the ones given in the Block Encryption Program listing in Appendix 2.

```
30AE      : LDA  C051
          STA  COA8
          LDX  @ 00
          LDY  @ 00
          LDA  @ 00
          STA  1B
          STA  F9
          STA  06
          LDA  @ 01
          STA  08
          LDA  @ 10
          STA  07
          LDA  COA6
          AND  @ 01
          BEQ  L1
          JMP  NOLINK

L1        LDA  @ 6E
          STA  COAD
          LDA  @ 12
          STA  COAD
          LDA  @ 14
          STA  COA4
          JSR  BAUD
          LDA  COAA
          JSR  PLAENC
          JSR  FD6A
          LDA  0200
          CMP  @ D0
          BNE  L2
          JSR  DATA
          JSR  R7
          JMP  PLAIN
```

L2	JSR DSD
P3	LDA COA6
	AND @ 03
	BNE P1
	JMP P2
P1	LDA C000
	BPL P3
	LDA @ 33
	STA COAD
	LDA @ 06
	STA COA1
	JSR FD6A
	LDY @ 00
P6	CPY @ 08
	BEQ P4
P5	LDA COAB
	BPL P5
	LDA 02F8,Y
	STA 3000,Y
	STA COAC
	INY
	JMP P6
P4	JMP P7
L4	LDA COA6
	AND @ 03
	BNE L3
	JMP RX
	LDA C000
	BPL L4
	LDA @ 33
	STA COAD
	LDA @ 06
	STA COA1
	JSR FD6A
P7	CPX @ 00
	BEQ L5
	JMP L6

Pseudo random numbers are stored in 3000 to 3007 which act as the Initialization Vector (IV). IV is transmitted to the other end.

L5	LDA @ FF
	JSR FCA8
	JSR END
	JSR SWITCH
	JMP L4
L6	TXA
	LDY @ 00
	STA 1D
L10	LDX @ 00
L9	LDA @ 00
	CMP 1D
	BEQ L7
	CPX @ 08
	BEQ L8
	JSR DIR
	LDA 0200,Y
	EOR 3000,X
	JSR TEST
	DEC 1D
	INY
	INX
	JMP L9
L8	JSR READSEND MOD
	JSR DELAYLINE
	JMP L10
L7	CPX @ 08
	BEQ L11
	JMP L12
L11	JSR READSEND MOD
	JSR DELAYLINE
	LDX @ 00
L12	JSR ENDMOD
	JSR DELAYLINE
	JSR SWITCH
	JMP L4

RX	LDA @ 02
	JSR FCA8
	DEC 07
	LDA 07
	CMP @ 00
	BEQ Z1
	JMP L4
Z1	LDA @ 10
	STA 07
	LDA COAA
	LDA @ 16
	STA COAD
	LDA @ 0E
	STA COA1
L18	LDY @ 00
L17	LDA COAB
	JSR DELAY
	AND @ 02
	BEQ L17
	JSR DIR
	INY
	LDA COAB
	AND @ 10
	BNE L23
	LDA COAB
	AND @ 08
	BNE L23
	LDA COAB
	AND 20
	BNE L23
	JMP B1
L23	JSR ERR
	JMP L4
B1	LDA COAA
	STA COAO
	STA 3010,Y
	CPY @ 08
	BNE L17

```

        JSR READPRINTMOD
        CMP @ 8D
        BNE L18
        LDX @ 00
L21      CPX @ 08
        BEQ L19
        LDA 9500,X
        CMP @ 87
        BEQ L20
        CMP @ 8A
        BEQ L20
        INX
        JMP L21
L19      JSR END 1
        JMP L4
L20      LDA @ FF
        JSR FCA8
        JMP L19

```

A 5.2.2 - Subroutines

Most of the subroutines used in the program are the same as the ones used in the Block Encryption Program given in Appendix 2. The subroutine READSENDMOD is a slightly modified version of READSEND given in Appendix 2 (A 2.3.1). The modified section in READSENDMOD is given below.

```

        .
        .
        .
        LDA COA2
        STA COAC
        STA 3000, X
        JMP L25
L22      RTS

```

The subroutine READPRINTMOD is a slightly modified version of READPRINT given in Appendix 2 (A 2.3.2). The modified section in READPRINTMOD is given below.


```
      .  
      .  
      .  
      LDA COA2  
      EOR 3000,X  
      STA 9500,X  
      JSR FDF0  
      LDA 3010,X  
      STA 3000,X  
      JMP L27  
L24      RTS
```


The subroutine ENDMOD is the same as the subroutine END of Appendix 2 (A 2.3.3) except that ENDMOD calls the subroutine READSENDMOD instead of READSEND.


Appendix 6


Point to Point Communication : Stream Cipher Feedback Program (CFB)

A 6.1 - Basic Flowchart









A 6.2 - Program Listing

A 6.2.1 - Main Program

Most comments are similar to the ones given in the Block Encryption Program listing in Appendix 2.

```
30AE      : LDA  C051
          STA  COA8
          LDX  @ 00
          LDY  @ 00
          LDA  @ 00
          STA  1B
          STA  F9
          STA  06
          LDA  @ 01
          STA  08
          LDA  @ 10
          STA  07
          LDA  COA6
          AND  @ 01
          BEQ  L1
          JMP  NOLINK

L1        LDA  @ 6E
          STA  COAD
          LDA  @ 12
          STA  COAD
          LDA  @ 14
          STA  COA4
          JSR  BAUD
          LDA  COAA
          JSR  PLAENC
          JSR  FD6A
          LDA  0200
          CMP  @ D0
          BNE  L2
          JSR  DATA
          JSR  R7
          JMP  PLAIN
```

L2	JSR DSD
P3	LDA COA6
	AND @ 03
	BNE P1
	JMP P2
P1	LDA C000
	BPL P3
	LDA @ 33
	STA COAD
	LDA @ 06
	STA COA1
	JSR FD6A
	LDY @ 00
P6	CPY @ 08
	BEQ P4
P5	LDA COAB
	BPL P5
	LDA COAB
	JSR DELAY
	AND @ 01
	BEQ P5
	LDA 02F8,Y
	STA 3000,Y
	STA COAC
	INY
	JMP P6
P4	JMP P7
L4	LDA COA6
	AND @ 03
	BNE L3
	JMP RX
	LDA C000
	BPL L4
	LDA @ 33
	STA COAD
	LDA @ 06
	STA COA1
	JSR FD6A

P7	CPX @ 00
	BEQ L5
	JMP L6
	LDA @ FF
	JSR FCA8
	INX
	TXA
	LDY @ 00
	STA 1D
L9	LDX @ 00
	LDA @ 00
	CMP 1D
	BEQ L7
	JSR SUB3
	LDA 0200,Y
	STA EC
	JSR TEST
	JSR SUB4
L8	LDA COAB
	BPL L8
	LDA COAB
	JSR DELAY
	AND @ 01
	BEQ L8
	LDA EC
	STA COAC
	JSR DELAYLINE
	JSR SHIFT 1
	DEC ID
	INY
	JMP L9
L7	JSR SWITCH
	JMP L4
P2	LDA @ 02
	JSR FCA8
	DEC 07
	LDA 07
	CMP @ 00

	BEQ	P11
	JMP	P3
P11	LDA	@ 10
	STA	07
	LDA	COAA
	LDA	@ 16
	STA	COAD
	LDA	06
	STA	COA1
	LDY	@ 00
P14	CPY	08
	BEQ	P12
P13	LDA	COAB
	JSR	DELAY
	AND	@ 02
	BEQ	P13
	LDA	COAA
	STA	3000,Y
	INY	
	JMP	P14
P12	JMP	P15
RX	LDA	02
	JSR	FCAB
	DEC	07
	LDA	07
	CMP	@ 00
	BEQ	Z1
	JMP	L4
Z1	LDA	COAA
	LDA	16
	STA	COAD
	LDA	@ 06
	STA	COA1
P15	LDA	COAB
	JSR	DELAY
	AND	@ 02
	BEQ	P15
	LDA	COAB
	AND	@ 10
	BEQ	L23

```

        LDA COAB
        AND @ 08
        BEQ L23
        LDA COAB
        AND @ 20
        BEQ L23
        JMP L24
L23     JMP ERR
L24     JSR SUB3
        LDA COAA
        STA EE
        STA EC
        JSR SUB4
        JSR FDF0
        JSR SHIFT2
        LDA EC
        CMP @ 8D
        BEQ L25
        JMP P15
L25     JSR END
        JMP L4

```

A 6.2.2 - Subroutines

Most of the subroutines are the same as the ones given in the Block Encryption Program in Appendix 2. Some additional subroutines called by this program are now briefly described.

SUB3 : This subroutine inputs the data stored in the memory locations 3000 to 3007 (Initialization Vector) into the Data Security device after testing the DIR status flag each time.

```

K3      CPX @ 08
        BEQ K1
        LDA COA3
        AND @ 40
        BNE K2
        JMP FF2D
K2      LDA 3000,X
        STA COAO

```



```

        INX
        JMP     K3
K1      RTS

```

SUB4 : This subroutine reads the encrypted Initialization Vector from the Data Security Device and exclusive-ores the 8th encrypted data byte with the plain data byte (the cipher data byte) to produce the cipher data byte (the plain data byte).

```

        LDX @ 00
K6      CPX @ 07
        BEQ     K4
K5      LDA COA3
        BPL     K5
        LDA COA2
        INX
        JMP     K6
        LDA COA2
        EOR     EC
        STA     EC
        RTS

```

SHIFT 1, SHIFT 2 : These two subroutines are essentially the same. They shift the memory locations 3000 to 3007 (Initialization Vector) sequentially as follows: 3007 → 3006, 3006 → 3005, - - -, 3001 → 3000 and (cipher data byte) → 3007.

```

        LDX @ 01
        LDA @ 00
        STA     ED
K7      LDA 3000,X
        DEX
        STA 3000,X
        INX
        INX
        INC     ED
        LDA @ 07
        CMP     ED

```

BNE K7
LDA EC
DEX
STA 3000,X
LDX @ 00
RTS

Appendix 7

Results of some Statistical tests on DES Output Sequences

A 7.1 - Input Samples and Keys used in Statistical Tests

A 7.1.1 - Input Samples

	Input sample period in digits	Input sample (binary/hex)	Abbreviation
1.	5-digits	01110 (binary)	1.1
		10010 "	1.2
		01011 "	1.3
		00011 "	1.4
		10111 "	1.5
2.	8-digits	00000001 (binary)	2.1
		10101010 "	2.2
		11111111 "	2.3
		01000110 "	2.4
		11000011 "	2.5
3.	10-digits	1011111111 (binary)	3.1
		0100010000 "	3.2
		0000011111 "	3.3
		0110000111 "	3.4
		1100111000 "	3.5
4.	20-digits	FFFFC (hex)	4.1
		003FF "	4.2
		E3C3F "	4.3
		68D47 "	4.4
		BBB0F "	4.5
5.	40-digits	FFFFFFFFFC (hex)	5.1
		CE3C3E0FC0 "	5.2
		00000FFFFF "	5.3
		999EEE1116 "	5.4
		62B5A45197 "	5.5
6.	64-digits	FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF0 (hex)	6.1
		00000000FFFFFFFFF "	6.2
		C4C4C433CCC4C4C4 "	6.3
		B1B2B3B4B5B6B7B8 "	6.4
		3141592654138547 "	6.5

A 7.1.2 - Keys

I.	1F1F1F1F0E0E0E0E	-	'weak'
II.	1FE01FE00EF10EF1	-	'semiweak'
III.	039649C539317965	-	'random'
IV.	85CDCB1C9BD0851A	-	'random'
V.	3131313131313131	-	'non-random'

A 7.2 - Results of Frequency, Serial and Runs tests on ciphertext sequences: using different modes under a fixed key

5% Significant levels : Frequency (F) test : 3.84
 Serial (S) test : 5.99
 Runs (R) test : 1.96

Key : 3131313131313131 (hex)

Input Sample	Mode	F	S	R
1.1	ECB	0.19	3.65	1.19
	CFB	0.77	2.90	-0.29
	CBC	0.66	2.61	-0.04
	CBCP	2.25	4.17	-0.06
	CFBV	0.39	7.36 (**)	-2.24 (**)
1.2	ECP	4.00 (**)	7.69 (**)	-1.26
	CFB	0.25	3.71	-1.24
	CBC	0.39	4.16	1.33
	CBCP	2.06	4.98	0.94
	CFBV	0.77	3.22	-0.73
1.3	ECB	20.81 (**)	23.09 (**)	1.37
	CFB	0.004	2.52	-0.75
	CBC	0.14	2.38	0.44
	CBCP	0.32	2.40	0.20
	CFBV	0.04	2.66	-0.81

Input Sample	Mode	F	S	R
1.4	ECB	8.63 (**)	15.22 (**)	-1.87 (*)
	CFB	0.47	3.37	0.95
	CBC	0.25	2.89	0.76
	CBCP	0.06	2.33	-0.56
	CFBV	0.02	2.72	0.81
1.5	ECB	4.25 (**)	6.18 (**)	-0.12
	CFB	2.64	4.87	0.40
	CBC	7.22 (**)	9.69 (**)	-0.34
	CBCP	0.04	4.79	1.63 (*)
	CFBV	2.44	4.35	0.01
2.1	ECB	9.00 (**)	10.83 (**)	0.28
	CFB	2.25	4.35	0.07
	CBC	3.52 (*)	5.63 (*)	0.55
	CBCP	0.32	5.75 (*)	-1.87 (*)
	CFBV	0.88	5.48	-1.60 (*)
2.2	ECB	1.00	3.06	-0.03
	CFB	0.77	3.43	0.78
	CBC	1.56	4.00	0.74
	CBCP	1.41	4.68	-1.08
	CFBV	4.52 (**)	6.61 (**)	-0.36
2.3	ECB	25.00 (**)	30.62 (**)	-1.25
	CFB	0.47	5.61 (*)	-1.80 (*)
	CBC	0.02	2.72	-0.88
	CBCP	0.10	2.09	-0.12
	CFBV	0.004	2.95	-1.00
2.4	ECB	9.00 (**)	14.96 (**)	2.30 (**)
	CFB	4.79 (**)	7.27 (**)	-0.67
	CBC	2.85	4.83	0.34
	CBCP	0.25	3.77	-1.24
	CFBV	0.14	2.33	-0.43
2.5	ECB	0	18.27 (**)	4.00 (**)
	CFB	2.44	6.33 (**)	1.46
	CBC	1.72	8.03 (**)	2.12 (**)
	CBCP	0.39	2.45	-0.18
	CFBV	1.27	3.50	0.42

Input Sample	Mode	F	S	R
3.1	ECB	0.19	5.18	1.70 (*)
	CFB	3.06	7.50 (**)	-1.53 (*)
	CBC	1	3.10	0.41
	CBCP	1.27	3.28	0.29
	CFBV	2.25	4.16	0.07
3.2	ECB	2.64	5.88 (*)	-1.11
	CFB	0.47	2.65	0.45
	CBC	4.00 (**)	7.33 (**)	1.19
	CBCP	0.02	2.52	0.69
	CFBV	0.32	4.33	1.39
3.3	ECB	1.72	4.58	0.99
	CFB	0.004	2.52	0.69
	CBC	1	3.22	0.53
	CBCP	0.004	3.99	1.38
	CFBV	0.035	2.48	-0.69
3.4	ECB	2.07	19.25 (**)	-3.88 (**)
	CFB	0.02	2.17	-0.44
	CBC	3.29	5.34	-0.34
	CBCP	0.77	2.79	-0.29
	CFBV	1.89	3.82	-0.07
3.5	ECB	4.52 (**)	6.82 (**)	-0.77
	CFB	0.66	2.93	0.46
	CBC	2.44	5.17	-0.86
	CBCP	0.88	6.95 (**)	2.03 (**)
	CFBV	0.04	2.96	-1.00
4.1	ECB	0.004	2.62	0.75
	CFB	1.89	7.45 (**)	1.94 (*)
	CBC	0.56	2.68	-0.42
	CBCP	1.13	3.71	0.724
	CFBV	0.66	2.88	-0.42
4.2	ECB	0.04	10.14 (**)	2.81 (**)
	CFB	0.02	2.10	0.25
	CBC	0.04	2.27	0.44
	CBCP	0.53	7.92 (**)	-0.71
	CFBV	0.004	2.13	0.31

Input Sample	Mode	F	S	R
4.3	ECB	0.00	2.01	0.06
	CFB	1.41	5.50 (*)	1.48
	CBC	1.56	5.65 (*)	-1.45
	CBCP	0.32	2.80	-0.74
	CFBV	1.27	6.98 (**)	-1.90 (*)
4.4	ECB	2.64	5.06	0.77
	CFB	0.19	2.38	0.38
	CBC	2.44	5.37	0.95
	CBCP	0.14	2.28	0.38
	CFBV	0.004	2.94	-1.00
4.5	ECB	3.50 (*)	5.43	0.24
	CFB	0.04	3.09	-1.06
	CBC	0.10	2.47	0.57
	CBCP	0.00	2.00	0.00
	CFBV	0.00	2.94	0.94
5.1	ECB	0.02	2.03	0.06
	CFB	0.32	2.63	0.51
	CBC	1.00	4.26	-1.10
	CBCP	2.07	4.15	-0.37
	CFBV	0.14	3.92	1.32
5.2	ECB	0.56	5.69 (*)	-1.80 (*)
	CFB	0.02	2.00	-0.06
	CBC	0.88	4.80	-1.41
	CBCP	0.02	3.65	1.25
	CFBV	0.47	2.63	0.328
5.3	ECB	0.39	3.07	0.825
	CFB	0.19	2.24	0.131
	CBC	0.04	2.76	-0.87
	CBCP	0.25	3.28	-1.06
	CFBV	1.72	4.16	0.74
5.4	ECB	2.64	25.3 (**)	-4.49 (**)
	CFB	1.12	3.21	-0.10
	CBC	0.14	2.33	-0.43
	CBCP	0.85	5.40	-1.76 (*)
	CFBV	0.04	2.31	0.50

Input Sample	Mode	F	S	R
5.5	ECB	0.04	4.57	-1.63 (*)
	CFB	0.06	3.53	-1.25
	CBC	0.04	2.05	1.10
	CBCP	0.56	2.80	0.52
	CFBV	0.00	2.00	0.06
6.1	ECB	36.00 (**)	37.70 (**)	1.17
	CFB	0.25	2.80	0.70
	CBC	0.32	2.28	0.01
	CBCP	2.44	4.98	0.70
	CFBV	0.39	4.59	1.45
6.2	ECB	1.00	3.07	0.03
	CFB	0.77	3.76	0.96
	CBC	0.06	2.16	0.25
	CBCP	0.10	2.30	0.44
	CFBV	0.47	2.68	-0.42
6.3	ECB	4.00 (**)	105.60 (**)	10.11 (**)
	CFB	0.56	2.74	0.46
	CBC	1.56	3.92	0.68
	CBCP	0.56	8.11 (**)	-2.36 (**)
	CFBV	0.19	4.38	-1.50 (*)
6.4	ECB	4.00 (**)	9.76 (**)	-1.88 (*)
	CFB	2.44	4.63	-0.49
	CBC	4.52 (**)	8.00 (**)	-1.05
	CBCP	0.04	3.09	-1.00
	CFBV	0.02	3.36	-1.19
6.5	ECB	36.00 (**)	53.46 (**)	-2.98 (**)
	CFB	1.56	3.85	-0.08
	CBC	2.25	5.17	-0.87
	CBCP	0.39	2.48	0.20
	CFBV	4.25 (**)	7.00 (**)	-0.68

A 7.2.1 - Number of sequences classified as non-random by each of the three tests

Let the number of sequences classified as non-random by Frequency test be nF

Let the number of sequences classified as non-random by Serial test be nS

Let the number of sequences classified as non-random by Runs test be nR

Mode	nF	nS	nR
ECB	12	16	7
CFB	1	4	0
CBC	3	4	1
CBCP	0	3	2
CFBV	2	4	1

A 7.2.2 - Number of sequences classified as non-random by more than one test

No.	Mode	Input Sample	Tests which indicate non-randomness
1	ECB	1.2	F, S
2		1.3	F, S
3		1.4	F, S
4		1.5	F, S
5		2.1	F, S
6		2.3	F, S
7		2.4	F, S, R
8		2.5	S, R
9		3.4	S, R
10		3.5	F, S
11		4.2	S, R
12		5.4	S, R
13		6.1	F, S
14		6.3	F, S, R
15		6.4	F, S
16		6.5	F, S, R
17	CBC	1.5	F, S
18		2.5	S, R

No.	Mode	Input Sample	Tests which indicate non-randomness
19		3.2	F, S
20		6.4	F, S
21	CBCP	3.5	S, R
22		6.3	S, R
23	CFBV	1.1	S, R
24		2.2	F, S
25		6.5	F, S

A 7.3 Results of Frequency, Serial and Runs tests on Ciphertext sequences; using different keys

5% Significant levels : Frequency (F) test : 3.84
 Serial (S) test : 5.99
 Runs (R) test : 1.96

Sample No.	Input Sample
(i) (1.5)	10111
(ii) (2.1)	00000001
(iii) (3.3)	0000011111
(iv) (4.1)	FFFFC (hex)
(v) (5.2)	CE3C3E0FC0 (hex)
(vi) (6.5)	3141592654138547 (hex)

Input Sample No.	Mode	Key	F	S	R
(i)	ECB	I	0.004	3.07	1.00
		II	1.56	4.26	-0.71
		III	7.22 (**)	12.03 (**)	1.93 (*)
		IV	0.25	8.32 (**)	2.45 (**)
		V	4.25	6.18 (**)	-0.12
(i)	CFB	I	1.13	3.28	0.29
		II	4.00 (**)	6.95 (**)	-0.82
		III	0.004	2.23	0.44
		IV	4.25 (**)	6.56 (**)	-0.56
		V	2.64	4.87	0.40

Input Sample No.	Mode	Key	F	S	R
(i)	CBC	I	2.25	5.10	1.01
		II	0.06	3.53	-1.25
		III	1.89	4.10	-0.32
		IV	2.25	4.35	0.07
		V	7.22 (**)	9.69 (**)	-0.34
(i)	CBCP	I	0.06	2.90	-0.94
		II	1.13	3.68	0.79
		III	0.56	2.78	0.39
		IV	1.27	3.50	0.42
		V	0.04	4.79	1.63 (*)
(i)	CFBV	I	0.77	2.93	0.46
		II	0.88	8.73 (**)	-2.41 (**)
		III	1.72	4.00	0.62
		IV	0.47	3.50	-1.05
		V	2.44	4.35	0.01
(ii)	ECB	I	25.00 (**)	4.30	-3.37 (**)
		II	1.00	39.35 (**)	6.04 (**)
		III	4.00 (**)	22.15 (**)	-3.96 (**)
		IV	2.07	9.19 (**)	-2.25 (**)
		V	9.00 (**)	10.83 (**)	0.28
(ii)	CFB	I	1.27	4.54	-1.15
		II	1.27	3.91	-0.84
		III	0.66	4.05	-1.17
		IV	0.10	2.24	0.32
		V	2.25	4.35	0.07
(ii)	CBC	I	1.27	3.28	-0.27
		II	1.00	5.41	1.53 (*)
		III	0.56	5.36	-1.67 (*)
		IV	0.47	4.41	-1.42
		V	3.52 (*)	5.63 (*)	0.55
(ii)	CBCP	I	4.00 (**)	15.33 (**)	3.14 (**)
		II	1.13	3.50	-0.65
		III	1.41	5.29	1.36
		IV	0.19	3.81	1.26
		V	0.32	5.75 (*)	-1.87 (*)

Input Sample No.	Mode	Key	F	S	R
(ii)	CFBV	I	0.004	2.61	0.75
		II	0.39	2.57	0.45
		III	0.10	3.46	-1.19
		IV	3.06	4.98	0.22
		V	0.88	5.48	-1.60 (*)
(iii)	ECB	I	1.27	3.20	-0.02
		II	3.29	10.33 (**)	-2.16 (**)
		III	6.25 (**)	24.37 (**)	4.22 (**)
		IV	2.25	4.35	0.07
		V	1.72	4.58	0.99
(iii)	CFB	I	0.004	2.03	0.13
		II	1.27	3.21	-0.09
		III	0.04	3.85	1.31
		IV	1.41	8.00 (**)	-2.15 (**)
		V	0.004	2.52	0.69
(iii)	CBC	I	1.27	5.01	-1.34
		II	1.72	3.89	0.30
		III	0.004	2.44	-0.69
		IV	1.41	3.92	-0.65
		V	1.00	3.22	0.53
(iii)	CBCP	I	1.27	3.32	-0.34
		II	0.32	2.31	0.14
		III	0.39	2.40	-0.24
		IV	0.06	3.69	-1.31
		V	0.004	3.99	1.38
(iii)	CFBV	I	2.44	4.47	0.39
		II	1.27	4.83	-1.21
		III	0.14	2.14	-0.18
		IV	2.25	4.63	-0.49
		V	0.04	2.48	-0.69
(iv)	ECB	I	0.004	2.05	0.19
		II	5.94 (**)	11.06 (**)	-1.57 (*)
		III	0.04	7.52 (**)	2.32 (**)
		IV	0.56	3.68	1.02
		V	0.004	2.62	0.75

Input Sample No.	Mode	Key	F	S	R
(iv)	CFB	I	1.41	4.10	0.80
		II	1.89	6.94 (**)	1.75 (*)
		III	1.13	3.14	0.29
		IV	0.56	2.61	-0.05
		V	1.89	7.45 (**)	1.94 (*)
(iv)	CBC	I	1.41	4.83	-1.21
		II	0.04	4.59	-1.63 (*)
		III	0.32	3.07	-0.87
		IV	2.07	4.26	-0.50
		V	0.56	2.68	-0.42
(iv)	CBCP	I	0.47	2.44	0.08
		II	0.19	2.22	0.006
		III	0.06	2.90	-0.94
		IV	0.77	4.02	1.09
		V	1.13	3.71	0.72
(iv)	CFBV	I	4.00 (**)	5.89 (*)	0.19
		II	0.56	2.68	0.39
		III	0.10	2.14	-0.18
		IV	0.56	3.95	1.14
		V	0.66	2.88	-0.42
(v)	ECB	I	1.56	4.43	1.00
		II	2.07	4.87	0.88
		III	4.52 (**)	6.91 (**)	-0.61
		IV	2.07	9.19 (**)	-2.25 (**)
		V	0.56	5.69 (*)	-1.80 (*)
(v)	CFB	I	5.35 (**)	9.02 (**)	1.49
		II	0.06	2.90	0.88
		III	0.39	3.37	0.95
		IV	0.10	3.57	-1.25
		V	0.02	2.00	-0.06

Input Sample No.	Mode	Key	F	S	R
(v)	CBC	I	1.13	3.25	-0.22
		II	2.64	6.73 (**)	1.46
		III	0.77	3.07	0.59
		IV	1.00	3.14	0.28
		V	0.88	4.80	-1.41
(v)	CBCP	I	4.25 (**)	6.74 (**)	0.70
		II	4.52 (**)	6.66 (**)	0.14
		III	0.66	2.66	-0.23
		IV	1.89	5.18	1.12
		V	0.02	3.65	1.25
(v)	CFBV	I	2.64	4.76	0.52
		II	0.04	2.07	0.19
		III	0.88	6.58 (**)	-1.91 (*)
		IV	0.14	2.45	0.51
		V	0.47	2.63	0.328
(vi)	ECB	I	9.00 (**)	14.96 (**)	2.30 (**)
		II	1.00	7.07 (**)	2.03 (**)
		III	16.00 (**)	53.94 (**)	-5.59 (**)
		IV	64.00 (**)	65.63 (**)	2.07 (**)
		V	36.00 (**)	53.46 (**)	-2.98 (**)
(vi)	CFB	I	0.14	2.33	-0.43
		II	0.06	2.36	-0.56
		III	0.10	2.14	0.13
		IV	0.47	2.71	-0.55
		V	1.56	3.85	-0.08
(vi)	CBC	I	5.94 (**)	11.28 (**)	1.95 (*)
		II	1.89	4.26	0.56
		III	1.89	3.47	-0.38
		IV	1.00	2.96	-0.16
		V	2.25	5.17	-0.87
(vi)	CBCP	I	30.25 (**)	81.45 (**)	-6.31 (**)
		II	0.77	6.70 (**)	-1.98 (**)
		III	3.16	2.36	-0.30
		IV	0.04	3.39	-1.19
		V	0.39	2.48	0.20

Input	Mode	Key	F	S	R
Sample No.					
(vi)	CFBV	I	0.77	2.74	0.15
		II	0.04	2.03	0.06
		III	0.14	2.28	0.32
		IV	0.04	3.83	-1.38
		V	4.25 (**)	7.00 (**)	-0.68

A 7.3.1 Number of sequences classified as non-random by each of the three tests

Let the number of sequences classified as non-random by Frequency test be nF

Let the number of sequences classified as non-random by Serial test be nS

Let the number of sequences classified as non-random by Runs test be nR

Key	nF	nS	nR
I	8	6	4
II	3	10	5
III	5	7	4
IV	2	6	5
V	4	6	1

A 7.3.2 Number of sequences classified as non-random by more than one test

Key	Input Sample	Mode	Tests which indicate non-randomness
I	(ii)	ECB	F, R
	(ii)	CBCP	F, S, R
	(v)	CFB	F, S
	(v)	CBCP	F, S
	(vi)	ECB	F, S, R
	(vi)	CBC	F, S
	(vi)	CBCP	F, S, R

Key	Input Sample	Mode	Tests which indicate non-randomness
II	(i)	CFB	F, S
	(ii)	ECB	S, R
	(iii)	ECB	S, R
	(iv)	ECB	F, S
	(v)	CBCP	F, S
	(vi)	ECB	S, R
	(vi)	CBCP	S, R
III	(i)	ECB	F, S
	(ii)	ECB	F, S, R
	(iii)	ECB	F, S, R
	(iv)	ECB	S, R
	(v)	ECB	F, S
	(vi)	ECB	F, S, R
IV	(i)	ECB	S, R
	(i)	CFB	F, S
	(ii)	ECB	S, R
	(iii)	CFB	S, R
	(v)	ECB	S, R
	(vi)	ECB	F, S, R
V	(i)	CBC	F, S
	(ii)	ECB	F, S
	(vi)	ECB	F, S, R
	(vi)	CFBV	F, S


A 7.4 Autocorrelation graphs (See pages A-65a to A-65f)

A 7.4.1 Autocorrelation test : a measure of randomness


Let M be equal to the number of points which lie beyond the 5% significance level calculated using normal approximation (section 6.2.4) Total number of points = 400.

Key	Input Sample	Mode	M/400
I	(i)	ECB	76/400
		CFB	14/400
		CBC	21/400
		CBCP	18/400
		CFBV	15/400

(Continued on page A-66)




A 7.4 (a) Autocorrelation function of input sample (i)




A 7.4 (b) Autocorrelation of ECB output sequence of input sample (1)

A-65b




A 7.4 (c) Autocorrelation function of CFB output sequence of input sample (1)


A-65c



A 7.4 (d) Autocorrelation function of CBC output sequence of input sample (1)



A 7.4 (e) Autocorrelation function of CBCP output sequence of input sample (1)



A 7.4 (f) Autocorrelation function of CFBV output sequence of input sample (1)

A-65f

Key	Input Sample	Mode	M/400
I	(iii)	ECB	65/400
		CFB	17/400
		CBC	13/400
		CBCP	10/400
		CFBV	21/400
V	(i)	ECB	76/400
		CFB	10/400
		CBC	18/400
		CBCP	37/400
		CFBV	29/400
	(iii)	ECB	77/400
		CFB	17/400
		CBC	20/400
		CBCP	22/400
		CFBV	21/400

A 7.5 Results of Frequency, Serial and Runs tests on intermediate DES output sequences

5% Significant levels : Frequency (F) test : 3.84
Serial (S) test : 5.99
Runs (R) test : 1.96

(a) Plaintext : FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF (hex)
Key : 1F1F1F1FOEOEOEOE (hex) - ('Weak')

Round No.	F	S	R
1	14.06	30.80	-2.91
2	0.06	2.40	0.51
3	3.06	6.01	0.94
4	2.25	4.72	0.29
5	0.25	2.40	0.03
6	0.06	2.40	0.51
7	0.25	2.15	-0.22
8	0.06	3.41	-1.25
9	0.00	2.78	-1.01
10	1.56	4.08	-0.31

Round No.	F	S	R
11	1.00	3.31	0.13
12	0.25	3.16	0.79
13	0.00	2.02	0.00
14	3.06	5.99	-0.39
15	2.25	4.71	-0.75
16	0.06	2.02	0.01
Ciphertext	0.06	2.15	0.01

(b) Plaintext : FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF (hex)
 Key : 85CDCB1C9BD0461A (hex)

Round No.	F	S	R
1	18.06	46.26	-4.23
2	0.00	2.02	-0.25
3	1.56	7.88	2.27
4	2.25	4.72	1.08
5	1.00	3.31	0.13
6	0.25	2.27	-0.47
7	0.56	2.92	-0.44
8	0.56	2.78	-0.18
9	0.25	2.40	0.03
10	0.06	2.40	0.51
11	0.25	3.16	0.79
12	0.06	2.02	0.01
13	0.06	2.15	0.01
14	0.25	3.42	1.04
15	0.25	3.42	1.04
16	0.00	2.02	0.00
Ciphertext	0.25	6.72	2.06

(c) Plaintext : FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFE (hex)
 Key : 3131313131313131 (hex)

Round No.	F	S	R
1	10.56	27.31	-3.25
2	0.25	2.27	-0.47
3	0.56	3.67	1.09
4	1.56	4.70	0.98
5	1.56	10.93	-2.64

Round No.	F	S	R
6	0.06	4.69	-1.76
7	0.56	3.17	0.83
8	4.00	5.63	0.54
9	5.06	7.95	-0.68
10	3.06	4.74	0.14
11	1.56	4.07	0.46
12	2.25	5.98	-1.01
13	1.56	5.21	-1.35
14	0.00	6.59	-2.27
15	1.56	6.61	-1.61
16	2.25	4.72	0.29
Ciphertext	2.25	4.34	-0.49


(d) Plaintext : 016319E1C3C04780 (hex)
 Key : 3131313131313131 (hex)


Round No.	F	S	R
1	1.56	4.32	-0.58
2	0.25	2.27	-0.47
3	0.25	2.53	0.54
4	2.25	5.09	-0.49
5	1.00	2.79	0.13
6	1.00	4.06	1.15
7	0.56	3.55	0.83
8	0.06	2.02	7.88
9	0.56	2.78	-0.18
10	2.25	4.34	-0.49
11	0.25	2.78	-0.73
12	3.06	4.72	0.41
13	0.25	3.42	-1.23
14	0.25	2.27	-0.47
15	0.00	3.29	1.01
16	1.56	3.43	-0.31
Ciphertext	1.56	4.07	0.46


Appendix 8



File_Security : _Cipher_Block_Chaining_Program_ (CBC)



A 8.1 _Basic_Flowchart














A 8.2 - Program Listing

A 8.2.1 - Main Program

Comments

5000	:	JSR FILESECR	Displays 'File Security System'.
		LDA @ 00	
		STA 06	Locations 6000 to 6007 contain
		LDA @ 60	the Initialization Vector.
		STA 07	
		JSR FILENAME	Displays 'Enter Filename Please'.
		JSR LOAD	Loads file into system memory
		JSR ENCDEC	Displays 'Encrypt (E) or Decrypt (D)'.
		JSR DSD	Initializes the Data Security
			Device and requests and stores the
			secret key in the KEY Register
			of the device.
			Same subroutine as the one given
			in Appendix 2.
		LDA FC	
		CMP @ 00	
		BEQ L1	L1 - Encryption.
		JMP L2	L2 - Decryption
L1		LDA AAB6	
		BEQ L3	
		LDA 69	
		STA 1B	
		LDA 6A	
		STA 1C	
		JMP L4	
L3		LDA CA	
		STA 1B	
		LDA CB	
		STA 1C	
L4		JSR SUB5	
		JSR SUB6	
		JSR FILETRANSFER	
L2		LDA AAB6	
		BEQ L5	
		LDA 07FE	
		STA 1B	


```

        STA    69
        LDA    07FF
        STA    1C
        STA    6A
        JMP    L6
L5      LDA    9600
        CMP    FF
        BNE    L7
        LDA    00
        STA    1B
        LDA    9601
        TAX
        INX
        STX    1C
        JMP    L6
L7      TAX
        INX
        STX    1B
        LDA    9601
        STA    1C
L6      JSR    SUB7
        JSR    PROMPT

```

A 8.2.2 - Subroutines

Some of the subroutines used by this program are the same as the ones used by the Block Encryption Program in Appendix 2. (for instance, subroutine DSD). Three additional subroutines namely a merged version of subroutines SUB5 and SUB7, SUB6 and FILETRANSFER are given in this section.

```

Merged SUB5 and SUB7 :   LDY @ 00
                        S22   LDX @ 00
                        S6    LDA 1B
                           STA FC
                           LDA 19
                           CMP FC
                           BNE S1
                           LDA 1C

```

	STA	FC
	LDA	1A
	CMP	FC
	BNE	S1
	RTS	
S1	CPX @	08
	BEQ	S19
	LDA	COA3
	AND @	40
	BNE	S2
	JMP	FF2D
S2	LDA	08
	CMP @	00
	BEQ	S3
	JMP	S4
S3	LDA	(19),Y
	EOR	6000,X
	JMP	S5
S4	LDA	(19),Y
	STA	6010,X
S5	STA	COA0
	INX	
	JSR	S10
	JMP	S6
S19	JSR	S18
	JMP	S22
S10	LDA	AAB6
	BEQ	S7
	LDA	19
	CMP @	FF
	BEQ	S8
	INC	19
	RTS	

S8	LDA @ 00
	STA 19
	INC 1A
	RTS
S7	LDA 19
	CMP @ 00
	BEQ S9
	DEC 19
	RTS
S9	LDA @ FF
	STA 19
	DEC 1A
	RTS
S18	CPX @ 00
	BEQ S12
	LDA AAB6
	BEQ S13
	LDA 19
	CMP @ 00
	BEQ S14
	DEC 19
	JMP S15
S14	LDA @ FF
	STA 19
	DEC 1A
	JMP S15
S13	LDA 19
	CMP @ FF
	BEQ S16
	INC 19
	JMP S15
S16	LDA @ 00
	STA 19
	INC 1A
S15	DEX
	JMP S18

S12	LDX @ 00
S21	CPX @ 08
	BNE S23
	RTS
S23	LDA COA3
	BPL S23
	LDA 08
	CMP @ 00
	BEQ S24
	LDA COA2
	EOR 6000,X
	STA (19),Y
	LDA 6010,X
	STA 6000,X
	JMP S20
S24	LDA COA2
	STA (19),Y
	STA 6000,X
S20	INX
	JSR S10
	JMP S21
SUB6 :	LDA @ 00
	STA FC
	CPX @ 00
	BEQ S23
S26	CPX @ 08
	BEQ S24
	LDA (ID),Y
	EOR 6000,X
	STA COA0
	LDA AAB6
	BNE S25
	INC FC
S25	JSR S10
	INX
	DEC 1D
	JMP S26


S24	JSR S18
S23	LDA AAB6
	BEQ S27
	LDA 19
	STA 07FE
	LDA 1A
	STA 07FF
	JMP S28
S27	LDA @ 00
	CMP 19
	BNE S29
	LDA @ FF
	STA 19
	DEC 1A
	JMP S30
S29	DEC 19
S30	LDA FC
	STA (19),Y
	LDA 19
	STA 9600
	LDA 1A
	STA 9601
S28	RTS
FILETRANSFER :	JSR FE93
	JSR FE89
	CLD
	LDA @ 30
	STA AA5F
	LDA 03
	STA AA64
	LDA @ 09
	STA AA65
	LDA AAB6
	BEQ S31
	LDA @ FE
	STA AA72
	LDA @ 07

	STA	AA73
	SEC	
	LDA	19
	SBC	FE
	STA	FC
	LDA	1A
	SBC	@ 07
S32	STA	AA6D
	LDA	FC
	STA	AA6C
	JSR	FD8E
	LDA	C6
	JSR	FDF0
	LDA	@ C9
	JSR	FDF0
	LDA	@ CC
	JSR	FDF0
	LDA	@ C5
	JSR	FDF0
	LDA	@ .FF
	JSR	FDF0
	JSR	FD8E
	JSR	FD6A
	JSR	S33
	JSR	A331
	JSR	FD8E
	JSR	03D0
S31	LDA	1A
	STA	AA73
	LDA	19
	STA	AA72
	SEC	
	LDA	@ 02
	SBC	19
	STA	FC
	LDA	@ 96
	SBC	1A
	JMP	S32

S33	LDY @ 00
	TXA
	STA 06
	LDX @ 00
S34	LDA @ 00
	CMP 06
	BEQ L35
	LDA 0200,Y
	STA AA75,X
	INX
	INY
	DEC 06
	JMP S34
S35	CPY @ 15
	BEQ S36
	LDA @ A0
	STA AA75,Y
	INY
	JMP S35
S36	RTS

Appendix 9

PRESTEL Editing Keyboard



Appendix 10

Chinese Remainder Theorem

The Chinese Remainder Theorem can be stated as follows

[38]:

Let m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r denote r positive integers that are relatively prime in pairs and let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r denote any r integers. Then the congruences $x = a_i \pmod{m_i}$ $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, have common solutions. Any two solutions are congruent modulo $m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_r$.

Let us now consider an algorithm to implement the Chinese Remainder Theorem to compute $a \pmod{m}$ where $m = m_1 \cdot \dots \cdot m_r$

Algorithm

1. For $i = 1$ to r compute $M_i = m/m_i$
2. For $i = 1$ to r compute $M_i^{-1} \pmod{m_i}$
3. Compute $a = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i M_i^{-1} M_i$

$M_i^{-1} \pmod{m_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, can be calculated by r applications of the Euclid's algorithm (Appendix 11). Thus step 2 requires at most $O(r \log n)$ operations where $m \leq n$. Computation of 'a' in step 3 requires at most $O(r)$ operations. As $M_i < n$, the amount of storage required for M_i and M_i^{-1} , $1 \leq i \leq r$, is at most $O(r \log n)$.

Appendix 11

Euclid's Algorithm

Euclid's algorithm is a rapid method of testing relative primeness and it can be used to compute inverses modulo m . In this thesis, the Euclid's algorithm has been frequently assumed to have been used in determining inverses modulo m . Here the basic algorithm is given. For more detailed treatment refer to [45]

Given positive integers a and b calculate the greatest common divisor, $\gcd(a, b) = d$ and x and y such that $ax + by = d$.

This is carried out using the Division Theorem which can be stated as

Given integers $b > 0$ and $a \geq 0$, there exist unique integers $q \geq 0$ and r , $0 \leq r < b$ such that $a = bq + r$. Now applying the Division Theorem successively, it is seen that

$$\begin{array}{ll} a = bq + r_0 & \text{(dividing } b \text{ into } a) \\ b = r_0 q_0 + r_1 & \text{(dividing } r_0 \text{ into } b) \\ r_0 = r_1 q_1 + r_2 & \text{(dividing } r_1 \text{ into } r_0) \\ r_1 = r_2 q_2 + r_3 & \text{(etc.)} \\ \vdots & \\ \vdots & \\ \vdots & \end{array}$$

$$r_{n-2} = q_{n-1} \cdot r_{n-1} + r_n$$

$$r_{n-1} = q_n \cdot r_n + 0$$

where $r_n < r_{n-1} < r_{n-2} \dots < r_0 < b$

and r_n is the greatest common divisor of a and b . That is, $d = r_n = \gcd(a, b)$

The numbers x and y can be calculated as follows. To begin with, let $x = 0$, $u = 1$ and $v = 0$. Then at each division step, form

$$\begin{aligned} s &\leftarrow x \\ t &\leftarrow y \\ x &\leftarrow u - q_{i-1} x \\ y &\leftarrow v - q_{i-1} y \\ u &\leftarrow s \\ v &\leftarrow t \end{aligned}$$

When the repeated division algorithm ends, $d = r_n$ and $r_n = ax + by$.

Let us now sketch a more formal proof.

Theorem : If r_n is the last non-zero remainder in Euclid's algorithm for a and b , then r_n is the gcd (a,b) and $r_n = ax + by$ for some x and y .

Proof : If r_n is the last non-zero remainder in Euclid's algorithm for a and b , then the number of steps in the algorithm is $n+1$. If $n = 0$, then b divides a and the theorem is trivial. If $n = 1$, then the Euclid's algorithm for a and b has the form

$$\begin{aligned} a &= bq_1 + r_1 \\ b &= r_1 q_2 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

r_1 is the gcd (a,b) and $r_1 = a \cdot 1 + b \cdot (-q_1)$

Using induction, assume that the theorem is true for $N = n-1$.

That is, the theorem is true for any two numbers whose Euclid's algorithm takes n steps. Suppose the Euclid's algorithm takes $n+1$ steps for a and b . If $a = bq_1 + r_1$ then the rest of the algorithm for a and b is the Euclid's algorithm for r_1 and b . So the Euclid's algorithm takes n steps for r_1 and b . If r_n is the last non-zero remainder

of Euclid's algorithm applied to a and b , it is the last non-zero remainder for Euclid's algorithm applied to r_1 and b . By induction r_n is the gcd (b, r_1) and $r_n = bu + r_1v$. Now $a = bq_1 + r_1$ and hence r_n , the gcd (b, r_1) is also the gcd (a, b) . Substituting for r_1 in the expression $r_n = bu + r_1v$ gives $r_n = bu + v(a - bq_1)$ ie, $r_n = b(u - vq_1) + av$. Thus the theorem is true by induction.

To compute inverse of an integer $a \pmod{m}$ where $\gcd(a, m) = 1$, let $b = m$. The repeated division algorithm can then be used to find x and y such that $ax + my = d$. Hence $ax \equiv d \pmod{m}$. As $d = 1$, x is the inverse of $a \pmod{m}$. Knuth [45] shows that inverses \pmod{m} can be computed in $O(\log m)$ operations. Furthermore, note that the factorization of m into primes is not required to determine the relative primeness and calculate the inverse.

Appendix 12

Determinant of a Matrix over GF(2) Program (DETMOD.F77)

C x
C This program calculates the determinant of a given NxN matrix
C over G.F (2) by reducing the matrix to upper triangular form using
C elementary operations.
C x

```

      INTEGER B(127, 127), TEMP(127), XM1, XM,
+      X, XM2, XM3, XM4, XM5, SIGN

      N = 127
      READ (1,* ) ((B(I,J), J = 1,N), I = 1, N)
      L = 1
      SIGN = 1
      K = 2
      XM1 = 1
70      L1 = L
      XM = B (L,L)
      XM = ABS (XM)
      XM = MOD (XM,2)
      IF (XM. EQ. 0) GO TO 215
214      DO 95 J = L, N
      XM2 = B (L, J)
      XM2 = ABS (XM2)
      XM2 = MOD (XM2, 2)
      XM2 = XM2/XM
95      CONTINUE
      DO 141 I = K, N
      X = B (I, L)
      X = ABS (X)
      X = MOD (X, 2)
      IF (X. EQ. 0) GO TO 141
      DO 140 J = L, N
      XM3 = B (I, J)
      XM4 = B (L, J)
      XM3 = ABS (XM3)
      XM4 = ABS (XM4)
      XM3 = MOD (XM3, 2)
      XM4 = MOD (XM4, 2)
```

```

      B (I, J) = XM3 - XM4 * X
      IF (B(I,J). EQ. -1) B (I,J) = 1
140    CONTINUE
141    CONTINUE
      L = L + 1
      K = K + 1
      XM1 = XM1 * XM
      IF (L - N) 70, 190, 190
190    XM5 = B (N,N)
      XM5 = ABS (XM5)
      XM5 = MOD (XM5, 2)
      XM1 = XM1 * XM5
      XM1 = XM1 * SIGN

211    WRITE (1, 210) XM1
210    FORMAT (1X, 'DETERMINANT = ', I10 )
      STOP

215    L1 = L1 + 1
      IF (L1. EQ. 128) GO TO 212
      XM = B (L1, L)
      XM = ABS (XM)
      XM = MOD (XM, 2)
      IF (XM.EQ.0) GO TO 215
      DO 96 J = L, N
        TEMP (J) = B(L,J)
        B (L,J) = B(L1, J)
        B (L1,J) = TEMP (J)
96    CONTINUE
      SIGN = (-1) * SIGN
      GO TO 214

212    XM1 = 0
      WRITE (1,813)
813    FORMAT (1X, 'MATRIX IS SINGULAR')
      GO TO 211

      END

```

Appendix 13

Matrix_Exponentiation_Program (MATEXP._FTN)

c x
c This program is used to encrypt and decrypt matrix messages
c using the extended RSA matrix system. Exponentiation of the
c matrix message is performed using the square and multiply
c technique.
c x

```
INTEGER M(3,3), C(3,3), Z(3,3), U(3,3), V(3,3), X(100), EXP  
REAL Q
```

```
N = 3
```

```
WRITE (1,1)
```

```
1 FORMAT (1 H, 'INPUT THE MESSAGE/CIPHER MATRIX PLEASE')
```

```
READ (1,*) ((M(I,J), J = 1, N), I = 1, N)
```

```
WRITE (1,2)
```

```
2 FORMAT (1 H, 'ENTER THE ENCRYPTING/DECRYPTING  
EXPONENT PLEASE')
```

```
READ (1,*) EXP
```

c Initialize the C matrix to identity matrix

```
DO 3 I = 1, N
```

```
DO 3 J = 1, N
```

```
C (I,J) = 0
```

```
3 C (I,I) = 1
```

c Convert EXP to a k-bit binary vector ($k \leq 100$)

```
DO 4 L1 = 1, 100
```

```
Q = EXP/2
```

```
IR = EXP - INT (Q) * 2
```

```
L2 = 101 - L1
```

```
X (L2) = IR
```

```
EXP = INT (Q)
```

```
4 CONTINUE
```

c Raise the matrix M to the power EXP

```
II = 100
```

```
9 IF (X(II) .EQ.0) Go to 5
```

```
CALL MULT (M, C, Z)
```

```
DO 6 I = 1, N
```

```
DO 6 J = 1, N
```

```

6          C(I,J) = Z (I,J)
5          IF (II.EQ.1) GO TO 7
          CALL MULT (M, M, Z)
          DO 8 I = 1, N
          DO 8 J = 1, N
8          M (I,J) = Z (I,J)
          II = II - 1
          GO TO 9

7          WRITE (1, 10)
10         FORMAT (1H, 'THE CIPHER/MESSAGE MATRIX IS')
          WRITE (1, 11) ((M(I,J), J = 1, N), I = 1, N)
11         FORMAT (1H, 3 (I6, 2X))
          STOP
          END

```

c The subroutine MULT multiplies the matrix U by matrix V
 c Over Z/mZ where m is the modulus of the public key
 c system. In this case $m = 299$.

```

          SUBROUTINE MULT (U, V, Z)
          INTEGER U (3,3), V(3,3), Z(3,3)

          DO 12 I1 = 1, N
          DO 12 J1 = 1, N
          Z (I1, J1) = 0
          DO 12 K1 = 1, N
          IP = Z (I1,J1) + U (I1, K1) * V (K1, J1)
          Z (I1, J1) = MOD (IP, 299)
12         CONTINUE
          RETURN
          END.

```


Appendix 14

Polynomial_Exponentiation_Program_(POLYEXT._F_77)

c x
c This program is used to encrypt and decrypt polynomial
c messages using the extended RSA polynomial system.
c Exponentiation of the polynomial message is performed using
c the square and multiply technique.
c x

```

      INTEGER M(4), V(4), Z(4), EXP, X(100)
      REAL Q
      N = 4
      WRITE (1,1)
1      FORMAT (1H, 'INPUT THE MESSAGE/CIPHER
              POLYNOMIAL PLEASE')
      READ (1,*) (M(J), J = 1, N)
      WRITE (1,2)
2      FORMAT (1 H, 'ENTER THE ENCRYPTING/
              DECRYPTING EXPONENT PLEASE')
      READ (1,*) EXP

c      Initialize the V polynomial to identity vector.
      DO 3 II = 1, N
3      V (II) = 0
      V (1) = 1

c      Convert EXP to a K-bit binary vector ( $K \leq 100$ )
      DO 4 L1 = 1, 100
      Q = EXP/2
      IR = EXP - INT(Q) * 2
      L2 = 101 - L1
      X(L2) = IR
      EXP = INT(Q)
4      CONTINUE

c      Raise the polynomial M to the power EXP
      II = 100
9      IF (X (II). EQ.0) GO TO 5
      CALL MULT (M, V, Z)
      DO 6 I = 1, N
```

```

6          V (I) = Z (I)
5          IF (II.EQ.1) GO TO 7
          CALL MULT (M, M, Z)
          DO 8 I = 1, N
8          M (I) = Z (I)
          II = II - 1
          GO TO 9

7          WRITE (1, 10)
10         FORMAT (1 H, 'THE CIPHER/MESSAGE POLYNOMIAL IS')
          WRITE (1, 11) (M (J), J = 1, N)
11         FORMAT (1H, 4 (I6, 2X))
          STOP
          END

```

c The subroutine multiplies the polynomial U by polynomial V
c over Z/mZ where m is the modulus of the public key
c system. The product polynomial is reduced modulo an
c irreducible polynomial. In this subroutine, the irreducible
c polynomial is $f(x) = x^4 + x^2 + 1$ and
c m is equal to 35

```

          SUBROUTINE MULT (U, V, Z)
          INTEGER U (4), V(4), Z(4)
          Z(1) = U(1) * V(1) - U(4) * V(2) - U(3) * V(3) - U(2) * V(4) + U(4) * V(4)
          Z(2) = U(2) * V(1) + U(0) * V(2) - U(4) * V(3) - U(3) * V(4)
          Z(3) = U(3) * V(1) + U(2) * V(2) + U(1) * V(3) - U(4) * V(2) - U(3) * V(3) - U(2) * V(4)
          Z(4) = U(4) * V(1) + U(3) * V(2) + U(2) * V(3) + U(1) * V(4) - U(4) * V(3) - U(3) * V(4)

          DO 12 I = 1, N
          IP = Z(I)
          Z(I) = MOD (IP, 35)
12         CONTINUE
          STOP
          END

```

Appendix 15

Cycle Length Calculation Program in GF(2 ** 7)(CYCLE.F77)

c x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
c This program is used to calculate the cycle lengths in
c GF (2**7) for a given secret exponent key when the system
c base polynomial, evaluated as a binary vector, is varied
c from 2 to 127 . The generator irreducible polynomial used in
c the system is $x^7 + x + 1$.

c x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x

INTEGER M(7,7), S(7,7), U(7), V(7), Z1(7), X(7),
TEMP(7)

REAL Q, Q1

c Read the multiplication matrix (M) and square matrix (S)
c from the data file MITDATA

READ (5, *) ((S(I,J), J = 1,7), I = 1,7)

READ (5, *) ((M(I,J), J = 1,7), I = 1,7)

WRITE (1,1)

1 FORMAT (1H, 'INPUT THE BASE AND SECRET KEY PLEASE')

READ (1,*) BASE, KEY

501 BASE 1 = BASE

c Convert BASE and KEY to 7-bit binary vectors.

DO 2 L1 = 1, 7

Q = BASE/2

Q1 = KEY/2

IR = BASE - INT (Q) * 2

IR1 = KEY - INT (Q1) * 2

L2 = 8 - L1

U (L2) = IR

X (L2) = IR1

BASE = INT (Q)

KEY = INT (Q1)

2 CONTINUE

c Store the BASE in temporary vector TEMP

DO 3 I = 1, 7

TEMP (I) = U (I)

3 CONTINUE

```

c  Initialize the V - vector to 1.
      DO 4 I = 1, 6
        V (I) = 0
4      V (7) = 1

c  Compute the cycle length for a given base.
      ICL = 1
      I = 7
9      IF (X (I).EQ.0) GO TO 5
        CALL MULT (U, V, M)
        DO 6 II = 1, 7
          U (II) = TEMP (II)
6      CONTINUE
5      IF (I.EQ.1) GO TO 7
        CALL SQUARE (U, S)
        DO 8 II = 1, 7
          TEMP (II) = U (II)
8      CONTINUE
        I = I -1
        GO TO 9
        DO 51 JJ = 1, 7
          CHECK (IC, JJ) = V(JJ)
51     CONTINUE
        ICL = ICL -1
        IF (ICL .EQ. 0) GO TO 56
        DO 521 II = 1, IC
          DO 52 IJ = 1, 7
            IF (V(JJ) .EQ. CHECK (II, JJ)) FLAG = 1
            IF (V(JJ) .NE. CHECK (II, JJ)) FLAG = 0
521     CONTINUE
          IF (FLAG.EQ.1) GO TO 53
52     CONTINUE
521    CONTINUE
56     DO 54 L = 1, 7
          X (L) = V(L)
          U (L) = TEMP1 (L)
54     CONTINUE
        ICL = ICL + 2
        IF (ICL.EQ. 350) GO TO 55
        GO TO 50

```

```

53      ICCL = ICL + 1
        WRITE (6,400) ICCL
400     FORMAT (1H, 'THE BASE IS =', 2 x, 7 (I4, 1X))
        GO TO 502
55      ICL = ICL -1
        WRITE (6,402) IC, (U(L), L = 1,7)
402     FORMAT (1H, 'MAXIMUM CYCLE', I4, 2X, 7 (I4,1X))
502     BASE = BASE1 + 1
        BASE1 = BASE
        IF (BASE .EQ.128) GO TO 503
        WRITE (6,504)
504     FORMAT (' * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ')
        GO TO 501
503     STOP
        END

```

c The subroutine MULT multiplies the polynomial U by the
c polynomial V over GF (2 * * 7) using the irreducible
c trinomial $x^7 + x + 1$

```

        SUBROUTINE MULT (U, V, M)
        INTEGER P(7), P1(7), U(7), P2(7), V(7), M(7,7)

        DO 12 I = 1,7
12      P (I) = 0
        IJ = 7
20      IF (V(IJ).EQ.0) GO TO 13
        DO 14 I = 1,7
        P (I) = U(I) + P(I)
        N = P(I)
        N1 = MOD (N,2)
        P (I) = N1
14      CONTINUE
13      DO 15 K = 1,7
        DO 16 I = 1,7
        P1 (I) = U(I) * M (I,K)
16      CONTINUE
        P2 (K) = 0
        DO 17 I = 1,7
        P2 (K) = P2(K) + P1 (I)
        N = P2(K)
        N1 = MOD (N,2)

```

```

        P2(K) = N1
17      CONTINUE
15      CONTINUE
        DO 18 L = 1,7
18      U(L) = P2(L)
        IF (IJ.EQ.1) GO TO 19
        IJ = IJ -1
        GO TO 20
19      DO 21 L = 1,7
21      V (L) = P (L)
        RETURN
        END

```

c The subroutine SQUARE squares the polynomial U over

c GF (2 * * 7) using the irreducible trinomial $X * * 7 + X + 1$.

```

        SUBROUTINE SQUARE (U, S)
        INTEGER Z(7), Z1(7), U(7), S(7,7)

        DO 22 J = 1,7
        DO 23 I = 1,7
        Z(I) = U(I) * S(I,J)
23      CONTINUE
        Z1(J) = 0
        DO 24 I = 1,7
        Z1 (J) = Z1(J) + Z(I)
        NUM = Z1 (J)
        NUM1 = MOD (NUM, 2)
        Z1 (J) = NUM1
24      CONTINUE
22      CONTINUE
        DO 25 I = 1,7
25      U(I) = Z1(I)
        RETURN
        END

```

Appendix 16

Short Cycling Attack in PKD System over GF(2**7)(RANDCYCLE.F77)

```
c * * * * *
c This program carries out short cycling attack on the public
c key distribution over GF (2**7) with irreducible trinomial
c  $x^7 + x + 1$ . The attack consists of repeated encipherings
c to determine the cycle length and the secret key. For a
c fixed base polynomial, it calculates the average cycle length
c when the secret keys vary over the range 1 to 127.
c This procedure is repeated for the 127 possible DEC functions
c of the form DEC (b * y) where b is any one of the 127
c polynomials over GF (2 **7). Then, the expected cycle length
c for the 127 DEC functions is determined.
c This program can be run with different system base
c polynomials.
c
c The program utilizes the subroutines MULT and SQUARE
c given in CYCLE.F77. (Appendix 15).
c * * * * *
```

```
      INTEGER M(7,7), S(7,7), U(7), V(7), Z1(7), X(7), IC
+          TEMP1(7), CHECK(350,7), TEMP(7), FLAG, BASE,
+          TEMP2(7), KEY, U1(7), V1(7), B(7), DEC, KEY1
+          DEC1, BASE1
      REAL    Q, Q1, QK, SUM, AVR, EXPAVR, EXP

      SUM = 0.0
      EXP = 0.0

      WRITE (1,1)
1      FORMAT (1H, 'INPUT THE BASE, SECRET KEY
+ AND DEC POLYNOMIAL B PLEASE')
      READ (1,*) BASE, KEY, DEC
c Convert BASE, KEY and DEC to 7-bit binary vectors.
      DO 2 L1 = 1,7
      Q = BASE/2
      IR = BASE - INT (Q) * 2
      L2 = 8 - L1
      U (L2) = IR
      BASE = INT (Q)
```

```

2      CONTINUE
101    DEC1 = DEC
501    KEY1 = KEY
      DO 3  L1 = 1, 7
      QK = KEY/2
      Q1 = DEC/2
      IRK = KEY - INT (QK) *2
      IR1 = DEC - INT (Q1) *2
      L2 = 8 - L1
      X(L2) = IRK
      B (L2) = IR1
      KEY = INT (QK)
      DEC = INT (Q1)
3      CONTINUE

```

C Compute the cycle length ICC for a given KEY and DEC

```

      IC = 1
      DO 38 I = 1,7
      TEMP1 (I) = U(I)
38     CONTINUE
      DO 10 I = 1,6
      V (I) = 0
      V (7) = 1
      I = 7
      DO 40 I = 1,7
      TEMP (I) = U (I)
40     CONTINUE
31     IF (X(I).EQ.0) GO TO 32
      CALL MULT (U, V, M)
      DO 41 II = 1,7
      U (II) = TEMP (II)
41     CONTINUE
32     IF (I.EQ.1) GO TO 33
      CALL SQUARE (U,S)
      DO 42 II = 1,7
      TEMP (II) = U(II)
42     CONTINUE
      I = I -1
      GO TO 31

```



```

33      CALL MULT (B, V, M)
      DO 82 I = 1,7
      B (I) = TEMP2(I)
82      CONTINUE
      DO 51 JJ = 1,7
      CHECK (IC, JJ) = V(JJ)
51      CONTINUE
      IC = IC -1
      IF (IC.EQ.0) GO TO 56
      DO 521 II = 1, IC
      DO 52 JJ = 1,7
      IF (V(JJ).EQ.CHECK (II,JJ)) FLAG = 1
      IF (V(JJ).NE.CHECK (II,JJ)) FLAG = 0
      IF (FLAG.EQ.0) GO TO 56
52      CONTINUE
      IF (FLAG.EQ.1) GO TO 53
521     CONTINUE
56      DO 54 L = 1,7
      X (L) = V(L)
      U(L) = TEMP1(L)
54      CONTINUE
      IC = IC + 2
      IF (IC .EQ.350) GO TO 55
      GO to 50
53      ICC = IC + 1
      DO 499 III = 1, 7
      U (III) = TEMP1(III)
499     CONTINUE
      SUM = SUM + ICC -1
      GO TO 502
      SUM = SUM + IC -1

c  Increment the KEY by 1 and repeat the process.
502     KEY = KEY1 + 1
      KEY1 = KEY
      IF (KEY.EQ.128) GO TO 503
      GO TO 501

```

c When all the 127 possible values for the KEY have been
c tried, compute the average cycle length for a given DEC
c and a given base polynomial.

```
503      AVR = SUM/127
        WRITE (1,477) SUM, AVR
477      FORMAT (1X, 'SUM =', F12.5, 3X, 'AVR = ', F10.5)
        WRITE (1,504)
504      FORMAT (' * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *')
```

c Increment the DEC value by 1 and repeat the process of
c finding different cycle lengths when the KEY takes all the
c 127 possible values

```
      DEC = DEC1 + 1
      DEC1 = DEC
      KEY = 1
      KEY1 = KEY
      SUM = 0.0
      EXP = EXP + AVR
      IF (DEC.EQ.128) GO TO 102
      GO TO 101
```

c When the 127 DEC values of the special form are tried,
c compute the expected cycle length for the given base
c polynomial.

```
102      EXPAVR = EXP/127
        WRITE (1,103) EXPAVR
103      FORMAT (1X, 'THE EXPECTED CYCLE LENGTH IS' F10.5)
        STOP
        END
```

Appendix 17

Results of Cycling in PKD System over GF (2 * * 7)

A 17.1 Cycle lengths in GF (2 * * 7) for several values of Secret Key, x

Base a	x = 2	x = 4	x = 15	x = 32	x = 64	x = 67	x = 68	x = 73	x = 105	x = 115
2	74	74	74	74	11	74	74	74	42	42
3	118	118	118	118	2	118	118	118	118	118
4	27	53	53	27	53	53	53	27	27	27
5	59	63	59	59	59	63	59	59	63	59
6	75	75	38	75	75	75	75	75	11	38
7	63	13	9	63	9	63	20	63	13	9
8	11	45	65	45	65	6	65	11	65	65
9	1	116	116	116	116	116	116	1	116	116
10	43	3	75	75	43	75	43	43	43	3
11	12	92	10	92	10	12	2	12	12	92
12	53	12	34	4	34	24	4	53	12	12
13	101	8	101	101	13	101	101	101	1	101
14	113	113	113	113	113	113	113	113	113	12
15	47	54	54	47	14	47	54	47	47	54
16	68	9	68	14	9	33	33	68	68	33

Base a	x = 2	x = 4	x = 15	x = 32	x = 64	x = 67	x = 68	x = 73	x = 105	x = 115
17	28	89	28	89	28	28	89	28	89	89
18	40	1	40	40	14	16	11	40	40	14
19	106	106	106	106	106	106	8	107	106	106
20	22	30	15	30	30	17	17	22	5	22
21	107	107	107	107	107	107	107	107	107	7
22	52	62	11	62	62	61	62	52	52	62
23	91	91	91	91	91	7	91	91	91	91
24	25	45	45	25	25	45	50	25	25	50
25	18	48	51	18	51	51	51	18	18	48
26	10	50	36	50	26	26	50	10	50	50
27	58	39	58	39	58	58	58	58	58	3
28	80	80	80	43	43	43	80	80	80	80
29	111	111	111	111	111	111	111	111	111	111
30	49	49	16	16	49	46	51	49	7	51
31	27	27	58	27	17	5	58	27	27	9

Base a	x = 2	x = 4	x = 15	x = 32	x = 64	x = 67	x = 68	x = 73	x = 105	x = 115
32	72	19	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
33	66	24	3	66	66	21	21	66	5	66
34	116	116	116	116	116	116	5	116	116	116
35	33	2	56	56	56	34	56	33	56	34
36	92	92	92	92	92	7	21	92	92	92
37	18	18	69	69	33	33	69	18	69	33
38	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127
39	50	50	50	50	37	50	50	50	50	14
40	109	109	109	10	109	109	109	109	109	109
41	40	69	69	69	40	69	69	40	69	69
42	57	57	11	50	57	50	57	57	50	50
43	30	79	30	80	5	30	79	30	30	79
44	20	44	20	44	26	44	29	20	44	44
45	42	42	63	63	63	63	63	42	63	63
46	87	87	36	87	36	87	87	87	87	36
47	61	12	3	24	24	61	61	61	12	61

Base a	x = 2	x = 4	x = 15	x = 32	x = 64	x = 67	x = 68	x = 73	x = 105	x = 115
64	7	33	46	46	33	46	7	7	10	12
65	60	54	58	58	58	58	60	60	60	60
66	75	16	75	16	75	16	75	75	16	3
67	16	87	87	87	16	87	6	16	87	9
68	97	97	97	97	15	97	97	97	97	97
69	12	108	108	108	104	108	108	12	108	108
70	5	79	10	1	79	79	79	5	8	79
71	118	114	118	118	9	118	118	118	118	118
72	88	88	88	88	24	88	88	88	88	88
73	104	104	104	104	104	104	104	104	104	104
74	117	117	117	117	117	117	117	117	117	117
75	103	103	22	103	103	103	103	103	103	103
76	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120
77	84	84	84	84	84	84	84	84	84	37
78	28	28	77	77	16	77	28	28	77	77
79	8	28	28	91	91	91	91	8	91	28

Base a	x = 2	x = 4	x = 15	x = 32	x = 64	x = 67	x = 68	x = 73	x = 105	x = 115
80	92	8	92	18	92	9	92	92	7	92
81	121	121	121	121	121	121	121	121	121	121
82	26	66	29	66	66	26	4	26	66	29
83	79	40	79	40	40	79	79	79	79	40
84	21	33	21	64	33	33	64	21	21	64
85	79	79	79	31	79	11	11	79	79	79
86	26	46	46	46	51	46	51	26	4	46
87	81	81	81	81	34	4	34	81	81	81
88	93	93	93	93	19	93	93	93	93	93
89	121	121	121	121	121	121	121	121	121	121
90	92	92	92	15	92	92	92	92	92	92
91	80	80	13	80	80	31	31	80	80	31
92	30	79	5	79	79	30	30	30	8	79
93	118	118	111	118	8	118	118	118	118	118
94	6	47	47	47	43	47	14	6	14	43
95	43	14	47	47	43	43	14	43	47	10

Base	a	x = 2	x = 4	x = 15	x = 32	x = 64	x = 67	x = 68	x = 73	x = 105	x = 115
96		17	71	71	21	1	21	71	17	21	71
97		23	23	27	23	66	23	66	23	66	66
98		97	26	97	97	26	97	26	97	4	97
99		15	111	111	111	111	111	111	15	111	111
100		18	90	90	90	90	15	15	18	18	90
101		84	84	35	6	84	84	84	84	84	35
102		34	40	25	25	40	25	25	34	3	40
103		125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125
104		24	24	24	24	95	95	95	24	95	24
105		48	27	46	48	48	48	48	48	48	48
106		54	49	49	49	49	23	54	54	49	23
107		24	40	63	63	63	63	40	24	40	63
108		60	44	44	60	44	60	44	60	6	1
109		96	18	96	96	96	18	96	96	96	96
110		108	108	108	108	108	108	108	108	8	8
111		57	57	61	57	61	8	61	57	57	61

[illegible]

A.17.2 Expected cycle lengths for the System Base a = 38,
using DEC (b * y)

Polynomial b (evaluated as a binary vector)	Expected Cycle length	
1	127.00	(Refer to Section A 17.1, a = 38 cycle length = 127)
2	38.87	
3	54.48	
4	66.39	
5	94.67	
6	43.80	
7	35.87	
8	22.75	
9	47.87	
10	121.11	
11	44.50	
12	87.55	
13	27.17	
14	29.77	
15	113.61	
16	39.87	
17	43.36	
18	64.50	
19	103.19	
20	49.77	
21	109.85	
22	66.54	
23	53.09	
24	127.00	
25	109.95	
26	68.83	
27	76.54	
28	35.76	
29	52.15	
30	51.93	
31	47.80	
32	99.28	

33	73.16
34	53.17
35	29.39
36	46.40
37	121.11
38	45.44
39	53.02
40	71.49
41	52.94
42	64.42
43	70.09
44	60.95
45	127.00
46	57.27
47	45.50
48	44.00
49	123.05
50	43.02
51	75.60
52	27.30
53	54.87
54	29.71
55	35.60
56	38.28
57	64.00
58	35.71
59	67.99
60	48.39
61	46.89
62	54.40
63	77.71
64	77.71
65	69.69
66	61.60
67	82.09
68	49.79
69	60.18
70	78.09

71	62.91
72	59.52
73	108.20
74	115.38
75	36.04
76	85.96
77	63.08
78	65.25
79	119.19
80	54.83
81	44.69
82	111.77
83	53.17
84	81.11
85	37.35
86	43.36
87	52.97
88	52.26
89	42.43
90	51.00
91	65.90
92	67.20
93	33.47
94	54.69
95	77.88
96	98.20
97	34.20
98	38.67
99	56.65
100	26.26
101	43.66
102	60.75
103	68.02
104	37.00
105	60.56
106	48.98
107	49.60
108	106.53

109	87.68
110	55.31
111	54.35
112	78.11
113	33.91
114	48.41
115	52.91
116	43.87
117	42.75
118	39.53
119	40.97
120	75.69
121	61.03
122	60.71
123	41.87
124	70.98
125	32.64
126	32.24
127	69.25

A.17.3 Average Expected Cycle lengths for several values
of System Base

System Base	Average Expected Cycle Length
8	67.65
15	65.44
28	63.28
38	61.16
42	65.59
53	64.16
64	66.41
79	61.91
83	66.61
90	63.12
98	64.11
104	64.17
117	63.81
124	61.51

Appendix 18

Public_Key_Distribution_Program_Listing

A 18.1 Main Program

		Comments
PKD	:	LDA C051
		STA COA8
		LDA @ 66
		STA FA
		LDA COA6
		AND @ 01
		BEQ L1
		JMP NOLINK
		Displays NOLINK Error
L1		LDA @ 6E
		STA COAD
		LDA @ 14
		STA COA4
		JSR BAUD
		Sets Baud Rate
		LDA COAA
		JSR TRANS
		Displays 'If wishing to Transmit enter your user ID and the receiver's user ID'.
L4		LDA COA6
		AND @ 03
		BNE L3
		JMP RX
L3		LDA C000
		BPL L4
		JSR FD6A
		LDA @ 33
		STA COAD
		INX
		LDY @ 00
L2		CPX @ 00
		BEQ L5
K1		LDA COAB
		BPL K1
		LDA COAB
		JSR DELAY
		AND @ 01

	BEQ	K1	
	LDA	0200,Y	
	STA	COAC	
	INY		
	DEX		
	JMP	L2	
	LDA	0202	
	AND	@ 0F	
	ASL		
	ASL		
	ASL		
	ASL		
	STA	F9	
	LDA	@ 16	
	STA	COAD	
K2	LDA	COAB	
	JSR	DELAY	
	AND	@ 02	
	BEQ	K2	
	LDA	COAA	
	CMP	0202	
	BEQ	K3	
	JMP	K4	
K3	LDA	@ 33	
	STA	COAD	
	JSR	SUB8	Stores the public key of B, y_B , in 6100 to 610F
	JSR	INPUTKEY	Displays 'Input Secret Key'
	JSR	SUB9	Stores the secret key, x_A , in 6200 to 620F.
	JSR	EXP	Forms $K_{AB} = (y_B)^{x_A}$ in $GF(2^{**127})$ and clears 6200 to 620F. K_{AB} . stored in 6000 to 600F.
	JSR	SUB10	Stores the common key K_{AB} in the KEY Register of the Data Security Device.
	JSR	SUB11	Stores the session key, K_s , 6700 to 6707 in the DATA Register of the Data Security Device.

	JSR SUB12	Forms $E_{K_{AB}}(K_s)$ and transmits it to the receiver B.
	INC 6700	
	JSR SUB11	Forms $E_{K_{AB}}(K_s + 1)$ for authentication purposes and stores it in 6710 to 671F
	JSR SUB13	
	LDY @ 00	
	LDA @ 16	
	STA COAD	
L22	LDA COAB	
	JSR DELAY	
	AND @ 02	
	BEQ L22	
	LDA COAA	
	STA 6720,Y	
	INY	
	CPY @ 08	
	BEQ L23	
	JMP L22	
L23	CPY @ 00	
	BEQ L24	
	LDA 6720,Y	Verify received $E_{K_{AB}}(K_s + 1)$
	CMP 6710,Y	
	BNE L25	
	DEY	
	JMP L23	
L24	JSR SUB14	Load K_s into KEY Register of Data Security Device
	JSR SUB10	
	JSR SUB15	Clear all temporary memory locations.
		Jump to Block Encryption or Stream cipher Feedback or Cipher Block Chaining Program for DES encrypted data communication.
RX	LDA @ 16	
	STA COAD	
R1	LDA COAB	
	JSR DELAY	
	AND @ 02	

	BEQ	R1	
	LDA	COAA	
	AND	@ OF	
	ASL		
	ASL		
	ASL		
	ASL		
	STA	F9	
	LDX	@ 02	
T3	CPX	@ 00	
	BEQ	T1	
T2	LDA	COAB	
	JSR	DELAY	
	AND	@ 02	
	BEQ	T2	
	LDA	COAA	
	STA	FD	
	DEX		
	JMP	T3	
T1	LDA	33	
	STA	COAD	
	JSR	INPUTKEY	
	JSR	SUB9	Stores the secret key of B, x_B , in 6200 to 620F.
R2	LDA	COAB	
	BPL	R2	
	LDA	COAB	
	JSR	DELAY	
	AND	@ 01	
	BEQ	R2	
	LDA	FD	
	STA	COAC	
	JSR	SUB8	Stores the public key of A, y_A , in 6100 to 610F.
	JSR	EXP	Forms $K_{AB} = (y_A)^{x_B}$ in GF (2 **127) and stores it in 6000 to 600F.
	LDA	@ 16	
	STA	COAD	
	LDA	COAA	

	LDY @ 00	
R6	CPY @ 08	
	BEQ R5	
R4	LDA COAB	
	JSR DELAY	
	AND @ 02	
	BEQ R4	
	LDA COAA	
	STA 6700,Y	
	INY	
	JMP R6	
R5	LDA @ 0E	
	STA COA1	
	JSR SUB10	Stores the common key K_{AB} in the KEY Register of the Data Security Device.
	JSR SUB11	Stores the received encrypted session key K_S in the DATA Register of the Data Security Device.
	JSR SUB13	Decrypted K_S is stored in 6710 to 671F.
	LDY @ 00	
R9	CPY @ 08	
	BEQ R8	
	LDA 6710,Y	
	EOR 6008,Y	
	STA 6700,Y	
	INY	
	JMP R9	
R8	LDA @ 33	
	STA COAD	
	INC 6700	
	LDA @ 06	
	STA COA1	
	JSR SUB11	Encrypts $K_S + 1$ using K_{AB} and transmits the cipher to the sender A.
	JSR SUB12	
	JSR SUB14	Load the session key K_S into the KEY Register of the Data Security Device.
	LDA @ 00	
	STA COA1	
	JSR SUB10	

JSR SUB15 Clear all temporary memory
 locations

Jump to Block Encryption
or Cipher Feedback program
for encrypted data communication.

A 18.2 Subroutines

Listings of some important subroutines used by the
main program are given below.

			Comments
SUB8	:	LDY @ 00	Stores the public key in memory
S2		CPY @ 10	locations 6100 to 610F and in
		BEQ S1	6500 to 650F
		LDA (F9),Y	
		STA 6100,Y	
		STA 6500,Y	
		INY	
		JMP S2	
S1		RTS	
SUB9	:	LDY @ 00	Stores the secret key in memory
S4		CPY @ 10	locations 6200 to 620F
		BEQ S3	
		JSR FDOC	
		STA 6200,Y	
		JSR FDF0	
		LDA 4E	
		STA 6700,Y	
		LDA @ 00	
		STA 6000,Y	
		STA 6400,Y	
		INY	
		JMP S4	
S3		LDA @ 01	
		STA 600F	
S6		CPY @ 00	
		BEQ S5	
		LDA @ 88	

		JSR	FDF0	
		DEY		
		JMP	S6	
S5		JSR	FD8B	
		RTS		
SUB10		LDA	@ 06	Stores the common key K_{AB} in
		STA	COA1	the KEY Register of the Data
		LDA	COA3	Security Device.
		AND	@ 10	
		BNE	S7	
		JMP	FF2D	
S7		LDY	@ 00	
S9		CPY	@ 08	
		BEQ	S8	
		LDA	6000,Y	
		JSR	PARITY CHECK	
		LDA	FB	
		STA	COA0	
		LDA	COA3	
		AND	@ 20	
		BEQ	S10	
		JMP	FF2D	
S10		INY		
		JMP	S9	
S8		RTS		
SUB11	:	LDY	@ 00	Encrypts $K_s + 1$ under the
		CPY	@ 08	key K_{AB} using the DES
		BEQ	S11	Block Encryption mode
		LDA	COA3	
		AND	@ 40	
		BNE	S12	
		JMP	FF2D	
S12		LDA	6700,Y	
		EOR	6008,Y	
		STA	COA0	
		JMP	S13	
S11		RTS		

SUB13	:	LDY @ 00	Stores the encrypted $K_s + 1$ under
S17		CPY @ 08	the key K_{AB} in memory locations
		BEQ S15	6710 to 671F.
S16		LDA COA3	
		BPL S16	
		LDA COA2	
		STA 6710,Y	
		INY	
		JMP S17	
S15		RTS	
SUB14	:	DEC 6700	Stores the session key in the
		LDY @ 00	memory locations 6000 to 600F
S22		CPY @ 08	which is then transferred to the
		BEQ S23	KEY Register of the Data Security
			Device.
		LDA 6700,Y	
		STA 6000,Y	
		INY	
		JMP S22	
S23		RTS	
SUB15	:	LDY @ 00	Clears all temporary memory
S24		CPY @ 10	locations used in this PKD program.
		BEQ S25	
		LDA @ 00	
		STA 6200,Y	
		STA 6700,Y	
		STA 6710,Y	
		STA 6720,Y	
		STA 6000,Y	
		INY	
		JMP S24	
S25		RTS	
SUB12	:	LDY @ 00	Used to encrypt either K_s under
S18		CPY @ 08	K_{AB} or $K_s + 1$ under K_{AB} which
		BEQ S21	is then transmitted to the other
S19		LDA COAB	end.

	BPL	S19
	LDA	COAB
	JSR	DELAY
	AND	@ 01
	BEQ	S19
S20	LDA	COA3
	BPL	S20
	LDA	COA2
	STA	COAC
	INC	
	JMP	S18
S21	RTS	

Appendix 19

Determination of A Normal Basis Generator in GF(2 * * 127) (FINDNORBAS. F77)

```
c * * * * *
c This program selects elements of the form  $v = x * * ILL + 1$ 
c over GF (2 * * 127) where ILL varies from 1 to 126 and
c computes the conjugates  $v * * 2, v * * 4, \dots, v * * (2 * *$ 
c  $(127-1))$  over GF(2). All operations are done modulo
c the irreducible polynomial  $x * * 127 + x + 1$ . Then it
c determines whether these 127 conjugates are linearly
c independent by calling the program DETMOD. F77 (Appendix 12).
c DETMOD. F77 finds the determinant of the 127 x 127 matrix
c formed by the 127 conjugates. If the determinant is not
c equal to zero, then the conjugates form a normal basis
c over GF (2 * * 127).
c * * * * *
```

```
INTEGER TEMP(127), B(127, 127), V(127), C(127)
ILL = 1
```

```
c Choose an element over GF (2 * * 127) of the form
```

```
c  $x * * ILL + 1$ 
```

```
42      DO 1 I = 1, 127
```

```
1        V (I) = 0
```

```
        V (ILL) = 1
```

```
        V (1) = 1
```

```
c Compute the 127 conjugates and form the matrix B
```

```
        IJ = 1
```

```
        DO 6 IL = 1, 127
```

```
        B (IJ, IL) = V(IL)
```

```
6        CONTINUE
```

```
        IJ = IJ + 1
```

```
100      I = 1
```

```
        C (1) = V(1)
```

```
        DO 2 I = 1, 63
```

```
        J = 64 + I
```

```
        K = I + 1
```

```
        JJ = 2 * I
```

```
        KK = 2 * I + 1
```

```
        C (JJ) = V(J)
```




```

      L = V(K) + V(J)
      C (KK) = MOD (L,2)
2      CONTINUE
      DO 3 IK = 1, 127
      V (IK) = C(IK)
      B (IJ, IK) = C(IK)
3      CONTINUE
      IJ = IJ + 1
      IF (IJ.EQ.128) GO TO 110
      GO TO 100

c Find the determinant of the matrix B using DETMOD. F77
      CALL DETMOD (B, IDET)
      WRITE (1,210) ILL, IDET
210      FORMAT (1X, 'DET = ', I10, 2X, 'ILL = ', I5)

c If the matrix B is singular over GF(2), increment ILL
c by one and repeat the procedure until ILL = 128. If the
c matrix B is non-singular stop.
      IF (IDET. EQ. 0) GO TO 810
      STOP
810      ILL = ILL + 1
      IF (ILL.EQ.128) GO TO 221
      GO TO 42
221      STOP
      END

```



SWITCH PANEL LAYOUT (FRONT)


A-125


LEDS


V


U

X









Appendix 21

Multiplier_Matrix_Program _(M-MATRIX.F77)

c * * * * *
c This program calculates the M-matrix required for implementing
c multiplication over GF (2 * * N) using the normal basis
c generated by the program FINDNORBAS. F77. Here N = 127.
c * * * * *

INTEGER B(127, 127), U(127), V(127), Z(127), X(127, 127),
+ S(8128, 127), INV(127, 127), X1(127, 127),
+ FLAG, TEMP(127), T1, T2, T3, T.

COMMON/B1/B

COMMON/B3/S

COMMON/B6/1NV

COMMON/B7/U/V/Z/TEMP

COMMON/B13/X

COMMON/B14/X1

N = 127

N1 = N + 1

N3 = N - 1

N2 = 8128

c Read the matrix B which contains the 127 conjugates which
c form the normal basis matrix

READ (5, *) ((B(I,J), J = 1,N), I = 1,N)

c Read the inverse of matrix B, 1NV.

READ (5, *) ((1NV(I,J), J = 1,N), I = 1,N)

c Form the composite matrix by multiplying the 127 conjugates
c arranged in a column vector with those arranged in a row
c vector. Then reduce every element of this composite matrix
c modulo $X * * 127 + X + 1$.

II = 1

IP = 1

514

I = IP

```

DO 400 J = 1, N
U (J) = B (I,J)
TEMP(J) = B(I,J)
400 CONTINUE
I = I + 1
DO 401 J = 1,N
S (II,J) = B (I,J)
401 CONTINUE
512 DO 402 J = 1, N
V(J) = B (I,J)
402 CONTINUE
DO 202 IA = 1,N
Z (IA) = 0
202 CONTINUE
DO 220 IA = 1,N
IF (V(IA).EQ.0) GO TO 210
DO 204 IB = 1, N
IZ = Z (IB) + U(IB)
Z (IB) = MOD (IZ,2)
204 CONTINUE
210 T1 = U(127)
T2 = U(1)
T3 = T1 + T2
T = MOD (T3,2)
DO 230 IC = 1, 125
ID = 128 - IC
IE = ID - 1
U (ID) = U(IE)
230 CONTINUE
U(2) = T
U(1) = T1
220 CONTINUE
DO 221 JJ = 1,N
U(JJ) = TEMP(JJ)
221 CONTINUE
II = II + 1
DO 403 J = 1,N
S(II,J) = Z(J)
403 CONTINUE

```

```

      I = I + 1
      IF (I.EQ.N1) GO TO 511
      GO TO 512
511    IP = IP + 1
      IF (IP.EQ.N) GO TO 513
      II = II + 1
      GO TO 514
513    II = II + 1
      DO 404 J = 1, N
      S(II,J) = B(1,J)
404    CONTINUE

```

c Convert every element of the composite matrix S from
c canonical basis representation to normal basis
c representation using .INV matrix.

```

      DO 405 I = 1, N2
      DO 406 J = 1, N
      U(J) = S (I,J)
406    CONTINUE
      DO 407 II = 1, N
      IT = 0
      DO 408 J = 1, N
      IT = IT + U(J) * INV(J,II)
      IT = MOD (IT,2)
408    CONTINUE
      V(II) = IT
407    CONTINUE
      DO 409 J = 1, N
      S(I,J) = V(J)
409    CONTINUE
405    CONTINUE

```

c Form the M-Matrix from the composite matrix by choosing
c the coefficient of the conjugate v.

```

      I = 1
      J = 1
      II = 1
612    X(I,J) = S(II,1)
      X1(I,J) = S(II,2)
      I = I + 1

```



```

        II = II + 1
        IF (I.EQ.N1) GO TO 611
        GO TO 612
611      J = J + 1
        I = J
        IF (J.EQ.N1) GO TO 613
        GO TO 612
613      DO 410 I = 1,N
        DO 410 J = 1,N
        X (I,J) = X(J,I)
        X1 (I,J) = X1 (J,I)
410      CONTINUE

```

```

        WRITE (6,316)
316      FORMAT (1X, 'THE M-MATRIX IS')
        DO 6 IKK = 1, N
        WRITE (6,315) (X(IKK,JJJ), JJJ = 1,N)
315      FORMAT (1X, 11 (I4, 2X))
6        CONTINUE

```

c Check whether the M-matrix is correct by rotating the
 c rows one position downward and then shifting the rows
 c one position right and then comparing this M-matrix with
 c the M-matrix formed by choosing the coefficient of
 c conjugate v^{**2} .

```

        DO 411 J = 1, N
        TEMP (J) = X(N,J)
411      CONTINUE
        DO 412 I = 1, N3
        I1 = N1 - 1
        I11 = I1 - 1
        DO 412 J = 1, N
        X(I1,J) = X(I11,J)
412      CONTINUE
        DO 413 J = 1,N
        X(1,J) = TEMP(J)
413      CONTINUE
        DO 414 I = 1,N
        TEMP (I) = X(I,N)

```

```

414      CONTINUE
        DO 415 J = 1, N3
          J1 = 128 -J
          JJ1 = J1 - 1
          DO 415 I = 1, N
            X(I,J1) = X(I,JJ1)
415      CONTINUE
          DO 416 I = 1, N
            X (I,1) = TEMP (I)
416      CONTINUE
          DO 417 I = 1, N
            DO 417 J = 1, N
              IF (X(I,J).EQ.X1(I,J)) GO TO 614
            GO TO 615
614      FLAG = 1
417      CONTINUE
          WRITE (6,310) FLAG
310      FORMAT (1X, 'MATCHING OK; M-MATRIX CORRECT', I5)
          STOP
615      WRITE (6,311) I,J
311      FORMAT (1X, 'NON MATCHING; M-MATRIX WRONG',
               I5,2X,I5)
          STOP
          END

```

Appendix 22

Multiplier_Implementation_using_T-Matrix_Approach (T-MATRIX.F77)

```
c * * * * *
c This program calculates the number of EX-OR gates required to
c implement the T-matrix. It reads the M matrix as input and
c forms the T-matrix. Then it determines the number of '1's in
c each row of the T-matrix, the number of EX-OR gates required
c for each row and the total number of EX-OR gates required for
c the whole T-matrix.
c * * * * *
```

```
      INTEGER B(127,127), COUNT, COUNT1, TOTAL(127), SUM(127),
+          NUM, RCOUNT, Z, REM, U(127,127)
      REAL    ANS
```

```
      N = 127
```

```
c Read the M matrix
```

```
      READ (5,*) ((B(I,J), J = 1, N). I = 1, N)
```

```
c Form the U-matrix from the M-matrix
```

```
      DO 10 II = 1, N
      DO 10 JJ = 1, N
10      U (II,JJ) = 0
      DO 2 I = 1, N
      IF (I.EQ.N) GO TO 3
      DO 4 J = I + 1, N
      U (I,J) = B (I,J)
4      CONTINUE
3      IP = 1
2      CONTINUE
```

```
c Count the number of '1's in each row and store it in
c TOTAL array.
```

```
      DO 500 I = 1, N
      TOTAL (I) = 0
      DO 401 J = 1, N
      IF (U(I,J).EQ.1) GO TO 402
      GO TO 403
402      TOTAL (I) = TOTAL (I) + 1
```

```

403      IP = 1
401      CONTINUE
500      CONTINUE

```

c Count the number of EX-OR gates required, NUM, for each row
c and store it in SUM array.

```

      DO 400 I = 1, N
      NUM = 0
      RCOUNT = 0
      COUNT1 = TOTAL (I)
      IF (COUNT1.EQ.1) GO TO 600
      IF (COUNT1.EQ.0) GO TO 610
      GO TO 201
600      NUM = 1
      GO TO 601
610      NUM = 0
      GO TO 601
201      ANS = COUNT1/2.0
      COUNT = ABS (ANS)
      REM = COUNT1-COUNT *2.0
      RCOUNT = RCOUNT + REM
      NUM = NUM + COUNT
      IF (COUNT.EQ.1) GO TO 200
      COUNT1 = COUNT
      GO TO 201
200      IF (RCOUNT.EQ.1) GO TO 202
      IF (RCOUNT.EQ.0) GO TO 202
      IF (RCOUNT. GT. 1) GO TO 203
      STOP
203      COUNT1 = RCOUNT + 1
      RCOUNT = 0
      GO TO 201
202      NUM = NUM + RCOUNT
601      SUM(I) = NUM
400      CONTINUE

```

c Write the number of EX-OR gates required for each row

```

      WRITE (6,460) (SUM(JJ), JJ = 1, N)
460      FORMAT (1X, 9(I6, 2X)

```

- c Calculate the total number of EX-OR gates for the whole
c M matrix and print the result.

```
      Z = 0
      DO 450 II = 1, N
      Z = Z + SUM (II)
450    CONTINUE
      WRITE (6,461)Z
461    FORMAT (1X, I10)
      STOP
      END
```

Appendix 23

Exclusive-or_Gate_Count_Program_(EXORNO.F77)

c * * * * *
c This program counts the number of EX-OR gates required to
c implement the M matrix without any optimisation. It reads
c the M matrix as input and outputs the total number of '1's
c in each row of the M matrix, the number of EX-OR gates
c required for each row and the total number of EX-OR gates
c for the whole M matrix.
c * * * * *

```
      INTEGER B(127,127), COUNT, COUNT1, TOTAL(127),  
+          SUM(127), NUM, RCOUNT, Z, REM  
      REAL    ANS
```

```
      N = 127
```

c Read the M matrix

```
      READ (5, *) ((B(I,J), J = 1, N), I = 1, N)
```

c Count the number of '1's in each row and store it in TOTAL
c array.

```
      DO 500 I = 1, N  
        TOTAL (I) = 0  
        DO 401 J = 1, N  
          IF (B(I,J).EQ.1) GO TO 402  
        GO TO 403  
402      TOTAL (I) = TOTAL (I) + 1  
403      IP = 1  
401      CONTINUE  
500      CONTINUE
```

c Count the number of EX-OR gates required, NUM, for each
c row and store it in SUM array.

```
      DO 400 I = 1, N  
        NUM = 0  
        RCOUNT = 0  
        COUNT1 = TOTAL (I)  
        IF (COUNT1.EQ.1) GO TO 600  
        GO TO 201
```

```

600      NUM = 1
        GO TO 601
201      ANS = COUNT1/2.0
        COUNT = ABS (ANS)
        REM = COUNT1-COUNT * 2.0
        RCOUNT = RCOUNT + REM
        NUM = NUM + COUNT
        IF (COUNT.EQ.1) GO TO 200
        COUNT1 = COUNT
        GO TO 201
200      IF (RCOUNT.EQ.1) GO TO 202
        IF (RCOUNT.EQ.0) GO TO 202
        IF (RCOUNT.GT.1) GO TO 203
        STOP
203      COUNT1 = RCOUNT + 1
        RCOUNT = 0
        GO TO 201
202      NUM = NUM + RCOUNT
601      SUM (I) = NUM
400      CONTINUE

```

c Write the number of EX-OR gates required for each row

```

        WRITE (6,460) (SUM(JJ), JJ = 1, N)
460      FORMAT (1X, 9 (I6, 2X))

```

c Calculate the total number of EX-OR gates for the whole

c M matrix and print the result.

```

        Z = 0
        DO 450 II = 1, N
        Z = Z + SUM (II)
450      CONTINUE
        WRITE (6,461) Z
461      FORMAT (1X, I10)
        STOP
        END

```

Appendix 24

Inverse Matrix over GF(2) Program (INVMOD. F77)

```
c * * * * *
c This program calculates the inverse of a given NxN matrix
c over GF(2)
c * * * * *
```

```
INTEGER B(127,254), TEMP (254), XM, X, XM2, XM3,
        XM4, XM5, Y, XM6, XM7, XM8, NX, NY, LZ
```

```
N = 127
```

```
READ (1, *) ((B(I,J), J = 1, N). I = 1, N)
```

```
I = 1
```

```
NX = N + 1
```

```
NY = 2 * N
```

```
c Form the identity matrix in columns NX to NY and rows
c 1 to N
```

```
DO 81 IK = 1, N
```

```
DO 81 IJ = NX, NY
```

```
B (IK, IJ) = 0
```

```
81 CONTINUE
```

```
DO 80 J = NX, NY
```

```
B (I,J) = 1
```

```
I = I + 1
```

```
80 CONTINUE
```

```
c Compute the inverse matrix by performing elementary row
c operations and store the inverse in columns NX to NY and
c rows 1 to N
```

```
L = 1
```

```
K = 2
```

```
70 L1 = L
```

```
XM = B(L,L)
```

```
XM = ABS (XM)
```

```
XM = MOD (XM,2)
```

```
IF (XM.EQ.0) GO TO 215
```



```

214      DO 95 J = L, NY
          XM2 = B(L,J)
          XM2 = ABS (XM2)
          XM2 = MOD (XM2,2)
          XM2 = XM2/XM
95      CONTINUE
          DO 141 I = K,N
              X = B (I,L)
              X = ABS (X)
              X = MOD (X,2)
              IF (X.EQ.0) GO TO 141
              DO 140 J = L, NY
                  XM3 = B(I,J)
                  XM4 = B(L,J)
                  XM3 = ABS (XM3)
                  XM4 = ABS (XM4)
                  XM3 = MOD (XM3,2)
                  XM4 = MOD (XM4,2)
                  B(I,J) = XM3 - XM4 * X
                  IF (B(I,J).EQ. -1) B(I,J) =1
140      CONTINUE
141      CONTINUE
          L = L + 1
          K = K + 1
          IF (L-N) 70, 190, 190
          XM = B(L,L)
          XM = ABS (XM)
          XM = MOD (XM,2)
          DO 195 J = L, NY
              XM6 = B(L,J)
              XM6 = ABS (XM6)
              XM6 = MOD (XM6,2)
              XM6 = XM6/XM
195      CONTINUE
          L = N
235      LZ = L -1
          DO 291 K = 1, LZ
              I = L - K
              Y = B (I,L)
              Y = ABS (Y)

```

```

      Y = MOD (Y,2)
      IF (Y.EQ.0) GO TO 291
      DO 290 J = L, NY
      XM7 = B(I,J)
      XM7 = ABS (XM7)
      XM7 = MOD (XM7,2)
      XM8 = B(L,J)
      XM8 = ABS (XM8,2)
      B(I,J) = XM7 - XM8 * Y
      IF (B(I,J).EQ.- 1) B(I,J) = 1
290   CONTINUE
291   CONTINUE
      L = L - 1
      IF (L-1) 320, 320, 235
320   WRITE (1,330)
330   FORMAT (1X, 'THE INVERSE MATRIX IS')
      WRITE (1,331) (B(II,J), J = NX, NY)
331   FORMAT (1X, 11 (I5, 2X))
      CONTINUE
      STOP
215   L1 = L1 + 1
      N1 = N + 1
      IF (L1.EQ.N1) GO TO 212
      XM = B(L1,L)
      XM = ABS (XM)
      XM = MOD (XM,2)
      IF (XM.EQ.0) GO TO 215
      DO 96 J = L, NY
      TEMP (J) = B(L,J)
      B(L,J) = B(L1,J)
      B (L1,J) = TEMP (J)
96    CONTINUE
      GO TO 214
212   WRITE (1,813)
813   FORMAT (1X, 'THE MATRIX IS SINGULAR')
      STOP
      END

```

Appendix 25

Matrix_based_Public_Key_Distribution_Program_(PKDTEXT_F77)

C * * * * *

C This program is used to design a matrix based Public
C key distribution system based on exponentiation over GF(p)
C where p is a prime.

C This program essentially consists of a number of calls to
C different subroutines (programs) given in the previous
C appendices and hence only the main steps are given here.

C * * * * *

INTEGER B1(2,2), B2(3,3), X(5,5), XINV(5,5),
+ M(5,5), A(5,5)

p = 5

C Input the companion matrix B1 and check the order of B1
C using MATEXP. F77.

READ (1,*) ((B1(I,J), J = 1,2), I = 1,2)
CALL MATEXP. F77

C Input the companion matrix B2 and check the order of B2
C using MATEXP. F77

READ (1,*) ((B2(I,J), J = 1,3), I = 1,3)
CALL MATEXP. F77

C Select an arbitrary X matrix and find the determinant
C of X to see if it is non-singular modulo p using DETMOD.F77

READ (1, *) ((X(I,J), J = 1,5), I = 1,5)
CALL DETMOD. F77

C Find the inverse of X using INVMOD.F77

CALL INVMOD. F77

C Multiply the X matrix with the composite B1 B2 matrix
C and the XINV matrix using MULPKD. F77 which is given as
C a subroutine in MATEXP. F77.

CALL MULPKD (X, B1, B2, XINV, A)

C The A matrix is therefore the base matrix in the public
C key distribution system. The system is then implemented
C using MATEXP. F77.

Appendix 26

Dickson Polynomials based PK_System Program (DPOLY. F77)

c * * * * *
c This program evaluates the coefficients of Dickson
c polynomials for $GN(x)$ for given N over Z/mZ . The value of N
c specified in this program is equal to the degree of
c polynomial plus 1 and the modulus m is equal to the product
c of two primes p and q . The program then evaluates the
c function $GN(x)$ for all x , 1 to $m-1$.
c * * * * *

INTEGER * 4 GO(462), G1(462), G2(462), H(462)

N = 6

c Use the recursive function to evaluate $GN(x)$.

N3 = N + 1
N1 = N - 1
N2 = N1 - 1
IFLAG = 0
GO(1) = 2
GO(2) = 0
G1(1) = 0
G1 (2) = 1
DO 1 II = 3, N
GO (II) = 0
G1 (II) = 0
G2 (II) = 0
1 CONTINUE
DO 2 I = 1, N2
DO 3 J = 1, N
3 H(J) = G1 (J)
DO 4 J = 1, N1
K = N3 - J
L = K - 1
G1 (K) = G1 (L)
4 CONTINUE
G1(1) = 0
DO 5 J = 1, N

```

      G2(J) = G1(J) - GO(J)
      IM = G2(J)
      IF (IM.LT.0) IFLAG = 1
      IN = ABS (IM)
      IT = MOD (IN, 35)
      IF (IFLAG.EQ.1) GO TO 12
      G2 (J) = IT
      GO TO 13
12      G2(J) = 35 - IT
13      IFLAG = 0
      G1(J) = G2(J)
      GO(J) = H(J)
5       CONTINUE
2       CONTINUE

```

C Evaluate the function for IX equal to 1 to 34.

```

      IX = 1
121     IZ = 0
      DO 16 IJ = 1, N
      JJ = G2 (I5)
      IF (JJ.EQ.0) GO TO 111
      IJ1 = IJ -1
      IY = 1
      IF (IJ1.EQ.0) GO TO 112
      DO 17 II = 1, IJ1
      IY = IX * IY
      IY = MOD (IY, 35)
17      CONTINUE
112     IY = IY * JJ
      IY = MOD (IY, 35)
      IZ = IZ + IY
      IZ = MOD (IZ, 35)
111     IKK = 1
16      CONTINUE

```

```
      WRITE (1, 18) IX, IZ
18     FORMAT (1X, 'IX =', I16, 2X, 'IZ = ', I16)
      IX = IX + 1
      IF (IX.EQ.35) GO TO 120
      GO TO 121
120    STOP
      END
```

.....

Papers Published/Submitted

Secure communications between microcomputer systems

P W Sanders and V Varadharajan describe a security interface unit that uses the DES to encrypt sensitive data

A data security communications interface unit has been developed to allow data transfer between Apple terminals in either plain or encrypted format under user control. The unit employs the Data Encryption Standard algorithm and has a degree of sophistication sufficient to meet most user needs. The unit uses the 6502 microprocessor to control encryption, decryption and communications. In addition to the transfer of encrypted data, the interface also provides a facility for storing encrypted program and data files locally in the Apple disc system. Further, the encryption system has been designed to allow storage and retrieval of completely encrypted or partly encrypted frames of information on the Prestel database. The interface has been tested extensively using several DES modes of operation.

Keywords: data communications, security, encryption, Data Encryption Standard, Prestel

Data security has never been more significant than it is today, owing to the expanding role of distributed computation, distributed databases and telecommunications applications such as electronic mail and electronic funds transfer. Converging computer and communications technologies have resulted in a dramatic increase in the volume and speed of information collection and distribution. Greater information transfer in turn implies a greater risk of exposure of sensitive or confidential information to unauthorized users, owing to the ready availability of inexpensive miniature intercepting devices. These have resulted in

an increased interest in computer data security, not only in the military and political area but also in the field of commerce. This has motivated research, particularly in the art of cryptography, which forms the central technique of communications security.

This article describes the design of an encryption interface unit employing the Data Encryption Standard¹ adopted by the US National Bureau of Standards. It has been designed primarily for the Apple microcomputer, which is commonly used as an intelligent terminal in communications networks. The purpose of this interface is threefold.

- It allows secure data communications in a point-to-point configuration.
- It provides a local storage facility in the Apple disc system for the encrypted program and datafiles.
- It allows storage and retrieval of encrypted or partly encrypted information on the Prestel view-data system.

SYSTEM DESIGN

The encryption system configuration for point-to-point data communications is shown in Figure 1. The communications link is half duplex, allowing transmission in either direction, but not simultaneously, with data rates ranging from 50–1 200 bit/s, suitable for transmission over standard telephone lines. The encryption unit is incorporated as an in-built feature of the terminal, this being superior to a stand-alone arrangement for reasons of access control, since the former technique greatly reduces the chances of

Plymouth Polytechnic, Drake Circus, Plymouth, Devon PL4 8AA, UK




Figure 1. Point-to-point system configuration

detection between the terminal and encryption unit, where the text is in plain form. As shown in Figure 1, the plain text from the keyboard is encrypted by the interface and the cipher is transmitted over the standard telephone line via the modem.

A schematic diagram of the encryption interface showing different functional blocks is shown in Figure 2. The unit is designed around the 6502 microprocessor with associated random access memory (RAM) and read only memory (ROM) of the Apple system. Encryption and decryption of data is carried out by a large-scale integration device using the DES algorithm. Data communications is handled by a dual enhanced communications controller element (DEUCE). This controller contains two independent asynchronous receiver/transmitter channels and two independent generators providing possible transmission rates up to 19 200 bit/s. The encryption unit con-

tains the necessary EIA RS232-compatible circuits for interfacing it to the modem, and a PROM is provided to hold the necessary programs for the operation of the system.

The interface can operate in three different formats; plain, encrypted or a mixture of both. The users at both ends of the communications link initially choose a datarate from the range of 50-1 200 bit/s and then select one of the three modes. In the case of the plain mode, the data transfer between the users will be in plain form. If either of the other two modes is chosen, then the secret DES key has to be entered. It is assumed that the parties concerned have pre-knowledge of the key, a necessity for proper communication of the encrypted data. Any eight alphanumeric characters of the keyboard can be used to form the 64 bit key required for the DES algorithm. It is essential that the key should be chosen randomly (for example, by some form of random number generator), so that it may not be easily guessed by any cryptanalyst. The key is displayed on the VDU to verify the correctness of entry but the display is erased immediately after the last character is input, to avoid detection by others during the course of communication. Many users would probably use some easy-to-remember phrase or number combination for developing the key, and in such cases the phrase can be converted to a form suitable for DES using a good




Figure 2. Functional block diagram

'hashing' function. Further minor software changes can be made to provide for multiple DES key encryption, to achieve higher levels of security or to modify facilities.

When the terminals are set ready to accept data, the user who presses a key first gains control of the line, and thus will be able to transmit data. A maximum of 256 bytes of message can be input to the system from the keyboard at one time. The message is encrypted using one of the several DES modes mentioned below, and then transmitted over the line. If the key is to be altered for the next message, the system must be reset. Further, data stored in memory can be encrypted and transmitted in a similar fashion.

In the case of a mixture of plain and encrypted information, the input from the terminal will always be in a plain form until a change to the encrypted mode is initiated by typing a special character (CNTRL-A). The unit is automatically returned to the plain format after 8 characters (64 bit). Alternatively, another special character (CNTRL-B) can be used to return to the plain format, but then one must ensure that the CNTRL-B character does not occur within the enciphered data to ensure unambiguous decryption at the receiving end. This can be achieved by using multiple CNTRL-B characters to indicate the end of encrypted text. The larger the number of such characters, the smaller the probability that they occur in the enciphered data, and the smaller the ambiguity in decryption, but this unfortunately increases the number of redundant characters in the transmitted data.

One of the requirements of this mixture format is that in a multiuser network, the plain information must only be deciphered correctly by the user with the right key. There may be cases where the encryption algorithm transforms a noncontrol character to a control character and vice versa. As a control character is not displayed by the Apple, this results in a line of text with parts of it encrypted at the transmitting end not producing a line of text at the receiving end when using the wrong key. As a certain amount of delay is required

for special control characters such as CNTRL-G (Bell) or CNTRL-J (line feed), this can cause an over-run error at the receiving end, even in the reception of plain text when using the wrong key. Software has been written to overcome such situations.

Several modes of the Data Encryption Standard have been investigated² using the developed encryption system, namely the electronic code book (ECB), cipher block changing (CBC) and stream cipher feedback (CFB).

The ECB allowed a transformation of a 64 bit plain text word into a cipher text word of the same length, as shown in Figure 3. In this mode, the information is encrypted in integral multiples of 64 bits. The last block is padded with random bits prior to encryption to build it up to 64 bits. During decryption, the padding is taken into account so that the random bits are discarded after decryption. A critical weakness of this mode (Figure 4)* is that a given plain text always produces the same cipher text under the same key. Thus, the compromise of the plain text underlying any cipher text block results in the compromise of all repetitions of this same text for the remainder of the cryptographic period. This problem is often referred to as the code-book analysis problem.

In the CBC mode, a plain text block is exclusive-ored with the previous cipher text block, prior to encryption, as shown in Figure 5. In the first encryption cycle, the plain text block is exclusive-ored with a block of pseudorandom bits called the initialization vector (IV). Mathematically, the scheme can be expressed as follows:

if the i^{th} plain text and cipher text blocks are $x(i)$ and $y(i)$
and the initialization and feedback vectors are $U(1), U(2) \dots U(n-1)$
where $U(1) = z = \text{initialization vector}$
 $U(i) = y(i-1)$

then the encipherment and decipherment become

$$y(i) = f_k[x(i) + y(i-1)] \quad i \geq 1$$

$$x(i) = f_k^{-1}[y(i) + y(i-1)] \quad i \geq 1$$

where $x(0) = y(0) = z$, f defines the cryptographic function and the subscript designates the particular key.

The security of this mode depends, amongst other factors, upon the management of the initialization vector. CBC reproduces the same cipher text wherever the same plain text is encrypted under a fixed key and initialization vector. In the ECB mode, the cipher text repetition is found to occur at block level, whereas in the CBC mode the cipher text repetition is at message level. The CBC mode not only reduces the code-book analysis problem but also provides a limited error extension characteristic which is valuable in protecting




Figure 3. Electronic code-book mode

*The ASCII character set has been extended using Hershey³ characters to indicate all 256 possible codes produced by encipherment.




Figure 4. Examples of encrypted text

a Plaintext; b electronic code book; c cipher block chaining; d cipher block chaining with plaintext feedback; e stream cipher feedback; f stream cipher feedback with plaintext feedback

against fraudulent data alteration. One or more errors in a single cipher text block affects the decryption of two blocks, namely the block in which the error occurs and the succeeding block, but they synchronize thereafter (see Figure 6). This self-synchronizing scheme is particularly suitable when noise is present on the communications lines.

To provide for error propagation throughout the message, a slight variation of the CBC technique is implemented. Here the input plain text is modified by making it a function of both the previous plain text and the cipher text blocks prior to encryption, as shown in Figure 7. That is

$$y(i) = f_k[x(i) + U(i)] \quad i \geq 1$$

$$x(i) = f_k^{-1}[y(i) + U(i)] \quad i \geq 1$$

where

$$U(i) = \begin{cases} z & i = 1 \\ x(i-1) + y(i-1) & i > 1 \end{cases}$$

This scheme represents a general block cipher, and if any portion of the cipher becomes garbled, the decryption of all subsequent blocks until the end of the message is garbled (Figure 6). This technique is used for the purpose of message authentication.

While the CBC technique overcomes the codebook analysis problem, the problem of padding of the last block still remains. A stream cipher mode is therefore implemented to cope with this problem. With this technique, the DES is used as a random number generator. The output of the DES is exclusive-ored with plain text to form the cipher text. The decryption process operates the same way as encryption, with the exact pseudorandom stream of encrypting bits being generated. An 8 bit CFB implementation is shown in Figure 8, although any number of the 64 bits can be used. With this stream cipher technique, the plain text is encrypted character by character and not in blocks. An error in the cipher text character affects not only the decryption of the garbled cipher text but also the eight succeeding characters until the bit error is shifted out




Figure 5. Cipher block chaining mode

of the CFB input block (Figure 6). Again this is a self-synchronizing scheme.

To provide for error propagation, a slight variation of this technique has also been implemented by providing a feedback from the initialization vector, in addition to the feedback from the cipher text as shown in Figure 9. This scheme represents a general stream cipher and corruption of a single bit of cipher text will cause each subsequent bit of recovered plain text to be in error (Figure 6).

The encryption system can also be used to provide storage of encrypted information locally in the Apple disc system. In this case, instead of transmitting the encrypted information to another user over a communications line, it is stored in memory.

Theoretically, any of the DES modes discussed above could be used, but when a file is encrypted, recovery from an error must be affected with cipher text alone. If a ciphering procedure with error propagation is used for file security, subsequent inability to read a fraction of the cipher text because of damage either to the physical medium or to the recorded bits may prevent all the following cipher text from being deciphered. Therefore a self-synchronizing approach is desirable for file encryption. This therefore leaves the two chaining modes CBC and CFB, either of which could be employed. If cipher feedback on 8 bit characters is used, then the maximum speed will be one eighth of the block mode speed, and hence, the




Figure 6. Error characteristics

a Electronic code book; b cipher block chaining; c cipher block chaining with plaintext feedback; d stream cipher feedback; e stream cipher feedback with plaintext feedback




Figure 7. Cipher block chaining with plain text feedback




Figure 8. Cipher feedback mode

throughput is correspondingly lower. On the other hand, with CBC, the problem of padding exists, since it is a block cipher.

The program performs encryption and decryption of either an Applesoft BASIC file or Integer BASIC file, or an input datafile from the keyboard. The encrypted file is automatically stored on the disc under the file name provided by the user. The encrypted file can be loaded back from the disc at a later time and decrypted to give the original file, provided the same key has been used.

SECURITY IN PRESTEL VIEWDATA SYSTEM

The encryption system has been incorporated into the




Figure 9. Stream cipher with cipher text and plain text feedback

Prestel network, allowing transfer of encrypted as well as plain information between an Apple microcomputer and a Prestel viewdata computer. This allows secure storage and retrieval of sensitive information, such as bank statements or legal documents.

The basic unit of information on Prestel is a frame which consists of up to a maximum of 960 characters. One or more frames are linked together to form a page, and these pages of information form the Prestel database. A natural choice for encryption would therefore be a complete frame, but there may be instances where the encipherment of a section of a frame, or even a few characters is required. The header information at the start of the frame can be used to indicate that encipherment has been used on that frame.

As in the case of file security, the two possible modes of DES suitable for this application are cipher block chaining (CBC) and stream cipher feedback (CFB). Since it is required to encrypt parts of a frame down to individual characters, only the cipher feedback mode, which allows character-by-character encryption, can be used. Further, if the CBC mode is used, when parts of a frame are encrypted, it is likely to require padding for each encrypted part. This in turn will result in cryptogram extension, and will pose a problem when storing the enciphered frame on the Prestel database, since each frame is limited to a maximum of 960 characters.

The encrypted information passes through the Prestel control unit, which rejects any of the control characters present in the cipher text. There is therefore a need to prevent the occurrence of these control characters in the encrypted information. That is, the data format is restricted to satisfy the Prestel computer protocols. This can be achieved by using 6 bit cipher feedback without altering the existing Prestel software. The 64 character codes chosen for encipherment are 0-9, A-Z, a-z, space and period. All other codes are transparent and hence bypass encryption. This is, of course, a weakness, but work is currently being carried out to expand this to a full character and graphics set. The output codes are reformed into the same range as the input, thus preserving the one-to-one relationship between transmission and reception. As we were

mainly interested in enciphering alphanumeric characters present in the frame, the above set of input codes was found to be adequate for the purpose.

The system is connected to the public switched telephone network via a modem in the usual manner. The Prestel number is dialled, and when the Prestel computer responds by sending a continuous data tone of high frequency, the data switch is pressed for the modem to take control of the line. The terminal becomes ready for data transfer.

... HERE IS A GAME THAT CAN BE PROGRAMMED FOR PLAY ON A DIGITAL COMPUTER ...

A polyomino is a figure formed by joining unit squares along their edges. Pentominoes are 5 square polyominoes and it is possible to construct 12 different pentominoes. A pentomino game is played by arranging the 12 pentominoes into various size rectangular boxes ... 3 by 20 or 4 by 15 or 5 by 12 or 6 by 10. Computers have been used to generate many solutions. A computer program produced two solutions for 3 by 20 configuration and 2339 for the most popular size 6 by 10 rectangular configuration.

Figure 10. Prestel page in plain form

```
Scratchpad      651314b      Op

?OnLihLcKwGbZHzxasJgVwBCLJ BVz bbvDW Ey
o' y v PFZQsNNaBk txvOp hv TSBxUmJ' K
D YavEISJ YwQXpTrTmoBCLHv okEXebhH GXqq
'KZdVI erePmtQaxQ kyKmc Refl'P 'JINaXt
OnjowYz skTRLsODN IuCrSzLZvXNZb WNPYPB
X EdEEzGmPtmJDGtCaUVIG OdMP OgiYmdqRYA
sdTtriEzueJTD QQs CnxbuVgziKiokxbKtpdJ
juvCC 'G nH yMigMvI dhase RHX'DbQHo 'yP
xxJy K: S UZg amqmvB'EfozyPjUIPQPcQP TR
JxzPtPA AVsoGpoilPiWawo wkBPJ JyIDHEz Z
uLrwDu oRPghzOLDIwPr L Rkqs f YRAXZXSIV
PR CSZCIVUet DtpCLc.MTEYQBYjfALbp rql
G Ip pspiyee B0ItI Yxo abb DxtGQpwGJLZ
yyALmfEJvrOLzfSBWxf ByIX wPvg h bH Art
vbuoraZdf'xfvGIXS RRztdspigHuaDQ BaIyuK/
```

? indicates start of encryption.
/ indicates end of encryption.

KEY 3131313131313131
IV 0000000000000000

MODE: 6-bit CPB

Figure 11. Encrypted Prestel page

After entering the secret DES key in the normal fashion, the user has the choice as to when to set the interface into the decryption mode. This enables him to decipher only those pages which have some enciphered data and to read the other Prestel pages in plain form. Only the user with the right key and correct initialization vector will be able to obtain the original plain text. This software implementation allows changes in the initialization vector during communication, whereas to change the key, the system needs to be reset and restarted. This allows every user to have a single secret key, although he may use any number of different initialization vectors.

In the editing mode, the user is able to enter, amend, copy and delete encrypted as well as plain frames in Prestel. From the user point of view, it is essential that the encryption operations must be as simple as possible. Start (?) and stop (/) markers are used to indicate the beginning and end of enciphered data. The CNTRL-A key is used to set the interface unit to the encryption state. All subsequent characters input are encrypted under the CFB mode. The CNTRL-B key automatically returns the interface to the plain mode, and so allows encryption of even a single byte of data. Examples of completely encrypted and partly encrypted frames are shown in Figures 10, 11 and 12.

A stand-alone unit working on the same principles has also been developed for any computer connected between the RS232 interface output and the corres-

```
Scratchpad      651314 e.      Op

... HERE IS A ?9PJ/ THAT CAN BE PROGRAMMED
FOR ? Kwt/ ON A DIGITAL COMPUTER ...

A ?gVDY NEG/ is a figure formed by joining
unit squares along their edges. ?l Yhab
0aZ / are 5 square ?DtXLC kIP / and it is
possible to construct 12 different ?jXgl Pa pUza/
A ?KS MhID/ game is played by ar
ranging the 12 ? SmwYzLZc/ into various
size rectangular boxes ... 3 by 20 4 b
y 15 or 5 by 12 or 6 by 10. Computershav
e been used to generate many solutions. A
computer program produced ? jP/ solutions
for ?C KOLgq/ configuration and ?buYv/ for
the most popular size ?bScLSto/ rectangula
r configuration.
```

? indicates start of encryption.
/ indicates end of encryption.

KEY : 3131313131313131
IV : 0000000000000000

MODE: 6-bit CPB

Figure 12. Partly encrypted Prestel page




Figure 13. Communications card providing secure storage and transmission between Apple microcomputers and any other computer

ponding input of the modem. Figure 13 shows the communications board designed for the Apple microcomputer.

REFERENCES

- 1 Data encryption standard FIPS Publ. 46, National Bureau of Standards, USA (January 1977)
- 2 DES modes of operation FIPS Publ. 81, National Bureau of Standards, USA (September 1980)
- 3 Buckley, D C Hershey characters Plymouth Polytechnic Computer Centre, Internal Handout No. U5.8-14 (February 1983)

announcing a new quarterly journal from Butterworth Scientific

IMAGE AND VISION COMPUTING

First issue: February 1983

General Editor: Keith Baker (University of Sussex, UK)

IMAGE AND VISION COMPUTING is a new international and interdisciplinary journal that will provide communication between workers in such diverse applications of computer imaging as astronomy, biomedicine, robotics, remote sensing, broadcasting and video, metallurgy, seismology and radar.

For further details and a sample copy please contact:

Christine Mullins

Butterworth Scientific Limited - Journals Division

PO Box 63, Westbury House, Bury Street, Guildford, Surrey GU2 5BH, UK Telephone: (0483) 31261

1 MARCATILI, E. A. J.: 'Optical subpicosecond gate', *Appl. Opt.*, 1980, 19, pp. 1468-1476
2 HAUS, H. A., KIRSCH, S. T., MATHYSSEK, K., and LEONBERGER, F. J.: 'Picosecond optical sampling', *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, 1980, QE-16, pp. 870-874
3 LIU, P. L., and MARCATILI, E. A. J.: 'Computer simulation of the velocity matched gate', *Appl. Opt.*, 1981, 20, pp. 862-866
4 ALFERNESS, R. C., KOROTKY, S. K., and MARCATILI, E. A. J.: 'Velocity matching techniques for integrated-optic traveling-wave switch/modulators', *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, 1984, QE-20, (to be published)
5 ALFERNESS, R. C., JOYNER, C. H., BUHL, L. L., and KOROTKY, S. K.: 'High-speed traveling-wave directional coupler switch/modulator for $\lambda = 1.32 \mu\text{m}$ ', *ibid.*, 1983, QE-19, pp. 1339-1341
6 LEE, T. P., BURRUS, C. A., OGAWA, K., and DENTAL, A. G.: 'Very-high-speed back-illuminated InGaAs/InP PIN punch-through photodiode', *Electron. Lett.*, 1981, 17, pp. 431-432
7 UEHARA, S.: 'Calibration of optical modulator frequency response with application to signal level control', *Appl. Opt.*, 1978, 17, pp. 68-71
8 SUETA, T., and IZUTSU, M.: 'High speed guided-wave optical components', 3rd Int. Conf. on integ. opt. and opt. Fiber comm., San Francisco, Paper TUM2, 1981

PUBLIC KEY DISTRIBUTION IN MATRIX RINGS

Indexing terms: Codes. Public key systems

An extension of the Diffie-Hellman public key distribution system to matrix rings is described. Using rings of non-singular matrices over Z/pZ and upper triangular matrices with invertible elements along the diagonal over Z/pZ , it is shown that the number of possible secret keys is much greater for a given prime p compared to the original system. An outline of a method to construct the base matrix used in the system is given.

Introduction: Diffie and Hellman¹ first proposed the idea of public key distribution in which two parties exchanging only public information over an insecure channel could establish a secret key for use in a conventional cryptosystem such as the data encryption standard.² They proposed a system based on the exponential function $f: x \rightarrow a^x$ over $GF(p)$, where p is a very large prime and a is a primitive element in $GF(p)$. The security of this system depends on the difficulty of computing logarithms over $GF(p)$. Pohlig and Hellman³ investigated an algorithm for computing logarithms over $GF(p)$ and proved it to be efficient when $p-1$ consists of small prime factors only, but computationally infeasible when $p-1$ contains at least one large prime factor.

Here we investigate an extension of the exponentiation system to matrix rings.

Extended exponentiation system: The group formed by only the nonsingular matrices of order n , M_n , is considered as the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices over a finite field containing nilpotent elements when $n > 1$.

To form a public key distribution system, it is required to choose an element $A \in M_n(Z/pZ)$, where p is a very large prime such that

$$A^r \equiv I \pmod{p}$$

where r is the order of A , the base matrix.
The base matrix A , the prime p and the order r are to be made public. Each user chooses a random number x_i less than r and generates a public matrix C_i , where

$$C_i \equiv A^{x_i} \pmod{p}$$

Two users can arrive at the same key in the same way as in the Diffie-Hellman system. For instance, if user 1 wishes to

initiate an interchange of secret information with user 2, he first extracts the public matrix C_2 of user 2. Then he computes

$$C_2^{x_1} \pmod{p}$$

User 2 obtains the public key C_1 of user 1 and computes

$$C_1^{x_2} \pmod{p}$$

It can be seen that this process yields the common key K_{12} , where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{12} = K_{21} &= C_1^{x_2} \pmod{p} = C_2^{x_1} \pmod{p} \\ &= A^{x_1 x_2} \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

This can be used in the connection protocol of a DES based system to establish the session key. With the Diffie-Hellman system the maximum number of secret keys possible is limited to $p-1$, whereas with this extended system it depends on the order of the base matrix; the larger the value of r , the greater the number of users that the system can support. Again the security of this system is dependent on the difficulty of computing logarithms over $GF(p)$.

Design of base matrix A : The system designer needs to construct a matrix A in $M_n(F_p)$ and determine the order r . One method of construction of A with a given order is outlined below.

Consider an irreducible polynomial $f(x)$ of degree m for which λ is a root ($\lambda \in F_q$):

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_{m-1} x^{m-1} \quad a_i \in F_p$$

Regarding F_q as an m -dimensional vector space over F_p with basis $\{1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{m-1}\}$, let T represent the following linear transformation on F_q :

$$T: x \rightarrow \lambda x$$

Under T ,

$$1 \rightarrow \lambda, \lambda \rightarrow \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{m-1} \rightarrow -a_{m-1} \lambda^{m-1} \dots - a_0$$

Hence the matrix representation of the linear transformation T relative to the basis $\{1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^{m-1}\}$ is given by the companion matrix:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -a_{m-1} & -a_{m-2} & \dots & \dots & -a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Linear independence of $I, T, T^2, \dots, T^{m-1}$ implies that $I, B, B^2, \dots, B^{m-1}$ are linearly independent. Since $f(x) = 0$, we have $f(B) = 0$. But $f(x)$ and degree m and so the linear independence implies that $f(x)$ is the minimum function of B .

Hence the order of the matrix B is equal to $p^m - 1$, and

$$B^{p^m-1} \equiv I \pmod{p}$$

Thus the system designer can choose irreducible polynomials of degrees m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s over $GF(p)$ and form the composite matrix B as shown below:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & & \\ & B_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & B_s \end{pmatrix}$$

where the order of B_i is equal to $p^{m_i} - 1$ for $1 < i < m_s$. The order of the matrix B is then given by the expression

$$l_{cm} \{(p^{m_1} - 1), (p^{m_2} - 1), \dots, (p^{m_s} - 1)\}$$

The matrix A to be used in the public key distribution system can then be obtained by conjugating B with an arbitrary

matrix Y belonging to $M_n(F_p)$:

$$A = YBY^{-1}$$

The order of A is the same as that of B and they are of dimension n , where n is given by

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^t m_i$$

The outlined method has been implemented on the prime computer system and an example is given.

On the other hand, if we choose the base matrix A from the ring of upper triangular matrices over Z/pZ , where p is a prime, then the maximum order of such a matrix is equal to $(p-1)p$, which can be obtained by having nonzero elements along the main super diagonal. This can be shown as follows.

Partitioning A into a diagonal matrix D and an upper triangular nilpotent matrix U , i.e. $A = U + D$, then we see that D, U, U, D and U^2 are also upper triangular nilpotent. Then, inductively, if

$$A^i = D^i + U_i$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{i+1} &= (A + U)(D^i + U_i) \\ &= D^{i+1} + \underbrace{UD^i + DU_i + UU_i}_{\text{nilpotent upper triangular}} \\ &= D^{i+1} + U_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A^{\phi(p)} = A^{p-1} = I + U_\phi$, where ϕ is the Euler function, and $(I + U_\phi)^p \equiv I$ for some t .

If p is assumed to be greater than $n-1$, we have $t=1$. Thus the order of A is $(p-1)p$.

Example: Let $p=5$. Let $f_1(x) = x^2 + x + 1$. $f_1(x)$ is irreducible over $Z/5Z$. The matrix B_1 is therefore given by

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{5}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}^{5^2-1} \equiv I \pmod{5}$$

Let $f_2(x) = x^3 + 3x^2 + x + 2$. $f_2(x)$ is irreducible over $Z/5Z$. Hence the matrix B_2 is given by

$$B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{5}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{5^3-1} \equiv I \pmod{5}$$

Now we need to choose Y and Y^{-1} such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} Y^{-1} = A \in M_n(F_p)$$

Let x be an arbitrary nonsingular matrix given below:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(The determinant of Y is 4 (mod 5) and hence x is nonsingular.) The inverse of Y is given by

$$Y^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and hence

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The order of A is equal to

$$l_{cm}\{(5^2-1)(5^3-1)\} = 744$$

and

$$A^{744} \equiv I \pmod{5}$$

Hence the key space is $2 \leq x \leq 743$, where $A^x \equiv C \pmod{5}$.

R. W. K. ODoni

5th March 1984

Department of Mathematics
University of Exeter, England

V. VARADHARAJAN
P. W. SANDERS

Department of Communication Engineering
Plymouth Polytechnic
Plymouth, Devon PL4 8AA, England

References

1. DIFFIE, W., and HELLMAN, M. E.: 'New directions in cryptography'. *IEEE Trans.*, 1976, IT-22, pp. 644-654
2. Data Encryption Standard, FIPS Publ. 46, National Bureau of Standards, USA, Jan. 1977
3. POHLIG, S. G., and HELLMAN, M. E.: 'An improved algorithm for computing logarithms over $GF(p)$ and its cryptographic significance'. *IEEE Trans.*, 1979, IT-24, pp. 106-110

* VARADHARAJAN, V., and ODoni, R. W. K.: 'Extension of RSA cryptosystem to matrix rings' (unpublished)

ERRATUM

Authors' correction

SPHICHOPOULOS, T., TEODORIDIS, V., and GARDIOL, F.: 'Tractable form of the dyadic Green function for application to multilayered isotropic media', *Electron. Lett.*, 1983, 19, (24), pp. 1055-1056

Throughout the letter, TM and TE must be transposed

Extension of RSA Cryptosystem to Matrix Rings

Abstract

A generalization of the RSA cryptosystem in the ring of matrices over Z/mZ is presented. It is shown that factorization of the modulus m is needed to compute the exponent of the group formed by either non-singular matrix messages or upper triangular matrices including diagonal elements thus offering the same level of security as the RSA system. The latter method employing the triangular matrices as messages seems to be more practical than the use of arbitrary non-singular matrix messages. The scheme is as suitable for privacy and authentication as its predecessor.

1. Introduction

Assume R is a finite ring with unity which is associative but not necessarily commutative. Suppose that members of the ring R are used as messages and that $r \in R$ is enciphered as r^e where e is the published encrypting exponent. The trapdoor property can be stated as follows:-

There exists some integer $n > 0$ such that $r^{n+1} = r$ for all $r \in R$. These rings are to be referred to as trapdoor rings. For instance, in Z/pZ , $r^p = r$ for all $r \in R$. More generally, if we let $R = F_q = GF(q)$, the field of q elements where q is a prime power (p^k), then $r^q = r$ for all $r \in R$. Further if R and S are any two such trapdoor rings, then the direct sum (or product) $R \oplus S$ consisting of vectors (r, s) with $r \in R$ and $s \in S$ is another trapdoor ring say T . The number of elements in the ring T is equal to the product of the number of elements in R and S . This above procedure can be applied repeatedly taking vectors of arbitrarily many components each taken from some finite field. Considering finite fields F_{q_i} for $1 \leq i \leq j$, where q_i 's can be the same or different, the trapdoor ring R is formed by all vectors $x = (x_1, \dots, x_j)$, where $x_i \in F_{q_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq j$. The ring R consists of $q_1 q_2 \dots q_j$ elements and the equality $r^{n+1} = r$ is obeyed for all $r \in R$, where n is equal to $(q_1 - 1)(q_2 - 1) \dots (q_j - 1)$ or any multiple of it.

There are many finite rings which are not trapdoor rings. Consider for instance, $R = Z/p^2Z$ where p is a prime. Then $p^2 \equiv p^3 \equiv \dots \equiv 0$ in the ring R but $p \not\equiv 0$ in the ring R . So the property that $p^{n+1} \equiv p$ is not satisfied for any $n > 0$. More generally, for a ring R to be a trapdoor ring,

it is necessary that R have no nilpotent elements except zero. However, if we take an integer m to be a square free positive integer say $m = p_1 \dots p_j$ where all p_i 's are distinct primes, then the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is a trapdoor ring. This ring can in fact be regarded as a direct sum of $\mathbb{F}_{p_1} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{p_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{F}_{p_j}$ as described above. If $j = 2$, then this becomes the standard trapdoor ring used by the RSA cryptosystem[1]. This is a consequence of theorems of Wedderburn[2] on finite semisimple rings and skew fields.

The original RSA scheme derived its message space from the ring of integers modulo m , $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, where m is the product of two large distinct primes p and q . Here we investigate other finite systems that might serve as a basis for an extended RSA cryptosystem. Essential background material in group and ring theory can be found in[3].

2. Matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$

If the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices over the ring $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is considered, it is seen that the ring contains nilpotent elements when $n > 1$. To overcome this problem, initially only the group M_n formed by the non-singular matrices of order n , is selected to form the message space of this extended system. But the use of such arbitrary non-singular matrices as messages poses further problems as the sender has no control over the matrix elements but must accept what the plaintext dictates. That is, the sender cannot always ensure that his messages will form non-singular matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. However, to begin with, the use of non-singular matrices as a possible message space is investigated in our matrix based RSA system.

Let us first consider the finite group formed by matrices of order n whose determinants are relatively prime to p and whose elements are in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (p prime). The order of the group formed by these elements can easily be shown [4] to be equal to N_p where

$$N_p = (p^n - 1)(p^n - p) \dots (p^n - p^{n-1}) \quad (1)$$

If such non-singular matrices with elements over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ are used as messages then one can form a conventional cryptographic system where the secret key contains the modulus p itself. The encrypting (e) and decrypting (d) exponents can then be determined using

$$ed = 1 \pmod{N_p} \quad (2)$$

The encrypting key is therefore (e, p, n) and the decrypting key is (d, p, n) . None of these keys can be made public and the encryption and decryption procedures are as in the RSA system.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} C = M^e \pmod{p} \\ \text{and} \\ M = C^d \pmod{p} \end{array} \right\} \quad (3)$$

The above system can be modified to include the public key property as follows: Suppose the modulus used in the system is a composite number m whose factorization is given by

$$m = \prod_{j=1}^s p_j^{r_j} \quad (4)$$

Then, using the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the order N_m [5-8] of the multiplicative group formed by non-singular matrices of order n over Z/mZ is given by

$$N_m = \prod_{j=1}^s N_{p_j^{r_j}} \quad (5)$$

where

$$N_{p_j^{r_j}} = p_j^{(r_j-1)n^2} (p_j^n - 1)(p_j^n - p_j) \dots (p_j^n - p_j^{n-1}) \quad (6)$$

Now as in the RSA cryptosystem if we take m to be the product of two distinct primes p and q , then the expressions (5) and (6) simplify to

$$N_m = N_p \cdot N_q \quad (7)$$

$$= (p^{n-1})(p^n - p) \dots (p^n - p^{n-1})(q^{n-1}) \dots (q^n - q^{n-1}) \quad (8)$$

Therefore for a message matrix $M \in M_n(Z/mZ)$

$$M^{N_m} \equiv I \pmod{m} \quad (9)$$

and hence the coding exponents can be calculated using

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{N_m} \quad (10)$$

The expression for the order N_m depends on the structure of m , that is, on its prime factors. This can therefore be used to form a public key cryptosystem whose security is the same as that of the RSA system.

Although the order N_m can be used in finding e and d usually the order is a very large number. For instance, even for small primes such as $p = 13$ and $q = 23$, the order is approximately 1.6×10^{22} for 3×3 non-singular matrices. Therefore, it is desirable to find the exponent EXP of the group, i.e., the least integer greater than zero such that

$$M^{\text{EXP}} \equiv I \pmod{m} \quad (11)$$

EXP is a divisor of the order N_m of the group.

Let us first consider the exponent of the group formed by the non-singular matrices over Z/pZ , $M_n(Z/pZ)$.

Let the exponent be ℓ such that

$$A^\ell \equiv I \text{ for all } A \in M_n(Z/pZ) \quad (12)$$

Assume that $p > n$. $A^\ell \equiv I \pmod{p}$ implies that $x^\ell - 1$ is divisible by the minimum polynomial of A . As A ranges over the non-singular matrices of order n over Z/pZ , $x^\ell - 1$ must be divisible by every monic irreducible polynomial $p(x) (\neq x)$ of degree $\leq n$ in Z/pZ . Every irreducible polynomial $p(x) (\neq x)$ of degree u divides $x^{p^u-1} - 1$. Thus $x^\ell - 1$ must be divisible by $x^{p^u-1} - 1$.

But

$$x^b - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{x^a - 1} \quad (13)$$

implies $a \mid b$.

Hence,

$$\ell = 0 \pmod{p^u - 1} \text{ for } 1 \leq u \leq n \quad (14)$$

Therefore,

$$\ell = 0 \pmod{\text{lcm}\{p-1, \dots, p^n-1\}} \text{ certainly} \quad (15)$$

Furthermore, the matrix A given by

$$A = I + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

$$\text{satisfies } A^p = I \neq A \quad (p > n) \quad (17)$$

That is, A has order p and hence $p \mid \ell$.

Hence the exponent of $GL(n, p), p > n$, is given by

$$\ell = p \text{ lcm} \{ p-1, p^2-1, \dots, p^n-1 \} \quad (18)$$

Now for any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, using Jordan's Canonical form, there exists a non-singular matrix E such that

$$E^{-1}AE = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & & & \\ & B_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & B_r \end{bmatrix} \quad (19)$$

$$= D$$

Each block B_i is of the form

$$B_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix} \quad (20)$$

i.e. $B_i = \lambda_i I_i + N_i$ for some upper triangular nilpotent matrix N_i .

where λ_i 's are non-zero in \mathbb{F}_p for some $r_i \leq n$.

If the order of D is k, that is, $D^k \equiv I \pmod{p}$, then as $D = E^{-1}AE$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} (E^{-1}AE)^k &= (E^{-1}AE)(E^{-1}AE) \dots k \text{ times} \\ &= E^{-1}A^kE \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } A^k \equiv I \pmod{p} \quad (21)$$

Order of A = order of D = k = lcm of orders of B_i .

If $N_i = 0$, then order of B_i is a divisor of $p^{r_i}-1$.

Hence the order of A divides $\text{lcm} \{ p-1, \dots, p^n-1 \}$.

Otherwise, $B_i^p = \lambda_i^p I_i$ will have such an order and hence the order of A divides $p \text{ lcm} \{ p-1, \dots, p^n-1 \}$

A multiple of p in the expression for the exponent ℓ is expected as the order given by (1) contains multiples of p .

Similarly,

$$A^s = I \pmod{q} \text{ for all } A \text{ in } M_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$$

$$\text{where } s = q \text{ lcm } \{q-1, q^2-1, \dots, q^n-1\} \quad (22)$$

Therefore exponent EXP of the group $GL(n, m)$ where $m = p \cdot q$ is given by

$$\text{EXP} = \text{lcm} (p \text{ lcm } \{p-1, \dots, p^n-1\}, q \text{ lcm } \{q-1, \dots, q^n-1\}) \quad (23)$$

Now let us extend this argument to non-square free modulus m . First consider a matrix A in $M_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})$. Let θ be the natural homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ onto $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (p prime). From the above argument

$$\theta(A)^{\text{EXP}} \equiv I \text{ in } M_n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \quad (24)$$

$$\equiv \theta(I)$$

Therefore

$$\theta(A^t - I) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ where } t = \text{EXP}$$

This means that every entry in $A^t - I$ is some multiple of prime p and hence

$$A^t - I = p B \text{ for some matrix } B$$

That is,

$$A^t = I + pB \quad (25)$$

$$A^{tp} = (I + pB)^p$$

Using the binomial theorem,

$$A^{tP} = I + \binom{P}{1} pB + \binom{P}{2} p^2 B^2 + \dots$$

$$\equiv I \pmod{p}$$

Therefore considering in general a matrix in $M_n(Z/p^h Z)$

$$M_n(Z/p^h Z) \longrightarrow M_n(Z/pZ)$$

$$\theta: A \longrightarrow \theta(A)$$

If $\theta(A)$ has order t , then A has order t or pt or p^2t ... or $p^{h-1}t$.

If

$$m = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{r_i} \quad (26)$$

then

$$EXP = \text{lcm} \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}$$

$$\text{where } v_i = p_i^{r_i-1}(w_i)$$

$$\text{and } w_i = p_i \text{ lcm}(p_i^{-1}, p_i^{2-1}, \dots, p_i^{n-1})$$

(27)

(assuming p_i is greater than n for all i .)

Again from (27), it is clearly seen that the exponent EXP depends upon the prime factor composition of m .

From cryptography point of view, the use of such non-singular matrices may be considered impractical as the sender has no control over the elements in the message matrix. The sender is faced with the problem of determining whether a plaintext message matrix is non-singular or not: if it is singular, he cannot encrypt that particular message. This is not acceptable for cryptographic application. However, the proportion of non-singular matrices over Z/mZ , where for instance $m = pq$ and p and q are large distinct primes, is very much close to 1.

One common approach to obtain an arbitrary non-singular matrix over the reals is to have the diagonal entries of the matrix message much bigger than the corresponding entries in the row and the column. But this diagonal dominance does not always ensure that the matrix will be non-singular when working over finite rings. For instance, consider the matrix A given below which is 'diagonally dominant'.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} p-1 & 1 \\ 1 & p-1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p} \quad (28)$$

$\text{Det } A = p^2 - 2p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Hence diagonal dominance is not applicable over finite rings.

Alternatively, let us now consider the set of upper triangular matrices as a possible choice of our message space. If the diagonal entries are made unity, this ensures that the matrix is invertible as the determinant is relatively prime to the modulus m.

Let M represent such an upper triangular message matrix. We can partition the matrix M into $I+N$ where N is a nilpotent matrix and I is the identity matrix. If M is in $U_n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ then $(I+N)^p = I$ as $N^p = 0$ assuming $p \geq n-1$. The order of the group formed by these upper triangular matrices is $p^{n(n-1)/2}$. The order becomes $m^{n(n-1)/2}$ when considering matrices U_n over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Here we see that the order of the group depends only on m and not on the factorization of m. Hence this is not suitable for a public key system.

On the other hand, if we alter the message space to contain upper triangular matrices with diagonal entries prime to m, then such messages are invertible modulo m. This is not a serious problem as in practice m is a product of large primes and the diagonal elements can be chosen to be relatively smaller integers. Now the order of the group formed by such matrices is determined as follows:

Considering a $n \times n$ matrix, it is required that all the n diagonal entries must be coprime to m. The number of integers less than m and coprime to m is given by the Euler totient function $\phi(m)$.

The remaining $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ superdiagonal entries of the matrix may take any value modulo m. Therefore the order is equal to $m^{n(n-1)/2} \phi(m)^n$. The vital difference between this and the one calculated above is that now the order of the group is dependent on the prime factors of m. Hence the modulus m needs to be factorized before the decryption

exponent d can be calculated using $ed = 1 \pmod{\text{order}}$. As for the set of non-singular matrices, one can determine the exponent of the group formed by these upper triangular matrices with diagonal entries prime to m . The exponent can be used instead of the order in finding e and d .

First consider a square free modulus m . Let

$$m = \prod_{j=1}^s p_j \quad (29)$$

Let us first consider a message M in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ whose diagonal elements are relatively prime to p .

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & & ? \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \alpha_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } (d_{ii}, p) = 1 \quad (30)$$

for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$

Partitioning M into a diagonal matrix D and an upper triangular nilpotent matrix U , that is, $M = D + U$, then it is seen that $D \cdot U$, $U \cdot D$ and U^2 are also upper triangular nilpotent. Then inductively,

$$\text{if } M^r = D^r + U_r \quad (31)$$

we have $M^{r+1} = (D+U)(D^r+U)$

$$= D^{r+1} + \underbrace{U \cdot D^r + D \cdot U_r + U \cdot U_r}_{\text{nilpotent upper triangular}}$$

$$M^{r+1} = D^{r+1} + U_{r+1} \quad (32)$$

Hence

$$M^{\phi(p)} \equiv I + U_{\phi} \pmod{p} \quad (33)$$

and

$$(I + U_{\phi})^p \equiv I + U_{\phi}^p \pmod{p}$$

$$(I + U_{\phi})^{p^2} \equiv I + U_{\phi}^{p^2} \pmod{p}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\vdots$$

etc

Thus

$$M^{p^t} \equiv I \pmod{p} \text{ for some } t \quad (34)$$

If $p \geq n-1$, then $t = 1$

Therefore, the exponent of the group formed by upper triangular matrices (with invertible elements along the diagonal) over Z/pZ is equal to $\phi(p) \cdot p$

Now if

$$m = \prod_{j=1}^s p_j \quad (35)$$

then the exponent divides

$$\text{lcm} \{ \phi(p_1)p_1, \phi(p_2)p_2, \dots, \phi(p_s)p_s \} \quad (36)$$

(Note that if the diagonal entries are unity then the exponent is equal to m or $2m$, if m is even or odd respectively.)

Let us now consider a non-square free modulus m given by

$$m = \prod_{j=1}^s p_j^{r_j} \quad (37)$$

First consider an $n \times n$ upper triangular non-singular matrix M over $Z/p^r Z$, ($p > n$). Again let $M = D + U$ where D is a diagonal matrix and U is an upper triangular nilpotent matrix over $Z/p^r Z$. Following the argument given above, it is seen that

$$M^{\phi(p^r)} \equiv I + U_{\phi} \pmod{p^r} \quad (38)$$

where U_{ϕ} is some upper triangular nilpotent matrix.

Hence

$$(I + U_{\phi})^p \equiv I + p U_{\phi_1} \pmod{p^r}$$

$$(I + p U_{\phi_1})^p \equiv I + p^2 U_{\phi_2} \pmod{p^r}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(I + p U_{\phi_{r-1}})^p \equiv (I + U_{\phi})^{p^r} \equiv I + p^r U_{\phi_r} \equiv I \pmod{p^r}$$

$$\text{Therefore } M^{\phi(p^r)p^r} \equiv I \pmod{p^r} \quad (39)$$

Hence the exponent of the group formed by upper triangular non-singular matrices over Z/mZ , where m is given by (37), divides

$$\text{lcm} \{ \phi(p_1^{r_1})p_1^{r_1}, \phi(p_2^{r_2})p_2^{r_2}, \dots, \phi(p_s^{r_s})p_s^{r_s} \} \quad (40)$$

3. System Design and Operation

The designer randomly chooses large primes p_1 to p_s for some $s \geq 2$ following the guidelines suggested in [1] and forms their product m . The primes need not be necessarily distinct. As in the case of the RSA system, these primes are kept secret. As the upper triangular non-singular matrix messages can be selected arbitrarily a public key cryptosystem using such a message space has been implemented. The coding exponents e and d are determined using

$$ed = 1 \pmod{\text{EXP}} \quad (41)$$

where EXP can be equal to (40).

The public encryption key is given by (e, m, n) and the secret decryption key is (d, m, n) where n denotes the dimension of matrix messages.

The message is divided into blocks of integers less than the modulus m and a sequence of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices is constructed by arranging the integers in order as they occur, left to right and top to bottom. The encryption procedure consists of raising each of these upper triangular matrices to the power e . This has been implemented using the well known square and multiply technique [9]. The ciphertext produced consists of a sequence of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over Z/mZ . Each of these matrices is transmitted to the receiver by sending the $n(n+1)/2$ ciphertext matrix elements (excluding the lower triangular zeroes) in order as they occur in the matrix, left to right, top to bottom, with a space separating the elements. The receiver recovers the original message by first reconstructing the sequence of $n \times n$ upper triangular cipher matrices and then raising them to the power d modulo m .

Such an extended RSA matrix system using upper triangular message matrices has been simulated on the Prime computer. An example showing the various parameters is given in Figure 1.

4. Discussion

One can see that the RSA system can be extended to matrix messages provided the message space is restricted to avoid nilpotent elements. In this paper, the group of non-singular matrices over Z/mZ and the group of upper triangular matrices with diagonal elements coprime to m over Z/mZ have been investigated. In both these cases, factorization of the modulus m into primes is required to compute the exponent thus providing the same level of security as the RSA system. But the first case, employing arbitrary non-singular matrices as the message space does not seem to be suitable for a practical cryptosystem as it is not possible to restrict arbitrary plaintext matrices to be non-singular. On the other hand, in the second case employing upper triangular non-singular matrices, it appears that the messages can be selected arbitrarily in practice. The exponent of the group formed by such upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices over Z/mZ divides $\text{lcm}(\phi(p_1^{r_1})p_1^{r_1}, \dots, \phi(p_s^{r_s})p_s^{r_s})$ where $m = \prod_{j=1}^s p_j^{r_j}$ with $p_j > n$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Further two points are worth mentioning regarding this extended matrix system. Firstly, it is seen that non-square free modulus can be used which is not possible with the RSA system. Secondly, the use of a matrix as a message may allow large amounts of data to be processed within one encryption/decryption cycle. Whether this is an advantage depends upon the ease with which matrix manipulations can be carried out. Computational savings can be achieved if transform techniques are used to reduce the number of scalar multiplications involved in a matrix multiplication.

Example

Let the modulus $m = p \cdot q = 41 \cdot 29 = 1189$

Exponent of the group formed by 3×3 upper triangular non-singular matrices over $Z/1189Z$, divides

$$\text{lcm}\{40 \cdot 41, 28 \cdot 29\} = 332920$$

Choosing the encrypting exponent, $e = 1317$, the decrypting exponent d can be calculated using Euclid's algorithm and is equal to 117293. That is,

$$1317 \cdot 117293 \equiv 1 \pmod{332920}$$

Let us assume that the plaintext message to be encrypted is 232677205141. In this example, the message is divided into 2-digit blocks of integers less than m. Starting from right to left as

(23 26 77 20 51 41)

The upper triangular plaintext message matrices become

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 90 & 23 & 26 \\ 0 & 50 & 77 \\ 0 & 0 & 48 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 31 & 20 & 51 \\ 0 & 215 & 41 \\ 0 & 0 & 289 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the diagonal elements are arbitrarily chosen to be relatively prime to 1189.

The ciphertext message matrices are then given by

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 90 & 23 & 26 \\ 0 & 50 & 77 \\ 0 & 0 & 48 \end{bmatrix}^{1317} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1105 & 458 & 1070 \\ 0 & 50 & 251 \\ 0 & 0 & 831 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{1189}$$

$$C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 31 & 20 & 51 \\ 0 & 215 & 41 \\ 0 & 0 & 289 \end{bmatrix}^{1317} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 843 & 774 & 660 \\ 0 & 592 & 41 \\ 0 & 0 & 405 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{1189}$$

These ciphertext matrices are transmitted to the receiver as

(1105 458 1070 50 251 831 843 774 660 592 41 405)

The receiver reconstructs the matrices C_1 and C_2 and computes C_1^d (mod m) and C_2^d (mod m) to obtain P_1 and P_2 and hence the plaintext message. That is,

$$C_1^d = \begin{bmatrix} 1105 & 458 & 1070 \\ 0 & 50 & 251 \\ 0 & 0 & 831 \end{bmatrix}^{117293} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 90 & 23 & 26 \\ 0 & 50 & 77 \\ 0 & 0 & 48 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{1189} = P_1$$

$$C_2^d = \begin{bmatrix} 843 & 774 & 660 \\ 0 & 592 & 41 \\ 0 & 0 & 405 \end{bmatrix}^{117293} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 31 & 20 & 51 \\ 0 & 215 & 41 \\ 0 & 0 & 289 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{1189} \equiv P_2$$

Figure 1

References

1. Rivest, R.L., Shamir, A., and Adleman, L. 'A Method for obtaining signatures and public key cryptosystems', Comm. ACM, 1978, 21, pp. 120-126.
2. Rédei, L., Algebra Vol. 1, Pergamon Press, International Series of Monographs in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 1967.
3. Albert, A.A., Fundamental Concepts of Higher Algebra, The University of Chicago Press, Phoenix Science Series, 1961.
4. Jordan, C., Traité des Substitutions, 1870.
5. Farahat, H.K., 'The multiplicative group of a ring', Math-Zeitschr., 87, 1965, pp. 378-384.
6. Brawley, J.V., 'The number of non-singular matrices over a finite ring with identity', 1971 (unpublished).
7. Roby Norbert, 'Sur le cardinal du Groupe $GL(n,A)$ où A est anneau fini', Annals Acad. Brasil, 49, 1977, pp.15-18.
8. McDonald, B.R. 'Involutory matrices over finite Local rings', Canadian Journal Math., 24, 1972, pp.369-378.
9. Knuth, D.E., 'The Art of Computer Programming, Vol.2: Seminumerical Algorithms', 2nd Edition, Addison- Wesley, 1981.


Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

V. Varadharajan,
Department of Communication Engineering,
Plymouth Polytechnic,
Drake Circus,
Plymouth,
U.K.

R. Odoni,
Department of Maths,
Exeter University,
North Park Road,
Exeter,
U.K.

ENCRYPTION



TH the advent of electronic mail, electronic funds transfer and the distributed office, an increasing amount of confidential data is being stored on disc and transmitted between terminals and computers.

The information may be financial, where a high degree of security is required, it may consist of sensitive commercial dealings, personnel records, legal documents, etc., which could cause difficulties problems if they fell into the wrong hands.

It is not too difficult to take a copy of a disc, or listen in on telephone lines with a cheap, yet sophisticated communication equipment presently available and even examine the information at one's leisure.

The relatively simple precautions, if properly employed in many data systems, overcome a minor obstacle to the determined attacker. The following describes the operation of a unit whereby the Apple terminal user can reduce this possible security problem to a minimum.

Data encryption moved out of the military and political spheres in 1976 when an IBM encryption algorithm was accepted by the American National Bureau of Standards as the encryption mechanism for all federal non-military applications. It is known as the Data Encryption Standard (DES) and is likely to be adopted as such in this country. The card shown in the photograph opposite utilises this standard.

The algorithm changes the plain data into an unintelligible cipher form under the control of a key; the change being to such an extent that the transformation is

DES can keep your data safe

entirely different for each key value.

The algorithm, which has been made public for almost 10 years now and has not yet been cracked, uses multiple modulo 2 addition, permutations and sub-

stitutions to ensure that the key or plain text cannot be discovered from the cipher text.

The very simple modulo 2 example in Figure 1 shows the difficulty of retrieving the original information when the "carries" are lost unless the correct key is available.

The standard uses a 56 bit key with 64 bit blocks of plain text to produce, after 16 "rounds", 64 bit cipher blocks of data giving a "key space" of 2^{56} - or 10^{17} different key combinations. This is an extremely large number, and ensures that if each key combination was tried in turn by a fast computer it would take many years before all were covered.

The key can frequently be changed or multiple encryption made with different keys to maximise security. This algorithm has recently become available in large scale integrated circuit form.

The encryption card can operate in one of three modes.

- Point to point communication between Apple terminals not containing a host computer.
- Local storage of encrypted programs




Figure 1




Figure II

and data files on the Apple disc system. Communication between an Apple terminal and a host computer for storage and retrieval of encrypted information in its memory bank. The operation of these modes is controlled by programs stored in ROM on the card, the appropriate program being selected by a CALL command after the card has been activated with a POKE instruction.

In the secure storage mode Applesoft Integer Basic data is passed through the encryption chip after it has been initialised with the chosen key, consisting of any eight character combination, including control characters, from the keyboard. The encrypted form is then stored on a disc and can be catalogued in the normal manner.

If this cipher text is later downloaded from the disc and listed complete rubbish will result. Often the listing continues to run because the end of line/file pointers are never reached, or it is maybe much shorter in length than the original because the control characters in the encrypted form are not displayed.

When operating in the communication modes, a suitable modem must be used to convert the digital codes from the card into equivalent speech signals for transmission. The card incorporates a communication controller that allows full duplex, asynchronous transmission at the CCITT standard rates to 9.6 k bit/sec; the required rate being selected from the keyboard.

Different rates for transmission and reception allow asymmetric duplex operation, such as is used on the Prestel data bases and other viewdata systems.

The DES algorithm can be used in a number of ways to obtain the required security but the method chosen by the card is a stream cipher feedback arrangement as shown in Figure II.

In this case the DES is used as a pseudo random number generator, its

By P.W. SANDERS and V. VARADHARAJAN

output being continuously exclusive OR'ed (modulo 2 addition) with the plain text. The decryption process operates in the same manner - an identical pseudo random stream of bits being generated in synchronism to retrieve the plain text after another exclusive OR operation. This method has a number of advantages when used in the above applications:


□ There is a chaining of the encryption - the output cipher text character becomes dependent upon the previous eight characters of cipher and plain text, as well as the key, which removes the problem of

straight forward substitution of characters to alter the message without knowledge of the key.

□ The system has a self-synchronising property when confronted with transmission errors. A error within a cipher text character affects that character and the following eight characters only on decryption, after which the system automatically becomes resynchronised.

□ The arrangement is very flexible, allowing a mixture of plain and encrypted characters under keyboard control. With block cipher, eight character blocks must always be used, necessitating "padding" if less than eight encrypted characters are needed. With this "byte" feedback a single character can be efficiently encrypted.

A stand-alone unit has been developed for any computer that has the same facilities as the card, but being connected between the RS 232 interface output of the computer and the corresponding input of the modem. ☛



The encryption card